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Abstract

The two-constants theory introduced first by Laplace in 1805 is currently accepted theory describing isotropic, linear elasticity. The original, macroscopically-descriptive Navier-Stokes equations [MDNS equations] were derived in the course of the development the two-constants theory. From the viewpoint of MDNS equations, we trace the evolution of the equations and the notion of tensor following in historical order the various contributions of Navier, Cauchy, Poisson, Saint-Venant and Stokes, and note the concordance between each.
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1 Preliminary Remarks

In this report, we use the following definition of the stress tensor, due to I. Imai[7, p.178]: we call a $P$ of $3 \times 3$ matrix such as $P$ a stress tensor that returns a new vector $P_n$ when multiplied from the right by the column vector of directional cosines:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{xx} & P_{xy} & P_{xz} \\ P_{yx} & P_{yy} & P_{yz} \\ P_{zx} & P_{zy} & P_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l \\ m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow P_n = P \cdot n$$

Moreover, if $p_{ij} = p_{ji}$ for all $i, j = x, y, z$ then this tensor is said to be symmetric. If we suppose for example $t_{ij}$ is the $(i, j)$ element of a matrix, and $t_{ij} = -t_{ji}$ then anti-symmetric or skew-symmetric. Throughout the paper, we display for brevity a tensor by specifying its components, such as $\delta_{ij}$ of the well-known Kronecker $\delta$. Furthermore, we write $\nu_{k,l} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{dv}{dy} + \frac{dw}{dz} \cdots$ where we have the Einstein convention\(^2\). Simplifications occur as, for example, in Navier’s elasticity of (1-1) in Table 4 where the tensor can be expressed as follows:

$$-\varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{dv}{dy} + \frac{dw}{dz} & \frac{du}{dy} + \frac{dv}{dx} + \frac{dw}{zx} \\ \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{dv}{dy} + \frac{dw}{dz} & \frac{du}{dy} + \frac{dv}{dx} + \frac{dw}{zx} \\ \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{dv}{dy} + \frac{dw}{dz} & \frac{du}{dy} + \frac{dv}{dx} + \frac{dw}{zx} \end{bmatrix} = -\varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon + 2 \frac{du}{dx} \epsilon + 2 \frac{du}{dx} \epsilon + 2 \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix}, \ \ \ \text{(1)}$$

Expressions in Poisson’s elasticity (3-1) in Table 4 are also of similar style.

Moreover, we can easily express Navier’s stress tensor $t_{ij}$ of elasticity in the form: $t_{ij} = -\varepsilon(\delta_{ij} u_{k,k} + u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})$. Stokes’ fluid theory (20) or (5) in Table 4 affords a second illustration: $t_{ij} = \frac{(\epsilon - \frac{2}{3} \mu \nu_{k,l}) \delta_{ij} + \mu (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})}{\epsilon - \frac{2}{3} \mu \nu_{k,l} \delta_{ij} + \mu (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})}$, or the equivalent expression $\sigma_{ij} = -\rho \delta_{ij} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \mu \nu_{k,l}$\(^3\). In what follows, “tensor” means the stress tensor as defined by I. Imai.\(^4\) When referring to a “fluid”, an “elastic fluid” is implied.

2 Introduction

We have studied the original MDNS equations as the progenitors\(^5\), Navier, Cauchy, Poisson, Saint-Venant and Stokes, and endeavor to ascertain their aims and conceptual thoughts in formulations these new equations.

“The two-constants theory” was introduced first introduced in 1805 by Laplace\(^6\) in regard to capillary action with constants denoted by $H$ and $K$ (cf. Table 2, 3). Thereafter, various pairs of constants have been proposed by their originators in formulating MDNS equations or equations describing equilibrium or capillary situations. It is commonly accepted that this theory describes isotropic, linear elasticity.\(^7\) We argue that Poisson had already pointed out the special aspect deduced by Laplace when, in 1831, he states, ‘elles renferment les deux constantes spéciales donc j’ai parlé tout à l’heure’ [18, p.4]. Poisson was, we think, one of the persons who were aware of this issue.

---

\(^1\)Navier(1785-1836), Cauchy(1789-1857), Poisson(1781-1840), Saint-Venant(1797-1886), Stokes(1819-1903).

\(^2\)Remark: in general, $\nu_{k,l} \neq \nu_{j,k}$, because the summation convention is in force when there is a repetition of indices.

\(^3\)c.f. Schlichting [20], in our footnote19.

\(^4\)Numbers on the Left-hand-side of equations refer to those given by the author in the original paper while numbers on the right-hand-side correspond to our indexing. The subscript to the original indexing, for example $N^l/N^f$, refer to author and type of theory, such as “elastic/liquid by Navier”. For equations indexed by section, the citation is then in the format “section no.-no. by author”.

\(^5\)The order followed is by date of proposal or publication.


\(^7\)Darrigol [4, p.121].
3 A universal method for the two-constants theory

Now, we would like to propose the uniformal methods to describe the kinetic equations for isotropic, linear elasticity such as:

- The partial differential equations of the elastic solid or elastic fluid are expressed by using one or the pair of $C_1$ and $C_2$ such that:
  
  In the elastic solid: $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - (C_1 T_1 + C_2 T_2) = f$,
  In the elastic fluid: $\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} - (C_1 T_1 + C_2 T_2) + \cdots = f$,

  where $T_1, T_2, \cdots$ are tensors or terms consisting our equations, where we suppose the tensor as the first kind.

  For example, the MDNS equation systems corresponding to incompressible fluid is composed of the kinetic equation along with the continuity equation and are conventionally written, in modern vector notation, as follows:

  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \mu \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = f, \quad \text{div } u = 0. \quad (2)$

  $\cdot C_1$ and $C_2$ are the two coefficients of the two-constants theory, for example, $\varepsilon$ and $E$ by Navier, or $R$ and $G$ by Cauchy, $k$ and $K$ by Poisson, $\varepsilon$ and $\frac{k}{3}$ by Saint-Venant, or $\mu$ and $\frac{k}{3}$ by Stokes. Moreover $C_1$ and $C_2$ can be expressed in the following form:

  \begin{align*}
  &\begin{cases}
  C_1 \equiv Lr_1 g_1 S_1, \\
  C_2 \equiv Lr_2 g_2 S_2,
  \end{cases}
  \Rightarrow
  \begin{cases}
  C_1 = C_3 Lr_1 g_1 = \frac{\pi}{3} Lr_1 g_1, \\
  C_2 = C_4 Lr_2 g_2 = \frac{\pi}{3} Lr_2 g_2.
  \end{cases}
  \end{align*}

  - The two coefficients are expressible in terms of the operator $L$ ($\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ or $f_0^{\infty}$) by personal principles or methods, where $r_1$ and $r_2$ are the radial functions related to the radius of the active sphere of the molecules.

  $\cdot g_1$ and $g_2$ are the certain functions which depend on $r$ and are described with attraction &/or repulsion.

  $\cdot S_1$ and $S_2$ are the two expressions which describe the surface of active unit-sphere at the center of a molecule by the double integral (or single sum in case of Poisson’s fluid).

  $\cdot g_3$ and $g_4$ are certain compound trigonometric functions to calculate the momentum in the unit sphere.

  $\cdot C_3$ and $C_4$ are indirectly expressed as the common coefficients from the invariant tensor. Except for Poisson’s fluid case, $C_3$ of $C_1$ is $\frac{2\pi}{3}$, and $C_4$ of $C_2$ is $\frac{2\pi}{3}$, which are calculated from the total momentum of the active sphere of the molecules in computing only by integral, and which are independent on personal manner.

  In Poisson’s case, after multiplying by $\frac{1}{4\pi}$, we get the same as above.

  $\cdot$ The ratio of the two coefficients including Poisson’s case is always same as: $\frac{C_2}{C_1} = \frac{1}{3}$.

4 A genealogy and convergence of stress tensor

We show in the figure 1, a genealogy tracing in particular the form of the tensor $t_{ij}$ appearing in the Navier-Stokes equations. In Table 4, we differentiate the tensors associated with elastic solids or elastic fluids. From this genealogy, it could be asserted that Cauchy[1, 2] was the inventor or the first user of tensors, a view supported by the admission of Poisson[17] that he received the idea of symmetric tensor from Cauchy. Moreover, the idea of Saint-Venant reappears in the work of Stokes. Here, we denote the two routes as NCP and PSS, both of which are portrayed in our figure, and by which, we explain the genealogy of tensor as it applies to the MDNS equations. cf. Table 4.

(fig.1) A genealogy of stress tensors in the prototypical Navier-Stokes equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Navier[12, 13] : $t_{ij}^f = -\varepsilon (\delta_{ki} u_{j,k} + u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})$, $t_{ij}^f = (p - \varepsilon u_{k,k}) \delta_{ij} - \varepsilon (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Euler) $\Rightarrow$ Poisson[15, 17] $\Rightarrow$ Saint-Venant[19] $\Rightarrow$ Stokes[21] $\Rightarrow$ Cauchy[1, 2] : $t_{ij}^f = \lambda u_{k,k} \delta_{ij} + \mu (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Poisson : $t_{ij}^f = -a^2 (\delta_{ki} u_{j,k} + u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})$, $t_{ij}^f = -p \delta_{ij} + \lambda u_{k,k} \delta_{ij} + \mu (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})$

- Saint-Venant : $t_{ij}^f = (\frac{1}{3} (P_{xx} + P_{yy} + P_{zz}) - \frac{2}{3} v_{k,k}) \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i})$, $\frac{3}{2} (P_{xx} + P_{yy} + P_{zz}) = -p$

- Stokes : $t_{ij}^f = (-p - \frac{2}{3} \mu v_{k,k}) \delta_{ij} + \mu (v_{i,j} + v_{j,i})$

$\odot$ Poisson says his reducing of tensor elements to 6 from 9 is due to Cauchy. (cf.§5.2).

5 Deductions of two constants and tensor

Recently Darrigol [4, p.121] has concluded: ‘it is called that the two-constants theory is the one now accepted for isotropic, linear elasticity,’ but Poisson [18, p.4] has stated already in 1831: ‘elles renferment les deux constantes spéciales dont j’ai parlé tout à l’heure.’ Moreover, we believe that the first proposer of “two-constants” theory was Laplace [9] in Table 3.
Table 1: $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4$ : the constant of definitions and computing of total momentum of molecular actions by Navier, Cauchy, Poisson, Saint-Venant & Stokes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>no</th>
<th>name/problem</th>
<th>elastic solid</th>
<th>elastic fluid</th>
<th>remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Navier elasticity:[12] fluid:[13]</td>
<td>$C_1 = \varepsilon \equiv \frac{2\pi}{15}$ ( \int_0^\infty \frac{dp \rho^4}{f \rho} )</td>
<td>$C_1 = \varepsilon \equiv \frac{2\pi}{15}$ ( \int_0^\infty \frac{dp \rho^4}{f \rho} )</td>
<td>( \alpha = \rho \cos \psi \cos \varphi ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cauchy elastic and fluid[2]</td>
<td>$C_1 = R \equiv \frac{2\pi}{15} \int_0^\infty f(r)dr$</td>
<td>$C_1 = R \equiv \frac{2\pi}{15} \int_0^\infty f(r)dr$</td>
<td>( \cos \alpha = \cos p, )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Poisson elasticity:[15, 17] fluid:[17]</td>
<td>$C_1 = k \equiv \frac{2\pi}{15} \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{f(r) dr} \frac{d}{dr}$</td>
<td>$C_1 = -k \equiv -\frac{1}{30 \pi} \int \frac{dr}{f(r) dr} \frac{d}{dr}$</td>
<td>( \cos \beta = \sin p \cos \varphi, )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Saint-Venant[19]</td>
<td>$C_1 = A, C_2 = B$</td>
<td>( C_1 = \mu, C_2 = \frac{1}{3} )</td>
<td>( \cos \varphi = \sin \psi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Stokes[21]</td>
<td>$C_1 = A, C_2 = B$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The two constants in the kinetic equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>no</th>
<th>name</th>
<th>problem</th>
<th>$C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, E, \Delta, \gamma, z$</th>
<th>$r, g_1, g_2$</th>
<th>remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Navier [12]</td>
<td>elastic solid</td>
<td>$C_1 \equiv \frac{2\pi}{15}$ ( \int_0^\infty \frac{dp \rho^4}{f \rho} )</td>
<td>$f \rho$</td>
<td>( \rho ) : radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Navier [13]</td>
<td>fluid</td>
<td>$C_1 \equiv \varepsilon \equiv \frac{2\pi}{15} \int_0^\infty \frac{dp \rho^4}{f \rho} \rho^2$</td>
<td>$F(\rho)$</td>
<td>$\rho$ : radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cauchy [2]</td>
<td>system of particles in elastic and fluid</td>
<td>$C_1 \equiv \frac{2\pi}{15} \Delta \int_0^\infty f \rho r^3 dr$</td>
<td>$f(\rho)$</td>
<td>( f(\rho) \equiv \pm \left[ f(\rho) - f(\rho) \right] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Poisson [15]</td>
<td>elastic solid</td>
<td>$C_1 \equiv \Delta \int_0^\infty \sum \frac{dr}{r^3} \frac{d \lambda}{dr}$</td>
<td>$fr$</td>
<td>( f(\rho) \equiv \pm \left[ f(\rho) - f(\rho) \right] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Poisson [17]</td>
<td>elastic solid and fluid</td>
<td>$C_1 \equiv \frac{1}{30} \int_0^\infty \sum \frac{dr}{r^3} \frac{d \lambda}{dr}$</td>
<td>$fr$</td>
<td>( f(\rho) \equiv \pm \left[ f(\rho) - f(\rho) \right] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Saint-Venant [19]</td>
<td>fluid</td>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Stokes [21]</td>
<td>fluid</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Stokes [21]</td>
<td>elastic solid</td>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>$A = 5B$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Navier’s two constants and tensor

In his theory of elasticity, Navier deduced the single constant $\varepsilon$ in (1). The corresponding Navier-Stokes equations by Navier himself for the incompressible fluid (2) are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\rho} \overline{d} x d p &= X + \varepsilon (3 \overline{d} u \overline{d} v + \overline{d} u \overline{d} w) - \delta u \frac{d u}{d x} - \delta v \frac{d v}{d y} - \delta w \frac{d w}{d z} ; \\
\frac{1}{\rho} \overline{d} y d p &= Y + \varepsilon (3 \overline{d} u \overline{d} v + \overline{d} v \overline{d} w) - \delta v \frac{d v}{d y} - \delta w \frac{d w}{d z} ; \\
\frac{1}{\rho} \overline{d} z d p &= Z + \varepsilon (3 \overline{d} u \overline{d} v + \overline{d} w \overline{d} w) - \delta w \frac{d w}{d z} - \delta u \frac{d u}{d x} - \delta v \frac{d v}{d y} ; \\
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

along with the equation of continuity: $\frac{d u}{d x} + \frac{d v}{d y} + \frac{d w}{d z} = 0$. Navier supposes two constants as follows:

$$\begin{align}
\varepsilon &\equiv \frac{8 \pi}{30} \int_0^\infty d \rho \rho^4 f(\rho) = \frac{4 \pi}{15} \int_0^\infty d \rho \rho^4 f(\rho) \\
E &\equiv \frac{4 \pi}{6} \int_0^\infty d \rho \rho^2 F(\rho) = \frac{2 \pi}{3} \int_0^\infty d \rho \rho^2 F(\rho) \\
\end{align}$$

(4)

In the case of fluid, Navier was well aware of necessity for the equation of continuity, because from (3) he obtained $\varepsilon \Delta u$, by differentiating the equation of continuity with $\frac{d}{d x}$, $\frac{d}{d y}$, $\frac{d}{d z}$. For example, the $\varepsilon$-terms in (3), as well as (5) are reduced to $\varepsilon \Delta u$ in (6). This is solely due to the mass conservative law, according to the explanation given by Navier.

As an aside, Navier always used his well-used mathematical methods involving a four-steps procedure to solve the three equations such as the equilibrium equation for the fluid [13], the kinetic equation for the elastic [12], and the kinetic equation for the fluid [13] with the general methods as follows:

- initially, to deduce one or two constants including uncomputable functions: $g_1$, $g_2$, i.e. $f \rho$, $f(\rho)$ or $F(\rho)$ in Table 2,
- then, to construct the indeterminate equation, which he denoted the nomenclature of “equation undeterminant” (cf. §5.1.1).
- then, to make Taylor series expansion and partial integration, exchanging $d$ and $\delta$, and pairing with the same integral operator,
- and finally, to solve the indeterminate equation from the two points of view, the interior and the boundary.

We present more details of this procedure by outlining Navier’s analysis of fluid flow [13].

5.1 Indeterminate equation

The indeterminate equation, so-called then by Navier, is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3-24)_{NT} \ 0 &= \iint dx dy dz \left( \left[ P - \frac{d u}{d x} - \rho \left( \frac{d u}{d x} + u \frac{d u}{d y} + v \frac{d u}{d z} + w \frac{d u}{d z} \right) \right] \delta u \\
&+ \left[ Q - \frac{d u}{d x} - \rho \left( \frac{d v}{d y} + u \frac{d v}{d y} + v \frac{d v}{d y} + w \frac{d v}{d z} \right) \right] \delta v \\
&+ \left[ R - \frac{d u}{d x} - \rho \left( \frac{d w}{d z} + u \frac{d w}{d z} + v \frac{d w}{d z} + w \frac{d w}{d z} \right) \right] \delta w \\
&- \varepsilon \iint dx dy dz \left( \frac{d u}{d x} \frac{d u}{d x} + \frac{d v}{d y} \frac{d v}{d y} + \frac{d w}{d z} \frac{d w}{d z} \right) + \left( \frac{d u}{d x} \frac{d u}{d y} + \frac{d u}{d x} \frac{d u}{d z} \right) + \left( \frac{d v}{d y} \frac{d v}{d z} + \frac{d v}{d y} \frac{d v}{d z} \right) \\
&+ \left( \frac{d w}{d z} \frac{d w}{d z} + \frac{d w}{d z} \frac{d w}{d z} \right) + \left( \frac{d w}{d z} \frac{d w}{d z} + \frac{d w}{d z} \frac{d w}{d z} \right) + \left( \frac{d u}{d x} \frac{d u}{d y} + \frac{d u}{d x} \frac{d u}{d z} \right) \\
&+ \frac{S_{ds}^2 E(u \delta v + v \delta v + w \delta w)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

(5)
5.1.2 Determined equation operated by Taylor expansion and partial integral

Putting $Sds^2E(\omega \delta u + \phi \delta v + w \delta w) = 0$ of indeterminate equation (5) and performing a Taylor series expansion to first-order and neglecting higher-order terms, we get as follows:

\[
(3-29)_{NT} \quad 0 = \iint dxdydz \left\{ \left[ P - \frac{dp}{dx} - \rho \left( \frac{d\eta}{dt} + \frac{d\xi}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right) \right] \delta u + \left[ Q - \frac{dq}{dx} - \rho \left( \frac{d\eta}{dt} + \frac{d\xi}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right) \right] \delta v + \left[ R - \frac{dr}{dx} - \rho \left( \frac{d\eta}{dt} + \frac{d\xi}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right) \right] \delta w \right\}
\]

From (6) we get (3) i.e. the kinetic equation which is the first expression of (2).

5.1.3 Determined equation deduced from boundary condition

As the boundary condition, Navier uses two constants in one equation. In this aspect, his method is the unique among the original formulators. Navier explains as follows: regarding the conditions which react at the points of the surface of the fluid, if we substitute

- $dydz \rightarrow ds^2 \cos l$, where $l$ : the angles by which the tangent plane makes with the $yz$-plane on the surface frame,
- $dxdz \rightarrow ds^2 \cos m$, where $m$ : similarly $m$ is the angles with the $xz$-plane,
- $dxdy \rightarrow ds^2 \cos n$, where $n$ : similarly, $n$ is the angles with the $xy$-plane,

- $\int dxdz, \int dxdy \rightarrow Sds^2$, where $S$ is the unit normal to the surface at this point, then because the factors multiply $\delta u, \delta v$ and $\delta w$ respectively reduce to zero, the following determined equations should hold for any points of the surface of the fluid element:

\[
(3-32)_{NT} \quad \begin{cases} 
Eu + \epsilon [\cos 2 \frac{du}{dx} + \cos m \left( \frac{d\eta}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right) + \cos n \left( \frac{d\xi}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right)] = 0, \\
Ev + \epsilon [\cos l \left( \frac{d\eta}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right) + \cos m \left( \frac{d\xi}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right) + \cos n \left( \frac{d\xi}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right)] = 0, \\
Ew + \epsilon [\cos l \left( \frac{d\eta}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right) + \cos m \left( \frac{d\xi}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right) + \cos n \left( \frac{d\xi}{dy} + \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right)] = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Here the value of the constant $E$ must vary in accordance with the nature of solid with which the fluid is in contact. The equations of (7) are an expression of conditions prevailing on the boundary condition of the surface and constitute the so-called boundary conditions. The first terms of the left-hand-side of (7) are defined in (4) for the expression that we seek for the sum of the momenta of all interactions arising between the molecules on the boundary and the fluid, while the second terms are the normal derivatives. Here, derivative terms on the left-hand-side of (7) are expressible as $v_{i,j} + v_{j,i}$.

5.2 Cauchy’s two constants and tensor

(Definition) We suppose that:

- $a, b, c$ : the coordinate values of a molecule $m$ in the rectangular axes by $x, y, z$; $\alpha \beta \gamma$ : the coordinates of an arbitrary molecule $m$; $\xi, \eta, \zeta$ : the functions of $a, b, c$, which represent the infinitesimal displacements, and are parallel to the axes of a molecule $m$; $\{x, y, z\}, (x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y, z + \Delta z)$ : the coordinates of the molecules $m$ and $\Delta m$ in the new state of the system; $\Delta r$ : the distance between the molecule $m$ and $\Delta m$; $\epsilon :$ the dilatation of the length $r$ in the path from the first state to the second, and then we have $x = a + \xi, y = b + \eta, z = c + \zeta$; $X, Y, Z$ : the quantities of the algebraic projections.

Cauchy deduces the three elements $X, Y, Z$ in the system of metrical points of elasticity after calculating the interactions of molecules, the details of which are omitted for sake of brevity. Moreover we start with the following equation of elasticity

\[
(40)_C \quad \begin{cases} 
X = (L + G) \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial y^2} + (R + H) \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial z^2} + (Q + I) \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial z^2} + 2R \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial y \partial z} + 2Q \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial z \partial c}, \\
Y = (R + G) \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + (M + H) \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial z^2} + (P + I) \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial z^2} + 2P \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x \partial z} + 2R \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial z \partial c}, \\
Z = (Q + G) \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} + (P + H) \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} + (N + I) \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y^2} + 2Q \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y \partial c} + 2P \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial y \partial c}.
\end{cases}
\]

(The invariants of the tensor are represented by the two constants of $G$ and $R$.)

Cauchy says about the elements of tensor i.e. the invariable values: $G, H, I, L, M, N, P, Q, R$.

If we suppose that the molecules $m, m', m'' , \ldots$ are originally allocated by the same way in relation to the three planes made by the molecule $m$ in parallel with the plane coordinates, then the values of these quantities come to remain invariable, even though a series of changes are made among the three angles : $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$.

Cauchy considers symmetric tensor such that:

\[
(41)_C \quad G = H = I, \quad L = M = N, \quad P = Q = R, \quad (45)_C \quad L = 3R.
\]
Cauchy may be the inventor of the nomenclature\(^8\) of “tensor”, and Poisson backs up the structure of symmetry such that his idea reducing from 9 to 6 elements is due to Cauchy, as follows:

D’un autre côté, il faut, pour l’équilibre d’un parallélépipède rectangle d’une étendue insensible, que les neuf composantes des pressions appliquées à ses trois faces non-parallèles, se réduisent à six forces qui peuvent être inégales. Cette proposition est due à M.Cauchy, et se déduit de la considération des moments.\(^9\)

Continuing, we define the density of molecules as: \((48)_C\) \(\Delta = \frac{\rho v}{V}\), where, \(\rho\) is the sum of the mass of molecules contained in the sphere and \(V\) is the volume of the sphere. We find the expression for the two constants, \(G\) and \(R\):

\[
\begin{align*}
G &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin \theta \, r^2 f(r) \cos^3 \alpha \, \sin \varphi \, d\theta \, d\varphi, \\
R &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin \theta \, r^2 f(r) \cos^2 \alpha \cos^2 \beta \, \sin \varphi \, d\theta \, d\varphi.
\end{align*}
\]

\[(8)\]

When we calculate these values in the general case then \((8)\) yields the following expressions:

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= \left[ \frac{(L + G) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} + (R - G) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + (Q - G) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c}}{\gamma} \right], \\
B &= \left[ \frac{(R - H) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} + (M + H) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + (P - H) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c}}{\gamma} \right], \\
C &= \left[ \frac{(Q - I) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} + (P - I) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + (N + I) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c}}{\gamma} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

\[(56)_C\]

By \((41)_C\) and \((45)_C\), we obtain the following reduced form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Delta}{\gamma} &= 2(R + G) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} + (R - G)v, \\
\frac{\Delta}{\gamma} &= 2(R + G) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + (R - G)v, \\
\frac{\Delta}{\gamma} &= 2(R + G) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c} + (R - G)v,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= (R + G) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} + (M + H) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + (P - H) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c}, \\
B &= (R - H) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} + (Q - I) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + (N + I) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c}, \\
C &= (Q - I) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} + (P - I) \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + (R + G) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c}.
\end{align*}
\]

For convenience' sake, in the particular case when both \((41)_C\) and \((45)_C\) hold, it is sufficient to have: \((59)_C\) \(\Delta = \frac{1}{2} k\), \(\frac{(R - G)\Delta}{\gamma} = K\), \(\Rightarrow 2R = \frac{k + 2K}{2}\). Equations \((56)_C\) and \((57)_C\) can be displayed in a more convenient manner:

\[
\begin{align*}
A F E & E F B D \\
E D C & = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c} + X \Delta = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial b} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c} + Y \Delta = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial c} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial c} + \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c} + Z \Delta = 0, \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\[(76)_C\]

Here, we must remark that the layout of symmetric tensor of \((58)_C\) or \((60)_C\) is the Cauchy’s invention. If, moreover, the condition \((54)_C\) \(R = -G\) holds, then \(k = 0\) holds, thus yielding the following identities:

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= B = C = K v, \\
D &= E = F = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

5.2.1 Equilibrium and kinetic equation of fluid by Cauchy

In what follows, equations referring to Cauchy’s work on fluids will be designated in the form \((\cdot)_C\) instead by \((\cdot)\) to distinguish these from equations appearing in his work on elasticity above.

\(\text{Verification of equations in fluid.}\)

By replacing \((a, b, c)\) of \((56)_C\) and \((57)_C\) with \((x, y, z)\), we derive an equivalent set of equations for fluid as for elasticity. We omit for the sake of brevity the pricees processes in leading to the two constants or the equations and present the final form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c} + X \Delta = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial b} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial b} + \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c} + Y \Delta = 0, \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial c} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial c} + \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial c} + Z \Delta = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} A F E & E F B D \\
E D C & = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a} \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial b} \\
\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial c} \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix} + \Delta \begin{bmatrix} X \\
Y \\
Z \end{bmatrix} = 0
\]

We follow the layout of Cauchy’s symmetric tensor as presented originally in \((76)_C\). By replacing \(R + G\) and \(2R\) with Cauchy’s usage \(C_1 \equiv R + G = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial a}\) \(C_2 \equiv 2R = \frac{k + 2K}{2}\), we can reduce these equations of fluids in motion and in equilibrium to the same form \((46)_C\) found for elasticity. However, here, we would like to adopt not Cauchy’s \(C_1\) and \(C_2\), but \(C_1 = R\) and \(C_2 = G\), because it is more rational to do so, as we can seen by checking the reciprocal coincidence in Table 2.

\(^8\) The editors of Hamilton’s papers \([6\text{, p.237, footnote}]\) say, ”The writer believes that what originally led him to use the terms ‘modulus’ and ‘amplitude,’ was a recollection of M. Cauchy’s nomenclature respecting the usual imaginaries of algebra.”

\(^9\) Here, \(C_1\) and \(C_2\) are not the two-constants by ours but named temporarily by Cauchy himself.
(Comparison with and commented on Navier’s equation in elasticity.)

Cauchy states: for the reduction of the equations (79)_{C} and (80)_{C} to Navier’s equations [12] to determine the law of equilibrium and elasticity, it is necessary to assume such as the condition which we have mentioned above: \( k = 2K \). According to Cauchy’s assertion, if \( C = 0 \) then we get as the equations of equilibrium and the kinetic equations in equal elasticity, then the tensor is equivalent with the tensor not only of the elastic but also of \( \varepsilon \) in Navier’s fluid equation (3) (cf. Table 4).

5.3 Poisson’s two constants and tensor

5.3.1 Principle and equations in elastic solid

Below, we deduce \( K \) and \( k \) according to Poisson [15, pp.368-405, §1-§16]. For brevity, we introduce the following definitions:

\[
\begin{align*}
ax_1 + by_1 + c(z_1 - \zeta_1) & \equiv \phi, \\
ax''_1 + by''_1 + c''(z_1 - \zeta_1) & \equiv \psi, \\
ax''''_1 + by''''_1 + c''''(z_1 - \zeta_1) & \equiv \theta,
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

We assume that \( \alpha \) is the average molecular distance, \( \omega \) presents a finite surface area, and \( \omega^2 \) is the average number of molecules in \( \omega \). We then get the pressure terms:

\[
P = \sum \frac{\phi + \phi'}{\alpha^3 \omega^2} f r', \quad Q = \sum \frac{\psi + \psi'}{\alpha^3 \omega^2} f r' \quad R = \sum \frac{\theta + \theta'}{\alpha^3 \omega^2} f r'.
\]

By using his so-called effective transformation,\(^{10}\), we get from (11) the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
P &= \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( g(\alpha'') \sum \frac{\alpha^2}{\omega^2} f r + (gg' + hh' + ll') \sum \frac{\alpha^2}{\omega^2} f r \right) \Delta, \\
Q &= \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( h(\alpha'') \sum \frac{\alpha^2}{\omega^2} f r + (gg' + hh' + ll') \sum \frac{\alpha^2}{\omega^2} f r \right) \Delta, \\
R &= \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( l(\alpha'') \sum \frac{\alpha^2}{\omega^2} f r + (gg' + hh' + ll') \sum \frac{\alpha^2}{\omega^2} f r \right) \Delta,
\end{align*}
\]

Later, Poisson recalculates this problem in another book [17], in which he deduces the general principles behind elasticity and fluidity, and hence derives the representative two-constants with \( K \) and \( k \) for both elasticity and fluids as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
P &= K(1 + \frac{du}{dz}) + k \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{du}{dz} + \frac{du}{dy} + \frac{du}{dx} \right) c + \left[ K \frac{du}{dy} + k \left( \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{du}{dy} \right) \right] c', \\
Q &= K(1 + \frac{du}{dy}) + k \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{du}{dy} + \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{du}{dy} \right) c' + \left[ K \frac{du}{dx} + k \left( \frac{du}{dy} + \frac{du}{dx} \right) \right] c'', \\
R &= K(1 + \frac{du}{dz}) + k \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{du}{dz} + \frac{du}{dy} + \frac{du}{dx} \right) c'' + \left[ K \frac{du}{dy} + k \left( \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{du}{dy} \right) \right] c,,
\end{align*}
\]

where, for abbreviation, he uses similarly \( K \) and \( k \). Moreover, instead of \( \alpha \) in (11), he introduces \( \varepsilon \) as the average distance between molecules, and from the following considerations:

- on voit que la pression \( N \) restera la même en tous sens autour de ce point : elle sera normale à ce plan et dirigée de dehors en dedans de \( A \), ou de dedans en dehors, selon que sa valeur sera positive ou negative, [ ⇒ we see that the pressure \( N \) orients omnidirectionally around an arbitrary point : \( A \), and from outward into inward or from inward to outward, according to that the value will be positive or negative, (then we ought to consider as \( \frac{1}{2} \) :)]

- from the supposition of isotropy and homogeneity, \( r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \), ⇒ \( \Sigma \sum \frac{z^2}{r^2} f r = \Sigma \frac{1}{2} r f r \), (cf. Poisson [17], pp. 32-34):

\[
(3-8)_{p} \quad K \equiv \frac{1}{6\varepsilon^3} \sum \frac{z^2}{r^2} f r = 2\pi \frac{1}{3} \sum \frac{r f r}{4\pi \varepsilon^3}, \quad k \equiv \frac{1}{30\varepsilon^2} \sum r^3 \frac{d}{dr} \frac{1}{r} f r = \frac{2\pi}{15} \sum \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon^3} r^3 \frac{d}{dr} \frac{1}{r} f r,
\]

and étendant les sommes \( \Sigma \) à tous les points matériels du corps qui sont compris dans la sphère d’activité de \( M \). \[ ⇒ \] and extending the summation \( \Sigma \) to all the material points contained in the active sphere by \( M \). ] (cf. Poisson [17], p. 46):

\[^{10}\text{Calcul des Pressions dans les Corps élastiques ; équations différentielles de l'équilibre et du mouvement de ces Corps.}\]

\[^{11}\text{In Poisson [17], the title of the chapter 3 reads “Calcul des Pressions dans les Corps élastiques ; équations différentielles de l'équilibre et du mouvement de ces Corps.”}\]
5.3.2 Fluid pressure in motion

Poisson’s tensor of the pressures in a fluid, which he assumes compressible, reads as follows:

\[
(7-7)_{P^f} \begin{bmatrix}
U_1 & U_2 & U_3 \\
V_1 & V_2 & V_3 \\
W_1 & W_2 & W_3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\beta (\frac{du}{dx} + \frac{dv}{dy}) & \beta (\frac{du}{dy} + \frac{dw}{dz}) & p - \alpha \frac{dw}{dt} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + 2\beta \frac{du}{dx} \\
\beta (\frac{dv}{dx} + \frac{dw}{dy}) & p - \alpha \frac{dw}{dt} - \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} + 2\beta \frac{dv}{dy} \\
\beta (\frac{dw}{dx} + \frac{du}{dy}) & \beta (\frac{du}{dz} + \frac{dv}{dz}) \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[(k + K)\alpha = \beta, \quad (k - K)\alpha = \beta', \quad p = \psi t = K, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta + \beta' = 2k\alpha,
\]

where \(\chi t\) is the density of the fluid around the point \(M\), and \(\psi t\) is the pressure. Here \(K\) and \(k\) are the same one as in (3-8) of (14) of the elastic body. The velocity and pressure are defined as follows:

\[u = (u, v, w), \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = u, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = v, \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = w, \quad \varpi = p - \alpha \frac{dw}{dt} - \frac{\beta + \beta'}{\chi t} \frac{dt}{dt}, \quad (\varpi \equiv p, \text{ if incompressible}).\]

which substituted into the equation yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} &= \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{u dv}{dx} + \frac{v du}{dy} + \frac{w du}{dz}, \\
\frac{d^2 v}{dt^2} &= \frac{dv}{dx} + \frac{u dv}{dx} + \frac{v dv}{dy} + \frac{w dv}{dz}, \\
\frac{d^2 w}{dt^2} &= \frac{dw}{dx} + \frac{u dw}{dx} + \frac{v dw}{dy} + \frac{w dw}{dz},
\end{align*}
\]

\[\Rightarrow (7-9)_{P^f} \begin{bmatrix}
\rho (X - \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2}) = \frac{dx}{dy} + \beta (\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} + \frac{d^2 y}{dy^2} + \frac{d^2 z}{dz^2}), \\
\rho (Y - \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2}) = \frac{dy}{dt} + \beta (\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} + \frac{d^2 y}{dy^2} + \frac{d^2 z}{dz^2}), \\
\rho (Z - \frac{d^2 z}{dt^2}) = \frac{dz}{dt} + \beta (\frac{d^2 w}{dt^2} + \frac{d^2 w}{dy^2} + \frac{d^2 z}{dz^2}).
\end{bmatrix}
\]

5.4 Saint-Venant’s tensor

Saint-Venant\(^\text{13}\) explains that the object of his paper [19] is to simplify the description and calculation of molecular interactions without specifying the molecular function. We show Saint-Venant’s tensor, which from the extract [19] seems to hint Stokes\([21]\). For this section we introduce the following parameters: \(\xi, \eta, \zeta\) are the velocity components at the arbitrary point \(m\) of a fluid in motion in the coordinate directions \(x, y, z\) respectively, \(P_{xx}, P_{yy}, P_{zz}\) are the normal pressures and \(P_{yz}, P_{xz}, P_{xy}\) are the tangential pressures with sub-index pair indicating the perpendicular plane and direction of decomposition. His expressions are:

\[
(1)_{SV} \quad P_{xz} - P_{yy} = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{P_{xx}}{dx} - \frac{P_{yy}}{dy}) = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{P_{yy}}{dy} - \frac{P_{zz}}{dz}) = \frac{P_{yy}}{dy} - \frac{P_{zz}}{dz} = \frac{P_{zz}}{dz} = \frac{P_{xy}}{dy} = \varepsilon,
\]

where, \(\frac{1}{3} (P_{xx} + P_{yy} + P_{zz}) - \frac{2\pi}{3} \left(\frac{dP_{xx}}{dx} + \frac{dP_{yy}}{dy} + \frac{dP_{zz}}{dz}\right) = \pi\). From this last equation, we solve for normal pressure respectively as follows: \((2)_{SV} P_{xx} = \pi + 2\varepsilon \frac{dP_{xx}}{dx}, \quad P_{yy} = \pi + 2\varepsilon \frac{dP_{yy}}{dy}, \quad P_{zz} = \pi + 2\varepsilon \frac{dP_{zz}}{dz}\). From \((1)_{SV}\), we then obtain the tangential pressures: \(P_{yz}, P_{xz}, P_{xy}\), which then reduces the tensor to symmetric form

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
P_1 & T_3 & T_2 \\
T_3 & P_2 & T_1 \\
T_2 & T_1 & P_3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\pi + 2\varepsilon \frac{dP_{xx}}{dx} & \varepsilon \frac{dP_{yy}}{dy} + \varepsilon \frac{dP_{zz}}{dz} & \varepsilon \frac{dP_{xy}}{dy} + \varepsilon \frac{dP_{yz}}{dz} \\
\varepsilon \frac{dP_{xy}}{dy} + \varepsilon \frac{dP_{yz}}{dz} & \pi + 2\varepsilon \frac{dP_{yy}}{dy} & \varepsilon \frac{dP_{xz}}{dx} + \varepsilon \frac{dP_{xy}}{dy} \\
\varepsilon \frac{dP_{xy}}{dy} + \varepsilon \frac{dP_{yz}}{dz} & \varepsilon \frac{dP_{xz}}{dx} + \varepsilon \frac{dP_{yz}}{dy} & \pi + 2\varepsilon \frac{dP_{zz}}{dz}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

Saint-Venant says that by using his theory, we can obtain concordance with Navier, Cauchy and Poisson:

If one replace \(\pi\) by \(\varpi - \varepsilon (\frac{du}{dx} + \frac{dv}{dy} + \frac{dw}{dz})\), and if one substitute the equations \((2)_{SV}\) and \((3)_{SV}\) dans les relations connues entre les pressions et les forces accélératrices, on obtient, en supposant \(\varepsilon\) le même en tous les points du fluide, les equations différentielles données le 18 mars 1822 par M.Navier ( Mémoires de l’Institut, t.VI ), en 1828 par M.Cauchy ( Exercices de Mathématiques, p.187 )\(^\text{14}\), et le 12 octobre 1829 par M.Poisson ( même Mémoire, p.152 )\(^\text{15}\). La quantité variable \(\varpi\) ou \(\pi\) n’est autre chose, dans les liquides, que la pression normale moyenne en chaque point. [19, p.1243]

Saint-Venant’s paper\([19]\) seems to provide Stokes a clue to the notion of tensor \((20)\) and his principle, because we can see the close correspondence by comparing\(^\text{16}\) Saint-Venant’s \(t_{ij}\):

\[
t_{ij} = (\pi + 2\varepsilon v_{i,j} - \gamma) \delta_{ij} + \gamma, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma \equiv v_{i,j} + v_{j,i},
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{1}{3} (P_{xx} + P_{yy} + P_{zz}) - \frac{2\pi}{3} \left(\frac{dP_{xx}}{dx} + \frac{dP_{yy}}{dy} + \frac{dP_{zz}}{dz}\right) + 2\varepsilon v_{i,j} - \gamma\right) \delta_{ij} + \gamma
\]

\[= \left(\frac{1}{3} (P_{xx} + P_{yy} + P_{zz}) - \frac{2\pi}{3} v_{k,k} \right) \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon (v_{i,j} + v_{j,i}) \Leftarrow 2\varepsilon v_{i,j} \delta_{ij} = \varepsilon (v_{i,j} + v_{j,i}) \delta_{ij} = \gamma \delta_{ij}
\]

\(^{12}\)In Poisson [17], the title of the chapter 7 reads “Calcul des Pressions dans les Fluides en mouvement ; équations différentielles de ce mouvement.”

\(^{13}\)Adhémard Jean Claude Barré de Saint-Venant (1797-1886).

\(^{14}\)Cauchy [1], p.226.

\(^{15}\)Poisson [17, p.152] (7-9)pf.

\(^{16}\)In our paper, we cite the source of the tensorial description of \(t_{ij}\) of the tensor : of Poisson and Cauchy from C.Truesdell[23], of Navier from G.Darrigol [4], and otherwise by ourself or Schlichting[20].
with Stokes’s $t_{ij}$ (21). Here, using (17), if we put$^{17}$ $P_{xx} = P_{yy} = P_{zz} = -p$ by assuming isotropy and homogeneity, which Stokes similarly takes as his principle in § 5.5, then (17) is equivalent to Stokes’ $t_{ij}$ as follows. For example, if we put $\varepsilon \equiv \mu$, and choose $t_{xx}$ component of Saint-Venant’s tensor form (16):

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi + 2\varepsilon \frac{d\varepsilon}{dx} &= -p + \left( 2 - 2\varepsilon \frac{d\varepsilon}{3 \frac{dx}{dz}} \right) - 2\varepsilon \frac{d\varepsilon}{3 \frac{dy}{dz}} + \frac{d\varepsilon}{dz} \left( \frac{d\varepsilon}{dz} + \frac{d\varepsilon}{dz} \right) = -p + 2\varepsilon \left( \frac{2\varepsilon}{3 \frac{dx}{dz}} \right) - \frac{1}{3} \frac{d\varepsilon}{dy} + \frac{d\varepsilon}{dz} \\
&= -p + 2\varepsilon \left\{ \frac{d\varepsilon}{dx} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{d\varepsilon}{dy} \frac{d\varepsilon}{dz} + \frac{d\varepsilon}{dy} \frac{d\varepsilon}{dz} \right\} = -p + 2\varepsilon \left( \frac{d\varepsilon}{dx} - \delta \right) \Rightarrow P_{1} \text{ of Stokes’ (20)}.
\end{align*}
$$

The other tensor components are likewise demonstrated but we omit the proof here for brevity. Moreover, Saint-Venant proposes that putting $\pi = \varpi - \varepsilon \left( \frac{d\varepsilon}{dx} + \frac{d\varepsilon}{dy} + \frac{d\varepsilon}{dz} \right) = \varpi - \varepsilon \varepsilon_{k,k}$ then $t_{ij} = (\varpi - \varepsilon \varepsilon_{k,k}) \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon (\varepsilon_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ji})$. This form of his tensor plays the key role in common with Navier’s, Cauchy’s and Poisson’s constants.

### 5.5 Stokes’ equations and tensor

In expressing the fluid equations in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
(12) \begin{cases}
\rho \left( \frac{Du}{Dt} - X \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = 0, \\
\rho \left( \frac{Du}{Dt} - Y \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = 0, \\
\rho \left( \frac{Du}{Dt} - Z \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

(18)

Stokes points out the coincidence with Poisson with the correspondence:

$$
\varpi = p + \frac{3}{5}(K + k) \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) \Rightarrow \nabla \varpi = \nabla p + \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \cdot u).
$$

Stokes also makes the comment:

The same equations have also been obtained by Navier in the case of an incompressible fluid (Mém. de l’Académie t. VI. p.389)\footnote{Navier[13]}, but his principles differ from mine still more than do Poisson’s. [21, p.77, footnote]

Stokes says: observing that $\alpha (K + k) \equiv \beta$, this value of $\varpi$ reduces Poisson’s equation (7-9)$_{P}$ (=15) in our renumbering ) to the equation (12)$_{S}$ of this paper. Stokes proposes the Stokes’ approximate equations in [21, p.93]:

$$
\begin{align*}
(13) \begin{cases}
\rho \left( \frac{Du}{Dt} - X \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = 0, \\
\rho \left( \frac{Du}{Dt} - Y \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = 0, \\
\rho \left( \frac{Du}{Dt} - Z \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \mu \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \right) = 0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

(19)

which are identical to (7-9)$_{P}$ (=15), adding that: “these equations are applicable to the determination of the motion of water in pipes and canals, to the calculation of the effect of friction on the motions of tides and waves, and such questions.” [21, p.93]. Here we shall trace his deduction with the Stokes tensor in the form:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
P_{1} & T_{3} & T_{2} \\
T_{3} & P_{2} & T_{1} \\
T_{2} & T_{1} & P_{3}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
p - 2\mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) - \mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) - \mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) - \mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) \\
-\mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) - \mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) - \mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) - \mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) \\
-\mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) - \mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) - \mu(u_{i,j} + \delta) - \mu(u_{i,j} + \delta)
\end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } 3\delta = \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{dv}{dy} + \frac{dw}{dz}
$$

(20)

He remarks: “it may also be very easily provided directly that the value of $3\delta$, the rate of cubical dilatation”. We find that Stokes’ tensor can be described compactly as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{ij} &= \{(p - 2\mu(v_{i,j} + \delta) + \gamma)\delta_{ij} - \gamma, \quad \Leftarrow \text{where,} \quad \gamma = \mu(v_{i,j} + v_{j,i})

&= \{(p - 2\mu(v_{i,j})\delta_{ij} + \gamma(-\delta_{ij} + \delta_{ij} - 1) \quad \Leftarrow \text{where,} \quad 2\mu v_{i,j}\delta_{ij} = \mu(v_{i,j} + v_{j,i})\delta_{ij} = \gamma\delta_{ij},

&= \{(p + 2\mu\gamma)\delta_{ij} - \gamma = (p + 2\mu\gamma)\delta_{ij} - \mu(v_{i,j} + v_{j,i})
\end{align*}
$$

(21)

Therefore, the sign of $-t_{ij}$ depends on the location of the tensor in the equation.\footnote{Schlichting writes Stokes’ tensor with the minus sign as follows: $\sigma_{ij} = -p\delta_{ij} + \mu(\frac{\partial w_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}) - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_{k}}$ [20, p.58, in footnote].} Now, in considering the coincidence of (16) with (19), we see Stokes’ tensor may have originated with Saint-Venant’s tensor. The article by J.J.O’Connor and E.F. Robertson[14] point out this resemblance. Moreover, in 1846, Stokes has reported on the then academic activities within hydromechanics [22], in which he cites Saint-Venant[19]. It reads that, “the
same subject has been considered in a quite different point of view by Barré de Saint-Venant, in a communication to the French Academy in 1843, an abstract of which is contained in the Comtes Rendus." Therefore, Stokes says: "I shall therefore suppose that for water, and by analogy for other incompressible fluids." ([21, p.93]).

At any rate, we get (13) \( S = (19) \) with (20) and the following (22):

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - X \right) + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} &= \rho \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - X \right) + P = 0, \\
\rho \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - Y \right) + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} &= \rho \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - Y \right) + Q = 0, \\
\rho \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - Z \right) + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} &= \rho \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - Z \right) + R = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

6 Conclusion

It is called that the "two-constants theory" is the one now accepted for isotropic, homogeneous, linear elasticity. (Darrigol[4, p.121]). We showed in our report:

- the original mathematical evidence to clarify the genealogy of tensor; of which, 
- tensors and the corresponding equations as developed historically by Navier(1822), Cauchy(1828), Poisson(1829), Saint-Venant(1843) and Stokes(1849) (sic. in order); and
- the appearance of the notion of tensors especially in the work of Saint-Venant. It is our contention that his was an epoch-making contribution, by simplifying and identifying the concordance between these pioneers of MDNS equations, for using only tensor without the microscopically descriptions, and providing context for the contribution of Stokes.
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Table 4: Concurrences and variations of tensors

| 1-1 | Navier elasticity | \( t_{ij} = -\varepsilon (\delta_{ij} u_{kk} + u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) \) | \( \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{We define the coefficient matrix in elasticity: } C_F^e} \) | \( C_F^e : \text{ the coefficient of } \begin{pmatrix} g_{11}^e & g_{12}^e & g_{13}^e \\ g_{12}^e & g_{22}^e & g_{23}^e \\ g_{13}^e & g_{23}^e & g_{33}^e \end{pmatrix} \) |
| 1-2 | Navier fluid | \( t_{ij} = (p - \epsilon u_{kk}) \delta_{ij} - \varepsilon (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) \) | \( \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{We define the coefficient matrix in fluid: } C_F^l} \) | \( C_F^l : \text{ which contains } p \text{ in } (1,1), (2,2), \text{ and } (3,3)\)-element. |
| 2 | Cauchy system (contains both elasticity and fluid) | \( t_{ij} = \lambda \varepsilon_{ikl} \delta_{ij} + \mu (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) \) | \( \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Cauchy's stress tensor}} \) | (46)\(\text{C} = C_F^p \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} L & Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R & M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & 2P \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \) |
| 3-1 | Poisson elasticity | \( t_{ij} = -\frac{\alpha}{3} \varepsilon_{ikl} \delta_{ij} + \mu (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) \) | \( \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Poisson's stress tensor}} \) | (6)\(p)^p \Rightarrow C_F^p = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y & Z \\ 0 & -X & -Y \\ 0 & 0 & -Z \end{pmatrix} \) |
| 3-2 | Poisson fluid | \( t_{ij} = -p \delta_{ij} + \lambda \varepsilon_{ikl} \delta_{ij} + \mu (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) \) | \( \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Poisson's stress tensor}} \) | (7)\(p)^f \Rightarrow C_F^p = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \beta & \beta & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \) |
| 4 | Saint-Venant fluid | \( t_{ij} = \frac{1}{3} (P_{xx} + P_{yy} + P_{zz} - \frac{2}{3} u_{kk}) \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) \) | \( \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Saint-Venant's stress tensor}} \) | non description in [19]. |
| 5 | Stokes fluid | \( t_{ij} = -(p - \frac{2}{3} \mu v_{kk}) \delta_{ij} + \mu (u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}) \) | \( \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial z} \\ \frac{2 \partial u_z}{\partial x} + \frac{2 \partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{2 \partial u_y}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Stokes's stress tensor}} \) | (12)\(s) \Rightarrow C_F^s = \begin{pmatrix} p + \frac{4}{3} \mu & -\mu & -\mu \\ -\mu & p + \frac{4}{3} \mu & -\mu \\ -\mu & -\mu & p + \frac{4}{3} \mu \end{pmatrix} \) |

Remark: \( \alpha, \beta \text{ in } C_F^e \) and \( \lambda, \mu \text{ in } C_F^l \).