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Extending local holomorphic conjugacies

穠生啓行 (Hiroyuki Inou)*

Abstract

Polynomial-like mappings play an important role in studying complex
dynamics in one variable. It has been used to study local connectivity of Ju-
lia sets and the Mandelbrot set, rigidity of polynomials, self-similarity of the
Mandelbrot set, etc. There is an important equivalence relation called hybrid
equivalence for polynomial-like mappings. Here, we consider a stronger
equivalence relation, i.e., holomorphic equivalence and prove that usually
polynomial-like restrictions of rational maps or entire functions are not holo-
morphically equivalent.

1 Introduction and statement of results

Definition. A map $f : U' \rightarrow U$ is called a polynomial-like mapping if

1. $f$ is proper and holomorphic;

2. $U' \subseteq U$ are topological disks in $\mathbb{C}$.

We always assume the degree of any polynomial-like mapping is greater than one.

The filled Julia set of a polynomial-like mapping $f : U' \rightarrow U$ is the set

$$K(f) = \{ z \in U' ; \ f^n(z) \in U' \ (\forall n > 0) \}.$$ 

The simplest example of polynomial-like mappings is a restriction of any poly-
nomial; For a polynomial $P$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Let $U = \{|z| < R\}$ for sufficiently
large $R > 0$ and $U' = P^{-1}(U)$. Then $P : U' \rightarrow U$ is a polynomial-like mappings
of degree $d$. 
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A fundamental theorem on polynomial-like mappings is the straightening theorem by Douady and Hubbard [DH] as follows. We say two polynomial-like mappings \( f : U' \to U \) and \( g : V' \to V \) of the same degree are hybrid equivalent if there is a quasiconformal conjugacy \( \varphi : U'' \to V'' \) between some neighborhoods \( U'' \) and \( V'' \) of \( K(f) \) and \( K(g) \) respectively such that \( \partial \varphi \equiv 0 \) almost everywhere in \( K(f) \).

**Theorem** (Straightening theorem). Any hybrid equivalence class of polynomial-like mappings of degree \( d \geq 2 \) contains a polynomial of degree \( d \).

More precisely, for any polynomial-like mapping \( f : U' \to U \) of degree \( d \), there exists a polynomial \( P \) of degree \( d \) hybrid equivalent to \( f \).

Here, we consider stronger equivalence relation, that is holomorphic equivalence. Namely, we require the conjugacy \( \varphi \) above is holomorphic. Then we can distinguish most of rational maps or entire maps having polynomial-like restrictions.

**Main Theorem** ([II]). For \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( f_i \) be a rational map or an entire map. Assume that there exist polynomial-like restrictions \( f_1 : U'_1 \to U_1 \) and \( f_2 : U'_2 \to U_2 \) of degree not less than two which are analytically conjugate. Then there exist rational or entire maps \( g, \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) such that

\[
f_1 \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_1 \circ g, \quad f_2 \circ \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \circ g \tag{1}
\]

and \( g \) has a polynomial-like restriction \( g : V' \to V \) analytically conjugate to \( f_1 : U'_1 \to U_1 \) by \( \varphi_1 \).

Furthermore,

- if both of the degrees \( d_1 = \deg f_1 \) and \( d_2 = \deg f_2 \) are finite, then \( g, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \) are also of finite degrees. In particular, we have \( d_1 = d_2 \).

- If \( f_1 \) is a polynomial and \( f_2 \) is a rational map, then \( f_2 \) is a polynomial by taking a Möbius conjugate and we can take \( g, \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) to be polynomials.

We say a map \( g \) is semiconjugate to another map \( f \) if there exists a (not necessarily injective) map \( \varphi \) such that \( \varphi \circ g = f \circ \varphi \). The conclusion of the theorem says that there exists a (rational or entire) map \( g \) which is globally semiconjugate to both \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \).

It seems such a (non-trivial) global semiconjugacy is rare. For example, if \( f, g \) and \( \varphi \) have finite degrees, then by taking the degree of the equation \( \varphi \circ g = f \circ \varphi \), we have \( \deg f = \deg g \). Therefore, we have the following:
Corollary 1. If the degrees of rational maps \( f_1, f_2 \) are different, then they do not have polynomial-like restrictions \( f_i : U'_i \to U_i \) \((i = 1, 2)\) which are holomorphically conjugate.

This corollary is one of the essential steps in proving discontinuity of straightening maps [I2].

It is also known that there is no global semiconjugacy from a transcendental map to a polynomial [I1]. However, (non-)existences of the following semiconjugacies are still open:

Conjecture. 1. There is no global semiconjugacy from a polynomial to a transcendental entire map.

2. There is no global semiconjugacy from a transcendental entire map to a rational map.

Here we give some examples of global semiconjugacy (i.e., \( f \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ g \)):

Example. 1. \( f = h_1 \circ h_2, g = h_2 \circ h_1 \) and \( \varphi = h_1 \).

2. \( f = g = T_a \) and \( \varphi = T_b \) where \( T_d \) is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree \( d \) (i.e., \( T_d(\cos z) = \cos dz \)).

3. \( f(z) = z^c h(z^b), g(z) = z^c (h(z))^c \) and \( \varphi(z) = z^b \).

4. A linear map \( g(z) = \lambda z, |\lambda| > 1 \) can be semiconjugate to power map, Chebyshev map and Lattès map if \( \lambda \) satisfies a certain condition. Note that the power map \( z \mapsto z^d \) for \( |d| \geq 2 \) is semiconjugate to the Chebyshev map \( T_d \), and the Chebyshev map is again semiconjugate to some Lattès map. However this is not the case of the above theorem because there is no polynomial-like restriction preserved by those semiconjugacies.

In the case of polynomials (i.e., the case \( f, g, \varphi \) are all polynomials), any semiconjugate maps are essentially obtained by some combinations of the above 1, 2 and 3 [I1]. This is proved by applying Ritt's theorems on decomposing polynomials in terms of composition [R] and a theorem by Engstrom [En] which is a stronger version of Ritt's second theorem.

Shishikura suggested the following application to the author. Consider a polynomial \( P \) having a renormalization hybrid equivalent to itself. More precisely, assume there exists a polynomial-like mapping \( P^n : U' \to U \) hybrid equivalent to
$P$ itself. This implies that for any $k \geq 0$, there exists a polynomial-like mapping $f_k := P^{n^k} : U'_k \to U_k$ hybrid equivalent to $P$. After rescaling, $f_k$ might converge to some polynomial-like map $f_0$.

In other words, consider the hybrid class $F$ of polynomial-like mappings containing $P$. Then any maps $f \in F$ has a polynomial-like restriction $\mathcal{R}(f) = f^n : U'_f \to U_f$, which is again in $F$. After a proper rescaling and giving some topology in $F$, it is known that the renormalization operator $\mathcal{R} : F \to F$ is contraction for some cases (see [L] and [M]). For such a case, $\mathcal{R}^n(f)$ converges to the unique fixed point $f_0 \in F$ satisfying the equation

$$f_0^n(\lambda z) = \frac{1}{\lambda}f_0(z).$$

From this equation, it is easy to see that $f_0$ is not a rational map. By the main theorem, we can prove that any renormalization fixed point is not a rational map under much weaker assumption:

**Corollary 2.** The renormalization fixed point is not a rational map. More precisely, if $f : U' \to U$ is a polynomial-like mapping such that there is a polynomial-like restriction $f^n : V' \to V$ $(n > 1)$ holomorphically conjugate to $f$, then $f$ is not a rational map.

**Proof.** Consider a rational map $f$ such that $f^n : V' \to V$ is a polynomial-like mapping for some $n > 1$, $V'$ and $V$. Since the degrees of $f$ and $f^n$ are different, $f^n : V' \to V$ is not holomorphically conjugate to $f$ itself by Corollary 1.

\[\square\]

## 2 Idea of proof

The main idea of the proof of Main Theorem is the "pushing-forward" of the holomorphic conjugacy.

Let $\varphi : U_1 \to U_2$ be a holomorphic conjugacy between $f_1 : U'_1 \to U_1$ and $f_2 : U'_2 \to U_2$. Let

$$\Gamma_0 = \{(z, \varphi(z)) ; z \in U_1\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$$

be the graph of $\varphi$. Consider the product dynamics $F(x, y) = (f_1(x), f_2(y))$ of $f_1$ and $f_2$ and let

$$\Gamma_n = F^n(\Gamma_0).$$

Since $F$ is a proper map, $\Gamma_n$ is a local analytic set.
Corollary 1. $\Gamma_n \subset \Gamma_{n+1}$.

**Proof.** Let $(f_1^n(z), f_2^n(\varphi(z))) \in \Gamma_n$. Since $z \in U_1$, there exists some $w \in U'$ such that $f_1^n(w) = z$. Therefore,

$$(f_1^n(z), f_2^n(\varphi(z))) = (f_1^{n+1}(w), f_2^{n}(\varphi(f_1(w)))) = (f_1^{n+1}(w), f_2^{n+1}(\varphi(w))).$$

Since $U' \subset U$, this proves that $(f_1^n(z), f_2^n(\varphi(z))) \in \Gamma_{n+1}$. $\square$

Therefore, we have an increase sequence of local analytic sets

$$\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_n \subset \Gamma_{n+1} \subset \cdots \quad (2)$$

Let $\pi_n : X_n \to \Gamma_n$ be a resolution of singularities, i.e., $X_n$ is a Riemann surface and $\pi_n$ is a holomorphic map which is biholomorphic outside a discrete set. By (2) and the property $F(\Gamma_n) = \Gamma_{n+1}$, it follows that there is an injective map $\iota_n : X_n \hookrightarrow X_{n+1}$ and a proper map $g_n : X_n \to X_{n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$ such that

$$\pi_{n+1} \circ \iota_n = \pi_n, \quad \pi_{n+1} \circ g_n = F \circ \pi_n.$$

Then the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
X_0 & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{\iota_n} & X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n+1}} \cdots \\
\downarrow g_0 & & \downarrow g_1 & & \cdots & & \downarrow g_n & & \downarrow g_{n+1} & \\
X_0 & \xrightarrow{\iota_0} & X_1 & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n-1}} & X_n & \xrightarrow{\iota_n} & X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{n+1}} \cdots \\
\end{array}
$$

commutes.

Now take the direct limit of $X_n$:

$$X = \lim_{\longrightarrow} X_n.$$

Then $X$ is a complex manifold. Furthermore, $\pi_n$ and $g_n$ induce holomorphic maps

$$\pi : X \to \Gamma = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \Gamma_n, \quad g : X \to X$$

such that $\pi \circ g = F \circ \pi$. Let $\varphi_i = p_i \circ \pi$ where $p_i$ is the projection to the $i$-th coordinate. Then we have $\varphi_i \circ g = f_i \circ \varphi_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Moreover on $X_0$, the map $\varphi_i : X_0 \to U_i$ is biholomorphic. Therefore, $X$ has a polynomial-like restriction $g : V' \to V$ holomorphically conjugate to $f_i : U'_i \to U_i$, where $V = X_0$ and $V' = (\varphi_1|_{X_0})^{-1}(U'_1)$.
We need to classify $g : X \to X$. To do this end, we apply the uniformization theorem to divide into several cases, and we need to study all the possibilities. For example, we have the following:

- Since $g$ has a polynomial-like restriction, there is a repelling periodic point of $g$. By the Schwarz lemma, it follows that $X$ is not hyperbolic. Therefore, by taking the universal covering, we may assume that $X$ is either the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ or the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

- If both $f_1$ and $f_2$ are rational, then we have

$$\deg g \leq \deg F = \deg f_1 \cdot \deg f_2 < +\infty.$$  

Hence it follows that $g$ is not transcendental.

In this way, we can exclude most of the possibilities and prove the theorem.
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