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Abstract

This study investigated the relationship between age-related declines in muscle thickness of the lower extremities and daily physical activity in elderly women. The subjects comprised 20 young women and 17 elderly women residing in a nursing home. Lower-limb muscle thickness was measured by B-mode ultrasound with the following ten muscles: gluteus maximus, gluteus medius, gluteus minimus, psoas major, rectus femoris, vastus lateralis, vastus intermedius, biceps femoris, gastrocnemius and soleus. Daily physical activity was evaluated using life-space assessment (LSA) which assessed the life-space level, degree of independence, and frequency of attainment. Muscle thickness in the gluteus maximus, gluteus medius, gluteus minimus, psoas major, rectus femoris, vastus lateralis, vastus intermedius, biceps femoris and gastrocnemius, but not soleus, was significantly greater in the young group than the elderly group. The greatest rates of age-related loss of skeletal muscle mass in the lower limbs showed in the psoas major, while the smallest loss
showed in soleus muscle. Only the gluteus medius was significantly associated with the LSA score \((r = 0.528, p < 0.05)\) in elderly women. These results suggest that the reduction in skeletal mass with age is smaller in soleus muscle, and that the age-related decline in gluteus medius muscle is influenced by daily physical activity.
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1. Introduction

Skeletal muscle mass decreases with aging, and by 30-50% between the ages of 40 and 80 years (Allen et al., 1960; Young et al., 1985; Lexell et al., 1988; Akima et al., 2001). The muscle atrophy is reported to be greater in the lower limbs than upper limbs (Bemben et al., 1991; Brooks and Faulkner, 1994; Janssen et al., 2000).
Techniques for estimating skeletal muscle mass in vivo include magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT), anthropometry, bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) and ultrasound. Ultrasound is particularly useful because it is safe, noninvasive, and portable. Strong correlations have been reported between muscle thickness measured by B-mode ultrasound and site-matched skeletal muscle mass measured by MRI (Walton et al., 1997; Dupont et al., 2001; Fukunaga et al., 2001; Miyatani et al., 2004; Sanada et al., 2006). Therefore, it is plausible to use muscle thickness measurements to estimate muscle size and degree of muscle atrophy.

In recent years, there have been many studies on the age-related atrophy of lower limb muscles by ultrasonographic measurement of the muscle thickness. However, in these studies, the quadriceps (Reimers et al., 1998; Kubo et al., 2003a, 2003b; Arts et al., 2010) and triceps surae muscles (Reimers et al., 1998; Kubo et al., 2003a, 2003b; Fujiwara et al., 2010) have been mainly evaluated. There have been
only a few studies on age-related changes in individual muscles constituting lower limb muscles including those around the hip joint.

Loss of skeletal muscle mass is part of the aging process and exacerbated by inactivity (Sandler et al., 1991). The age-associated reduction in physical activity may be at least partially responsible for the change in muscle distribution with age, given that it would lead to greater muscle loss in the lower limbs, that is, those muscles are required for most common daily activities like walking, climbing stairs etc. Yazawa et al. (2007) reported a significant correlation between daily physical activity measured with an accelerometer and lower body muscle mass measured by bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) in the middle-aged and elderly. Park et al. (2010) demonstrated that muscle mass in the lower extremities measured by whole-body dual X-ray absorptiometer (DXA) was associated with physical activity such as daily step count.
The aim of this study was twofold; first, to investigate the influences of age on skeletal muscle mass in the lower extremities using ultrasound and, second, to examine the influence of daily physical activity on muscle atrophy in elderly women.

2. Subjects and methods

2.1. Subjects

The subjects comprised 20 healthy young women with a mean age of 19.8 ± 0.8 years (+S.D.) and 17 elderly women with a mean age of 83.2 ± 6.2 years. All the elderly subjects were residents of nursing home in Kyoto, Japan, and so lived under the same conditions. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the subjects. The elderly subjects were able to ambulate independently or with an assistive device, did not have an unstable physical condition, and did not demonstrate severe dementia. Physical dysfunctions such as acute neurological impairment (acute stroke, parkinson’s disease, paresis of
the lower limbs) or severe musculoskeletal impairment, that might affect mobility in daily activities, were also excluded.

The subjects were informed about the study procedures before testing and provided a written informed consent before participating. The study was approved by Kyoto University Graduate School and Faculty of Medicine Ethics Committee.

2.2. Muscle thickness measurements

Lower-limb muscle thickness on the longitudinal plane, was measured using B-mode ultrasound imaging (LOGIQ Book Xp; GE Healthcare Japan, Tokyo, Japan), with an 8-MHz transducer.

A previous study has shown the reliability of the ultrasound technique for measuring muscle thickness (Abe et al., 1994; Reeves et al., 2004; Kellis et al., 2009; Thoirs and English, 2009). Ten muscles were examined in the lower extremities on the right side; gluteus maximus, gluteus medius, gluteus minimus, psoas major, rectus
femoris, vastus lateralis, vastus intermedius, biceps femoris, gastrocnemius and soleus. Measurement positions and measurement sites for each muscle are shown in Table 2. During the examination, care was taken to maintain the same standardized position of the subjects and the exact location of the transducer. In order to improve acoustic coupling, a water-soluble transmission gel was placed over the scan head.

2.3. Daily physical activity

Daily physical activity was evaluated using LSA, described by Baker et al. (2003) to have good predictive validity for physical performance and self-reported function and high test-retest reliability. LSA assesses the life-space level, degree of independence, and frequency of attainment over the 4 weeks preceding an assessment. It also measures mobility based on the distance a person reports covering during the 4 weeks preceding the assessment.
Questions establish movement to specific life-space levels ranging from within one’s dwelling to beyond one’s town with the following five-range classification: (1) to other rooms of your home besides the room where you sleep; (2) to an area outside your home such as your porch or garage; (3) to places in your neighborhood, other than your own yard; (4) to places outside your neighborhood but within your town; (5) to places outside your town.

For each level, persons were asked how many days a week they attained that level and whether they needed help from another person or from assistive devices. Values were computed for each level by multiplying the life-space level (1-5), the degree of independence (2 = if independent; i.e., no assistance from persons or equipment was reported, 1.5 = if equipment was used, and 1 = if personal assistance was reported), and the frequency of attainment (1 = less than once a week, 2 = 1-3 times a week, 3 = 4-6 times a week, and 4 = daily). The level-specific values were summed (possible total score = 120).
2.4. Statistical analyses

All data are presented as the mean ± S.D. Differences in muscle thickness between the young and elderly groups were examined using Student’s t-test (two-sample t-test).

We calculated the muscle thickness in the elderly as a percentage of the mean in the young using the following formula, and analyzed this rate for each muscle employing the multiple comparison method.

\[
\text{the \% of muscle thickness} = \left( \frac{\text{muscle thickness in the elderly}}{\text{the mean in the young}} \right) \times 100
\]

Furthermore, Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used to investigate the relationship between age-related declines in muscle thickness of the lower extremities and daily physical activity in elderly women. All data were analyzed using SPSS.
(version 17.0; SPSS Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Significance was recognized at p < 0.05.

3. Results

Table 3 shows the mean and standard deviation values of muscle thickness for the young and elderly groups. When the muscle thickness was compared between the young and elderly, all muscles except the soleus muscle, that is, gluteus maximus, gluteus medius, gluteus minimus, psoas major, rectus femoris, vastus lateralis, vastus intermedius, biceps femoris and gastrocnemius were significantly thinner in the elderly. There was no significant difference between the groups in the thickness of the soleus muscle (young group = 34.5 ± 6.1 mm vs. elderly group = 29.7 ± 7.9 mm).

The rate of muscle thickness in the elderly was the highest for the soleus muscle (86.1%), followed in order by the vastus intermedius (74.8%), rectus femoris (72.9%), gastrocnemius (68.1%), gluteus medius (63.7%), vastus lateralis (63.0%),
gluteus minimus (62.8%), gluteus maximus (62.0%), biceps femoris (48.9%) and psoas major (47.2%). Multiple comparisons used to compare percentages of muscle thickness in the elderly showed the soleus, vastus intermedius and rectus femoris to have significantly greater values than the psoas major and biceps femoris (Table 4).

The thickness of the gluteus medius was significantly associated with the LSA score ($r = 0.528$, $p < 0.05$) in elderly women, whereas no correlation was found between LSA score and the thickness of the gluteus maximus, gluteus minimus, psoas major, rectus femoris, vastus lateralis, vastus intermedius, biceps femoris, gastrocnemius and soleus (Table 5).

4. Discussion

The main findings of this study were that age-related muscle atrophy was smallest for soleus muscle among 10 muscles of the lower limbs, and that age-related declines in gluteus medius muscle was associated with daily physical activity. To our
knowledge, this is the first report showing age-related declines in individual muscles constituting lower limb muscles including those around hip, knee and ankle joint and the relationship between age-related changes in muscle thickness and daily physical activity in elderly women.

Generally, muscle mass in the lower extremities shows substantial declines with age. In this study, the measurement of the thickness of 10 muscles of the lower limbs showed the smallest degree of atrophy of the soleus muscle without any significant difference in the soleus muscle thickness between the young and elderly. As for age-related changes in the muscle mass of triceps surae muscle, Fujiwara et al. (2010) found that the gastrocnemius was significantly thinner in elderly women than young women, while the thickness of the soleus muscle did not differ among these groups. Morse et al. (2005) also reported that physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA) values measured by MRI for the lateral and medial heads of gastrocnemius muscles were 19% and 14.5% smaller in elderly males (n = 12) than young males (n = 15),
whereas a non-significant reduction of 4.4% was observed in the soleus PCSA. In this way, some studies have shown slight age-related changes in the soleus muscle, focusing on the triceps surae muscle alone. It is of interest that this study, in which all lower muscles including those around the hip, knee, and ankle were evaluated, also showed only slight atrophy of the soleus muscle.

The relatively small atrophy of soleus muscle, may be because of the different postural role and fiber-type characteristics of lower body muscles. It has been reported that the mean percentage of type I fibers is 86.4% in soleus, 43.5% in gastrocnemius, 42.8% in rectus femoris, 37.8% in vastus lateralis and 52.4% in gluteus maximus (Johnson et al., 1973). In general, greater rates of age-related loss occur among type II fibers, while only moderate losses occur among type I fibers (Lexell et al., 1988; Doherty et al., 1993; Roos et al., 1997). The postural role of the soleus, may protect this muscle from marked reductions with aging given its predominant type I composition, whereas the gastrocnemius muscles which are primarily locomotor
muscles with a higher type II fiber content may be more susceptible to atrophy as a result of the neuropathic processes associated with aging.

The rate of muscle thickness in the elderly was the lowest for psoas major muscle, suggesting particularly marked age-related changes in this muscle among lower limb muscles. Takahashi et al. (2006) reported that the decline in cross-sectional area (CSA) measured by MRI due to aging was greater in psoas major than quadriceps femoris, and that the average CSA of psoas major and quadriceps femoris muscles for the 70s age group compared with the 20s age group was 0.798 and 0.892, respectively. The results of the present study were consistent with those of Takahashi et al. (2006), because our study indicated that the decline in muscle thickness was greater in psoas major than soleus and quadriceps femoris muscles such as rectus femoris and vastus intermedius.

The rate was the second lowest for the biceps femoris muscle. Previous study has shown that middle-aged male athletes who habitually run at a high level have more
muscle thickness of the hamstrings than do middle-aged men who run at an intermediary level or do not run at all (Oguri et al., 2004). Furthermore, changes in EMG amplitude in the iliacus and psoas muscles among hip flexor muscles showed a marked increase from walking to running, perhaps because greater activation of the psoas major is required during running (Andersson et al., 1997). This may be due to marked decreases in the sizes of hamstring and psoas major muscles important for running because of decreased opportunities to run in the elderly.

Our analyses of the correlation between muscle thickness and daily physical activity in the elderly group indicated that only the gluteus medius was significantly associated with the LSA score. The gluteus medius is partially responsible for medial-lateral stability of the hip, and if inhibited, would affect the control of the medial-lateral displacement component of functional performance. Concerning the stepping response to perturbation, Maki and McIlroy (2006) described that the elderly cannot maintain their balance by taking one step when they lose balance and are
about to fall, and tend to fall laterally, suggesting decreased functions of the muscles around the hip joint such as the gluteus medius muscle as one of the main causes of this instability. Tirosh et al. (2005) reported that the muscle activity of the gluteus medius muscle, which is necessary for control when people stop during walking, was lower in the elderly than in the young, suggesting that the difficulty in stopping suddenly to avoid danger in the elderly is partly due to decreased activity of this muscle.

Our results suggested that decreased stability and fear of falling with a loss of confidence in functional performance, may lead to decreased physical activity and restrictions on physical behavior among elderly persons with muscle loss of the gluteus medius, even among those able to ambulate independently. Furthermore, functional restrictions on daily activities can lead to even more physical inactivity and further declines in muscle mass.

The soleus muscle thickness, showing no difference between the young and elderly, was not associated with the level of activity in daily life. These results suggest
that the size of the soleus muscle is maintained irrespective of the range of locomotion or frequency of activity in daily life in the elderly who can walk unassisted.

No correlation was observed between daily physical activity and muscle mass of the psoas major which showed the largest magnitude of decline in muscle thickness of the lower extremity. The size of the psoas major muscle is considered to be closely related to the locomotory capacity such as walking and stair climbing in the elderly (Kim et al., 2000; Masuda et al., 2002). As a result of this study, the psoas major muscle showed marked age-related atrophy irrespective of the level of walking in daily life. Kim et al. (2001) found that the CSA of the psoas major was significantly higher in elderly athletes who long continued to train than in the untrained elderly. It seems that higher intensity activities than common daily activities like walking or climbing stairs may be required in order to prevent marked age-related muscular atrophy of psoas major.
The limitations of this study were as follows. One limitation was measurement of the muscle thickness as the amount of lower limb muscles. In general, the muscle cross-sectional area is considered to reflect the muscle strength. In this study, the muscle thickness, i.e., the longitudinal axis, was measured, but the obtained measurement values did not reflect the transverse axis. Other limitations were the small number of subjects, and the inclusion of only elderly females who are capable of unassisted locomotion. In the future, muscular atrophy should be analyzed in subjects including the elderly with locomotor disability, and the association between the degree of age-related muscular atrophy in the lower limbs and the locomotor capacity should be clarified.

5. Conclusions

We evaluated age-related muscular atrophy employing an ultrasonographic method, and observed relatively slight atrophy of the soleus muscle and marked
atrophy of the psoas major muscle among lower limb muscles in elderly females who can walk without assistance. There was no association between the degree of atrophy of the soleus or psoas major muscle and activities in daily life. However, only the thickness of the gluteus medium muscle was associated with daily life activities.
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Table 1.

Characteristics of the subjects, n, mean±S.D.,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Young group</th>
<th>Elderly group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>19.8±0.8</td>
<td>83.2±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>158.3±6.2</td>
<td>147.4±7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>53.8±5.0</td>
<td>45.7±7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>21.5±1.7</td>
<td>21.1±3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2.

Measurement positions and measurement sites for each muscle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscles</th>
<th>Positions</th>
<th>Measurement sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gluteus maximus</td>
<td>prone</td>
<td>30% proximal between posterior superior iliac spine and the greater trochanter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluteus medius</td>
<td>prone</td>
<td>midway between the proximal end of iliac crest and the greater trochanter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluteus minimus</td>
<td>prone</td>
<td>midway between the proximal end of iliac crest and the greater trochanter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psoas major</td>
<td>prone</td>
<td>7cm lateral from the L3 spinous process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rectus femoris</td>
<td>supine</td>
<td>midway between the anterior superior iliac spine and the proximal end of the patella</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vastus lateralis</td>
<td>supine</td>
<td>3 cm lateral of 60% distal between the anterior superior iliac spine and the proximal end of the patella</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vastus intermedius</td>
<td>supine</td>
<td>midway between the anterior superior iliac spine and the proximal end of the patella</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biceps femoris</td>
<td>prone</td>
<td>midway between the ischial tuberosity and the lateral condyle of the tibia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastrocnemius</td>
<td>prone</td>
<td>medial head of gastrocnemius at 30% proximal between the lateral malleolus of the fibula and the lateral condyle of the tibia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soleus</td>
<td>prone</td>
<td>30% proximal between the lateral malleolus of the fibula and the lateral condyle of the tibia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.
Comparison of muscle thickness (mm) between the young and elderly groups, mean±S.D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Young group</th>
<th>Elderly group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gluteus maximus</td>
<td>25.0±2.98</td>
<td>15.5±3.85″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluteus medius</td>
<td>22.9±5.80</td>
<td>14.6±3.98″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluteus minimus</td>
<td>19.3±6.47</td>
<td>12.1±4.11″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psoas major</td>
<td>28.7±4.11</td>
<td>13.6±5.66″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rectus femoris</td>
<td>22.9±3.39</td>
<td>16.7±3.71″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vastus lateralis</td>
<td>22.0±3.25</td>
<td>13.9±4.03″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vastus intermedius</td>
<td>21.5±3.52</td>
<td>16.1±3.79″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biceps femoris</td>
<td>36.5±4.87</td>
<td>17.9±4.81″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastrocnemius</td>
<td>16.3±2.31</td>
<td>11.1±2.70″</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soleus</td>
<td>34.5±6.11</td>
<td>29.7±7.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ″ indicate significant differences at p < 0.01
Table 4.

The rate (%) of muscle thickness in the elderly, mean±S.D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscles</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gluteus maximus</td>
<td>62.0±15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluteus medius</td>
<td>63.7±17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluteus minimus</td>
<td>62.8±21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psoas major</td>
<td>47.2±19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rectus femoris</td>
<td>72.9±16.2**†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vastus lateralis</td>
<td>63.0±18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vastus intermedius</td>
<td>74.9±17.6**††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biceps femoris</td>
<td>48.9±13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastrocnemius</td>
<td>68.1±16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soleus</td>
<td>86.1±22.9**††</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ** p < 0.01 significant difference compared to psoas major; †† p < 0.01, † p < 0.05 significant difference compared to biceps femoris
Table 5.

Relationship between muscle thickness and LSA in elderly women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Correlation coeff. (95%CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gluteus maximus</td>
<td>0.197(-0.314-0.619)</td>
<td>0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluteus medius</td>
<td>0.528(0.064-0.805)</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gluteus minimus</td>
<td>0.444(-0.047-0.762)</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psoas major</td>
<td>-0.194(-0.617-0.316)</td>
<td>0.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rectus femoris</td>
<td>-0.283(-0.672-0.229)</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vastus lateralis</td>
<td>-0.167(-0.599-0.341)</td>
<td>0.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vastus intermedius</td>
<td>-0.009(-0.488-0.474)</td>
<td>0.973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biceps femoris</td>
<td>0.086(-0.411-0.544)</td>
<td>0.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastrocnemius</td>
<td>-0.243(-0.648-0.269)</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soleus</td>
<td>0.329(-0.180-0.699)</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *indicates significant correlation at p < 0.05