TITLE:

Homogeneous coexistence of superconducting and spin-density-wave states in $\text{CaFe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{AsF}$ as seen via nuclear magnetic resonance

AUTHOR(S):

Nakano, T.; Tsutsumi, S.; Fujiwara, N.; Matsuishi, S.; Hosono, H.

CITATION:

Nakano, T. ...[et al]. Homogeneous coexistence of superconducting and spin-density-wave states in $\text{CaFe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{AsF}$ as seen via nuclear magnetic resonance. Physical Review B 2011, 83(18): 180508.

ISSUE DATE:

2011-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/145975>

RIGHT:

©2011 American Physical Society.

Homogeneous coexistence of superconducting and spin-density-wave states in $\text{CaFe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{AsF}$ as seen via nuclear magnetic resonance

T. Nakano,¹ S. Tsutsumi,¹ N. Fujiwara,^{1,*} S. Matsuishi,^{2,3} and H. Hosono^{2,3}

¹Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Yoshida-Nihonmatsu-cyo, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

²Materials and Structures Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuda, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan

³Frontier Research Center, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuda, Midori-ku, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan

(Received 18 April 2011; published 26 May 2011)

We investigated the homogeneous coexistence of spin-density-wave (SDW) and superconducting (SC) states via ^{75}As -nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) in $\text{CaFe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{AsF}$ and found that the electronic and magnetic properties of this compound are intermediate between those of $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$ and $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$. For 6% Co-doped samples, the paramagnetic spectral weight completely disappears in the crossover regime between the SDW and SC phases followed by anomalous behavior of relaxation rate ($1/T_1$), implying that the two phases are not segregated. ^{59}Co -NMR spectra show that spin moments are not commensurate but spatially modulated. These experimental results suggest that incommensurate SDW (IC-SDW) and SC states are compatible in this compound.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.83.180508](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.180508)

PACS number(s): 74.70.Xa, 74.25.Dw, 74.25.nj, 76.60.-k

To date, a number of iron-based high-critical-temperature (T_c) superconductors have been discovered since the first discovery of superconductivity in $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$.¹ Among these, 1111 series ($\text{RFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$ [R=Nd, Sm, Ce, and La, etc.])¹⁻⁶ and 122 series such as $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$ ⁷⁻⁹ have been studied intensively because the former has a relatively high T_c compared with the others, and the latter is available in a single-crystal form. A clear difference between the two series is apparent from the electronic phase diagrams shown in Fig. 1. The structural and magnetic phase transitions occur at almost the same temperature (T) for the 122 series but not for the 1111 series. The optimal T_c for the La1111 series is realized away from the antiferromagnetic (AF) or spin-density-wave (SDW) phase, whereas the optimal T_c for the Ba122 series is realized near the AF phase, although the optimal T_c values are almost the same at ambient pressure. In other words, the overlap between the AF and SC phases is large for the Ba122 series, whereas the two phases are almost separated in the La1111 series. This necessarily leads to the problem of coexistence in the crossover regime. Several theoretical investigations have focused on charge and spin states in the vicinity of the crossover regime.¹⁰⁻¹² The coexistence of the order parameters of the incommensurate spin-density-wave and superconducting (IC-SDW + SC) states has been investigated in the crossover regime for s^{+-} or s^{++} -wave symmetry.¹⁰⁻¹² The homogeneous coexistence of the AF and SC states has been suggested for $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$ on a microscopic level,¹³⁻¹⁵ whereas microscopic or mesoscopic segregation of the AF and SC phases has been suggested for K-doped $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The topics have been investigated mainly for the Ba 122 series¹⁹ and it is presently unclear whether the observed phenomena are inherent to all iron-based superconductors with a crossover regime.

In this study, we focus on $\text{CaFe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{AsF}$ ²⁰ to investigate whether the AF and SC phases coexist homogeneously or are segregated. The compound is a member of the 1111 series, but exhibits an intermediate phase diagram, as shown in Fig. 1.²⁰⁻²³ The degree of overlap of the SC and AF phases is intermediate between the La1111 and Ba122 series. The

doping dependence of the structural (T_S) and magnetic (T_N) transition temperatures is also intermediate between the two series.

We performed nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements using 6% and 12% Co-doped powder samples. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the T dependence of the resistivity. The SC phase was confirmed for both samples; the T_c onset is 7 and 20 K for the 6% and 12% Co-doped samples, respectively. The detuning of an NMR tank circuit is shown in Fig. 2(c). The bending point at 7 K is closer to the zero-resistivity temperature than the T_c onset.

For the 6% Co-doped samples, the field-swept ^{75}As -NMR spectra were measured at a frequency $\nu_0 = 45.1$ MHz, as shown in Fig. 3. The low-field peak around 50 kOe corresponds to the transition $I = -3/2 \Leftrightarrow -1/2$, and the central peak with two bumps corresponds to the transition $I = -1/2 \Leftrightarrow 1/2$. The two bumps of the central peak originate from the second-order quadrupole effect. The frequency shift (ν) arising from this effect is expressed using two polar coordinates, θ and ϕ , of the applied field ($\mathbf{H}$) in the coordinate system of the electric field gradient (EFG). The coordinate θ represents the angle between $\mathbf{H}$ and the maximal principal axis (Z axis) of EFG. In this case, the Z axis is equivalent to the crystal c axis. The coordinate ϕ represents the angle between the second principal axis (X axis) and the $\mathbf{H}$ component projected onto the XY plane. The XY plane coincides with the crystal ab plane. The θ and ϕ dependence of ν is given as

$$\nu(\theta, \phi) = -\frac{3\nu_Q^2}{16\nu_0} \sin^2\theta [(9\cos^2\theta - 1) + \eta f(\theta, \phi) + \eta^2 g(\theta, \phi)], \quad (1)$$

where ν_Q and η ($0 < \eta < 1$) are the pure quadrupole frequency and the EFG anisotropy, respectively. From the analysis of the NMR spectra, ν_Q and η are estimated to be 22.6 MHz and 0.3, respectively. The value of ν_Q is almost twice as large as that for the La1111 series.²⁴ The terms $\eta f(\theta, \phi)$ and $\eta^2 g(\theta, \phi)$ in Eq. (1) are correction terms arising from axial asymmetry. The θ and ϕ dependence of f and g is explained in detail in Ref. 25.

FIG. 1. (Color online) Phase diagrams of $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$,⁷⁻⁹ $\text{CaFe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{AsF}$,²⁰⁻²³ and $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$.^{1,2} Red up triangles, green down triangles, and blue circles represent the structural (T_S), AF (T_N), and SC (T_c) transition temperatures, respectively.

At the field $h = H - H_0$, where H_0 represents the resonance field expected for $\nu_Q = 0$, the spectral intensity $I^{As}(h)$ is

$$I^{As}(h) \propto \iint \delta(h - (2\pi/\gamma_N)\nu(\theta, \phi)) d(\cos\theta) d\phi, \quad (2)$$

where the gyromagnetic ratio (γ_N) of ^{75}As is 7.292 MHz/10kOe. For $\eta = 0$,

$$I^{As}(h) \propto \int \delta\left(h - \frac{2\pi}{\gamma_N}\nu\right) \left| \frac{\sin\theta}{\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\theta}} \right| d\nu. \quad (3)$$

The bumps originate from the powders with $\theta = 90^\circ$ or 42° that satisfy $\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\theta}/\sin\theta = 0$. Even for $\eta \neq 0$, the bumps appear at the same angle ($\theta = 90^\circ$ or 42°).²⁵ The low-field bump originates from the powders with $\theta = 90^\circ$ in which the Fe basal planes are aligned with $\mathbf{H}$, whereas the high-field bump originates from the powders with $\theta = 42^\circ$. The spectral weight weakens below T_N and completely disappears in the SC state. An analogous disappearance is not observed for the 12% Co-doped samples in which the AF phase is absent. The disappearance of the bump is characteristic of the AF phase.

Unlike the high-field bump, the low-field bump is maintained even at low temperatures. The resonance position of the low-field bump is almost unchanged, even when the Co nuclei experience the internal field that appears in the AF phase. For the commensurate SDW state, stripe-type antiferromagnetic spin moments aligned in an Fe plane (the ab plane) induce the internal field normal to the Fe plane on ^{75}As sites; therefore, As nuclei experience no internal field when the Fe plane is parallel to $\mathbf{H}$ ($\theta = 90^\circ$). Consequently, the low-field bump is maintained even in the spin-ordered state. For crystals with other θ angles, As nuclei experience nonzero internal fields,

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a, b) Superconducting transition temperature determined by resistivity and (c) detuning of an NMR tank circuit. Figure 2(b) is an expanded view of Fig. 2(a). These measurements were operated at zero field.

and the corresponding signals are swept over a wider field range. This explanation is possible for the IC-SDW state accompanied by long-wave spin modulation.

The relaxation time T_1 was measured using the conventional saturation-recovery method. The T dependence of $1/T_1T$ was measured at the low-field bump. The bump position is almost unchanged for the observed temperatures. Therefore, $1/T_1T$ is successively measured, even in the AF phase, with the same conditions as the paramagnetic phase. The recovery

FIG. 3. Field-swept ^{75}As -NMR spectra at several temperatures for the 6% Co-doped samples. The sharp signals at 37.3 and 40.0 kOe originate from ^{65}Cu and ^{63}Cu , respectively, which are present in the copper NMR coil. The cusp-shaped signal observed at 45 kOe originates from ^{59}Co in the Fe basal planes. The other signals originate from ^{75}As . The peak around 50 kOe corresponds to the transition $I = -3/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2$, and the double-bump peak corresponds to $I = -1/2 \leftrightarrow 1/2$.

curves $1 - M(t)/M(\infty)$, where $M(t)$ represents the nuclear magnetization after the saturation pulse, exhibit a fast-recovery component throughout the entire temperature range. The data were analyzed using three-component fitting; that is, fast-recovery component $1/T_{1s}$ is introduced tentatively into the recovery curve as follows:

$$1 - \frac{M(t)}{M(\infty)} = c(0.9e^{-6t/T_1} + 0.1e^{-t/T_1}) + (1 - c)e^{-t/T_{1s}}. \quad (4)$$

A recovery curve measured at 4.2 K for the 6% Co-doped samples is shown in Fig. 4(a). The magnitude of $1/T_{1s}$ is two orders larger than that of $1/T_1$, which allows us to separate the two terms without ambiguity. The T dependence of $1/T_{1s}T$ and $1/T_1T$ is shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), respectively. Below 50 K, the value of c is approximately 0.4 to 0.5. The results of $1/T_1T$ are almost unchanged even if the experimental data are analyzed using $0.9e^{-t/6T_{1s}} + 0.1e^{-t/T_{1s}}$ instead of $e^{-t/T_{1s}}$ in Eq. (4). The T dependence of $1/T_1T$ reflects the AF and SC transitions both, whereas that of $1/T_{1s}T$ reflects neither of them. In Fig. 4(d), $T_S = 75 \pm 5$ K and $T_N = 26$ K are derived from the bending point and peak, respectively. From neutron scattering measurements, the magnitude of the ordered moments is estimated to be $0.15 \mu_B$, which is considerably small compared with that in the undoped case ($0.49 \mu_B$).²² The bending point at 7 K observed in Fig. 4(d) corresponds to T_c , which is consistent with the temperatures observed from the detuning of the tank circuit and the resistivity measurements. Although $1/T_1$ was measured under an applied magnetic field, T_c is almost the same, implying that the decrease in T_c due to the applied field is negligible. As a result, the effect of the vortex is neglected when the Fe basal planes are parallel to $\mathbf{H}$.

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Recovery curve of the central transition ($1/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2$) measured at 4.2 K for the 6% Co-doped samples. The data are fitted using Eq. (4). (b, c) Temperature dependence of $1/T_{1s}T$ and $1/T_1T$ for the 6% and 12% Co-doped samples. (d) Logarithmic plot of Fig. 4(c). The bending point at 7 K coincides with the transition temperature (T_c).

Actually, we could not observe the field dependence of $1/T_1$ which is expected to appear for the vortex motion. The temperature dependence at low temperature follows the power law, $1/T_1 \sim T^{1.5}$. We tentatively attribute this dependence to an intrinsic electronic state in the Fe basal planes. The fast-recovery component $1/T_{1s}T$ is also observed for the 12% Co-doped samples. Therefore, this component may be extrinsic to the low-frequency fluctuation arising from the Fe basal planes. A possible origin of the fast-recovery component is nuclear spin diffusion.

For the 12% Co-doped samples, $1/T_1T$ is almost independent of the temperature above T_c and is proportional to T^2 below T_c . There are two possible origins for this temperature-independent behavior. The first possibility is a Korringa relation $1/T_1T \propto D(\epsilon_F)^2$, where $D(\epsilon_F)$ is the density of the states at the Fermi energy, and the second possibility is very weak Curie-Weiss behavior. Both possibilities have been observed in $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$. The former has been observed for the overdoped regime ($x = 0.14-0.15$) just above T_c ,^{24,28} whereas the latter has been observed for the underdoped regime in a wide temperature range above T_c .^{24,29} In the present case, the value of $1/T_1T$ is rather close to that observed for the underdoped regime. The T dependence is attributable to spin fluctuations.

The present NMR measurements confirm successive phase transitions. The complete disappearance of the high-field bump in the crossover regime indicates that no paramagnetic domains exist, which implies that no phase segregation occurs in this doping regime. The fact that the AF phase is not commensurate can be demonstrated by the ^{59}Co ($I = 7/2$)-NMR spectra shown in Figs. 5(a-c). The satellite signals expected owing to the quadrupole effect are not clearly observed in the paramagnetic state as seen in Fig. 5(a), implying that the EFG at Co nuclei is considerably small and is somewhat distributed. The broadening due to an

FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) ^{59}Co -NMR spectra at 45.1 MHz for the 6% and 12% Co-doped samples. The cuspy-type symmetric pattern for the 6% Co-doped samples was unchanged even at low NMR frequencies. (b) Simulation of the spectral intensity for the internal field $\pm h_{\text{AF}} \sin(Qr)$, where the wavelength is $2n$ lattice units, $Q = \pi/n$, and $h_{\text{AF}} = 6$ kOe. (c) Spectral intensity calculated with $h_{\text{AF}} = 6$ kOe in Eq. (8).

inhomogeneous EFG or hyperfine coupling may be seen at low temperatures for the 12% Co-doped samples. For the 6% Co-doped samples, however, the inhomogeneity hardly explains the broadening unless some spin ordering is considered. If a commensurate SDW state with an internal field $\pm h_{\text{AF}}$ was realized in the crossover regime, the spectral intensity would be

$$I^{\text{Co}}(h) \propto \int \delta(h - h_{\text{AF}} \cos \psi) d(\cos \psi) \quad (5)$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{h_{\text{AF}}} \quad (|h/h_{\text{AF}}| \leq 1), \quad (6)$$

where $h = H - H_0$ and ψ represents the angle between $\mathbf{H}$ and the Fe plane. The field dependence represents a well-known rectangular powder pattern, implying that the commensurate SDW state is not realized. Figure 5(b) shows simulations for the internal field modulated to the AF direction $\pm h_{\text{AF}} \sin(Qr)$, where the wavelength is $2n$ lattice units, $Q = \pi/n$ and $h_{\text{AF}} = 6$ kOe. The width of the top rectangular pattern is proportional to $1/n$ for a large n . A pattern with a large n approaches to the curve expressed by the following integral:

$$I^{\text{Co}}(h) \propto \int_{\sin^{-1}(h/h_{\text{AF}})}^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{h_{\text{AF}} \sin \varphi} d\varphi \quad (7)$$

$$\propto \ln \left| \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - (h/h_{\text{AF}})^2}}{h/h_{\text{AF}}} \right| \quad (|h/h_{\text{AF}}| \leq 1). \quad (8)$$

The curve reproduces the experimental results well as shown in Fig. 5(c). In fact, an extremely large n is not always required

owing to the small quadrupole effect: the rectangular pattern would become smooth when this effect is considered. The simulations for $n = 50$ –100 could reproduce the experimental results. The cusp-type pattern at 4.2 K in Fig. 5(a) is almost the same as that at 12 K, suggesting that the distribution of the internal field below T_c is identical to that above T_c . This result implies that the SC transition proceeds on the background of the IC-SDW state which is well developed above T_c . A uniform electronic state, the IC-SDW + SC state, is a promising candidate to explain these experimental results.

In summary, we measured NMR spectra and $1/T_1T$ in $\text{CaFe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x\text{AsF}$ and found that the electronic and magnetic properties are intermediate between those of $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$ and $\text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2$. For the 6% Co-doped samples, we confirm the coexistence of the SC and spin-ordered states from $1/T_1T$ at low temperatures. At $\theta = 42^\circ$, the ^{75}As spectral weight completely disappears in the crossover regime, which indicates that no phase segregation is realized in this regime. The ^{59}Co -NMR spectra demonstrate that spin moments are not commensurate but spatially modulated. In addition, the SC state develops on the background of the IC-SDW state, which suggests the existence of a uniform electronic IC-SDW + SC state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid (Grant No. KAKENHI 23340101) for the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

*Corresponding author: naoki@fujiwara.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

¹Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 3296 (2008).

²H. Luetkens *et al.*, *Nat. Mater.* **8**, 305 (2009).

³Y. Kamihara *et al.*, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 033005 (2010).

⁴C. Hess, A. Kondrat, A. Narduzzo, J. E. Hamann-Borrero, R. Klingeler, J. Werner, G. Behr, and B. Büchner, *Europhys. Lett.* **87**, 17005 (2009).

⁵J. Zhao *et al.*, *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 953 (2008).

⁶C. R. Rotundu *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 144517 (2009).

⁷X. F. Wang, T. Wu, G. Wu, R. H. Liu, H. Chen, Y. L. Xie, and X. H. Chen, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 045003 (2009).

⁸C. Lester *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 144523 (2009).

⁹F. Rullier-Albenque, D. Colson, A. Forget, and H. Alloul, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 057001 (2009).

¹⁰A. B. Vorontsov, M. G. Vavilov, and A. V. Chubukov, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 060508(R) (2009).

¹¹R. M. Fernandes and J. Schmalian, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 140501(R) (2010).

¹²L. P. Gorkov and G. B. Teitel'baum, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 020510(R) (2010).

¹³M. H. Julien *et al.*, *Europhys. Lett.* **87**, 37001 (2009).

¹⁴Y. Laplace, J. Bobroff, F. Rullier-Albenque, D. Colson, and A. Forget, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 140501(R) (2009).

¹⁵P. Marsik *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 057001 (2009).

¹⁶H. Fukazawa *et al.*, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 033704 (2009).

¹⁷J. T. Park *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 117006 (2009).

¹⁸T. Goko *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 024508 (2009).

¹⁹Phase segregation under pressure has also been reported in undoped SrFe_2As_2 . K. Kitagawa, N. Katayama, H. Gotou, T. Yagi, K. Ohgushi, T. Matsumoto, Y. Uwatoko, and M. Takigawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 257002 (2009).

²⁰S. Matsuiishi, Y. Inoue, T. Nomura, H. Yanagi, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 14429 (2008).

²¹T. Nomura, Y. Inoue, S. Matsuiishi, M. Hirano, J. E. Kim, K. Kato, M. Takata, and H. Hosono, *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* **22**, 055016 (2009).

²²Y. Xiao *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 060504(R) (2009).

²³The T_N of 26 K for 6% Co-doped samples has been obtained from $1/T_1T$ in the present work.

²⁴T. Nakano, N. Fujiwara, K. Tatsumi, H. Okada, H. Takahashi, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 100510(R) (2010).

²⁵G. H. Stauss, *J. Chem. Phys.* **40**, 1988 (1964).

²⁶S. Takeshita, R. Kadono, M. Hiraishi, M. Miyazaki, A. Koda, S. Matsuiishi, and H. Hosono, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 027002 (2009).

²⁷S. Takeshita and R. Kadono, *New J. Phys.* **11**, 035006 (2009).

²⁸M. Sato, Y. Kobayashi, S. C. Lee, H. Takahashi, E. Satomi, and Y. Miura, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **79**, 014710 (2010).

²⁹T. Nakano, N. Fujiwara, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, H. Hosono, H. Okada, and H. Takahashi, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 172502 (2010).