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Proposed space-based gravitational-wave detectors such as BBO and DECIGO can detect $\sim 10^6$ neutron star (NS) binaries and determine the luminosity distance to the binaries with high precision. Combining the luminosity distance and electromagnetically derived redshift, one would be able to probe cosmological expansion out to high redshift. In this paper, we show that the Hubble parameter as a function of redshift can be directly measured with monopole and dipole components of the luminosity distance on the sky. As a result, the measurement accuracies of the Hubble parameter in each redshift bin up to $z = 1$ are $3$–$14\%$, $1.5$–$8\%$, and $0.8$–$4\%$ for the observation time $1$ yr, $3$ yr, and $10$ yr, respectively.

I. INTRODUCTION

Future space-based gravitational-wave detectors such as DECI-hertz Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory (DECIGO) [1,2] and Big-Bang Observer (BBO) [3] (see also [4] for updated information) are the most sensitive to a gravitational wave (GW) in the $0.1$–$1$ Hz band and will aim at detecting a cosmological GW background generated during the inflationary epoch, the mergers of intermediate-mass black holes, and a large number of neutron star (NS) binaries in an inspiraling phase. These GW sources enable us to measure the cosmological expansion with unprecedented precision [4], to investigate the population and formation history of compact-binary objects, and to test alternative theories of gravity [5,6].

It has been known that the continuous GW signal from a compact-binary object provides a unique way to measure the luminosity distance to the source with high precision. Such binary sources are often referred to as standard siren (analogous to the electromagnetic standard candle). With the redshift information determined by an electromagnetic follow-up observation, the standard siren can be an accurate tracer of the cosmic expansion [7]. The feasibility of the standard siren relies on the determination of the redshift of each binary. The identification of a host galaxy by follow-up observation is thus crucial, indicating that a high-angular resolution is generally required for GW detectors. In the case of DECIGO and BBO, the detectors orbit the Sun with a period of one sidereal year, and constitute four clusters, each of which consists of three spacecrafts exchanging laser beams with the others. The two of the four clusters are located at the same position to enhance correlation sensitivity to a gravitational-wave background, and the other two are separated on the Earth orbit in order to enhance the angular resolution so that we can easily identify the host galaxy of each NS binary via the electromagnetic follow-up observations. Based on this setup, Cutler and Holz [4] have shown that cosmological parameters can be accurately measured by DECIGO and BBO with a precision of $\sim 1\%$, assuming a large number of neutron star (NS) binaries, $\sim 10^6$.

In this paper, we show that the space-based GW detectors can also measure the Hubble parameter $H(z)$ from the GW standard sirens [8]. In this method, the measured quantity is independent of that in the usual method of a standard siren, in which an observable is the luminosity distance as an integrated quantity of $H^{-1}(z)$. The method to measure the Hubble parameter at each redshift has been proposed by Bonvin et al. [9,10], who originally developed this idea in the observation of distant type Ia supernovae. In general, a large number of samples is necessary for the accurate measurement of $H(z)$, and they concluded that $10^5$–$10^6$ supernovae are needed to achieve a few percent accuracy. In contrast to the supernovae observation which requires an unrealistically large number of the samples and suffers from relatively large systematics, DECIGO and BBO are expected to detect a million NS binaries with smaller systematic errors. Thus, the measurement of the Hubble parameter at high redshifts becomes even more feasible with standard sirens.

The Hubble parameter $H(z)$ can be also measured by estimating the differential age of the oldest galaxies in each redshift bin [11] and using the baryon acoustic oscillation (BAO) along the line-of-sight direction from the spectroscopic galaxy samples (e.g., [12,13]). In this respect, the present method with GW observation is complementary, and useful for an independent cross-check.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly review the basic idea to measure the Hubble parameter via dipole of the luminosity distance $d_L$, originally proposed...
by Bonvin et al. [9,10], and derive the basic equations to estimate the accuracy of the Hubble parameter. In Sec. III, the method is applied to the GW observation. We briefly describe the GW standard siren and calculate the measurement accuracy of the Hubble parameter. In Sec. IV, systematic errors are discussed and compared with the uncertainty coming from instrumental noise in GW observation. Finally, Sec. V gives a brief summary and discussions on the feasibility of our method. Throughout the paper, we adopt units $c = G = 1$, and assume a flat Universe.

II. HUBBLE PARAMETER FROM THE DIPOLE ANISOTROPY OF LUMINOSITY DISTANCE

Consider the luminosity distance to some astronomical objects measured at redshift $z$ and angular position $\mathbf{n}$. In principle, the observations of many objects over the sky enables us to map out the angular distribution of luminosity distance, $d_L(z, \mathbf{n})$, and no directional dependence appears if the observer is at cosmological rest-frame (i.e., CMB rest-frame) in a homogeneous universe. However, there certainly exist tiny anisotropies in $d_L$ arising from the matter inhomogeneities of the large-scale structure and/or the local motion of the observer [14]. As it has been shown in Ref. [10], the dominant component of anisotropies is the dipole induced by the peculiar velocity of the observer, and the contribution to the higher multipoles coming from the weak gravitational lensing effect is basically small [15]. Then, we can expand the luminosity distance as

$$d_L(z, \mathbf{n}) = d_L^{(0)}(z) + d_L^{(1)}(z) \cos \theta; \quad (1)$$

$$d_L^{(0)}(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{n} d_L(z, \mathbf{n}),$$

$$d_L^{(1)}(z) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{n} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}) d_L(z, \mathbf{n}), \quad (2)$$

where we define $\cos \theta = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}$, and the quantity $\mathbf{e}$ is the unit vector directed toward the dipole. In the expression (1), the first term in the right-hand side is the direction-averaged luminosity distance, which is identified with the one defined in the homogeneous and isotropic universe:

$$d_L^{(0)}(z) = (1 + z) \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{H(z')}; \quad (3)$$

with the Hubble parameter given by

$$H(z) = H_0 \left[ \Omega_m (1 + z)^3 + (1 - \Omega_m)(1 + z)^{3(1 + w_0 + w_a)} \times \exp \left[ -3w_a \frac{z}{1 + z} \right] \right]^{1/2}. \quad (4)$$

Here we assumed the flat Universe, and the dark energy equation-of-state parameter $w(a) = P/\rho$ parametrized by $w(a) = w_0 + w_a(1 - a)$. For later analysis of the error estimation, we adopt a fiducial set of cosmological parameters: $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $w_0 = -1$, $w_a = 0$, and $H_0 = 72$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

On the other hand, the second term on the right-hand-side of Eq. (1) arises from the Doppler effect due to the motion of the observer. To derive the expression for $d_L^{(1)}$, we approximate the propagation of GW or light following the trajectory of a null geodesic. Writing the luminosity distance to an astronomical object as a function of the conformal time $\eta$ at which the source emits GW or light, the Doppler effect leads to [9,10]

$$d_L(\eta, \mathbf{n}) = d_L^{(0)}(\eta) [1 - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0], \quad (5)$$

where the vector $\mathbf{v}_0$ indicates the peculiar velocity of a local observer, which is small enough relative to the light velocity. Note that the motion of the local observer also induces the Doppler effect in the redshift, $z = \tilde{z} + \delta z$, where the unperturbed redshift $\tilde{z}$ is defined as $\tilde{z} = a^{-1}(\eta) - 1$. To first order in $\mathbf{v}_0$, we have

$$\delta z = - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0)(1 + \tilde{z}). \quad (6)$$

Rewriting Eq. (5) in terms of the redshift $z$, then expanding $d_L^{(0)}(z - \delta z)$ up to the first order, and using Eqs. (3) and (6) give

$$d_L(z, \mathbf{n}) = \left[ d_L^{(0)}(z) - \frac{\partial d_L^{(0)}(z)}{\partial z} \delta z \right] [1 - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0] \quad = d_L^{(0)}(z) + \frac{(1 + z)^2}{H(z)} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0). \quad (7)$$

Comparing the above expression with Eqs. (1) and (2), we arrive at

$$d_L^{(1)}(z) = \frac{|\mathbf{v}_0|(1 + z)^2}{H(z)} \quad (8)$$

with the direction of the dipole specifically chosen as $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{v}_0/|\mathbf{v}_0|$. In the expression (8), the magnitude of dipole anisotropy is inversely proportional to the Hubble parameter at the source redshift, because the perturbed luminosity distance corresponds to the derivative of Eq. (3). Recalling the fact that the motion of the local observer also induces the same size of dipole anisotropy in the CMB and its amplitude is estimated as $|\mathbf{v}_0| = 369.1 \pm 0.9$ km s$^{-1}$ [16]. Then the dipole anisotropy in the luminosity distance to high-$z$ objects gives a direct measure of $H(z)$.

Now, let us discuss the statistical error in the measurement of the Hubble parameter. We add the measurement error of the luminosity distance $\delta d_L(z, \mathbf{n})$ into Eq. (1):

$$d_L(z, \mathbf{n}) = d_L^{(0)}(z) + d_L^{(1)}(z)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}) + \delta d_L(z, \mathbf{n}).$$

Then the measurement error of $d_L^{(1)}$ is estimated from the definition of $d_L^{(1)}$, and is expressed as
of the dipole to the monopole in the luminosity distance. The figure implies that even a negligibly small error in the averaged distance \( d_L^{(0)} \) can produce a large scatter in \( H(z) \).

Note that the mean error on the Hubble parameter is reduced to \( \Delta H/\sqrt{N} \) if we observe \( N \) independent sources at a given redshift bin. Thus, for a precision measurement of Hubble parameter, we need not only an accurate determination of averaged distance to each source, \( \Delta d_L^{(0)}/d_L^{(0)} < 1 \) but also a large number of sources. In the case of the type Ia supernovae as standard candles, the averaged distance error is related to the intrinsic magnitude error \( \Delta m \) as \( \Delta d_L^{(0)}/d_L^{(0)} = (\ln 10/5)\Delta m \). Adopting an optimistic value \( \Delta m = 0.1 \), it yields the error \( \Delta d_L^{(0)}/d_L^{(0)} \approx 0.05 \), but to achieve a few percent accuracy in the Hubble parameter, we need an unrealistically large number of samples of the order \( 10^6 \). In other words, for a reasonable number of \( 10^4 \) samples (see, e.g., [17,18]), the systematics in the averaged distance should be reduced to \( \Delta m < 0.01 \), which seems very difficult from the empirical calibration.

### III. GW STANDARD SIRENS

In this section, we consider the GW standard sirens as an alternative probe to measure the Hubble parameter from the dipole anisotropy of luminosity distance. The advantage of using the standard sirens observed by space-based GW detectors is that the expected number of sources (NS binaries) is much larger than that of the type Ia supernovae, and the sources are distributed deeply enough at higher redshifts. Moreover, the NS binary would be a clean GW source, and with DECIGO or BBO, the luminosity distance \( d_L(z, \mathbf{n}) \) can be accurately measured with less systematics.

#### A. Luminosity distance error

Let us first estimate the distance error of the standard siren, taking account of the instrumental noise of the GW detector. Possible systematic errors will be discussed later. In GW experiments, a direct observable is the waveform of the GW signal, and comparing it with a theoretical template, we not only determine the system parameters of GW source but also extract the cosmological information.

For a single binary system, the Fourier transform of the GW waveform is expressed as a function of frequency \( f \) [19,20],

\[
\tilde{h}(f) = \frac{A}{d_L(z)} M_{\star}^{5/6} f^{-7/6} e^{i \Psi(f)},
\]

where \( d_L \) is the luminosity distance, and the quantity \( M_\star = (1 + z) \eta^{3/2} M_i \) is the redshifted chirp mass with the total mass \( M_i = m_1 + m_2 \) and the symmetric mass ratio \( \eta = m_1 m_2/M_i^2 \). Here, the constant \( A \) is given by \( A = (\sqrt{5} \pi^{7/3})^{-1} \), which is multiplied by the factor \( \sqrt{15}/5 \) for a geometrical average over the inclination angle of a binary [21]. The function \( \Psi(f) \) represents the frequency-
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**FIG. 1.** Ratio of \( d_L^{(1)}(z) \) to \( d_L^{(0)}(z) \), in which the CMB dipole of \( |v_0| = 369.1 \pm 0.9 \) km/sec measured by Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) [16] is used.
dependent phase arising from the orbital evolution, and at
the order of the restricted 1.5 post-Newtonian (PN) ap-
proximation, it is given by [19,20]
\[
\Psi(f) = 2\pi f t_c - \phi_c - \frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{3}{128}(\pi M_c f)^{-5/3} \\
\times \left[ 1 + \frac{20}{9} \left( \frac{743}{336} + \frac{11}{4} \right) \eta^{-2/3}(\pi M_c f)^{2/3} \\
- 16\pi \eta^{-3/3}(\pi M_c f) \right],
\]
(13)
where \(t_c\) and \(\phi_c\) are the time and phase at coalescence,
respectively. The first term in the bracket in Eq. (13)
corresponds to Newtonian-order dynamics and the other
remaining terms represent the post-Newtonian-order cor-
rections in powers of \(v \sim (\pi M_c f)^{1/3}\). In principle, there
additionally appears a phase correction due to cosmic ex-
ansion, and the Hubble parameter \(H(z)\) can be also
measured from this term [1,22]. Although the inclusion
of the phase correction slightly changes the size of the
errors in binary parameters, it does not seriously affect
the estimation of the luminosity distance \(d_L\). In addition,
the sensitivity of the phase correction to the Hubble pa-
rameter is rather small. Thus, we may safely ignore the
phase correction due to cosmic expansion in the subse-
quent analysis.

In Eqs. (12) and (13), there are five unknown parameters
to be determined observationally, i.e., \(M_z, \eta, t_c, \phi_c,\) and
\(d_L\). Except for the luminosity distance, the four parameters
merely carry the information on the individual property of
the binary system. For simplicity, we consider the equal-
mass NS binaries with \(1.4 M_\odot\), which lead to \(M_z =
1.22(1 + z) M_\odot\) and \(\eta = 1/4\), and set the other parameters
to \(t_c = 0\) and \(\phi_c = 0\).

Since the GW observation can only determine the re-
dshifted chirp mass \(M_z\), the redshift of each binary has to be
measured from an electromagnetic counterpart. According
to Cutler and Holz [4], the angular resolution of BBO is
\(\sim 1-100\) arcsec, with which we can identify the host gal-
axy of the binary. We thus suppose that the redshift of any
binary system is obtained from the electromagnetic obser-
vations. Note that the Doppler effect by the local motion
also affects the redshifted chirp mass, and the dipole an-
isotropy might be measured through the spatial distribution
of the observed chirp mass if the intrinsic scatter in the mass
distribution of NS binaries is very small. The feasibility to
measure the dipole anisotropy from the chirp mass might be
interesting, but we need a more detailed study on the
formation history of NS binaries, and we here simply
ignore this effect in the parameter estimation.

The fundamental basis to estimate the distance error for
a single binary is the Fisher matrix formalism. The Fisher
matrix for a single binary is given by \[19,23\]
\[
\Gamma_{ab} = 4 \sum_{i=1}^{8} \text{Re} \int_{f_{\text{min}}}^{f_{\text{max}}} \frac{\partial \hat{h}_i(f) \partial \hat{h}_j(f)}{P(f)} df,
\]
(14)
where \(\partial \hat{a}\) denotes a derivative with respect to a parameter
\(\theta_a; M_z, \eta, t_c, \phi_c,\) and \(d_L\). The quantity \(\hat{h}_i(f)\)
represents the GW signal obtained from the \(i\)-th interferometer. Since two
independent signals are obtained for each cluster [24],
DECIGO has the eight interferometric signals in total,
each of which is supposed to have an identical detector
response and noise power spectrum \(P(f)\). The analytical fit
of noise spectrum [25] is given by
\[
P(f) = 4.21 \times 10^{-50} \left( \frac{f}{1 \text{ Hz}} \right)^{-4} + 1.25 \times 10^{-47} \\
+ 3.92 \times 10^{-49} \left( \frac{f}{1 \text{ Hz}} \right)^2 \text{ Hz}^{-1}.
\]
In Fig. 2, the noise spectrum of DECIGO is shown,
together with the evolutionary tracks of the NS binary
located at three different redshifts, \(z = 0.1, 1,\) and \(5\). In
each track, the symbols indicate the frequency at the 10, 3,
and 1 yr before the time of binary coalescence (from left to
right). In this respect, the lower cutoff of the frequency \(f_{\text{min}}\)
should be incorporated into the integration in Eq. (14), and
is given by the function of observation time \(T_{\text{obs}}\), as well as the
redshift and mass:
\[
f_{\text{min}} = 0.233 \left( \frac{1M_\odot}{M_z} \right)^{5/8} \left( \frac{1 \text{ yr}}{T_{\text{obs}}} \right)^{3/8} \text{ Hz}.
\]
Note that the coalescence frequency of the NS binary is
typically \(\sim \text{kHz}\), and thus the upper cutoff of the frequency
naturally arises from the noise curve. For the computa-
tional purpose, we set \(f_{\text{max}} = 100\) Hz.

Given the numerically evaluated Fisher matrix, the
marginalized 1-sigma error of a parameter, \(\Delta \theta_a\), is esti-
mated from the inverse Fisher matrix

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig2.png}
\caption{(color online). Sky-averaged DECIGO noise curve. (Arm angle 60° is taken into account.) Diagonal lines represent frequency evolutions of an NS-NS binary at \(z = 5\) (solid red line), \(z = 1\) (dotted green line), and \(z = 0.1\) (dashed blue line). Diamonds on the lines from the right to the left denote the frequency of the binary 1 yr, 3 yr, and 10 yr before the merger.}
\end{figure}
B. Accuracy of Hubble parameter

Given the uncertainty of the averaged luminosity distance for each binary, the accuracy of the Hubble parameter is estimated from Eq. (11), and with the ensemble over the $\Delta N(z)$ independent binary systems in the vicinity of the redshift $z$, we can get an improved constraint on the Hubble parameter at each redshift bin.

Here, to derive the measurement error of the Hubble parameter, we adopt the following fitting form of the NS-NS merger rate given by Ref. [26]:

$$n(z) = \hat{n}_0 r(z); \quad r(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + 2z & (z \leq 1) \\ \frac{2}{5}(5 - z) & (1 < z \leq 5), \\ 0 & (5 < z) \end{cases}$$

where the function $r(z)$ is estimated based on the star formation history inferred from the UV luminosity [27]. The quantity $\hat{n}_0$ represents the merger rate at present. Though it is still uncertain, we adopt the most recent estimate, $\hat{n}_0 = 10^{-6}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ yr$^{-1}$, as a reliable and confident estimate based on extrapolations from the observed binary pulsars in our Galaxy [28]. Then, the number of NS binaries in the redshift interval $[z - \Delta z/2, z + \Delta z/2]$ observed during $T_{\text{obs}}$, $\Delta N(z)$, is given by [26]

$$\Delta N(z) = T_{\text{obs}} \int_{z - \Delta z/2}^{z + \Delta z/2} dV_c(z') \frac{n(z')}{1 + z'}$$

where $dV_c$ means the comoving volume element defined as $dV_c(z) = 4\pi r^2(z)dz/H(z)$ with the comoving radial distance $r(z) = d_L(z)/(1 + z)$.

In Fig. 4, observed redshift distribution of NS binaries $\Delta N(z)$ is plotted, assuming the 3 yr observation and the redshift width $\Delta z = 0.1$. The total number of NS binaries is $\sim 10^6$, which is much larger than the expected number of type Ia supernovae. Note that the number of merger events increases with $T_{\text{obs}}$, and thus the accuracy of the Hubble parameter is improved by a factor $T_{\text{obs}}^{1/2}$. Combining this and the distance error in previous subsection, Fig. 5 shows the expected errors for the Hubble parameter measured from the dipole anisotropy. The three different error bars in each redshift bin represent the results from the 1-, 3-, and 10-yr observations (from large to small sizes). The figure implies that up to the redshift $z = 1$, the Hubble parameter can be accurately measured with a precision of 2–5%, 1–3%, and 0.7–1.5% for the observation time of 1, 3, and 10 yr, respectively. Even at $z = 2$, the Hubble parameter can be measured with a precision of 18%, 10%, and 6% for the
FIG. 5 (color online). The Hubble parameter calculated with our fiducial cosmological parameters (solid curve) and 1σ-error bars estimated in the cases that we use all binaries observed by DECIGO during the observation time, 1 yr (red), 3 yr (green), and 10 yr (blue). Long observation time corresponds to the smaller error bar.

observation time of 1, 3, and 10 yr, respectively. This is quite impressive in the sense that a GW standard siren has a nearly equal sensitivity to the Hubble parameter with other complementary methods such as BAO. Another noticeable point using the standard sirens is that we can trace the redshift evolution of Hubble parameter even at higher redshift \( z \gtrsim 1 \). Although the number of high-\( z \) NS binaries is highly uncertain, the standard sirens would be potentially powerful to probe the early-time cosmic expansion, and deserves further investigation.

IV. SYSTEMATIC ERRORS

So far, we have discussed the accuracy of the Hubble parameter taking only an account of the distance error associated with the instrumental noise. However, there are several effects which may systematically affect the measurement of dipole anisotropies in the luminosity distance, leading to increasing the error in the Hubble parameter. Among them, a dominant contribution may come from the gravitational lensing magnification induced by the matter inhomogeneities of large-scale structure along the line of sight (e.g., [29–32]), which systematically changes the luminosity distance to each binary system. Another important effect would be the peculiar velocity of the binary along the line of sight, which randomly contributes to measurement error via Doppler effect. These systematic errors to the averaged luminosity distance are summarized as

\[
\left( \frac{\Delta d_L^0(z)}{d_L^0(z)} \right)^2 = \sigma_{\text{inst}}^2(z) + \sigma_{\text{lens}}^2(z) + \sigma_{\text{pv}}^2(z),
\]

where \( \sigma_{\text{inst}} \) is the error associated with the GW experiment in Sec. III A, \( \sigma_{\text{lens}} \) is the lensing error, and \( \sigma_{\text{pv}} \) is the peculiar-velocity error.

There are several studies on the effect of lensing magnification, particularly focusing on the distance measurement from the type Ia supernovae. Holz and Linder [30] estimated the lensing error on the distance measurement by using Monte Carlo simulation, and, assuming the Gaussian form of lensing magnification probability, they derived a fitting formula for the systematic error. Later, the significance of the non-Gaussian tail has been recognized [33, 34], and it turns out that this effect reduces the lensing error by a factor of 1.5–2, compared to the Gaussian distribution. More recently, Hirata, Holz, and Cutler [33] adopted a log-normal distribution for the magnification probability and obtained the fitting formula for the (averaged) distance error:

\[
\sigma_{\text{lens}}(z) = 0.066 \left[ 1 - (1 + z)^{-0.25} \right]^{1.8}.
\]

In what follows, we adopt the lensing error in Eq. (20).

As for the peculiar-velocity error, the clustering of galaxies induced by the gravity leads to a coherent and/or virialized random motion, which gives rise to the Doppler effect and affects the determination of cosmological redshift via the spectroscopic measurement. In addition, binary barycentric motion itself in the host galaxy also leads to the Doppler effect, which causes random fluctuations in the luminosity distance. These two systematic effects can be of the same order and can be translated into the distance error as [35]

\[
\sigma_{\text{pv}}(z) = \left| 1 - \frac{(1 + z)^2}{H(z)d_L(z)} \right| \sigma_{v,\text{gal}}.
\]

Here, \( \sigma_{v,\text{gal}} \) is the 1-dimensional velocity dispersion of the galaxy. Taking account of the nonlinear effect of gravity, it often set to \( \sigma_{v,\text{gal}} = 300 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), mostly independent of the redshifts [36].

In Fig. 3, the distance errors for a single binary system from the lensing magnification, \( \sigma_{\text{lens}} \), and peculiar velocity, \( \sigma_{\text{pv}} \), are overlaid, together with the uncertainty from the GW observations. It turns out that the lensing magnification could dominate the distance error, and exceeds the error from the GW experiments at \( z \gtrsim 0.2 \). Thus, the lensing magnification could be potentially a main source of the distance error, and the accuracy of the Hubble parameter might be somewhat degraded.

In Fig. 6, the size of the measurement error for the Hubble parameter, \( \Delta H(z)/H(z) \), is plotted as function of redshift, taking account of all the systematics and the binary distribution. Compared with the case without lensing error (dotted curves), the resultant accuracy of Hubble parameter are degraded. Nevertheless, even including the lensing systematics, the Hubble parameter up to \( z \lesssim 1 \) can
be accurately measured with the precision 3–14%, 1.5–8%, and 0.8–4%, for $T_{\text{obs}} = 1\, \text{yr}$, 3 yr, and 10 yr, respectively.

Although the lensing effect is potentially crucial for the cosmological application of standard sirens, the technique to reduce the lensing effect has been recently exploited [33,34,37,38], and the feasibility of the method has been discussed. With an improved technique developed in the near future, the lensing systematics would be removed, and one could approach the limit determined by the instrumental noise.

We briefly comment on the uncertainty in the amplitude of dipole $v_0$. The current constraint on the motion of the local observer comes from the CMB observation, and the estimated error of $v_0$ directly affects the accuracy of Hubble parameter in Eq. (11). However, the current observation produces

$$\frac{\Delta H(z)}{H(z)} = \frac{\Delta |v_0|}{|v_0|} = 2.44 \times 10^{-3}.$$ 

Therefore, the systematics in the dipole from the CMB observation give a tiny contribution, and can be ignored.

Finally, note that the results obtained here rely on the fact that we can successfully identify the redshifts of all host galaxies. In practice, the identification would be as difficult as increasing the redshift, because galaxies at high $z$ are fainter and the time required for a spectroscopic measurement is much longer than that for low-$z$ galaxies. The resultant accuracy of the Hubble parameter measurement would be degraded, being proportional to $1/\sqrt{N(z)}$, where $N(z)$ is the number of galaxies in a bin at the redshift $z$. Thus, the measurement accuracy depends on the capability of galaxy redshift survey available in the future.

Another important issue is detector calibration, which would potentially affect the measurement accuracy of the luminosity distance. It is rather crucial not only for the Hubble parameter measurement, but also for the subtraction of the neutron star binary foreground in order to achieve the detection of an inflationary gravitational-wave background as a primary science goal of DECIGO/BBO. Although this is beyond the scope of this paper, the issue should be considered seriously and addressed in the future.

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we have shown that the redshift evolution of Hubble parameter can be measured by utilizing a large number of NS binaries observed by space-based GW detectors such as DECIGO and BBO. Although this method requires a large number of samples $10^5$–$10^6$ to measure $H(z)$ with the accuracy of a few %, DECIGO and BBO will detect enough numbers of samples up to high redshifts. Including the lensing magnification, the Hubble parameter as a function of redshift up to $z = 1$ can be determined with the accuracy of 3–14%, 1.5–8%, and 0.8–4%, for the observation time $T_{\text{obs}} = 1$, 3, and 10 yr, respectively. With a technique to remove the lensing magnification, we can further improve the accuracy by a factor of $\sim 2.7$ at $z = 1$. Although the feasibility of the method, particularly on the redshift identification of the host galaxies and the detector calibration, still needs to be investigated in more detail, the present method puts forward an interesting possibility to enlarge the science with standard sirens as a by-product, and it is complementary to other methodologies to measure the Hubble parameter.
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