Abstract—We report physical architectures and mathematical models for electric-power management of multiple homes. The notion of home is a unit of small-scale electric power system close to consumers and corresponds to an entity of the physical architecture for electric-power management. The home includes own energy source, energy storage, residential load, power conversion circuits, and control systems. An entire power system consists of multiple homes that are interconnected via a distribution network and are connected to the commercial power grid. By applying a technology of grid-connected inverters for each home, we show that the mathematical model derived for the power system has a coupling structure similar to that in the multi degree-of-freedom swing equations for analysis of multi-machine power systems. Numerical simulations of the model allow us to define nominal dynamics of the power system, in which the supercritical Hopf bifurcation and associated sustained oscillations in frequency and active power are identified under a realistic setting of model parameters.

I. INTRODUCTION

Background.—Energy distribution has been traditionally managed and regulated by regional power and gas suppliers. However, because of the complex physical dynamics due to multiple scales in time and space, this is a very challenging task, especially on the demand side. Many researchers in science and technology begin intensive efforts to overcome these challenges through the integration of information, communications, energy, power, and control technologies. The integration begins at the smallest grid inside the home, then moves to building units, and finally reaches the local area. There are many ongoing research projects on energy distribution such as the so-called Smart Grid vision in the United States [1]. Thus, it is widely recognized that demand side management is the key enabler to accomplishing the energy distribution in a stable, resilient, and energy-efficient way.

Our Research Project.—Currently, we are developing a methodology and tools for electric-power management of multiple homes, as a part of the research project Integrated Technology of Information, Communications, and Energy [2]. One aim of the project is to develop a new energy technology integrated with the highly-developed ICT (Information and Communications Technology) that achieves a future energy-efficient life of consumers without loss of their quality-of-life (QoL). The notion of home used above is a unit of small-scale power system close to consumers and corresponds to an entity of the physical architecture for electric-power management that we propose in this paper. The home can consist of own energy source, energy storage, residential load, power conversion circuits, and control systems. Examples of the energy source are photovoltaic (PV) array, micro-cogeneration plant, and fuel cell. Typical examples of the energy storage are the system of Lithium-Ion batteries and electric vehicle connected to a home. An entire power system includes such homes that are interconnected via a distribution network and are connected to the commercial power grid. Practical examples of the power system are shown in Fig. 1 and include a small community with multiple individual houses. In our part of the project, by controlling electric-power flows in one home and between different homes, we intend to achieve a novel electric-power management that does not affect the commercial power grid as well as the QoL. The goal of our part is to validate the methodology and tools in practical situations of residential buildings. This is similar to the development of smart community such as the Amsterdam Smart City [3].

Purpose and Contributions.—The purpose of this paper is to describe the idea, approach, and preliminary results on electric-power management of multiple homes. Within the architecting process for the electric power system, it needs to determine several types of architectures—in the language of the monograph [4], functional, physical, technical, and dynamic operational—and to analyze their integration. In this paper, we address a physical aspect and mathematical modeling for the electric-power management. The contributions of this paper are threefold. First, we introduce physical architectures of the electric power system with multiple homes. A key point of these architectures is to apply the technology of bi-directional, single-phase grid-connected
inverters that is proposed in [5]–[7] and termed in [7] as the synchronous inverter. This application makes it possible to maintain frequency synchronization, which is a dynamical analogue of synchronous generators, and to enhance stability of the entire power system against a disturbance. We will term the inverter circuit as the interface circuit. Second, we develop a mathematical model to represent slow dynamics of the power system. The slow dynamics correspond to time responses of frequency and active power in the mid-term regime (order of seconds to hours). Due to the design of the interface circuit, we show that the developed model has a coupling structure similar to that in the multi degree-of-freedom swing equations for transient stability analysis of multi-machine power grids [8]–[10]. Third, we present an example of the slow dynamics of the power system in a realistic setting of parameters. The setting is based on commercial residential buildings and power conditioning systems in Japan. Numerical simulations of the mathematical model allow us to define nominal dynamics of the power system, in which the supercritical Hopf bifurcation and associated sustained oscillation in frequency and active power are identified. Also we will indicate that the nominal dynamics may induce a nonlinear propagation of the uncertain input of a renewable energy source to the active power output of each home.

Organization.—In Section II we introduce physical architectures for electric-power management of multiple homes. In Section III we develop a mathematical model to represent slow dynamics of the power system with $N$ homes. In Section IV we present a preliminary result on numerical simulations of the slow dynamics in the case of three ($N = 3$) homes. Section V concludes this paper with a summary and future work.

II. PHYSICAL ARCHITECTURES

In this section, we introduce control objectives for the electric-power management and describe physical architectures of the electric power system with multiple homes. In the language of [4], the physical architecture is at minimum a node-arc representation of physical resources and their interconnections; also the architecture comprises entities and the structure of relationships and interfaces between them. Following these, we describe an in-home power system as the entity, an inter-home distribution network as the structure of relationships, and an interface circuit as the interface between them.

A. Control Objectives

Our target level to control electric-power is in the range of one-home to multiple-home capacities, that is, a few kW to a few dozen kW. In this target level, there are three control objectives that should be achieved in our management. The first objective is to realize new functionalities of electric-power management using the ICT. Examples of them include the delivery of electric-power between different homes and the application of control mechanisms driven by market and energy-efficiency policies. The second one is to maintain stability of the electric power system with multiple homes.

Because the notion of stability is very broad [11], it needs to use appropriate definitions for stability of interest. Since the first purpose implies the occurrence of non-static flow in the power system, steady-state and dynamic stability of frequency and voltage are required to be maintained. The third objective is to enhance reliability of the entire power system. This includes the tasks of keeping the quality of electric-power, of reducing the rate of accidents, and of imposing the zero reverse flow to the commercial grid. For the quality task, it is possible to utilize the control ability of grid-connected inverters [12].

Note that these objectives are present for the so-called Microgrid [13], [14]. The Microgrid is being developed as an entity of the conventional power grid and is a unit of small-scale power system with own renewable energy source, storage device, and load. Compared with this, there are several different features of the power system which we address in this paper. First, the target level of our management is lower than that of most Microgrid projects [14]. Second, the spatial area of the target power system is in the range of a few dozen homes and is narrower than these projects. Lastly, we control electric-power at the demand side close to home consumers and contribute total energy-efficiency in the current electricity infrastructure.

B. In-home Architecture

Figure 2 shows the physical architecture of the in-home power system which we propose in this paper. This consists of an energy generation unit (photovoltaic array, micro-cogeneration plant, fuel cell, etc.), an energy storage unit (secondary Lithium-Ion battery, electric vehicle, etc.), in-home ac and dc loads, and power conversion circuits. The dc equipment is connected at a single dc bus. The power system is connected to the outside of the home. The connection is the two ways of electricity: one is direct to the in-home ac load, and the other is the interface circuit. We apply a technology of uni-directional, single-phase grid-connected
in inverters to the interface circuit between the in-home dc system and the ac system. This technology is based on [5]–[7]. In [5], [6], the authors propose a new control method for dc/ac conversion (inverter) that connects a PV array with the commercial ac grid. The control method uses a Voltage-Controlled Oscillator (VCO) that adjusts the frequency of inverter output and achieves frequency synchronization. In [7] the authors propose the synchronous inverter and show that it enables the mutual synchronization of frequency as well as phase and the enhancement of stability in a grid-connected system. The interface circuit has main two roles for the control of electric-power: one is the exchange of power between the in-home dc system and the ac system, and the other is the enhancement of stability in the connected power system.

C. Inter-home Architecture

Figure 3 shows the physical architecture of the electric power system with multiple homes. The \( N \) homes are interconnected locally and connected via a low-voltage distribution network and connected to the commercial power grid.

All of the assumptions except for 5) are reasonable for developing mathematical models of slow dynamics in the power system. The assumption 5) implies no battery control in the power system and may be sometimes crucial to the control objectives. In the current paper we address dynamics of the power system without control, and in a forthcoming paper we will present a mathematical model of the battery control. Table I is a list of variables and parameters that we use in this paper.

Here we provide the basic idea of mathematical modeling for the electric-power management. For each component in the homes, we provide a mathematical description of the relationship between the dc system voltage \( e_{dc} \) and an output current. Denote by \( i_{pv} \), \( i_{batt} \), \( i_{load} \), and \( i_{dc} \) the (normalized) photovoltaic output current, the battery output current, the load input current, and the dc current: see Fig. 4. The capacitor current is also denoted by \( i_c \). In Fig. 4 the Kirchhoff’s current law gives the following equality: at any moment \( t \),

\[
i_{pv}(t) + i_{batt}(t) = i_{load}(t) + i_{dc}(t) + i_c(t),
\]

(1)

where from the assumption 5),

\[
i_{batt}(t) = 0.
\]

(2)

The relationship between \( e_{dc} \) and \( i_c \) is simply the following:

\[
C \frac{de_{dc}}{dt} = i_c.
\]

(3)

A. Photovoltaic Generation Unit

Figure 5 shows the simple circuit model of a PV cell that we use in this paper. This circuit model consists of the ideal dc current source \( i_{ph} \), the ideal diode, the shunt resistance \( R_{sh(pv)} \), and the series resistance \( R_{es(pv)} \). The parameter \( i_{ph} \) is the photoelectronic current produced in the cell and can change randomly due to the uncertain weather change. In
this model, we have the following relationship between the output current \(i_{pv(c)}\) and the cell terminal voltage \(e_{pv(c)}\):

\[
i_{ph} = I_0 \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{e_{pv(c)} + i_{pv(c)}R_{sh(pv)}}{V_T} \right) - 1 \right\} + \frac{e_{pv(c)} + i_{pv(c)}R_{sh(pv)}}{R_{sh(pv)}} + i_{pv(c)},
\]

where \(I_0\) is the reverse bias saturation current, and \(V_T\) is the thermal voltage at temperature \(T\) in Kelvin. The effect of the series resistance \(R_{sh(pv)}\) is negligible because its normal value is very small. Let us read \(i_{pv}\) as the output current of a PV array that consists of \(N_p\) cells in series and \(N_s\) cells in parallel. Thus \(i_{pv}\) is represented as

\[
i_{pv} = N_p i_{pv(c)} = N_p \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{e_{dc}}{N_sV_T} \right) - 1 \right\} - \frac{e_{dc}}{N_sR_{sh(pv)}}.
\]

where we used the direct relation \(e_{pv(c)} = e_{dc}/N_s\) under an additional assumption of the PV array in which each cell has a common value of terminal voltage. Note that the dc/dc converter is normally introduced to adjust the output voltage \(N_s e_{pv(c)}\) of the PV array. In the current modeling, we implicitly suppose that no dc/dc converter for the PV voltage \(N\) cell has a common value of terminal voltage. Note that the additional assumption of the PV array in which each

\[
\text{C. Interface Circuit}
\]

Simply we consider the in-home load as a constant power load, which is denoted by \(p_{load}\). Then the load input current \(i_{load}\) is represented as

\[
i_{load} = \frac{p_{load}}{e_{dc}}.
\]

The parameter \(p_{load}\) can change due to the time-dependent, uncertain profile of power consumption in homes. In the profile, appliance loads are very discontinuous.

\[
\text{D. Inter-home Distribution Network}
\]

In this modeling, we address slow dynamics of frequency and active power whose time scale is sufficiently large compared with the alternative signal (namely, 20 ms or 16.7 ms). In this case, the inter-home distribution network can be modeled in a framework of quasi-static phasor representation. For given cutset matrix \(C\) and branch admittance matrix \(Y_{branch}\) of the distribution network, we derive the admittance matrix \(Y\) as \(C Y_{branch} C^\top\). The symbol \(\top\) stands for the transpose operation of vectors and matrices. Thus we have \(I = Y \tilde{V}\), where \(\tilde{I} := (\tilde{I}_1, \ldots, \tilde{I}_N, \tilde{I}_{N+1})^\top\) is the output current vector of the \(N\) interface circuits and the commercial grid \((N+1)\), and \(\tilde{V} := (\tilde{V}_1, \ldots, \tilde{V}_N, \tilde{V}_{N+1})^\top\) is the voltage vector at the linkage points of the \(N\) interface circuits and the commercial grid. What we want now is the relation between \(\tilde{I}\) and the
output voltage vectors \( \dot{E} := (\dot{E}_1, \ldots, \dot{E}_N, \dot{E}_{N+1})^T \) of the \( N \) interface circuits and the commercial grid. Here let us define the vector of linkage impedance from each home to the network as \( Z_{\text{link}} \). Then we have

\[
\dot{E} = \text{diag}(Z_{\text{link}}) \dot{I} + \dot{V}.
\]

By using \( \dot{I} = Y \dot{V} \) we obtain the relation between \( \dot{I} \) and \( \dot{E} \) as follows:

\[
\dot{I} = (G + jB) \dot{E},
\]

with

\[
G + jB := (Y \text{diag}(Z_{\text{link}}) + l_{N+1})^{-1} Y,
\]

where \( l_{N+1} \) stands for the identity matrix of size \( N + 1 \). Both \( G \) and \( B \) are real-valued, symmetric matrices with constant entries. The relation (12) is used for the calculation of the term \( p_k \) of active output power from home \#\( k \) \((k = 1, 2, \ldots, N)\):

\[
p_k := \text{Re}[\dot{E}_k \dot{I}_k^*]
\]

\[
= G_{kk} \dot{E}_k^2 + \sum_{l=1,l \neq k}^{N+1} E_k E_l \{G_{kl} \cos(\delta_k - \delta_l) + B_{kl} \sin(\delta_k - \delta_l)\},
\]

where \( G_{kl} \) stands for the matrix entry in the \( k \)-th row and \( l \)-th column. This is why the term \( p_k \) is a function of phase angles \( \delta_k \) in all the homes.

### E. The Full Model

As a result, we have the following full model that represents the dynamics of frequency and active power in the \( N \) homes: for home \#\( k \) \((k = 1, \ldots, N)\),

\[
\frac{d\delta_k}{dt} = \Delta \omega_k,
\]

\[
\frac{d\Delta \omega_k}{dt} = \frac{K}{C} \left( i_{pv,k} - \frac{p_{\text{load},k}}{e_{dc,k}} - \frac{p_k}{e_{dc,k}} \right),
\]

\[
e_{dc,k} = E_0 + \frac{\Delta \omega_k}{K},
\]

\[
i_{pv,k} = N_p \left[ i_{\text{ph},k} - I_0 \left\{ \exp \left( \frac{e_{dc,k}}{N_a V_T} \right) - 1 \right\} - \frac{e_{dc,k}}{N_a R_{\text{sh}(pv)}} \right],
\]

where the term \( p_k \) of the active power output is presented in (14).

Because of the design of interface circuit, we show that the full model (15) has a coupling structure similar to that in the multi degree-of-freedom swing equations for transient stability analysis of multi-machine power grids [8]–[10]. Under the parameter setting in Sec. IV, the model (15) has a particular structure of strong neighbor coupling and weak long-range effect, which has been extensively studied in [10], [16]–[18]. However, compared with [16]–[18], there is one different feature of the model (15) in which the anti-symmetric coupling term, \( G_{kl} \cos(\delta_k - \delta_l) \), exists. The term comes from a non-zero resistive element in the inter-home distribution network, whose value is compatible with the reactance element in a low-voltage line: see Tab.I. In [19] the author proves that there is no energy function for a multi degree-of-freedom swing equation if its degree is more than two, and it has an anti-symmetric coupling term like above. The existence of energy function implies no (bounded) oscillatory attractor in the swing equation. In this way, we suggest that the slow dynamics described by the model (15) are likely non-integrable.

### IV. Nominal Dynamics

In this section, we present an example of the slow dynamics in the case of three \((N = 3)\) homes. The corresponding electric power system is shown in Fig.7. Since the current model does not fully include control systems, the associated dynamics are regarded as an uncontrolled pure case. Understanding the pure case allows us to define nominal dynamics of the power system that are not only regulated by control but also used for the purpose of electric-power management. The developed model possesses many uncertain parameters that include the injection current of the PV array and the load profile. We numerically investigate the slow dynamics under a change of the parameter of the PV array. The setting of parameters for simulations is given in Tab.I.

#### A. Modeling of a Ladder Network

Before simulations we compute the conductance matrix \( G \) and the susceptance matrix \( B \). Consider the inter-home distribution network with the ladder topology shown in Fig.8. The parameters \( R, X, R_{\infty}, X_{\infty}, R_L, X_L, \) and \( X_T \)
are constant and given in Tab. I. For the network, the cutset matrix $C$, the branch admittance matrix $Y_{\text{branch}}$, and the linkage impedance vector $Z_{\text{link}}$ are the following:

\[
C = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
Y_{\text{branch}} = \text{diag}\left((R+jX)^{-1}, (R+jX)^{-1}, (R+jX)^{-1}\right),
\]

\[
Y = CY_{\text{branch}}C^T,
\]

\[
Z_{\text{link}} = j(X_L,X_L,X_L,X_T)^T.
\]

With these, the two matrices $G$ and $B$ are computed in (13); see Tab. II.

B. Steady-state Characteristics

First, we consider steady-state characteristics of the electric power system with the three homes. Fig. 9 shows the values of phase angles $\delta_k$ under an equilibrium of the dynamical system (15). The solid lines represent the stable equilibriums, and the dashed lines the unstable equilibriums. The values of $\delta_k$ monotonically increase as the photoelectric current $N_{p,\text{iph}}$ increases. At $N_{p,\text{iph}} = 0.316$, the stability of the equilibrium changes due to the supercritical Hopf bifurcation.

Fig. 9. Change of the values of the phase angles $\delta_k$ under an equilibrium of the dynamical system (15). The solid lines represent the stable equilibriums, and the dashed lines the unstable equilibriums. The values of $\delta_k$ monotonically increase as the photoelectric current $N_{p,\text{iph}}$ increases. At $N_{p,\text{iph}} = 0.316$, the stability of the equilibrium changes due to the supercritical Hopf bifurcation.

C. Short-term and Long-term Responses

Next, we focus on short-term dynamics caused by a change of the photoelectric current $N_{p,\text{iph}}$. In the previous sub-section, it is shown that the stability of the equilibrium is lost due to the change of $N_{p,\text{iph}}$. The parameter $N_{p,\text{iph}}$ is governed by the uncertain weather condition and can change suddenly; see [21]. This implies a possibility of the destabilization of the steady operating condition of the power system. Fig. 10 shows short-term step responses of the active power output $p_k$ when $N_{p,\text{iph}}$ suddenly changes at $t = 0$. In the upper figure, $N_{p,\text{iph}}$ changes from 0.1 to 0.2, and in the lower figure it changes from 0.3 to 0.4. The step response in the lower figure grows gradually and finally reaches a sustained oscillation.

Fig. 10. Short-term step responses of the active power output $p_k$ when the photoelectric current $N_{p,\text{iph}}$ suddenly changes at $t = 0$. In the upper figure, $N_{p,\text{iph}}$ changes from 0.1 to 0.2, and in the lower figure it changes from 0.3 to 0.4. The step response in the lower figure grows gradually and finally reaches a sustained oscillation.
time duration in Fig. 11. This time series is regarded as an uncertain input to the dynamical system (15). This viewpoint of the dynamical system comes from the idea of uncertainty propagation in nonlinear systems [22]. Fig. 12 shows the long-term responses of the active power output \( p_k \) against the time series of \( N_{p,\text{ph}} \). We see intermittent behaviors in the course of long-term dynamics that do not appear in the time series of \( N_{p,\text{ph}} \). They result from a combination of the Hopf bifurcation and the uncertain input. While the value of \( N_{p,\text{ph}} \) is larger than the bifurcation value, the slow dynamics tend to converge to a stable equilibrium (see the upper figure of Fig. 10). On the other hand, while \( N_{p,\text{ph}} \) is smaller than the bifurcation value, the dynamics do not settle down to any equilibrium and sometimes grow (see the lower figure of Fig. 10). This data suggests a possibility of complex behaviors caused by a combination of uncertain renewables and nominal nonlinear dynamics of an electric power system.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We reported physical architectures and mathematical models for electric-power management of multiple homes. Numerical simulations of the models provided a part of nominal dynamics of the electric power system with three homes. Due to the supercritical Hopf bifurcation and associated sustained oscillations, we indicate that the nominal dynamics may induce a nonlinear propagation of the uncertain input of the PV array to the active output power of each home. Follow-up studies to these are as follows: (i) validation of the proposed models by real data acquisitions; (ii) further analysis of the nonlinear propagation using practical data such as in [21] and other uncertain parameters such as house loads; (iii) design of technical and dynamic operational architectures for our management goal; (iv) their theoretical analysis including automatic verification of stability [23]; and (v) mathematical modeling of battery control.
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### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Value fixed</th>
<th>Normalized Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e_{dc,k}</td>
<td>DC voltage input to the interface circuit in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_{dc,k}</td>
<td>DC current input to the interface circuit in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e_{PV,c,k}</td>
<td>Voltage output of the PV cell in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_{PV,c,k}</td>
<td>Current output of the PV cell in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{k}(pv)</td>
<td>Internal series resistance in the PV cell model</td>
<td>0 Ω</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{shk}(pv)</td>
<td>Internal shunt resistance in the PV cell model</td>
<td>10 Ω</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_{ph,k}</td>
<td>Photoelectric (solar-induced) current of the PV cell model in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_0</td>
<td>Reverse bias saturation current of the PV cell model</td>
<td>1.0 × 10^{-15} A</td>
<td>6.17 × 10^{-17}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Elementary charge</td>
<td>1.602176487(40) × 10^{-19} C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_B</td>
<td>Boltzmann constant</td>
<td>1.3806504(24) × 10^{-23} J/K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Temperature (in Kelvin)</td>
<td>300 K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_T</td>
<td>Thermal voltage k_B T/q</td>
<td>0.0258 V</td>
<td>6.97 × 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_p</td>
<td>Number of the PV cells connected in a series way</td>
<td>480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_p</td>
<td>Number of the PV cells connected in a parallel way</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_{batt}</td>
<td>Current flowing from/to the battery</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_{load,k}</td>
<td>Current input to the load in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{Load,k}</td>
<td>Power consumed in the load of home #k</td>
<td>0.0 (k = 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 (k = 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 (k = 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ_k</td>
<td>Phase angle of the interface circuit in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ_0</td>
<td>Phase angle of the commercial power grid (infinite bus)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω_{inv,k}</td>
<td>Angular frequency of the PWM reference signal in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω_0</td>
<td>Nominal angular frequency</td>
<td>2π × 60 Hz</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δω_k</td>
<td>Derivation of the angular frequency ω_{inv,k} relative to ω_0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_0</td>
<td>Nominal value of the dc system voltage e_{dc,k} in home #k</td>
<td>370 V</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Gain constant of the Voltage-Controlled Oscillator (VCO), defined as ω_0/E_0</td>
<td>1.109 rad/s/V</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Smoothing capacitance in the interface circuit</td>
<td>10 mF</td>
<td>85.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i_{c,k}</td>
<td>Capacitor Current of the interface circuit in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_k</td>
<td>Active power output of the interface circuit in home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_{link}</td>
<td>Linkage impedance vector for the network-reduced model, defined in (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Conductance matrix for the network-reduced model, defined in (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{branch}</td>
<td>Branch admittance matrix of the inter-home distribution network</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Admittance matrix of the inter-home distribution network, given as CY_{branch} C \top</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Υ; V_k</td>
<td>Voltages vector at N linkage points; Voltage at the linkage point to home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E; E_k</td>
<td>Voltages vector at the interface circuits for N homes; Output voltage of the interface circuit at home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I; I_k</td>
<td>Currents vector at the interface circuits for N homes; Output current of the interface circuit at home #k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_{link}</td>
<td>Linkage impedance vector for N homes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Conductance matrix for the network-reduced model, defined in (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Susceptance matrix for the network-reduced model, defined in (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R + jX</td>
<td>Line impedance between nearest neighbor homes</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-2} Ω (R)</td>
<td>4.5 × 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 × 10^{-2} Ω (X)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 × 10^{-4}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{dc} + jX_{dc}</td>
<td>Line impedance connected to the commercial power grid</td>
<td>3.0 × 10^{-2} Ω (R_{dc})</td>
<td>4.5 × 10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 × 10^{-2} Ω (X_{dc})</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 × 10^{-3}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_T</td>
<td>Transformer reactance</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X_L</td>
<td>Linkage reactance between a home and the distribution network</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G_{kl} // B_{kl}</th>
<th>l = 1</th>
<th>l = 2</th>
<th>l = 3</th>
<th>l = 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>k = 1</td>
<td>0.7038</td>
<td>0.0239/15.066</td>
<td>-0.3764/14.480</td>
<td>-0.1113/4.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k = 2</td>
<td>0.353/5</td>
<td>-0.0619/14.923</td>
<td>-0.326/4.500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k = 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5866</td>
<td>-0.1483/4.782</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k = 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.885/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


