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Secondary ion mass spectra have been measured for the first time for a liquid ethanol target bombarded by 2.0 MeV He$^+$ ions. Positive and negative ion spectra exhibit evidently a series of cluster ions of the forms $[(\text{EtOH})_n\text{H}]^+$ and $[(\text{EtOH})_n\text{H}]^-$, respectively, in addition to light fragment ions from intact parent molecules. It was found that these cluster ions are produced only from liquid phase ethanol. Both positive and negative secondary ion spectra show similar cluster size distributions with almost the same decay slope. We also present for the first time the cluster ion distribution emitted from the liquid at different liquid temperatures. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3367767]

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of collision interactions of fast charged particles with solid and liquid materials is important to achieve precise understanding of radiation effects induced in matter. In a fast ion impact, electronic excitation of target atoms is the predominant energy transfer process, and it may cause significant ionization in the target, leading to the emission of secondary particles from a target surface known as the sputtering. The sputtering phenomena have been extensively investigated to date by means of time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (TOF-SIMS). Nevertheless, it should be pointed out that most TOF-SIMS experiments have been limited to solid targets, and little is known about collision interactions involving liquid targets such as water, aqueous solutions, and alcohols. Investigation using actual liquid materials is undoubtedly essential to obtain direct information about surface and inner structures of liquids, as demonstrated in laser-liquid experiments.

For the purpose of full understanding of collision interactions in liquid, we developed recently a new experimental apparatus of accessing volatile liquid targets in vacuum using a technique of liquid molecular beam. New experimental data relevant to stopping power and TOF-SIMS have been obtained for the first time for water, ethanol, and NaCl solutions. For instance, in TOF-SIMS measurements for NaCl solutions, we found that the mass spectra are dominated by a series of cluster ions with intensities varying strongly depending on the concentration of NaCl in the solutions.

In this work we extend TOF-SIMS investigation to liquid ethanol C$_2$H$_5$OH, abbreviated to EtOH hereafter, bombarded by 2.0 MeV He$^+$ ions. This is because the liquid ethanol, as one of the most popular polar solvents, has been considerably investigated in radiochemistry by means of radiolysis or high pressure mass spectrometry, and various ion-molecule reactions are well understood. In addition, as the freezing point of ethanol is relatively low (158.65 K), the liquid molecular beam technique allows one, in principle, to investigate the temperature dependence of radiation effects in a broad range of the liquid temperature. Discussion is given on the formation and emission mechanisms of positive and negative secondary ions. Present results are compared with those obtained by UV lasers and with solid ethanol data obtained by keV ion impacts.

II. EXPERIMENT

The experiment was performed at the Quantum Science and Engineering Center heavy ion accelerator facility of Kyoto University. Figure 1 shows a schematic drawing of our collision chamber consisting of a liquid beam target source, a liquid nitrogen cold trap, and a TOF-SIMS system. A liquid beam target of ethanol (High-performance liquid chromatography grade, 99.5 vol. %) was produced by essentially the same method as described in previous papers. The liquid beam from an infusion pump designed for a liquid chromatography was injected vertically through a superfine nozzle (MUSASHI Engineering, Inc.) of 20 $\mu$m in diameter into the center of the collision chamber. The liquid injection
system was mounted on a XYZ stage in order to adjust and to keep the TOF alignment. The flow rate of 0.3 ml/min was used to keep the stability of liquid beam and a good vacuum condition in the collision chamber, which was evacuated by a 1200 l/s diffusion pump. The liquid beam was finally trapped by a liquid nitrogen cold trap positioned at about 6 cm downstream of the injection nozzle. An ambient pressure during injection of liquid was kept below $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ Torr.

A beam of 2.0 MeV He$^+$ ions from a van de Graaff accelerator was collimated by two two-dimensional slits to a rectangular of about 500 $\mu$m in vertical and 100 $\mu$m in horizontal directions. The incident beam was incident on the liquid beam target perpendicularly at a position of 1.0 mm downstream of the injection nozzle. Before entering the chamber, the incident beam was chopped by an electric deflector to achieve TOF coincidence measurements.

Positive and negative secondary ions were extracted into the TOF spectrometer installed perpendicularly with respect to both beams of He$^+$ and the target by applying a static electric field of 1.5 kV/cm. A repeller electrode and a flight tube were separated by 10 mm. After passing through a free flight region of 545 mm in length, secondary ions were detected by a pair of microchannel plates (MCPs). Applied voltages on the repeller electrode, the drift tube, and the MCP front plate were respectively 0.5, −1.0, and −3.0 kV for measurements of positive ions. Those for negative ions were −0.5, 1.0, and 5.0 kV, respectively. In order to reduce undesired background ions originating from evaporated gaseous molecules and to keep a good vacuum circumstance inside the spectrometer, we placed an aperture of 1 mm in diameter at the entrance of the flight tube. An overall mass resolution, $m/\Delta m$, was about 50. The MCP detection efficiency of secondary ions was corrected as described in Ref. 27.

The temperature of the liquid beam was estimated by calculation described in Ref. 8. Results are depicted in Fig. 2 as a function of the distance from the injection nozzle, showing a rapid decrease with increasing distance. Evidently, however, it does not reach the freezing point of 158.65 K even at 10 mm, implying that the phase transition does not take place in the present experiment. Consequently, we could safely investigate secondary ion emission at different liquid temperatures. This was achieved by moving the liquid nozzle vertically, while the relative positions of the TOF axis and the incident ion beam remained unchanged. TOF-SIMS measurements were carried out at the nozzle positions of 1.0, 3.0, and 5.0 mm, corresponding to the temperature of 245, 235, and 228 K, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

TOF mass spectra of positive and negative secondary ions emitted from liquid ethanol bombarded by 2.0 MeV He$^+$ ions are presented in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. Both spectra show clearly a series of large cluster ions in addition to fragment ions from ethanol molecules. These cluster ions can be assigned to protonated positive ions of [(EtOH)$_n$H]$^+$ and deprotonated negative ions [(EtOH)$_n$−H]$^−$.

Secondary ions may also be produced from gaseous molecules evaporated from liquid as well as from pure liquid phase molecules. Hence, we examined at first the origin of these secondary ions. For this examination, the incident direction of the He$^+$ beam was varied horizontally by an electrostatic deflector from 0° toward outside the liquid. At each beam direction we measured the intensity of secondary ions. Note that measurements were performed for positive ions. Results are shown in Fig. 5, where the intensities $I_i$ of various ions divided by $I_{31}$ (CH$_2$OH$^+$, $m/z=31$) are plotted as a function of the deviation of the incident beam from the liquid beam axis. Here, CH$_2$OH$^+$ is the dominant fragment ion produced from de-
composition of ethanol molecules. The subscript \( i \) stands for the value of \( m/z; i=1 (H^+), 15(CH^+_1), 47(\text{EtOH}^+_2), 93[(\text{EtOH})_2^+H]^+, \text{and 139}[(\text{EtOH})_3^+H]^+ \). All the data are normalized to unity at their peak maxima. Intensity distributions of fragment ions of \( H^+ \) and \( \text{CH}_3^+ \) are broad, while those of cluster ions are sharply confined within \( \pm 100 \mu \text{m} \). Note that the horizontal widths of the incident beam and the liquid target are about 100 and 20 \( \mu \text{m} \), respectively. From these findings it is concluded that the positive fragment ions originate predominantly from evaporated gaseous molecules, while a series of cluster ions is emitted only from the liquid beam target.

A. Fragment ions

Figure 6 depicts a lower mass region of positive ions shown in Fig. 3. These light fragment ions provide information about initial physical reactions followed by subsequent physicochemical and chemical reactions, as discussed in the following.

Distinctive peaks at \( m/z=1–3, 12–15, 16–19, 24–29, 28–31, \text{and 42–46} \) are assigned respectively to \( \text{H}_3^+ (n=1–3), \text{CH}_2^+ (n=0–3), \text{H}_2O^+ (n=0–3), \text{C}_2\text{H}_3^+ (n=0–5), \text{CH}_2O^+ (n=0–3), \text{and C}_2\text{H}_4O^+ (n=2–6) \). Note that the intensity of ethanol ion \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}^+ \), produced by ionization of a nonbonding oxygen electron, is substantially smaller than its fragment daughter ions. It is known that the ethanol ion is stable only when the energy transfer is sufficiently small.\(^\text{16}\) Hence, the present result implies that in fast ion impact, a large amount of energy is transferred during collisions, and various decomposition channels are opened as can be seen in the figure. In addition, \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}^+ \) is a free radical ion and may easily change to \( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{O}^+ \) via a \( \text{H}-\text{loss} \) reaction with surrounding molecules.

The relatively strong peak at \( m/z=43 (\text{C}_2\text{OH}_2^+) \) is produced by \( \text{H}_2\)-loss from \( \text{CH}_3\text{CHO}^+\text{H} \), while the ion \( \text{C}_2\text{OH}_3^+ (m/z=44) \) produced by \( \text{H}_2\)-loss from an ethanol ion is nearly missing. The same result is reported in other experiments of photoionization and electron impact ionization of gaseous ethanol molecules.\(^\text{17,18}\)

Oxonium ions \( \text{CH}_2\text{OH}^+ (m/z=31) \) are produced by \( \text{C–C} \) bond breakage of ethanol ions, leading to sequential production of \( \text{CH}_3 \) radicals. The \( \text{C–C} \) bond length of the ethanol ion is known to be about 0.25 \( \text{Å} \) longer than that of the neutral ethanol molecule.\(^\text{19}\) Consequently, the \( \text{C–C} \) bond breakage reaction is expected to be the most dominant reaction path of ethanol parent ions. A series of \( \text{CH}_n^+ \) ions (\( n=0–3 \)) of \( m/z=12–15 \) is also attributed to \( \text{C–C} \) bond breakage of ethanol parent ions.

The ions of \( m/z=29 \) are attributed to either \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2^+ \) produced via \( \text{C–O} \) bond breakage or \( \text{COH}^+ \) produced via \( \text{H}_2\)-loss from \( \text{CH}_2\text{CO}^+\text{H} \). The ions of \( m/z=24–27 \) are produced by \( \text{C–O} \) bond breakage and sequential \( \text{H}-\text{loss} \).

The peaks of \( m/z=16–19 \) are attributed to \( \text{H}_3O^+ (n=0–3) \) ions. Among these ions, \( \text{H}_2O^+ \) and \( \text{H}_3O^+ \) are formed by simple bond breakage and need bond rearrangement. Similarly, \( \text{H}_3^+ \) and \( \text{H}_4^+ \) ions are also produced through bond rearrangement and decomposition. It is noted that the intensity ratio between \( \text{H}_2^+ \) and \( \text{H}_3^+ \) is nearly the same as in the electron impact ionization.\(^\text{20}\)

The characteristics described above may be typical nature of chemical reactions of singly ionized molecules and seem essentially the same in both gas and liquid phase. In liquid phase, however, ion-molecule and intercluster reactions can also occur, and large cluster ions may also be produced besides small fragment ions. Furthermore, the above reactions occur preferentially along the projectile ion trajectory so that careful analysis of gas-liquid difference of fragment products provides useful information about physics and chemistry inside the ion track.

B. Positive cluster ions

We discuss here the formation process of positive cluster ions assigned to [(\( \text{EtOH} \))\(_2^+\text{H} \)]. At first the ion \( \text{EtOH}^+_2\text{H}^+ (m/z=47) \) is known to be produced by the following ultrafast proton transfer reactions:\(^\text{21}\)

\[
\text{EtOH}^+ + \text{EtOH} \rightarrow \text{EtOH}_2^+ + \text{EtO},
\]

\[
(\text{EtOH})_2^+ \rightarrow \text{EtOH}_2^+ + \text{EtO}.
\]

The exothermicity of proton transfer reactions leads to further decomposition of \( \text{EtOH}_2^+ \) such as

\[
\text{EtOH}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}^+ + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4, \tag{3}
\]

\[
\text{EtOH}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH}^+ + \text{CH}_4. \tag{4}
\]
\[
\text{EtOH}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_5^+ + \text{H}_2O, \tag{5}
\]
\[
\text{EtOH}_3^+ \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}^+ + \text{H}_2. \tag{6}
\]

The larger cluster ions, \((\text{EtOH})_n^+\) with \(n \geq 2\), are attributed to the following production paths:
\[
(\text{EtOH})_{n-1}^+ + \text{EtOH} \rightarrow (\text{EtOH})_n^+ + \text{EtO}, \tag{7}
\]
\[
(\text{EtOH})_{n+1}^+ \rightarrow (\text{EtOH})_n^+ + \text{EtO}. \tag{8}
\]

The former is a cluster growing reaction, while the latter is a cluster decomposition reaction. Furthermore, the following evaporation reaction might occur before/after emission into the vacuum:
\[
(\text{EtOH})_{n+1}^+ \rightarrow (\text{EtOH})_n^+ + \text{EtO}. \tag{9}
\]

\section*{C. Negative cluster ions}

Negatively charged cluster ions can be assigned to \([(\text{EtOH})_n^-\text{H}]^-\). As the primary collisions of energetic particles produce a large number of secondary electrons with energies up to a few tens of eV, these low energy electrons are thought to play an important role in the negative ion formation.

\(\text{H}^-\) and \(\text{O}^-\) ions are known to be produced by dissociative electron attachment (DEA) to condensed ethanol. In addition, production cross sections of \(\text{EtO}^-\) via DEA to ethanol molecules show several resonant peaks at electron energies from 2 to 8 eV. These facts indicate that the negative ions observed in our experiments may be produced through thermalization of secondary electrons inside the liquid. The electron attachment to ethanol clusters possibly produces deprotonated ethanol cluster anions,
\[
e^- + (\text{EtOH})_n \rightarrow (\text{EtOH})_{n-1}\text{EtO}^- + \text{H}. \tag{10}
\]

In addition, \(\text{O}^-\) anions react with ethanol molecules and produce deprotonated ethanol anions,
\[
\text{O}^- + (\text{EtOH})_n \rightarrow (\text{EtOH})_{n-1}\text{EtO}^- + \text{OH}. \tag{11}
\]

Fully thermalized electrons are known to form solvated electrons, \(e_{\text{solv}}^-\), by reorientation of surrounding solvent molecules. Free electrons and solvated electrons react with surrounding molecules and produce \([(\text{EtOH})_n^-\text{H}]^-\) ions, as follows,
\[
e_{\text{solv}}^- + e_{\text{solv}}^- \rightarrow 2\text{EtO}^- + \text{H}_2, \tag{12}
\]
\[
e_{\text{solv}}^- + \text{EtOH} \rightarrow \text{EtO}^- + \text{H}. \tag{13}
\]

The evaporative reaction, similar to reaction (9), may also contribute to the formation of negative cluster ions.

\section*{D. Size distribution of cluster ions}

Cluster size \((n)\) distributions of positive and negative ions are shown in Fig. 7 together with other experimental data obtained for liquid ethanol by UV laser irradiation\(^{10}\) and for condensed ethanol by keV ion impacts.\(^{25,26}\) Qualitatively to say, the intensity of cluster ions drops rapidly in laser irradiation, while those in keV ion impacts reveal hump structures at about \(n=3\) and decrease with increasing \(n\). It should be noted that this hump structure may be attributed to a feeding effect from metastable decomposition of larger cluster ions inside a rather long flight tube in the magnet sector type mass spectrometer used in their experiments.\(^{25,26}\) On the other hand, present results of both positive and negative ions show nearly the same exponential decay.

Experimental results described above may be interpreted within the framework of standard emission models of secondary particles. For instance, the cluster emission from liquid by laser irradiation is successfully explained by a Coulomb repulsion model, where positive charges are formed inside the target by photoelectron emission.\(^{11}\) The size distribution reflects the number of accompanying molecules in the course of Coulomb repulsive emission. Thus, larger cluster ions appear only weakly in their experiments.\(^{30}\) In this model, positive and negative ions are thought to be emitted from different cites inside the target. Thus, the size distribution may be different between positive and negative ions.

By contrast, equivalent distribution obtained in the present work implies that other emission model may be valid in MeV energy collisions. We examined our data with the thermal spike model used frequently for sputtering phenomena caused by energetic ion impacts.\(^{31,32}\) In this model, an electron-ion recombination energy is considered as a heat source in the ion track. A part of the electronic excitation energies is converted rapidly to kinetic motion of a molecule through the ultrafast reaction as described in Eq. (1). The remaining energy is also transferred gradually to phonon generation due to nuclear motion and various chemical reactions. Once the thermal equilibrium is achieved, the excess temperature-up distribution in space and time \(\tau\) is given by
\[
\Delta T = \frac{T_0}{1 + 4 \delta / R_C} \exp \left[ -\frac{r^2}{R_C^2 + 4 \delta t} \right], \tag{14}
\]
IV. CONCLUSIONS

By means of a liquid beam technique combined with TOF-SIMS, we performed a detailed investigation of collision interactions between 2.0 MeV He$^+$ ions and liquid ethanol. This is the first result of collision-induced secondary ion emission from liquid ethanol.

A series of large cluster ions is observed prominently in mass spectra, and they are certainly emitted only from liquid phase ethanol. On the other hand, light fragment ions from parent molecules are produced predominantly in surrounding gas phase ethanol.

The size distribution of cluster ions decreases exponentially with the same decay slope for both positive and negative ions. The decay slope is found to be smaller than those obtained in UV laser experiments for liquid ethanol. We also present the first preliminary results of liquid temperature dependence of the production of cluster ions. Finally, we stress that the present technique is a promising powerful tool for the study of collision interactions involving liquid materials and various collision reactions occurring on the time scale of picoseconds such as subsequent physicochemical reactions and ion track formation in volatile liquid targets.
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