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ABSTRACT

Background/Aims: Sept4, a subunit of the septin cytoskeleton specifically expressed in quiescent hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), is downregulated through transdifferentiation to fibrogenic and contractile myofibroblastic cells. Since Sept4−/− mice are prone to liver fibrosis, we aimed to identify the unknown molecular network underlying liver fibrosis by probing the association between loss of Sept4 and accelerated transdifferentiation of HSCs. Methods: We compared the transcriptomes of Sept4+/+ and Sept4−/− HSCs undergoing transdifferentiation by DNA microarray and quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis. Because Dickkopf2 (Dkk2) gene expression is reduced in Sept4−/− HSCs, we tested whether supplementing Dkk2 could suppress myofibroblastic transformation of Sept4−/− HSCs. We tested the involvement of the canonical Wnt pathway in this process by using a lymphoid enhancer-binding factor/transcription factor-luciferase reporter assay. Results: We observed consistent upregulation of Dkk2 in primary cultured HSCs and in a carbon tetrachloride liver fibrosis in mice, which was decreased in the absence of Sept4. Supplementation with Dkk2 suppressed the induction of pro-fibrotic genes (α-smooth muscle actin and 2 collagen genes) and induced an anti-fibrotic gene (Smad7) in Sept4−/− HSCs. In human liver specimens with inflammation and fibrosis, Dkk2
immunoreactivity appeared to be positively correlated with the degree of fibrotic changes. **Conclusions**: Pro-fibrotic transformation of HSCs through the loss of *Sept4* is, in part, due to reduced expression of *Dkk2* and its homologues, and the resulting disinhibition of the canonical Wnt pathway.

**Keywords**: septin, hepatic stellate cell, canonical Wnt pathway, Dkk (Dickkopf), myofibroblastic transformation, liver fibrosis

**Abbreviations**: HSCs (hepatic stellate cells), RT-PCR (reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction), α-Sma (α-smooth muscle actin), Dkk (Dickkopf), Fzd (Frizzled), LRP (low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein), Lef/Tcf (lymphoid enhancer-binding factor/transcription factor), DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium), FBS (fetal bovine serum), CCl₄ (carbon tetrachloride), DIV (day in vitro), sFRPs (secreted frizzled-related proteins), TGF-β (transforming growth factor β), SOCS7 (suppressor of cytokine signaling 7), NCK (non-catalytic region of tyrosine kinase adaptor protein)
1. Introduction

Chronic liver inflammation caused by viral infections, alcohol and other toxic chemicals, and metabolic disorders is accompanied by tissue remodeling and fibrosis. These interrelated pathological processes are major issues in hepatology because they are implicated in major clinical disorders, namely liver cirrhosis and carcinoma. Previous studies have highlighted hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) as pivotal players contributing to liver fibrosis [1, 2]. Upon liver damage, HSCs transdifferentiate into myofibroblastic cells by inflammatory cytokines released mainly from Kupffer cells (KCs). Transformed HSCs are distinct from quiescent HSCs in terms of their proliferative activity, contractility facilitated by $\alpha$-smooth muscle actin ($\alpha$-SMA) expression, and production of collagens and other extracellular matrices. Since these properties contribute to the pathogenesis of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis, the molecular mechanism underlying myofibroblastic transformation of HSCs has been a research focus in this field.

Septins are a family of cytoskeletal/scaffold proteins ubiquitously expressed in eukaryotic cells. Among the 13 septin genes shared by mice and humans, Sept4 is unique in that it is expressed in specific cells such as Bergmann glial cells in the
cerebellum, dopamine neurons in the midbrain, and spermatozoa. Loss of Sept4 causes disparate phenotypes in these systems [3, 4].

We previously reported that Sept4 is expressed exclusively in quiescent HSCs in the liver, it is strongly downregulated through transdifferentiation of HSCs in vitro, and Sept4 protein is undetectable in HSCs in human specimens with liver fibrosis. Since Sept4−/− mice are prone to liver fibrosis, the presence of Sept4 contributes to the suppression of myofibroblastic transformation of HSCs by unknown mechanisms [5]. In this study, we examined the accelerated transdifferentiation of HSCs in Sept4−/− mice attempting to identify the unknown molecular network underlying liver fibrosis and the physiological role of Sept4 in HSCs.

By comparing the transcriptomes of HSCs collected from Sept4+/+ and Sept4−/− mice, we found reproducible differences. Here, we focus on Dickkopf2 (Dkk2) and its homologues. We found that Dkk2 is expressed specifically in HSCs, that it is upregulated through myofibroblastic transformation in vivo and in vitro, and that the Dkk upregulation is diminished in Sept4+/− HSCs. Previous studies from other groups have shown that the canonical Wnt pathway promotes fibrosis of the liver, kidney, lung, and other tissues [6-9], which is countered by Dkks that interfere with the interaction between Wnt proteins, the cognate membrane-bound receptor Frizzled (Fzd), and
LRP5/6 (low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5/6) [10-12]. On the basis of the above information and our own data, we conclude that pro-fibrotic transformation of HSCs in the absence of Sept4 is, at least in part, due to the reduced upregulation of Dkk genes and the resulting disinhibition of the canonical Wnt pathway.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals

Characterization of Sept4−/− mice with a C57BL/6J background has been reported previously [3-5]. The protocol for animal handling was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Kyoto University.

2.2. Liver cell fractionation and cell culture

We fractionated HSCs from Sept4+/− or Sept4−/− male mice using a standard method with some modifications [5, 13]. In brief, we dispersed liver cells by infusing 0.025% Pronase E (Kaken Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) and 0.025% collagenase (Wako, Osaka, Japan) in SC-2 solution (137 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 0.57 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.85 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 10 mM HEPES, 4.2 mM NaHCO$_3$, and 3.8 mM CaCl$_2$ [pH 7.25]). The collected liver cells were centrifuged on an 8.0% Nycodenz (Nycomed
Pharma, Oslo, Norway) cushion. The density-separated HSCs were grown on uncoated dishes in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. The purity of HSCs was consistently more than 98%, as assessed by the presence of lipid droplets and autofluorescence of vitamin A. We isolated hepatocytes as previously described [14]. In brief, the liver cells dispersed by infusing 0.03% collagenase in SC-2 solution were centrifuged at 50 × g for 1 min. The cell pellet was washed twice by resuspending in GBSS-B solution (137 mM NaCl, 5.0 mM KCl, 1.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.28 mM MgSO₄, 0.84 mM NaH₂PO₄, 0.22 mM KH₂PO₄, 5.5 mM glucose, 2.7 mM NaHCO₃, and 1.5 mM CaCl₂ [pH 7.25]) and pelleting at 50 × g for 1 min. We grew the fractionated hepatocytes using the same method as for HSCs, except that the dishes were coated with type I collagen.

2.3. Experimental liver fibrosis induced by carbon tetrachloride

As previously reported [5], we injected carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) (1.0 µl/g body weight, 25% [v/v] in olive oil) or vehicle alone intraperitoneally into 6-week-old, male Sept4⁺/+ and Sept4⁻/⁻ mice, biweekly for 10 weeks.
2.4. Total RNA extraction and DNA microarray analysis

We prepared total RNA from HSCs at the 3rd day in vitro (DIV3) using a phenol–chloroform extraction method (TRIzol; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and monitored the quality of total RNA from the elution profile of 18S and 28S ribosomal RNA [15] using the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). We amplified RNA (aRNA) samples using a T7-RNA polymerase system (TargetAmp 1-Round Aminoallyl aRNA amplification kit; Epicentre, Madison, WI) and labeled the aRNA samples using Cy5 Mono-Reactive Dye Pack (GE Healthcare, England). We hybridized DNA microarray chips (3D-Gene Mouse Oligo chip 24k; Toray, Tokyo, Japan) with Cy-5-labeled aRNA samples at 37°C for 16 h and then washed the chips thoroughly. We scanned the hybridized chips and acquired chip image data using ProScanArray (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). The scanned image data were processed using Genepix Pro 4.0 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) to extract gene expression data, which were normalized using MATLAB software (Mathworks, Natick, MA) and a quantile normalization method [16]. For the above procedures, we followed the manufacturers’ protocols with some modifications. We have registered the microarray data with NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database under the accession number GSE24588.
2.5. Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction analysis

Total RNA was extracted from liver samples or fractioned liver cells using TRIzol and reverse transcribed into cDNA using Omniscript RT Kit (QIAGEN, Germantown, MD) with random primers (Invitrogen). We conducted quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) with gene-specific PCR primers (Table 1) and SYBR Green I Master reaction mix on a LightCycler 480 II (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland). We quantified the relative abundance of each gene product against 18S as internal controls with the LightCycler 480 software (ver. 1.5).

2.6. Immunoblot analysis

We homogenized and sonicated cells or tissues in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, and 10% glycerol), and centrifuged the homogenates at 15,000 × g for 10 min. After measuring the protein concentration and adding bromophenol blue (final concentration, 0.1%) and 2-mercaptoethanol (5%), each sample was separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (Hybond-P, GE Healthcare), and probed with primary antibodies (anti-Dkk2, anti-desmin,
anti-FLAG M2; SIGMA-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; anti-albumin, BETHYL; Montgomery, TX, anti-PECAM-1, anti-β-actin; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). After incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and chemiluminescence reaction with the ECL kit (GE Healthcare), we quantified the luminescence using an image analyzer, LAS-4000 (FujiFilm, Tokyo, Japan).

2.7. Construction of an expression plasmid for FLAG-Dkk2

We amplified the coding region of a mouse Dkk2 cDNA clone from the FANTOM collection (RIKEN) by PCR with a set of oligonucleotide primers, i.e.,

5′-GAGAGAAGCTTTTCACAGCTAGGCAGCTCG-3′ and
5′-CGGGATCCTCAGATCTTCTGGCATAC-3′. The HindIII-BamHI-digested fragment was inserted in-frame into the cognate sites of an expression plasmid, pFLAG-CMV1, (SIGMA-Aldrich) and the DNA sequence was confirmed. The FLAG-tag of this vector is preceded by a signal sequence for secretion and a signal peptidase cleavage site. We used the empty pFLAG-CMV1 plasmid as a control.

2.8. LX-2 cell culture, transfection, and FLAG-Dkk2 conditioned medium
We cultured $5.0 \times 10^5$ LX-2 cells [17] (a generous gift from Dr. Friedman and Dr. Ikeda) per 60-mm dish in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. After about 24 h, we transfected the cells with the FLAG-Dkk2 or empty plasmid using FuGENE6 (Roche Diagnostics). We collected the culture supernatant (conditioned medium) containing recombinant FLAG-Dkk2 or FLAG-tag ~72 h after transfection.

2.9. Immunoprecipitation

We incubated the culture supernatant of the transfected LX-2 cells with anti-FLAG M2 antibody (SIGMA-Aldrich), followed by adsorption with Protein G Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) for 2 h. After washing the beads 3 times with TNE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.8, 1% NP40, 0.15 M NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA), we subjected the immunoprecipitates to immunoblot analysis as described above (section 2.6.).

2.10. Luciferase assay

As described above (section 2.8.), we seeded $5.0 \times 10^4$ LX-2 cells into each well of 12-well plates and co-transfected the cells with the reporter plasmid TOP-Flash (Upstate; Millipore), which is designed to monitor the Lef/Tcf-mediated transactivation
of firefly luciferase (emission, 556 nm) [18], and the pGL4.74 [hRluc/TK] vector
(Promega, Madison, WI) encoding Renilla luciferase (emission, 480 nm) to evaluate the
transfection efficiency. After 24 h, we added the conditioned medium (final
concentration, >90%) containing FLAG-tagged mouse Dkk2 or FLAG-tag alone, or
recombinant Dkk2 (nominal concentration, 5 μg/ml [184 nM]; R&D SYSTEMS, Inc.,
Minneapolis, MN). At 48 h after addition of the conditioned medium, we measured the
activities of the 2 luciferases expressed in LX-2 cells using a Dual-Luciferase Reporter
Assay System (Promega) and a Fluoroskan Ascent FL (Thermo Scientific, Waltham,
MA), according to the manufacturers’ instructions. We normalized the data by dividing
the luminescence of the firefly luciferase by that of the Renilla luciferase. The
experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.11. Immunohistochemistry of human liver tissues

We procured human tissue specimens of livers afflicted with chronic hepatitis,
liver fibrosis, or liver cirrhosis from the Department of Surgery, Kyoto University
Hospital. All samples were obtained with the patients’ informed consent. We
immunostained paraffin sections with anti-Dkk2 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) as
described previously [5, 14] and observed them under a digital microscope (BZ-9000;
KEYENCE, Japan).

2.12. Statistical analysis

Quantitative data are expressed as mean ± SEM. For statistical analysis, we used Mann–Whitney’s U test and a general linear model with repeated measures.
3. Results

3.1. Comparative transcriptome analysis revealed significant reduction of $Dkk2$ expression in $Sept4^{-/-}$ HSCs

To investigate the molecular mechanism underlying the accelerated transdifferentiation of $Sept4^{-/-}$ HSCs [5], we compared the expression profiles of $Sept4^{+/+}$ and $Sept4^{-/-}$ HSCs cultured in vitro for 3 days (DIV3). DNA microarray analysis showed that the 2 expression profiles did not show a marked difference, but several genes were expressed aberrantly in $Sept4^{-/-}$ HSCs (Fig. 1, Tables 2A and 2B, Suppl. Tables 2A, 2B). Among the genes whose differential expression was confirmed by qRT-PCR (see below), we focused on $Dkk2$ whose expression was significantly reduced in $Sept4^{-/-}$ HSCs. $Dkk2$ is a member of the $Dkk$ gene family ($Dkk1$-$5$), each encoding a secretory Wnt antagonist that interacts with the Wnt-Fzd complex by binding to and internalizing LRP5/6, a co-receptor of Fzd [10-12]. Previous studies showed that some Dkks are implicated in the negative regulation of Wnt-mediated cell proliferation, inflammation, and/or pro-fibrotic reactions in diverse tissues, including the liver [8, 9, 19].

3.2. Loss of $Sept4$ suppresses upregulation of $Dkk1, 2, 3$ in an in vivo model of
hepatitis/fibrosis

We tested whether the reduced Dkk2 expression in cultured Sept4\(^{-/-}\) HSCs is an in vitro artifact or a pathologically relevant recapitulation of a molecular event during hepatitis and fibrosis. We applied the CCl\(_4\) model of hepatitis/fibrosis to Sept4\(^{-/-}\) and Sept4\(^{+/+}\) mice as reported previously [5], and quantified the expression levels of 3 major Dkk genes expressed in the liver by qRT-PCR. While the basal expression levels of Dkk1–3 were comparable between the 2 genotypes (controls in Fig. 2A-C), their upregulation after CCl\(_4\)-induced liver damage was diminished in the absence of Sept4. Concordantly, the reduction of Dkk2 upregulation in Sept4\(^{-/-}\) liver was observed at the protein level (Fig. 2D). Since Dkks are responsible for the suppressive modulation of Wnt-mediated inflammatory/fibrotic processes [8], their reduction in Sept4\(^{-/-}\) liver may contribute to the enhanced pathological reaction shown previously [5].

3.3. HSCs are the major source of Dkk1–3 in the liver

To identify the origin of upregulated Dkk1–3 mRNA in vivo, we fractionated wildtype mouse liver cells into 4 populations (i.e., hepatocytes, HSCs, endothelial cells [ECs.] and KCs) and measured the expression of Dkk1–3 at DIV3 by qRT-PCR. Dkk1–3 were almost exclusively expressed in HSCs, indicating that HSCs are the major source
of Dkk1–3 secreted in the liver, but hepatocytes and the other cell types are not (Fig. 3 A–C, and data not shown). Concordantly, immunoblot analysis showed that Dkk2 protein was almost exclusively expressed in HSCs, but not in hepatocytes, ECs, and KCs (Fig. 3D). Since Sept4 is also expressed exclusively in HSCs in the liver [5], the diminished upregulation of Dkk1–3 is likely to be a cell-autonomous, if not a direct, consequence of the loss of Sept4.

3.4. Loss of Sept4 suppresses robust upregulation of Dkk2/3 through myofibroblastic transformation of HSCs in vitro

So far, we have demonstrated that the upregulation of Dkk1–3 is an HSC-specific, pathologically relevant event that may antagonize the inflammatory/fibrotic processes mediated by the Wnt pathway. To test whether the 3 major Dkk genes are differentially regulated during myofibroblastic transformation, we examined the time course of their expression in HSCs cultured in vitro for 1–5 days by qRT-PCR (Fig. 4). Consistent with the in vivo results (Fig. 2), Dkk2 and Dkk3 were remarkably upregulated in this in vitro model, and more importantly, the upregulation was significantly diminished in Sept4−/− HSCs.
3.5. Dkk2 interferes with the canonical Wnt pathway in human HSC-derived cells

Because Dkks are secretory proteins that interact with the Wnt–Fzd complex [7, 20], it is important to test whether or not Dkks produced by HSCs can act on HSCs in an autocrine/paracrine manner. Since primary cultured HSCs are unsuitable for the following assay which requires a high transfection efficiency, we employed a human HSC-derived cell line, LX-2 [17]. We transfected LX-2 cells either with a FLAG-tagged mouse Dkk2 expression plasmid or with an empty (FLAG-tag alone) plasmid, which served as a control. The culture supernatant from each condition was collected 3 days after transfection, immunoprecipitated with an anti-FLAG M2 antibody, and immunoblotted for Dkk2. The immunoblot showed a 28-kDa polypeptide for FLAG-Dkk2 secreted into the medium (Fig. 5A).

To test whether Dkk2 could interfere with the canonical Wnt signaling pathway in HSCs, we transfected LX-2 cells with a TOP-Flash reporter plasmid, which is designed to monitor the activity of the canonical Wnt pathway through Lef/Tcf-mediated luciferase gene expression [18]. The reporter-transfected LX-2 cells were supplemented with the aforementioned conditioned medium with or without FLAG-Dkk2. Compared with the control medium, the FLAG-Dkk2-containing medium significantly suppressed the luciferase activity of LX-2 cells measured 2 days later (Fig. 5B). Taken together,
these data indicate that Dkks secreted from HSCs act on HSCs in an autocrine/paracrine manner and interfere with the canonical Wnt pathway, which is known to drive myofibroblastic transformation [9]. However, these data do not exclude the possibility that Dkks suppress the non-canonical Wnt signaling pathways in HSCs or act on other cell types in the liver.

3.6. Dkk2 interferes with pro-fibrotic transformation of Sept4−/− HSCs

On the basis of the above results, we examined whether supplementing exogenous Dkk2 could mitigate the accelerated fibrotic transformation of Sept4−/− HSCs. We isolated HSCs from Sept4−/− mice and cultured them with FLAG-Dkk2-containing or control medium. After 3 days, we measured the expression of representative markers by qRT-PCR: the pro-fibrotic genes α-Sma, Colα 1, and Col3α1 (the major collagen genes in the liver) and an anti-fibrotic gene, Smad7, which encodes an inhibitor of the transforming growth factor (TGF)-β /Smad3 pathway. The FLAG-Dkk2-containing medium downregulated the expression of α-Sma, Col1α1, and Col3α1, and upregulated the expression of Smad7 in Sept4−/− HSCs (Fig. 6). Concordantly, one-shot supplementation of recombinant Dkk2 (nominal concentration, 5 μg/ml [184 nM]) gave similar but less significant results, partly due to its low actual potency and/or rapid
clearance (Suppl. Fig 1). These data indicate that continuous supplementation with Dkk2 can partially suppress the myofibroblastic transformation of Sept4−/− HSCs by interfering with the canonical Wnt signaling pathway that transactivates pro-fibrotic genes and suppresses the expression of anti-fibrotic genes.

3.7. Status of Dkk2 in human HSCs under pathological conditions

We assessed the clinical relevance of our findings by determining the status of Dkk2 in pathological human liver specimens using immunohistochemistry. There was a gradual increment of Dkk2-immunoreactivity from normal liver tissue, hepatitis, mild fibrosis through to cirrhosis (Fig. 7A–D). Dkk2-positive cells were detected almost exclusively in the perisinusoidal spaces where HSCs reside, and appeared to increase in number as fibrosis progressed. These data conform to the hypothesis that the upregulation of Dkk2 in HSCs is a common counteractive mechanism against fibrotic processes in liver diseases.
4. Discussion

This study derived from an open question raised by our previous findings [5], which included the determination of the mechanism that renders Sept4−/− mice prone to liver fibrosis. Genome-wide expression profiling and qRT-PCR analysis revealed that a number of genes in HSCs are expressed differently in the absence of Sept4. We hypothesized that these include genes responsible for the fibrosis-prone phenotype. In this report, we focused on the reduced expression of the anti-fibrotic genes Dkk1–3 in Sept4−/− HSCs. Supplementation with recombinant Dkk2 partially corrected the aberrant gene expression profile in Sept4−/− HSCs by downregulating the pro-fibrotic genes α-Sma, Col1α1 and Col3α1 and upregulating the anti-fibrotic gene Smad7 (Fig. 6, Suppl. Fig. 1). These data indicate that the scarcity of Dkks is a major, if not the sole, determinant of the fibrosis-prone phenotype of Sept4−/− mice, partly resolving the initial question. Other differentially expressed genes include downstream targets of the canonical Wnt pathway (Suppl. Table 2A and B), although their contribution has not been assessed in this study.

The molecular network of Wnt signaling consists of 3 major pathways, i.e., the canonical Wnt/β-catenin pathway, the Wnt/Ca2+ pathway, and the planar cell polarity–convergent extension pathway. The canonical Wnt pathway plays a critical role...
in developmental morphogenesis and homeostatic tissue remodeling by controlling cell proliferation and differentiation [7, 11]. Hence, dysregulation of this pathway is known to cause cancer [21], aberrant tissue remodeling [22, 23], and fibrosis [6]. This pathway is activated when Wnt binds to its cognate receptor Fzd, which interacts with the glycogen synthase kinase-3 β-mediated phosphorylation and the subsequent degradation of β-catenin. When β-catenin translocates to the nucleus, it activates the transcription factor Lef/Tcf and alters the gene expression pattern. Dkks interact with the canonical Wnt pathway by binding to and facilitating the internalization of LRP5/6, a co-receptor of Fzd [10]. Among the 3 major Dkk family members expressed in HSCs, Dkk1 consistently antagonizes the canonical Wnt pathway, while Dkk2 can be either an antagonist or an agonist, depending on the presence or absence of another Wnt co-receptor, Kremen1/2 [24]. The expression of Kremen1/2 in HSCs (Suppl. Fig. 2) is consistent with the antagonistic function of Dkk2 in the canonical Wnt pathway (Fig. 5). Thus, Dkk1/2 may antagonize the canonical Wnt pathway in HSCs, though the function of Dkk3 is currently unclear.

Open questions also include the molecular mechanism that links loss of Sept4 and reduced transactivation of the Dkk genes (Fig. 8). Since Sept4 is a subunit of the septin heteropolymers associated mainly with other cytoskeletal and submembranous
components (see Fig. 1 [5]), its direct interaction with the transcription machinery is unlikely. In some human cell lines, however, depletion of the Sept7 subunit can release suppressor of cytokine signaling 7 (SOCS7) from septin heteropolymers in the cytoplasm, which promotes the translocation of the non-catalytic region of tyrosine kinase adaptor protein (NCK) to the nucleus and activates the DNA damage checkpoint machinery [25]. Thus, genetic loss of Sept4 could also indirectly alter nuclear events.

Another scenario similar to the scarcity of the dopamine transporter in Sept4−/− dopamine neurons [3] is that some proteins responsible for anti-fibrotic signaling could be destabilized by the loss of septin-based scaffolds in Sept4−/− HSCs. Narrowing down the molecular mechanism from these and other possibilities should give us a clearer picture of myofibroblastic transformation of HSCs in liver fibrosis.

Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2011.06.015.
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Figure legends

Figure 1: Comparative genome-wide expression profiling between Sept4+/+ and Sept4−/− hepatic stellate cells

Two-dimensional scatter plot showing comparative transcriptome data obtained using a DNA microarray system (23,474 probes; Mouse Oligo chip, Toray, Tokyo, Japan). Each dot represents the amount of mRNA obtained from Sept4+/+ hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) (horizontal axis) and Sept4−/− HSCs (vertical axis) on a log₂-based scale. The quality of mRNA and the reproducibility of these experiments are corroborated by compact clustering of the dots along the diagonal. The results were confirmed by triplicate measurements. The genes that prominently deviated from the diagonal are listed in Tables 2A and 2B. This study focused on Dkk2 whose reduced expression in Sept4−/− HSCs was confirmed by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) (see below).

Figure 2: Genetic loss of Sept4 affects induced expression patterns of Dkk genes in an in vivo model of hepatitis and liver fibrosis

(A–C) Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) showed that the basal expression level of the 3 major Dkk genes in the liver, Dkk1-3, were comparable between Sept4+/+ and Sept4−/− hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), whereas
their upregulation upon CCl₄-induced liver damage was diminished in the absence of Sept4. The data are shown as mean ± SEM (n = 6; Dkk1: p = 0.35, Dkk2: p = 0.037*, Dkk3: p = 0.005** by Mann–Whitney’s U test).

(D) A representative immunoblot image showing that a Sept4⁻/⁻ mouse liver with CCl₄-induced hepatitis and fibrosis contains significantly lower amounts of Dkk2 protein as compared with a wildtype (Sept4⁺/+ ) control. The data are shown as mean ± SEM (n = 4, p = 0.021* by Mann–Whitney’s U test).

**Figure 3: Hepatic stellate cells are the major source of Dkk1–3 in the liver**

(A–C) Hepatocytes and hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) were fractionated from wildtype mouse liver specimens, and Dkk1-3 expressions were measured by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The data from duplicate experiments are shown as mean ± SEM (n = 5, Dkk1: p = 0.006**, Dkk2: p = 0.006**, Dkk3: p = 0.005**).

(D) Immunoblot analysis showed that Dkk2 was abundant in the HSC fraction but not in the hepatocyte and endothelial cell plus Kupffer cell fractions.

**Figure 4: Dkk2/3 are upregulated upon myofibroblastic transformation of hepatic stellate cells and the induction is diminished by loss of Sept4**
The time course of $Dkk1−3$ expression in hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) cultured for 1–5 days was estimated by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The remarkable upregulation of $Dkk2$ and $Dkk3$ found in $Sept4^{+/+}$ HSCs was significantly diminished in $Sept4^{-/-}$ HSCs. Data from duplicate experiments are shown as mean ± SEM ($Sept4^{+/+}$: n = 6, $Sept4^{-/-}$: n = 4; $Dkk1$: p = 0.49, $Dkk2$: p < 0.020*, $Dkk3$: p = 0.057 by a general linear model with repeated measures).

Figure 5: Dkk2 can interfere with the canonical Wnt pathway of human hepatic stellate cell-derived LX-2 cells

(A) FLAG-tagged Dkk2 expressed in LX-2 cells and released to the culture supernatant was immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG M2 antibody and immunoblotted for Dkk2.

(B) LX-2 cells transfected with the TOP-Flash reporter plasmid were incubated with the conditioned medium containing FLAG-Dkk2 or FLAG alone and analyzed in a dual luciferase assay. FLAG-Dkk2 significantly suppressed the transactivation of the reporter gene encoding firefly luciferase, indicative of its interaction with the canonical Wnt pathway. Data from duplicate experiments are shown as mean ± SEM (n = 5, p = 0.033*).
Figure 6: Dkk2 interferes with myofibroblastic transformation of Sept4<sup>−/−</sup> hepatic stellate cells

Sept4<sup>−/−</sup> hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) were supplemented with FLAG-Dkk2, and the expressions of representative pro-fibrotic and anti-fibrotic markers were measured by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The pro-fibrotic markers α-Sma (p = 0.001), Col1α1 (p = 0.005), and Col3α1 (p = 0.001) were significantly downregulated in the presence of FLAG-Dkk2, while Smad7 (p = 0.011) was significantly upregulated. Data from duplicate experiments are shown as mean ± SEM (n = 6, *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01). In conjunction with the above results (Fig. 5), these data indicate that supplementation with Dkk2 can mitigate myofibroblastic transformation of Sept4<sup>−/−</sup> HSCs by interaction with the canonical Wnt signaling pathway that transactivates pro-fibrotic genes and suppresses the expression of anti-fibrotic genes.

Figure 7: Dkk2 is upregulated in human hepatic stellate cells under pathological conditions

Immunohistochemistry of Dkk2 in human liver specimens. Dkk2-positive cells
(brown stain) were detected almost exclusively in the perisinusoidal spaces where hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) reside. The level of Dkk2-immunoreactivity appeared to be positively correlated with the progression of fibrosis from normal liver tissue (A), HCV hepatitis (B), mild fibrosis (C) through to cirrhosis (D). These data conform to the idea that Dkk2 upregulation in HSCs is a common counteractive mechanism against fibrotic processes conserved across species.

Figure 8: **Sept4 is required to boost the induction of Dkks that counteract myofibroblastic transformation of hepatic stellate cells**

A schematic diagram showing the involvement of Sept4 in the molecular network underlying the liver fibrosis-prone phenotype of Sept4<sup>−/−</sup> mice. The canonical Wnt pathway promotes myofibroblastic transformation of HSCs by transactivating pro-fibrotic genes and suppressing anti-fibrotic genes, which is counteracted by Dkks. This study revealed the involvement of Sept4 as an epistatic factor that boosts the upregulation of Dkks by unknown mechanism. The missing link between Sept4 and Dkk induction has become a focus of future studies on the molecular pathophysiology of liver fibrosis.
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The image contains a series of bar graphs and a table. The graphs compare the mRNA ratios of different proteins in Hepatocyte and HSC conditions. The table lists the molecular weights (MW) of several proteins, including Dkk2, Desmin, Albumin, CD31, and β-Actin.
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Table 1: Primers used quantitative RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-Sma</td>
<td>GTCCCAGACATCAGGGAGTAA</td>
<td>TCGGATACTTCAGCGTCAGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S</td>
<td>AGTCCCTGCCCCCTTTGTACACA</td>
<td>CGATCCGAGGGCCTCACTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col1α1</td>
<td>GCTCCTCTTAGGGGCCACT</td>
<td>CCACGTCTCACCATGGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col3α1</td>
<td>CACCCTTCCTTCATCCCACTC</td>
<td>TCTCCAAATGGGATCTCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dkk1</td>
<td>CTCATCAATTCCAAACGCATCA</td>
<td>GCCCTCATAGAGAACTCCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dkk2</td>
<td>CTGATGCGGGTCAAGGATTCA</td>
<td>CTCCCCCTCCTAGAGGAGCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dkk3</td>
<td>CTCGGGGGAATTGCTTGCTGT</td>
<td>TCCTCCTGAGGGTAGTTGAGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smad7</td>
<td>GCATCTTCCTGCTCCCTC</td>
<td>CCGGTCTTCCCTTCTTTTTC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2A: Genes downregulated in Sept4−/− hepatic stellate cells (10 from the top)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>Control (Sept4+/+) (Log2)</th>
<th>Sept4−/− (Log2)</th>
<th>Fold Change</th>
<th>Gene Annotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dkk2</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.0640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ivl</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sept4</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ptgs2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Acta1</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tll1</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kctd11</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sprt19</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ahrr</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Kcnj5</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.317</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2B: Genes upregulated in Sept4−/− hepatic stellate cells (10 from the top)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>Control (Sept4+/+) (Log2)</th>
<th>Sept4−/− (Log2)</th>
<th>Fold Change</th>
<th>Gene Annotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nfat5</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Saa3</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Gm839</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cuedc1</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>9.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gpr160</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gpr103</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>9.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ntf3</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>8.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Akp2</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Slc10a6</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hsd3b2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend of Tables 2A and 2B

Comparative DNA microarray data of Sept4−/− and Sept4+/+ hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), showing representative genes whose expression were up- (A) and
downregulated (B) in Sept4−/− HSCs. All the data have been deposited to NCBI GEO under an accession number of GSE24588.
Supplemental Figure 1: Marginal suppression of myofibroblastic transformation of Sept4−/− hepatic stellate cells after supplementation with recombinant Dkk2

Sept4−/− hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) at day 1 in vitro (DIV1) were supplemented with recombinant Dkk2 (5 µg/ml [184 nM]) and the expression of representative pro-fibrotic and anti-fibrotic markers was measured 3 days later by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The pro-fibrotic genes α-Sma (p = 0.62), Col1α1 (p = 0.67), and Col3α1 (p = 0.46) were downregulated in the presence of recombinant Dkk2, while the anti-fibrotic gene Smad7 (p = 0.47) was upregulated. Although the differences were not statistically significant, the results were concordant with the FLAG-Dkk2 conditioned media experiment (Fig. 6). Data from duplicate experiments are shown as mean ± SEM (n = 6).

Supplemental Figure 2: Expression of Kremen1/2 in cultured hepatic stellate cells

(A, B) The expression of Kremen1/2 genes in Sept4+/+ and Sept4−/− mouse hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) at days 1 to 5 in vitro (DIV1−5) was assessed by quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Kremen1 was upregulated during myofibroblastic transformation, which was slightly blunted in the absence of Sept4 (p = 0.12, a general linear model with repeated measures). Kremen2 was not
induced in this model (p = 0.83). Data from duplicate experiments are shown as mean ± SEM (n = 4 or 6).

(C, D) Kremen1/2 expression in Sept4−/− HSCs supplemented with FLAG-Dkk2 was quantified by qRT-PCR. FLAG-Dkk2 tended to suppress Kremen1 expression (p = 0.15, Mann-Whitney’s U test), while enhancing Kremen2 expression (p = 0.38).

Supplemental Figure 3: The culture supernatant of transfected LX-2 cells contained FLAG-tagged Dkk2 protein

FLAG-tagged Dkk2 expressed in LX-2 cells and released to the culture supernatant was immunoprecipitated with an anti-Dkk2 antibody and immunoblotted for the FLAG epitope. This is a reciprocal experiment of Fig. 5A.
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