Magnetodielectric effect in EuZrO₃

T. Kolodiazhnyi,^{1[,a](#page-0-0))} K. Fujita,² L. Wang,³ Y. Zong,² K. Tanaka,² Y. Sakka,³ and E. Takayama-Muromachi

1 *National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan*

2 *Department of Material Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University, Katsura, Nishikyo, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan*

3 *Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tenodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan and National Institute for Materials Science, 1-2-1 Sengen, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0047, Japan*

(Received 25 April 2010; accepted 3 June 2010; published online 21 June 2010)

Following recent report on antiferromagnetic ordering in EuZrO₃ we performed dielectric measurements of this material as a function of temperature and magnetic field. Dielectric constant of dense EuZrO₃ ceramics is 30.1 at 300 K. It gradually decreases upon cooling without any quantum paraelectric behavior; however, below $T_N = 4.1$ K it shows a pronounced drop that qualitatively resembles that observed in EuTiO₃. We report that dielectric constant of EuZrO₃ is magnetic field dependent. The magnitude of the magnetodielectric effect in both EuTiO₃ and $EuZrO₃$ is discussed in the light of the recently proposed coupling of the Eu–O–Eu superexchange interactions with electrons involved in partially covalent Ti(Zr)–O bond. © 2010 American Institute *of Physics.* [doi[:10.1063/1.3456730](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3456730)]

Recent interest in magnetoelectric materials has brought to light several compounds containing divalent europium. For example, EuTiO₃, a G-type antiferromagnet below Néel temperature, $T_N = 5.5$ K, demonstrates a strong magnetocapacitance effect. $1,2$ $1,2$ In view of very low electrical conductivity of EuTiO₃ at $T \le 60$ K, observed magnetocapacitance is attributed solely to the magnetic field dependence of dielectric constant, ε (*H*). Most recently, electric field induced magnetoelectric [co](#page-2-2)upling in $EuTiO₃$ has been reported by Shvartsman *et al.*³

Although theoretical support for magnetoelectric coupling in EuTiO₃ is abundant,⁴ a direct proof of magnetic dependence of the optical phonon $mode(s)$ has yet to be found. Recent analysis of the infrared active phonon modes in EuTiO₃ indicates that, similar to $SrTiO₃$, the (soft) phonon mode that contributes the largest dielectric weight to the phonon spectrum and presumably is responsible for the magnetoelectric effect, is Slater-type mode.⁵ Remarkably, this mode does not involve magnetically active (Eu^{2+}) ions, as opposed to the Last-type mode where Eu^{2+} ions vibrate against the $TiO₆$ octahedra. According to Cohen,⁶ the properties of the Slater-type mode in the $ABO₃$ perovskites with A=Sr, Ba, and Pb and $B = Ti$ are largely dependent on the overlap between the O 2*p* and *B* 3*d* orbitals, i.e., on the degree of covalency of the B –O bond. Recently, Goian *et al.*^{[5](#page-2-4)} have suggested that the magnetoelectric effect in $EuTiO₃$ can be understood in terms of the impact of Eu–O–Eu superexchange on the electron density involved in (partially) covalent Ti–O bonding. One way to examine this hypothesis is to find another $Eu^{2+}B^{4+}O_3$ perovskite with similar magnetic structure but different degree of covalency of the *B*–O bond.

Here we report on synthesis, crystal structure, and physical properties of $EuZrO₃$ perovskite with a special emphasis on its magnetodielectric effect. Until recently, $EuZrO₃$ was considered paramagnetic with a cubic (space group $Pm\overline{3}m$)

perovskite structure[.7](#page-2-6) Recently Viallet *et al.*[8](#page-2-7) and Zong *et al.*[9](#page-2-8) have revised the crystal structure of $EuZrO₃$ and found that it crystallizes in orthorhombic (space group *Pbnm*) symmetry similar to that of $SrZrO₃$. Most importantly, Zong *et al.*^{[9](#page-2-8)} found that EuZrO₃ develops an antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordering below $T_N \approx 4.1$ K. Although direct confirmation of the type of the AFM structure in $EuZrO₃$ has yet to be provided by neutron diffraction, the indirect evidence indicates that EuZrO₃ and EuTiO₃ are magnetically very similar. In particular, analysis of the sign and magnitude of the magnetic exchange constants, J_i , between nearest, J_1 , and nextnearest, J_2 , Eu^{2+} ions in EuZrO₃ (Ref. [9](#page-2-8)) favors the G-type AFM structure, similar to that found in $EuTiO₃$.^{[10,](#page-2-9)[11](#page-2-10)} On the other hand, one may expect the valence electronic structure of these two perovskites to be quite different. Namely, in contrast to partially covalent Ti–O bond in EuTiO₃, the Zr–O bond in EuZrO₃ is more ionic, similar to the case of $SrZrO₃$. This makes $EuZrO₃$ an important reference material for understanding of the magnetodielectric effect in Eu^{2+} -based perovskites.

 $EuZrO₃$ was prepared from $Eu₂O₃$ and $ZrO₂$ (both 99.9%) pure, sourced from Kanto Chemicals, Japan). The stoichiometric mixture of Eu_2O_3 and ZrO_2 was treated at 1300 °C for 20 h in pure hydrogen at a flow rate of 80 cm^3/min , with intermediate regrinding until a yellow, single phase $EuZrO₃$ product was obtained. Phase purity was confirmed by powder x-ray diffraction (Rigaku Ultima III x-ray diffractometer, $\lambda = 0.154$ 06 nm radiation. Lattice parameters were obtained from Rietveld refinement of the x-ray data using RIETAN 2000. Magnetic susceptibility in the 2–100 K range was measured using superconducting quantum interference devise (Quantum Design, MPMS, USA). EuZrO₃ ceramic with 98 % density was obtained by spark plasma sintering (SPS) (Dr. Sinter, SPS-1050, Japan) at 1450 °C under 130 MPa uniaxial pressure and base vacuum pressure of 2×10^{-2} Pa.¹² To release the residual lattice strain after SPS, the samples were annealed at 1300 °C in H_2 gas for 20 h. For dielectric measurements, Au electrodes were spattered

Downloaded 16 Jun 2011 to 130.54.110.72. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright; see http://apl.aip.org/about/rights_and_permissions

a)Electronic mail: kolodiazhnyi.taras@nims.go.jp.

FIG. 1. Room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern of EuZrO₃ (+). Calculated diffraction pattern from Rietveld refinement of $EuZrO₃$ (solid line). The vertical bars indicate the positions of expected Bragg peaks. The difference between observed and calculated data is shown at the bottom of the plot.

on the EuZrO₃ disks of 7 mm diameter and 1 mm thickness. Dielectric properties were measured with Agilent E4980 Precision LCR Meter in the temperature interval of 2.5–300 K and magnetic field of 0–80 kOe utilizing a home-made dielectric measurements probe coupled with the Physical Property Measurement System (QD, USA).

Powder x-ray analysis (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)) has confirmed orthorhombic (space group *Pbnm*) symmetry of EuZrO₃ with lattice constants $a = 5.8019(4)$, $b = 5.8236(5)$, and $c = 8.2051(9)$ Å. The x-ray pattern was refined to the reliability factor R_p $= 11.2\%$ in close agreement with Ref. [8.](#page-2-7) According to the lattice parameters, the absolute density of $EuZrO₃$ is 6.976 g cm−3.

Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility, χ , measured at $H=50$ Oe and shown in Fig. [2](#page-1-1) indicates an AFM phase transition at $T_N \approx 4.1$ K. Linear fit of the $\chi^{-1}(T)$ dependence yields a Weiss temperature $\theta_W \approx +0.1$ K. Ac-cording to Ref. [9,](#page-2-8) positive θ_W is a rare case that indicates coexistence of both ferromagnetic and AFM interactions in EuZrO₃. Remarkably, positive $\theta_W \approx +3.3$ K has also been found in EuTiO₃, thus supporting similar G-type AFM magnetic structure.

Since EuZrO₃ and SrZrO₃ are structurally identical with the difference in the lattice constants of $\approx 0.04\%$,¹³ one may expect similar dielectric properties. Indeed, room tempera-

FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of zero field cooled molar magnetic susceptibility, χ , and χ^{-1} of EuZrO₃. The AFM transition at $T_N \approx 4.1$ K is detected from the $\chi(T)$ anomaly. Linear fit of the $\chi^{-1}(T)$ dependence yields a positive Weiss temperature, $\theta_W \approx +0.1$ K.

FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of (a) dielectric constant, ε' and (b) dielectric loss, tan δ , of EuZrO₃ at selected frequencies $f=0.1, 0.3, 0.7, 1.7,$ 4.4, 11.3, 29.2, 75.3, 194, and 500 kHz.

ture ε' of EuZrO₃ determined in this work and that of $SrZrO₃$ reported in Ref. [13](#page-2-12) are 30.1 and 30.4, respectively. Dielectric [cons](#page-1-2)tant of $EuZrO₃$ is weakly temperature dependent [Fig. 3(a)] with $d\varepsilon' / dT \approx 6.8 \times 10^{-3}$ K⁻¹. The ε' gradually decreases from 30.1 at 300 K to 28.45 at 5 K with no indication of the quantum paraelectric behavior. This supports our original assumption of the predominantly ionic Zr–O bond which does not favor formation of the lowenergy (soft) phonon mode in EuZrO₃. Above 175 K, ε' shows low-frequency dispersion which, we believe, is attributed to the finite electronic conductivity also evidenced from the large tan δ values [Fig. [3](#page-1-2)(b)]. From the linear tan δ vs. ω^{-1} dependence (not shown) we conclude that above 175 K the imaginary part of the dielectric constant is dominated by the electronic conductivity, σ' , according to

$$
\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon' + i \frac{\sigma'}{\varepsilon_0 \omega},\tag{1}
$$

where ε_0 is the electric constant and ω is the angular frequency, $\omega = 2\pi f$.

The low-temperature behavior of ε' is shown in Fig. [4](#page-2-13)(a) as a function of applied magnetic field. Dielectric constant of EuZrO₃ at $H=0$ demonstrates an anomalous drop below T \approx 4 K, the temperature corresponding to the AFM phase transition. Pronounced change in the $\varepsilon'(T)$ dependence is found upon application of magnetic field. Low-*T* dielectric constant increases with magnetic field and saturates at ε' \approx 28.48 for *H* \geq 30 kOe. Qualitatively, magnetodielectric effect in EuZrO₃ is similar to that reported for EuTiO₃. On the quantitative scale, the magnitude of the magnetodielectric effe[cts](#page-2-0) in EuZrO₃ and EuTiO₃ is quite different. Katsufuji *et al.*¹ proposed a simple equation to describe the magnetodielectric effect as follows:

$$
\varepsilon'(H) = \varepsilon'(H = 0)(1 + \alpha \langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle),\tag{2}
$$

where $\varepsilon'(H=0)$ is dielectric constant at zero magnetic field, $\langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle$ is the spin pair correlation between nearest neighbor Eu^{2+} , and α is the coupling constant between spin correlation and dielectric constant. In order to estimate $\langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle$ in $EuZrO₃$, we have performed a mean-field calculation based on a Heisenberg model under an assumption that the 4*f* spins of $Eu^{2+}(S=7/2)$ are located on a simple cubic lattice with a G-type AFM ordering.⁹ The Heisenberg Hamiltonian of the spin system, with restriction to the nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor interactions, is given by

Downloaded 16 Jun 2011 to 130.54.110.72. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright; see http://apl.aip.org/about/rights_and_permissions

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Low-temperature behavior of dielectric constant of EuZrO₃ measured at 200 kHz in magnetic field of 0, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 60, and 80 kOe. (b) Spin correlation function for cubic $EuZrO₃$ calculated using a mean-field approximation.

$$
H = -2J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j - 2J_2 \sum_{\{i,j\}} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j,\tag{3}
$$

where S_i is the operator of the 4 f spin localized at the site *i*. The sums of the first and the second terms run over the nearest-neighbor $\langle i, j \rangle$, and the next-nearest-neighbor pairs $\{i, j\}$, respectively. In our calculation, we used $J_1 / k_B = -0.032$ K and $J_2 / k_B = 0.017$ K which yield T_N = 4.1 K and θ_W = 0.1 K, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Figure [4](#page-2-13)(b) shows temperature dependence of $\langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle$ calculated from the product of $\langle S \rangle$ on the two sublattices under different *H*.

From the data given in Figs. $4(a)$ $4(a)$ and $4(b)$ and using Eq. ([2](#page-1-3)), one finds for EuZrO₃ the magnetodielectric coupling constant $\alpha \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$, which is a factor of 27 lower than that of EuTiO₃ (i.e., $\alpha \approx 2.74 \times 10^{-3}$ $\alpha \approx 2.74 \times 10^{-3}$ $\alpha \approx 2.74 \times 10^{-3}$).¹

In conclusion, at low T , EuZrO₃ shows magnetodielectric effect whose features are qualitatively similar to that reported for EuTiO₃, albeit the former perovskite is not a quantum paraelectric. That said, the magnitude of the magnetodielectric coupling in $EuZrO₃$ is much smaller tha[n t](#page-2-4)hat of EuTiO₃. In accord with the hypothesis of Goian *et al.*⁵, we attribute this difference to stronger covalency of the Ti–O bond in EuTiO₃ which favors formation of the soft phonon mode which, in turn, facilitates coupling with the low-energy magnetic excitations.

This work has been supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research Grant No. 21560025 (T.K.) and Scientific Research on Priority Area Grant No. 22015011 (K.F.) from MEXT Japan.

- ¹T. Katsufuji and H. Takagi, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.054415)* **64**, 054415 (2001).
²S. Kamba, D. Nuzhnyv. B. Vaněk, M. Savinov. K. Knízek.
- ²S. Kamba, D. Nuzhnyy, P. Vaněk, M. Savinov, K. Knízek, Z. Shen, E. $\frac{1}{2}$ Santavá, K. Maca, M. Sadowski, and J. Petzelt, **[EPL](http://dx.doi.org/10.1209/0295-5075/80/27002) 80**, 27002 (2007).
- ³V. V. Shvartsman, P. Borisov, W. Kleemann, S. Kamba, and T. Katsufuji, **[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.064426) 81, 064426 (2010).**
⁴H Wu O ling and W Z She
- H. Wu, Q. Jiang, and W. Z. Shen, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.69.014104)* 69, 014104 (2004); R. Ranjan, H. S. Nabi, and R. Pentcheva, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/19/40/406217) **19**, 406217 (2007).
⁵V Goian S K
- ⁵V. Goian, S. Kamba, J. Hlinka, P. Vaněk, A. A. Belik, T. Kolodiazhnyi, and J. Petzelt, [Eur. Phys. J. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2009-00205-5) **71**, 429 (2009).
⁶ E. Cohan, Nature (London), **358**, 136 (1002)
- ^oR. E. Cohen, Nature ([London](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/358136a0)) **358**, 136 (1992).
- A^7 A. H. Shafer, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1714142) **36**, 1145 (1965).
- V. Viallet, J.-F. Marucco, J. Saint, M. Herbst-Ghysel, and N. Dragoe, [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2007.06.106) [Alloys Compd.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2007.06.106) **461**, 346 (2008).
- ⁹Y. Zong, K. Fujita, H. Akamatsu, S. Murai, and K. Tanaka, [J. Solid State](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2009.10.014) [Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2009.10.014) 183, 168 (2010).
- ¹⁰T. R. McGuire, M. W. Shafer, R. J. Joenk, H. A. Alperin, and S. J. Pickart, [J. Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1708549) 37, 981 (1966).
- ¹¹J. E. Greedan, C. L. Chien, and R. G. Johnston, [J. Solid State Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4596(76)90163-8) 19, 155 (1976).
- ¹²S. Grasso, Y. Sakka, and G. Maizza, [Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1468-6996/10/5/053001) **10**, 053001 (2009) .
- ¹³D. Souptel, G. Behr, and A. M. Balbashov, [J. Cryst. Growth](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0248(01)02393-4) **236**, 583 $(2002).$