

Takahashi's, Fan-Browder's and Schauder-Tychonoff's fixed point theorems in a vector lattice

日本大学工学部 川崎敏治
(Toshiharu Kawasaki, College of Engineering, Nihon University)
玉川大学工学部 豊田昌史
(masashi Toyoda, Faculty of Engineering, Tamagawa University)
日本大学理工学部 渡辺俊一
(Toshikazu Watanabe, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University)

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to show fixed point theorems using the topology introduced by [2]. In particular, we obtain Takahashi's fixed point theorem in the case where the whole space is a vector lattice with unit. Using Takahashi's fixed point theorem in this space, we also obtain Fan-Browder's fixed point theorem and Schauder-Tychonoff's fixed point theorem.

1 Introduction

There are many fixed point theorems in a topological vector space, for instance, Takahashi's fixed point theorem and Fan-Browder's fixed point theorem in a topological vector space, Tychonoff's fixed point theorem in a locally convex space, Schauder's fixed point theorem in a normed space, and so on; see for example [7].

Takahashi [6] proved the following; see also [7].

Takahashi's fixed point theorem. *Let X be a Hausdorff topological vector space, Y a compact subset of X and Z a convex subset of Y . Suppose that f a mapping from Z into 2^Y satisfies*

(0) $f^{-1}(y)$ is convex for any $y \in Y$,

and there exists a mapping g from Z into 2^Y satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $g(z)$ is a subset of $f(z)$ for any $z \in Z$;

(2) $g^{-1}(y)$ is non-empty for any $y \in Y$;

(3) $g(z)$ is an open subset of X for any $z \in Z$.

Then there exists $z_0 \in Z$ such that $z_0 \in f(z_0)$.

In the mentioned above, $f^{-1}(y) = \{x \mid y \in f(x)\}$.

In this paper, we consider fixed point theorems in a vector lattice. As known well every topological vector space has a linear topology. On the other hand, although every vector lattice does not have a topology, it has two lattice operators, which are the supremum \vee and the infimum \wedge , and also an order is introduced from these operators; see also [5, 8] about vector lattices. There are some methods how to introduce a topology to a vector lattice. One method is to assume that the vector lattice has a linear topology [1]. On the other hand, there is another method to make up a topology in a vector lattice, for instance, in [2] one method is introduced in the case of the vector lattice with unit.

The purpose of this paper is to show fixed point theorems using the topology introduced by [2]. In particular, we obtain Takahashi's fixed point theorem in the case where X is a vector lattice with unit. Using Takahashi's fixed point theorem in this space, we also obtain Fan-Browder's fixed point theorem and Schauder-Tychonoff's fixed point theorem.

2 Topology in a vector lattice

In this section we introduce a topology in a vector lattice introduced by [2].

Let X be a vector lattice. $e \in X$ is said to be a unit if $e \wedge x > 0$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$. Let \mathcal{K}_X be the class of units of X . In the case where X is the set of real numbers \mathbf{R} , $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the set of positive real numbers. Let X be a vector lattice with unit and let Y be a subset of X . Y is said to be open if for any $x \in Y$ and for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $[x - \varepsilon e, x + \varepsilon e] \subset Y$. Let \mathcal{O}_X be the class of open subsets of X . Y is closed if $Y^C \in \mathcal{O}_X$. For $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ and for an interval $[a, b]$ we consider the following subset

$$[a, b]^e = \{x \mid \text{there exists some } \varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}} \text{ such that } x - a \geq \varepsilon e \text{ and } b - x \geq \varepsilon e\}.$$

By the definition of $[a, b]^e$ it is easy to see that $[a, b]^e \subset [a, b]$. A mapping from $X \times \mathcal{K}_X$ into $(0, \infty)$ is said to be a gauge. Let Δ_X be the class of gauges in X . For $x \in X$ and $\delta \in \Delta_X$, $O(x, \delta)$ is defined by

$$O(x, \delta) = \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{K}_X} [x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e]^e.$$

$O(x, \delta)$ is said to be a δ -neighborhood of x . Suppose that for any $x \in X$ and for any $\delta \in \Delta_X$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $x \in U \subset O(x, \delta)$.

Lemma 1. *Let X be a vector lattice with unit and Y a subset of X . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) Y is an open subset of X .
- (2) There exists $\delta \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(x, \delta)$ is a subset of Y for any $x \in Y$.
- (3) For any $x \in Y$ there exists $\delta \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(x, \delta)$ is a subset of Y .

Proof. We first show that (1) implies (2). Suppose that $Y \in \mathcal{O}_X$. Let $x \in Y$ and $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$. Since $Y \in \mathcal{O}_X$, there exists a positive number $\delta(x, e)$ such that $[x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e] \subset Y$. Then $\delta \in \Delta_X$. Let $y \in O(x, \delta)$ arbitrary. Then there exists $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ such that $y \in [x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e]^e$. Then it follows that

$$y \in [x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e]^e \subset [x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e] \subset Y.$$

Therefore $O(x, \delta) \subset Y$. It is obvious that (2) implies (3). So next we show that (3) implies (1). Suppose that for any $x \in Y$ there exists $\delta \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(x, \delta) \subset Y$. For any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ let $\delta < \delta(x, e)$. Then $[x - \delta e, x + \delta e] \subset [x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e]^e$. By the definition of $O(x, \delta)$, we have

$$[x - \delta e, x + \delta e] \subset [x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e]^e \subset O(x, \delta) \subset Y.$$

Therefore $Y \in \mathcal{O}_X$. □

For a subset Y of X we denote by $cl(Y)$ and $int(Y)$, the closure and the interior of Y , respectively. Let X and Y be vector lattices with unit, $x_0 \in Z \subset X$ and f a mapping from Z into Y . f is said to be continuous in the sense of topology at x_0 if for any $V \in \mathcal{O}_Y$ with $f(x_0) \in V$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{O}_X$ with $x_0 \in U$ such that $f(U \cap Z) \subset V$.

3 Takahashi's and Fan-Browder's fixed point theorems

In this section we show Takahashi's fixed point theorem and Fan-Browder's fixed point theorem using the topology introduced in Section 2.

Let X be a vector lattice with unit. X is said to be Hausdorff if for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $x_1 \neq x_2$ there exists $O_1, O_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $x_1 \in O_1, x_2 \in O_2$ and $O_1 \cap O_2 = \emptyset$. A subset Y of X is said to be compact if for any open covering of Y there exists a finite sub-covering. A subset Y of X is said to be normal if for any closed subsets F_1 and F_2 with $F_1 \cap F_2 \cap Y = \emptyset$ there exists $O_1, O_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X$ such that $F_1 \subset O_1, F_2 \subset O_2$ and $O_1 \cap O_2 \cap Y = \emptyset$. Moreover the following hold.

- (1) Let X be a Hausdorff vector lattice with unit and Y a compact subset of X . Then Y is normal.
- (2) Let X be a vector lattice with unit and Y a normal and closed subset of X . If $Y \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n O_i$, where $O_i \in \mathcal{O}_X$, then there exists a continuous function β_i in the sense of topology from Y into $[0, 1]$ for each i such that $\beta_i(y) = 0$ for any $y \in O_i^c \cap Y$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(y) = 1$.

A vector lattice is said to be Archimedean if it holds that $x = 0$ whenever there exists $y \in X$ with $y \geq 0$ such that $0 \leq rx \leq y$ for any $r \in \mathcal{K}_R$. A mapping N from $X \times \mathcal{K}_X$ to $[0, \infty]$ is defined by $N(x, e) = \sup\{r \mid r|x \leq e\}$. Moreover we consider the following condition:

- (UA) For any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ and for any $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ which is a linearly independent subset of X there exists $M \in \mathcal{K}_R$ such that $N(\sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i, e) \leq M$ for any $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbf{R}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i^2 = 1$.

Lemma 2. Every Archimedean vector lattice satisfies the condition (UA).

Proof. By [8, Theorem IV.11.1] for any Archimedean vector lattice X there exists the completion \hat{X} of X . By [8, Theorem V.4.2] for the complete vector lattice \hat{X} there exists an extremally disconnected compact set Ω and a vector sublattice Y of $C_\infty(\Omega)$ such that \hat{X} is isomorphic to Y , where

$$C_\infty(\Omega) = \left\{ f \mid \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is continuous from } \Omega \text{ into } [-\infty, \infty] \text{ and} \\ f^{-1}(\{\pm\infty\}) \text{ is nowhere dense} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Therefore it may be assumed that X is a vector sublattice of $C_\infty(\Omega)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(\sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i, e\right) &= \sup \left\{ r \mid r \left| \sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i(\omega) \right| \leq e(\omega) \text{ for any } \omega \in \Omega \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \frac{e(\omega)}{\left| \sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i(\omega) \right|} \mid \omega \in \Omega \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S = \{(k_1, \dots, k_m) \mid \sum_{i=1}^m k_i^2 = 1\}$ and E_ω a mapping from S into $[0, \infty]$ defined by

$$E_\omega(k_1, \dots, k_m) = \frac{e(\omega)}{\left| \sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i(\omega) \right|}.$$

Then for any $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in S$ there exists $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $e(\omega) \neq \infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i(\omega) \neq 0$. Actually assume that there exists $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in S$ such that $e(\omega) = \infty$ or $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i(\omega) = 0$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$. Let $\Omega' = \{\omega \mid \sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i(\omega) \neq 0\}$. Since each x_i is continuous, Ω' is open. On the other hand, since $\Omega' \subset \{\omega \mid e(\omega) = \infty\}$, Ω' is nowhere dense. It is a contradiction. Therefore for any $(k_1, \dots, k_m) \in S$ there exists $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $e(\omega) \neq \infty$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i(\omega) \neq 0$. Let

$$T_\omega = \left\{ (k_1, \dots, k_m) \mid (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in S, \sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i(\omega) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Then $\bigcup_{\omega \in \{\omega | e(\omega) \neq \infty\}} T_\omega = S$. Since S is compact and each T_ω is open, there exists $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p \in \{\omega | e(\omega) \neq \infty\}$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^p T_{\omega_j} = S$. Let

$$E(k_1, \dots, k_m) = \min\{E_{\omega_j}(k_1, \dots, k_m) | j = 1, \dots, p\}.$$

Then E is continuous on S . Let $M = \max\{E(k_1, \dots, k_m) | (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in S\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(\sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i, e\right) &= \inf\left\{\frac{e(\omega)}{|\sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i(\omega)|} \mid \omega \in \Omega\right\} \\ &\leq E(k_1, \dots, k_m) \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore X satisfies the condition (UA). □

To prove our main result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let X be an Archimedean vector lattice with unit and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ a subset of X . Then $\text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is homeomorphic to a compact and convex subset of \mathbf{R}^n .*

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ is a linearly independent subset of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $x_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{j,i} x_i$ for $j = m + 1, \dots, n$. Let $X_0 = \text{Span}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^m$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and f a mapping from X_0 into \mathbf{R}^m defined by $f(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i e_i$. Then f is bijective clearly.

Since by Lemma 2 X satisfies the condition (UA), for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $|k_i| \leq M$ for any i if $|\sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i| \leq e$. Actually it is shown as follows. It may be assumed that $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i^2 \neq 0$. Let $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$. Since X satisfies the condition (UA), there exists $M \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that $N\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m k_i^2}} x_i, e\right) \leq M$. Since

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m k_i^2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m k_i^2}} x_i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^m k_i x_i \right| \leq e,$$

by the definition of N

$$|k_i| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m k_i^2} \leq N\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m k_i^2}} x_i, e\right) \leq M$$

for any i . Take $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ arbitrary and let $V_\varepsilon = (c_1 - \varepsilon, c_1 + \varepsilon) \times \dots \times (c_m - \varepsilon, c_m + \varepsilon)$. Take $\delta \in \Delta_X$ satisfying $\delta(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i, e) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^m (c_i + h_i) x_i \in O(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i, \delta)$, then $|h_i| < \varepsilon$ for any i . Therefore

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (c_i + h_i) x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m (c_i + h_i) e_i \in V_\varepsilon.$$

Let $U = \text{int}(O(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i, \delta))$. Then $f(U \cap X_0) \subset V_\varepsilon$ proving that f is continuous in the sense of topology.

Conversely f^{-1} is continuous in the sense of topology. In fact, take $U \in \mathcal{O}_X$ arbitrary. By Lemma 1 there exists $\delta \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i, \delta) \subset U$. Take $e \geq \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{R}}$ with $\varepsilon \leq \delta(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i, e)$. If $\sum_{i=1}^m (c_i + h_i) e_i \in V_\varepsilon$, then $|\sum_{i=1}^m h_i x_i| < \varepsilon e$. Therefore

$$f^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m (c_i + h_i) e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m (c_i + h_i) x_i \in O\left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i, \delta\right) \cap X_0 \subset U \cap X_0$$

proving that f^{-1} is continuous in the sense of topology.

Therefore X_0 is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^m \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and moreover $\text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is homeomorphic to $\text{co}\{e_1, \dots, e_m, \sum_{i=1}^m a_{m+1,i}e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m a_{n,i}e_i\}$. \square

By the above lemma we can show the following Takahashi's fixed point theorem in a vector lattice.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Hausdorff Archimedean vector lattice with unit, Y a compact subset of X and Z a convex subset of Y . Suppose that a mapping f from Z into 2^Y satisfies*

(0) $f^{-1}(y)$ is convex for any $y \in Y$,

and there exists a mapping g from Z into 2^Y satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $g(z)$ is a subset of $f(z)$ for any $z \in Z$;

(2) $g^{-1}(y)$ is non-empty for any $y \in Y$;

(3) $g(z)$ is an open subset of X for any $z \in Z$.

Then there exists $z_0 \in Z$ such that $z_0 \in f(z_0)$.

Proof. By (2) it holds that $Y \subset \bigcup_{z \in Z} g(z)$. By (3) it holds that $g(z) \in \mathcal{O}_X$. Since Y is compact, there exists $z_1, \dots, z_n \in Z$ such that $Y \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n g(z_i)$. Since Y is normal, there exists a continuous function β_i in the sense of topology from Y into $[0, 1]$ satisfying $\beta_i(y) = 0$ for any $y \in g(z_i)^c$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(y) = 1$. Let p be a mapping from Y into Z defined by $p(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(y)z_i$. Then p is continuous in the sense of topology. Since by (1) it holds that $g^{-1}(y) \subset f^{-1}(y)$, by (0) it holds that $p(y) \in f^{-1}(y)$. Let $Z_0 = \text{co}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$. By Lemma 3 Z_0 is homeomorphic to a compact and convex subset K of \mathbf{R}^n . Put a mapping h from Z_0 into K as this homeomorphism. Then $h \circ p \circ h^{-1}$ is continuous in the sense of topology from K into K . Therefore by Brouwer's fixed point theorem there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that $h(p(h^{-1}(x_0))) = x_0$. Let $z_0 = h^{-1}(x_0)$. Then $p(z_0) = z_0$. Since $p(z_0) \in f^{-1}(z_0)$, it holds that $z_0 \in f^{-1}(z_0)$ proving that $z_0 \in f(z_0)$. \square

In the above theorem, putting $Z = Y$ and $g = f$, the following theorem is obtained. It is Fan-Browder's fixed point theorem in a vector lattice.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a Hausdorff Archimedean vector lattice with unit and Y a compact convex subset of X . Suppose that a mapping f from Y into 2^Y satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) $f^{-1}(y)$ is non-empty and convex for any $y \in Y$;

(2) $f(y)$ is an open subset of X for any $y \in Y$.

Then there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $y_0 \in f(y_0)$.

In the above theorem, changing from f to f^{-1} , the following theorem is obtained; see [7].

Theorem 3. *Let X be a Hausdorff Archimedean vector lattice with unit and Y a compact convex subset of X . Suppose that a mapping f from Y into 2^Y satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) $f^{-1}(y)$ is an open subset of X for any $y \in Y$;

(2) $f(y)$ is non-empty and convex for any $y \in Y$.

Then there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $y_0 \in f(y_0)$.

Moreover the following holds. For the sake of completeness, we show its proof.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a Hausdorff Archimedean vector lattice with unit, Y a compact convex subset of X and $A \subset Y \times Y$. Suppose that A satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) $\{x \mid (x, y) \in A\}$ is closed for any $y \in Y$;
- (2) $\{y \mid (x, y) \notin A\}$ is convex for any $x \in Y$;
- (3) $(x, x) \in A$ for any $x \in Y$.

Then there exists $x_0 \in Y$ such that $\{x_0\} \times Y \subset A$.

Proof. Assume that $\{x\} \times Y \not\subset A$ for any $x \in Y$. Then there exists $y \in Y$ such that $(x, y) \notin A$. Let $f(x) = \{y \mid (x, y) \notin A\}$. Then $f(x)$ is non-empty and by (2) it is convex. Moreover by (1) $f^{-1}(y) = \{x \mid (x, y) \notin A\} \in \mathcal{O}_X$. By Theorem 3 there exists $x_0 \in Y$ such that $x_0 \in f(x_0)$, that is, $(x_0, x_0) \notin A$. It is a contradiction. Therefore there exists $x_0 \in Y$ such that $\{x_0\} \times Y \subset A$. \square

4 Schauder-Tychonoff's fixed point theorem

Let X be a vector lattice with unit and Y a vector lattice. Let $\mathcal{U}_Y^s(\mathcal{K}_X, \geq)$ be the class of $\{v_e \mid e \in \mathcal{K}_X\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (U1) $v_e \in Y$ with $v_e > 0$;
- (U2)^d $v_{e_1} \geq v_{e_2}$ if $e_1 \geq e_2$;
- (U3)^s For any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ there exists $\theta(e) \in \mathcal{K}_\mathbf{R}$ such that $v_{\theta(e)e} \leq \frac{1}{2}v_e$.

Let $x_0 \in Z \subset X$ and f a mapping from Z into Y . f is said to be continuous at x_0 if there exists $\{v_e\} \in \mathcal{U}_Y^s(\mathcal{K}_X, \geq)$ such that for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ there exists $\delta \in \mathcal{K}_\mathbf{R}$ such that for any $x \in Z$ if $|x - x_0| \leq \delta e$, then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq v_e$. In particular if Y has an unit, then we consider often $\{v_e\} \in \mathcal{U}_Y^s(\mathcal{K}_X, \geq)$ satisfying the following condition instead of (U1):

- (U1)^u $v_e \in \mathcal{K}_Y$.

Example 1. We consider a sufficient condition such that there exists $\{v_e\} \in \mathcal{U}_Y^s(\mathcal{K}_X, \geq)$ satisfying the condition (U1)^u. Let X be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a positive homomorphism f from X into \mathbf{R} , that is, f satisfies the following conditions:

- (H1) $f(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$ and for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$;
- (H2) $f(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in X$ with $x \geq 0$.

Indeed it is shown as follows. By [8, Theorem IV.11.1] for any Archimedean vector lattice X there exists the completion \hat{X} of X . By [8, Theorem V.4.2] for the complete vector lattice \hat{X} there exists an extremally disconnected compact set Ω and a vector sublattice Y of $C_\infty(\Omega)$ such that \hat{X} is isomorphic to Y , where

$$C_\infty(\Omega) = \left\{ f \mid \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is continuous from } \Omega \text{ into } [-\infty, \infty] \text{ and} \\ f^{-1}(\{\pm\infty\}) \text{ is nowhere dense} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Therefore it may be assumed that X is a vector sublattice of $C_\infty(\Omega)$. Take $\omega \in \Omega$ arbitrary and let $f(x) = x(\omega)$ for any $x \in X$. Then f satisfies the conditions (H1) and (H2). Suppose that X satisfies that there exists a homomorphism f from X into \mathbf{R} satisfying the following condition instead of (H2):

- (H2)^s $f(x) > 0$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$.

Then for any $e_Y \in \mathcal{K}_Y$ $\{f(e)e_Y\}$ satisfies the conditions (U1)^u(U2)^d(U3)^s clearly. Therefore if X is Archimedean and there exists a homomorphism from X into \mathbf{R} satisfying the condition (H2)^s, then it may be assumed that every $\{v_e\} \in \mathcal{U}_Y^s(\mathcal{K}_X, \geq)$ satisfies the condition (U1)^u.

Let X and Y be vector lattices with unit, $Z \subset X$ and f a mapping from Z into Y . Suppose that there exists $P \subset Y$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (P1) P is open and convex;
- (P2) If $x \in P$ and $x \leq y$, then $y \in P$;
- (P3) $0 \notin P$;
- (P4) $\{x \mid x > 0\} \subset P$.

Let \mathcal{P}_Y be the class of the above P 's. f is said to be upper semi-continuous with respect to $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$ if $\{x \mid y - f(x) \in P\} \in \mathcal{O}_X \cap Z$ for any $y \in Y$. f is said to be lower semi-continuous with respect to $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$ if $\{x \mid f(x) - y \in P\} \in \mathcal{O}_X \cap Z$ for any $y \in Y$. f is said to be semi-continuous with respect to $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$ if it is upper and lower semi-continuous with respect to $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$.

Example 2. We consider of a sufficient condition to satisfy $\mathcal{P}_X \neq \emptyset$. Let X be an Archimedean vector lattice with unit. Suppose that there exists a homomorphism f from X into \mathbf{R} satisfying the condition (H2)^s. Let $0 < \beta < 1$ and $\delta(x, e) = \frac{\beta f(x)}{f(e)}$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$ and for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$. Put $P = \bigcup_{x \in X \text{ with } x > 0} \text{int}(O(x, \delta))$. Then P is open and $\{x \mid x > 0\} \subset P$.

Note that by the condition (H2)^s for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $x_1, x_2 > 0$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$, $\frac{x_1}{f(x_1)}$ and $\frac{x_2}{f(x_2)}$ are incomparable mutually. Therefore $x - \delta(x, e)e \not\leq 0$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$ and for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$. Assume that $0 \in P$. Then there exists $x \in X$ with $x > 0$ and $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ such that $0 \in [x - \delta(x, e)e, x + \delta(x, e)e]^e$. It is a contradiction. Therefore $0 \notin P$.

Note that $x \in \text{int}(A)$ if and only if there exists $\delta_x \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(x, \delta_x) \subset A$. Let $x \in P$ and $x \leq y$. Then there exists $z \in X$ with $z > 0$ and $\delta_x \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(x, \delta_x) \subset O(z, \delta)$. Let $\delta_y(u, e) = \delta_x(u - y + x, e)$. Since $\delta(x_2, e) \leq \delta(x_1 + x_2, e)$ for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $x_1, x_2 > 0$, it holds that $x_1 + O(x_2, \delta) \subset O(x_1 + x_2, \delta)$. Therefore

$$O(y, \delta_y) = y - x + O(x, \delta_x) \subset y - x + O(z, \delta) \subset O(z + y - x, \delta),$$

that is, $y \in \text{int}(O(z + y - x, \delta)) \subset P$.

Let $x_0, x_1 \in P$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then for $i = 0, 1$ there exists $y_i \in X$ with $y_i > 0$ and $\delta_i \in \Delta_X$ such that $O(x_i, \delta_i) \subset O(y_i, \delta)$. Let $\delta_\alpha(z, e) = (1 - \alpha)\delta_0(x_0, e) + \alpha\delta_1(x_1, e)$. Take $z \in O((1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1, \delta_\alpha)$ arbitrary. Then there exists $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} z &\in [(1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1 - \delta_\alpha((1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1, e)e, \\ &\quad (1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1 + \delta_\alpha((1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1, e)e]^e \\ &= (1 - \alpha)[x_0 - \delta_0(x_0, e)e, x_0 + \delta_0(x_0, e)e]^e + \alpha[x_1 - \delta_1(x_1, e)e, x_1 + \delta_1(x_1, e)e]^e. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta(\alpha x, e) = \alpha\delta(x, e)$ for any $x \in X$ with $x > 0$ and for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_\mathbf{R}$, it holds that $O(\alpha x, \delta) = \alpha O(x, \delta)$. Since

$$\delta(z_0, e_0)e_0 + \delta(z_1, e_1)e_1 = \delta \left(z_0 + z_1, \frac{f(z_0)}{f(e_0)}e_0 + \frac{f(z_1)}{f(e_1)}e_1 \right) \left(\frac{f(z_0)}{f(e_0)}e_0 + \frac{f(z_1)}{f(e_1)}e_1 \right)$$

for any $z_0, z_1 \in X$ with $z_0, z_1 > 0$, it holds that $O(z_0, \delta) + O(z_1, \delta) \subset O(z_0 + z_1, \delta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z &\in (1 - \alpha)O(x_0, \delta_0) + \alpha O(x_1, \delta_1) \\ &\subset (1 - \alpha)O(y_0, \delta) + \alpha O(y_1, \delta) = O((1 - \alpha)y_0, \delta) + O(\alpha y_1, \delta) \\ &\subset O((1 - \alpha)y_0 + \alpha y_1, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $O((1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1, \delta_\alpha) \subset O((1 - \alpha)y_0 + \alpha y_1, \delta)$, that is, $(1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha x_1 \in \text{int}(O((1 - \alpha)y_0 + \alpha y_1, \delta)) \subset P$.

Example 3. We consider of another simple sufficient condition to satisfy $\mathcal{P}_X \neq \emptyset$. Let X be a Hilbert lattice with unit, that is, X has an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and for any $x, y \in X$ if $|x| \leq |y|$, then $\langle x, x \rangle \leq \langle y, y \rangle$. Then for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ $P = \{x \mid \langle x, e \rangle > 0\}$ satisfies the conditions (P1)–(P4). Actually it is possible to show as follows.

It is clear that P is convex and $0 \notin P$.

Note that $\langle x, y \rangle \geq 0$ if $x, y \geq 0$. Actually since $|x - y| \leq x + y$ and $\langle |x - y|, |x - y| \rangle = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle$, it holds that $\langle x - y, x - y \rangle \leq \langle x + y, x + y \rangle$. Therefore it holds that $\langle x, y \rangle \geq 0$. Let $x \in P$ and $x \leq y$. Then $\langle y, e \rangle \geq \langle x, e \rangle > 0$ proving that $y \in P$.

Assume that there exists $x \in X$ with $x > 0$ such that $\langle x, e \rangle = 0$. Then since $\langle x + e, x + e \rangle = \langle x - e, x - e \rangle = \langle |x - e|, |x - e| \rangle$,

$$0 = \langle x + e + |x - e|, x + e - |x - e| \rangle = 4\langle x \vee e, x \wedge e \rangle \geq 4\langle x \wedge e, x \wedge e \rangle > 0.$$

It is a contradiction. Therefore $\{x \mid x > 0\} \subset P$.

For $x \in P$ and $e_1 \in \mathcal{K}_X$ putting $\delta < \frac{\langle x, e \rangle}{\langle e_1, e \rangle}$, then $\langle x - \delta e_1, e \rangle > 0$. Therefore P is open.

Lemma 4. Let X be an Archimedean vector lattice with unit, Y a vector lattice with unit, $Z \subset X$ and f a mapping from Z into Y . Suppose that there exists a homomorphism from X into \mathbf{R} satisfying the condition (H2)^s and that $\mathcal{P}_Y \neq \emptyset$. Then f is semi-continuous with respect to any $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$ if it is continuous at any $x \in Z$.

Proof. Take $y \in Y$ and $x_0 \in \{x \mid y - f(x) \in P\} \cap Z$ arbitrary. By the assumption there exists $\{v_e\} \in \mathcal{U}_Z^s(\mathcal{K}_X, \geq)$ such that for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ there exists $\delta(e) \in \mathcal{K}_\mathbf{R}$ such that for any $x \in Z$ if $|x - x_0| \leq \delta(e)e$, then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq v_e$. By the assumption it may be assumed that $v_e \in \mathcal{K}_Y$ for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$. Since P is open, there exists a natural number $n(e)$ such that $[y - f(x_0) - 2^{-n(e)}v_e, y - f(x_0) + 2^{-n(e)}v_e] \subset P$. If $|x - x_0| \leq \delta(\theta(e, n(e))e)\theta(e, n(e))e$, where $\theta(e, n) = \underbrace{\theta(\theta(\dots\theta(\theta(e)e)\dots e))e}_n$, then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq v_{\theta(e, n(e))e} \leq 2^{-n(e)}v_e$. Therefore $y -$

$f(x) \in [y - f(x_0) - 2^{-n(e)}v_e, y - f(x_0) + 2^{-n(e)}v_e] \subset P$, that is, $[x_0 - \delta(\theta(e, n(e))e)\theta(e, n(e))e, x_0 + \delta(\theta(e, n(e))e)\theta(e, n(e))e] \subset \{x \mid y - f(x) \in P\} \cap Z$ proving that $\{x \mid y - f(x) \in P\} \in \mathcal{O}_X \cap Z$. Therefore f is upper semi-continuous with respect to P . Similarly it can be proved that f is lower semi-continuous with respect to P . \square

Lemma 5. Let X be an Archimedean vector lattice with unit, Y a vector lattice with unit, $x_0 \in Z \subset X$ and f a mapping from Z into Y . Suppose that there exists a homomorphism from X into \mathbf{R} satisfying the condition (H2)^s. Then f is continuous at x_0 in the sense of topology if it is continuous at x_0 .

Proof. By the assumption there exists $\{v_e\} \in \mathcal{U}_Y^s(\mathcal{K}_X, \geq)$ such that for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ there exists $\delta(e) \in \mathcal{K}_\mathbf{R}$ such that for any $x \in Z$ if $|x - x_0| \leq \delta(e)e$, then $|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq v_e$. By the assumption it may be assumed that $v_e \in \mathcal{K}_Y$ for any $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$. Let δ_Y be a gauge in Y . Take a natural number $n(e)$ such that $2^{-n(e)} < \delta_Y(f(x_0), v_e)$ and put $\delta_X(x, e) = \theta(e, n(e))\delta(\theta(e, n(e))e)$, where $\theta(e, n) = \underbrace{\theta(\theta(\dots\theta(\theta(e)e)\dots e))e}_n$. Let $x \in O(x_0, \delta_X)$. There exists $e \in \mathcal{K}_X$ such that

$x \in [x_0 - \delta_X(x_0, e)e, x_0 + \delta_X(x_0, e)e]^e$. Then

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq v_{\theta(e, n(e))e} \leq 2^{-n(e)}v_e < \delta_Y(f(x_0), v_e)v_e.$$

Therefore

$$f(x) \in [f(x_0) - \delta_Y(f(x_0), v_e)v_e, f(x_0) + \delta_Y(f(x_0), v_e)v_e]^{v_e} \subset O(f(x_0), \delta_Y)$$

proving that f is continuous at x_0 in the sense of topology. \square

Theorem 5. Let X be a Hausdorff Archimedean vector lattice with unit, Y a vector lattice with unit and Z a compact convex subset of X . Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_Y \neq \emptyset$ and that a mapping f from $Z \times Z$ into Y satisfies that there exists $P \in \mathcal{P}_Y$ such that

- (1) $f(\cdot, x_2)$ is upper semi-continuous with respect to P for any $x_2 \in Z$;
- (2) $f(x_1, \cdot)$ is convex for any $x_1 \in Z$;
- (3) There exists $c \in Y$ such that $c - f(x, x) \notin P$ for any $x \in Z$.

Then there exists $x_0 \in Z$ such that $c - f(x_0, x) \notin P$ for any $x \in Z$.

Proof. Let $A = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid c - f(x_1, x_2) \notin P\}$. By (1) $\{x_1 \mid (x_1, x_2) \in A\}$ is closed for any $x_2 \in Z$. By (3) $(x, x) \in A$ for any $x \in Z$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in \{x_2 \mid (x_1, x_2) \notin A\}$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. By (2) and convexity of P

$$c - f(x_1, (1 - \alpha)z_1 + \alpha z_2) \geq (1 - \alpha)(c - f(x_1, z_1)) + \alpha(c - f(x_1, z_2)) \in P.$$

By (P2) $(1 - \alpha)z_1 + \alpha z_2 \in \{x_2 \mid (x_1, x_2) \notin A\}$, that is, $\{x_2 \mid (x_1, x_2) \notin A\}$ is convex for any $x_1 \in Z$. By Theorem 4 there exists $x_0 \in Z$ such that $\{x_0\} \times Z \subset A$. Therefore $c - f(x_0, x) \notin P$ for any $x \in Z$. \square

Theorem 6. Let X be a Hausdorff Archimedean vector lattice with unit and Z a compact convex subset of X . Suppose that there exists a homomorphism from X into \mathbf{R} satisfying the condition (H2)^s and that a mapping f from Z into X is continuous. Then it holds that (1) or (2).

- (1) There exists $x_0 \in Z$ such that $f(x_0) = x_0$.
- (2) There exists $x_0 \in Z$ such that $f(x_0) \neq x_0$ and $|x_0 - f(x_0)| - |x - f(x_0)| \notin P$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_X$ and for any $x \in Z$.

Proof. Suppose that (1) is not satisfied. Then $f(x) \neq x$ for any $x \in Z$. Take $g(x_1, x_2) = |x_2 - f(x_1)| - |x_1 - f(x_1)|$. Then $g(\cdot, x_2)$ is continuous for any $x_2 \in Z$, $g(x_1, \cdot)$ is convex for any $x_1 \in Z$ and by (P3) $-g(x, x) = 0 \notin P$. By Lemma 4 and Theorem 5 there exists $x_0 \in Z$ such that $-g(x_0, x) = |x_0 - f(x_0)| - |x - f(x_0)| \notin P$ for any $x \in Z$. \square

Theorem 7. Let X be a Hausdorff Archimedean vector lattice with unit and Z a compact convex subset of X . Suppose that there exists a homomorphism from X into \mathbf{R} satisfying the condition (H2)^s and that a mapping f from Z into X is continuous. Then there exists $x_0 \in Z$ such that $f(x_0) = x_0$.

Proof. Assume that (2) in Theorem 6 holds. Then there exists $x_0 \in Z$ such that $f(x_0) \neq x_0$ and $|x_0 - f(x_0)| - |x - f(x_0)| \notin P$ for any $x \in Z$. Since $f(x_0) \neq x_0$, by (P4) $|x_0 - f(x_0)| \in P$. Take $x = f(x_0)$. Then $|x_0 - f(x_0)| \notin P$. It is a contradiction. Therefore there exists $x_0 \in Z$ such that $f(x_0) = x_0$. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Professor Wataru Takahashi for all his suggestions and comments. Moreover, the authors would like to express their hearty thanks to Professor Tetsuo Kobayashi and Professor Ichiro Suzuki for many valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] R. Cristescu, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1977. Zbl 0345.46001
- [2] T. Kawasaki, *Denjoy integral and Henstock-Kurzeil integral in vector lattices, I, II*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **59** (2009), 381–399, 401–417.
- [3] T. Kawasaki, M. Toyoda, and T. Watanabe, *Fixed point theorem for set-valued mapping in a Riesz space*, *Memoirs of the Faculty of Engineering, Tamagawa University* **44** (2009), 81–85 (in Japanese).

- [4] ———, *Takahashi's and Fan-Browder's fixed point theorems in a vector lattice*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., to appear.
- [5] W. A. J. Luxemburg and A. C. Zaanen, *Riesz Spaces*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971. Zbl 0231.46014
- [6] W. Takahashi, *Fixed point, minimax, and Hahn-Banach theorems*, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. **45** (1986), 419–427. Zbl 0636.47048
- [7] ———, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis. Fixed Points Theory and its Applications*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000. Zbl 0997.47002
- [8] B. Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the Theory of Partially Ordered Spaces*, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1967. Zbl 0186.44601