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ABSTRACT Biosensors based on the principle of Förster (or fluorescence) resonance energy transfer (FRET) have shed new light on the spatiotemporal dynamics of signaling molecules. Among them, intramolecular FRET biosensors have been increasingly used due to their high sensitivity and user-friendliness. Time-consuming optimizations by trial and error, however, obstructed the development of intramolecular FRET biosensors. Here we report an optimized backbone for rapid development of highly sensitive intramolecular FRET biosensors. The key concept is to exclude the “orientation-dependent” FRET and to render the biosensors completely “distance-dependent” with a long, flexible linker. We optimized a pair of fluorescent proteins for distance-dependent biosensors, and then developed a long, flexible linker ranging from 116 to 244 amino acids in length, which reduced the basal FRET signal and thereby increased the gain of the FRET biosensors. Computational simulations provided insight into the mechanisms by which this optimized system was the rational strategy for intramolecular FRET biosensors. With this backbone system, we improved previously reported FRET biosensors of PKA, ERK, JNK, EGFR/Abl, Ras, and Rac1. Furthermore, this backbone enabled us to develop novel FRET biosensors for several kinases of RSK, S6K, Akt, and PKC and to perform quantitative evaluation of kinase inhibitors in living cells.

INTRODUCTION Förster (or fluorescence) resonance energy transfer (FRET) is a process of nonradiative energy transfer between donor and acceptor fluorophores (Jares-Erijman and Jovin, 2003). This process depends on the proper spectral overlap of the donor emission and acceptor excitation, the distance between them, and the relative orientation of the fluorophore’s transition dipole moments (Miyawaki, 2003). With the advent of a myriad of fluorescent proteins (FPs), genetically encoded biosensors based on FRET (hereafter referred to as FRET biosensors) have been increasingly used to visualize the activities of cellular signaling molecules such as Ca²⁺, phospholipids, small GTPases, protein kinases, and so forth (Miyawaki, 2003; Aoki et al., 2008). These FRET biosensors have contributed to our understanding of the spatiotemporal dynamics of signaling molecules in living cells, which could not be adequately investigated using the techniques of conventional biochemistry.

The genetically encoded FRET biosensors are classified into two types: intramolecular (or unimolecular) FRET biosensors and intermolecular (or bimolecular) FRET biosensors (Miyawaki, 2003). The former contain both donor and acceptor FPs within a single biosensor, whereas the latter consist of a pair of molecules conjugated with a donor FP and an acceptor FP, respectively. To date, intramolecular FRET biosensors have been widely used in cell biology due to the following advantages: high signal-to-noise ratio, easy loading of the biosensor into the cells, and simple ratiometric image analysis (Miyawaki, 2003; Aoki et al., 2008).
A serious disadvantage of the intramolecular FRET biosensors is that it is difficult to render them highly sensitive. Although several research groups have generated a number of FP variants that are optimized for FRET applications (Karasawa et al., 2004; Rizzo et al., 2004; Nguyen and Daugherty, 2005), the development of FP pairs for FRET is still one of the greatest challenges in FRET biosensor development (Li et al., 2006). Even if we could choose optimal FP pairs, there would remain the harder task of designing the composition of domains to be included in the biosensors. The FRET efficiency of intramolecular biosensors is influenced primarily by the distance and the relative orientation of the two fluorophores (Jares-Erijman and Jovin, 2003; Nagai et al., 2004). Because these two parameters are hard to predict, the developers are forced to spend large amounts of time in optimizing the biosensors by trial and error.

To circumvent these problems, we exploited an optimized backbone of intramolecular FRET biosensors. We first optimized the FP pairs and then developed a long, flexible linker that markedly increased the gain of FRET signals. This new backbone enabled us to improve the previously reported FRET biosensors of PKA, ERK, JNK, EGFR/Abl, Ras, and Rac1 and to develop new FRET biosensors for RSK, S6K, Akt, and PKC Ser/Thr kinases in a short period of time. Furthermore, by using cells stably expressing a FRET biosensor, we quantitatively and rapidly evaluated the effect of several kinase inhibitors on ERK activity.

RESULTS

Strategy for rational design of intramolecular FRET biosensors

To accelerate the development of the intramolecular FRET biosensors, we attempted to provide an optimized backbone structure. The prototype FRET biosensor used in this study comprises 1) a sensor domain and a ligand domain connected by a flexible linker, and 2) cyan and yellow FPs (CFP and YFP) serving as a donor and an acceptor, respectively (Figure 1A). The sensor domain changes its conformation upon perception of the signal. This sensitized sensor domain interacts with the ligand domain, thereby inducing a global change of the biosensor conformation and concomitant increase (or decrease in some cases) of the FRET efficiency from the donor to the acceptor.

The FRET efficiency of the intramolecular FRET biosensor is dependent primarily on the distance and the relative orientation of the donor and the acceptor (Miyawaki, 2003). Although the “orientation-dependent” FRET biosensor may be able to exhibit higher sensitivity than does the “distance-dependent” FRET biosensor (Jares-Erijman and Jovin, 2003), we could hardly predict and control the orientation of the donor and the acceptor for the development of an optimal FRET biosensor because we usually do not know the three-dimensional structures of the biosensor of which the sensor domain is bound (“ON”) and not bound (“OFF”) to the ligand domain. Thus the main job of our intramolecular FRET biosensors is to eliminate the orientation-dependent FRET and to render the biosensors completely distance-dependent with a long, flexible linker.

Before the evaluation of FRET biosensors described in this study, we will define the technical terms related to their performance. In the present FRET biosensors, the CFP and YFP variants are mostly used as the donor and the acceptor, respectively; therefore we will describe CFP and YFP as the default donor and the acceptor, respectively. FRET is detected by ratiometry (Jares-Erijman and Jovin, 2003): Cells are excited at a 440 nm wavelength, and the ratio of fluorescence intensity of the YFP channel (FRET) versus fluorescence intensity of the CFP channel (CFP), FRET/CFP, is used to represent the level of FRET ON state. Here, the “dynamic range” of the FRET biosensor is the theoretical range of FRET/CFP in the ON state biosensor and that in the OFF state (Figure 1C). In practical use, the change of activity or concentration of the molecule is monitored by the change of FRET/CFP after stimulation. This “gain” of the FRET signal is the relative increase or decrease in FRET/CFP after stimulation and is expressed as a percentage of the FRET/CFP value before stimulation. Therefore the gain of a FRET biosensor expressed in a certain cell type depends on both the dynamic range of the FRET biosensor and the increase in the fraction of the ON state after stimulation versus that before stimulation (Figure 1C). Meanwhile, “sensitivity” of FRET biosensors denotes a concentration of stimulants that increases the FRET/CFP value to 50% of the dynamic range (Figure 1C).

Optimization of FRET donor and acceptor pairs

We first optimized the donor and acceptor FPs in the distance-dependent intramolecular FRET biosensor. The prototype biosensor is based on the structure of a PKA activity sensor, AKAR3 (Allen and Zhang, 2006), which contained a consensus peptide of PKA phosphorylation (Zhang et al., 2001) and the phosphate binding domain of FHA1 (Figure 2A). We initially used a 72-amino-acid (a.a.) polyglutamate linker used in an ERK activity sensor, EKAR, as a flexible long linker (Harvey et al., 2008). We tested teal fluorescent protein (TFP)- and CFP-derived FPs, including enhanced CFP (ECFP), Turquoise-GL, and CyPet, as donor FPs, and we examined YFP-derived FPs, including Venus, circularly permuted Venus mutants, mCitrine, and YPet (see Materials and Methods and Supplemental Table S1), as acceptor FPs. The gain of the biosensors was quantified in HeLa cells. The relative increase or decrease in activity or concentration of the molecule is monitored by the change of FRET/CFP after stimulation.
cells stimulated with dibutyryl-cyclic AMP (dbcAMP), a membrane-permeable cAMP analogue. Except for the biosensor containing cp50Venus as the acceptor, the FRET/CFP ratio was increased upon dbcAMP stimulation for 10 min. The gain in FRET/CFP is represented with the SD (n > 5).

**Optimization of the length of flexible linkers**

Previously we showed that the basal GTP/GDP ratio of the Ras FRET biosensor was markedly larger than that of the endogenous Ras protein, implicating that the close proximity of the sensor and ligand domains could increase the proportion of FRET biosensors in the OFF state. Second, the long linker might reduce the fraction of ON state FRET biosensors upon dbcAMP stimulation for 10 min. The gain in FRET/CFP is represented with the SD (n > 5).
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**Effect of long linkers on the FRET gain.**

(A) Scheme of the PKA or ERK activity sensor consisting of YFPs (donor), a FHA1 or WW phosphopeptide binding domain (ligand domain), a 72-Gly linker, a PKA or ERK substrate (sensor domain), CFPs (acceptor), and nuclear export signal (NES). (B) HeLa cells expressing AKAR3 with various pairs of FPs as indicated were stimulated with 1 mM dbcAMP for 10 min. The gain in FRET/CFP is represented with the SD (n > 5). (C) HeLa cells expressing EKAR with various pairs of FPs as indicated were stimulated with 10 ng/ml EGF for 10 min. The gain in FRET/CFP is represented with the SD (n > 5).

**Optimization of pairs of FPs for distance-dependent FRET biosensors.**

The observed decrease of FRET/CFP before stimulation could be caused by two mechanisms. First, the long linker might reduce the distance between CFP and YFP in the OFF state. Second, the long linker could increase the proportion of FRET biosensors in the basal state. To clarify which mechanism pertained, we separated the phosphorylated FRET biosensor from the nonphosphorylated one in HeLa cell lysates with Phos-tag immunoblotting analysis with an anti-GFP antibody and a fluorescence-tagged secondary antibody. Positions of phosphorylated (p) and nonphosphorylated biosensors (np) are indicated on the right of the representative gel image. Bottom, average values of the fraction of phosphorylated biosensors are shown with SD for three independent experiments. P value was calculated by a one-tailed paired t-test.

**FIGURE 2: Optimization of pairs of FPs for distance-dependent FRET biosensors.**

(A) Scheme of the PKA or ERK activity sensor consisting of YFPs (donor), a FHA1 or WW phosphopeptide binding domain (ligand domain), a 72-Gly linker, a PKA or ERK substrate (sensor domain), CFPs (acceptor), and nuclear export signal (NES). (B) HeLa cells expressing AKAR3 with various pairs of FPs as indicated were stimulated with 1 mM dbcAMP for 10 min. The gain in FRET/CFP is represented with the SD (n > 5). (C) HeLa cells expressing EKAR with various pairs of FPs as indicated were stimulated with 10 ng/ml EGF for 10 min. The gain in FRET/CFP is represented with the SD (n > 5).

**FIGURE 3: Effect of long linkers on the FRET gain.**

(A) Scheme of the PKA activity sensor consisting of YPet and ECFP. (B) HeLa cells expressing AKAR3 with a linker of various lengths were imaged by FRET microscopy to obtain the basal FRET/CFP. Each dot corresponds to the value from a single cell (n > 5). Horizontal bars are the mean values. (C) HeLa cells expressing a PKA sensor as indicated were stimulated with 1 mM dbcAMP for 10 min. The gain in FRET/CFP is represented with the SD (n > 5). (D) HeLa cells expressing AKAR3 with 52, 84, or 116 a.a. length of linker were stimulated with 1 mM dbcAMP or 1 mM dbcAMP and 50 nM Calyculin A for 10 min. Top, cell lysates were subjected to Phos-tag immunoblotting analysis with an anti-GFP antibody and a fluorescence-tagged secondary antibody. Positions of phosphorylated (p) and nonphosphorylated biosensors (np) are indicated on the right of the representative gel image. Bottom, average values of the fraction of phosphorylated biosensors are shown with SD for three independent experiments. P value was calculated by a one-tailed paired t-test.
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Mathematical validation of the Eevee backbone system

To understand the mode of action of the Eevee backbone, we built and simulated a mathematical model of distance-dependent intramolecular FRET biosensors (see Supplemental Information). Computer simulations suggested that linker length and FP dimerization exerted distinct effects of FRET increase: sensitivity and dynamic range (Supplemental Figure S3, A–C). More importantly, these numerical simulations predicted the presence of an optimal length of linker to obtain the maximal gain of FRET, depending on the strength of FP dimerization (Supplemental Figure S3D). In line with this prediction, the dimerization-prone FP pair (i.e., YPet/ECFP) demonstrated a higher gain for longer length of linker in comparison to the Venus/ECFP pair (Supplemental Figure S3E). Convincingly, a gain of AKAR3 with Venus/ECFP was saturated at the linker length of 84 a.a., showing that the gain of the biosensor reached its highest point (Supplemental Figure S3E). Thus this simple simulation provided a plausible model to understand the mechanism by which the combination of EV linker and the dimerization-prone FPs increased the gain of distance-dependent intramolecular FRET biosensor.

FRET biosensors of kinases containing the Eevee backbone

To demonstrate proof of concept of the Eevee backbone, we applied the backbone to the previously reported FRET biosensors of protein kinases, an ERK sensor EKAR (Harvey et al., 2008) and a JNK sensor JNKAR1 (Fosbrink et al., 2010). The new FRET biosensors of PKA, ERK, and JNK were named AKAR3EV, EKAREV, and JNKAR1EV, respectively. HeLa cells expressing FRET biosensors were time lapse–imaged and stimulated (Figure 4). The gain of AKAR3EV was approximately sixfold and threefold larger than that of the original AKAR3 and AKAR4, respectively (Allen and Zhang, 2006; Depry et al., 2011; Figure 4A and Supplemental Video S1). Similarly, the gains of EKAREV and JNKAR1EV were larger than those of EKAR and JNKAR1 by four- and threefold, respectively (Figure 4, B and C, and Supplemental Videos S2 and S3). We also found that, in EKAREV, either the FHA1 or WW domain was equally used as the phosphopeptide binding (ligand) domain (data not shown).

The Eevee backbone was also applied to Picchu, an EGFR and Abl tyrosine kinase activity sensor (Kurokawa et al., 2001). In Picchu with an EV linker, the SH2–SH3 region and the substrate peptide (a.a. residues 217–225) of human CrkII were used as the ligand domain and sensor domain, respectively. The resulting PicchuEV exhibited a twofold increase in the gain in EGF-stimulated HeLa cells in comparison to the original Picchu (Figure 4D and Supplemental Video S4). Notably, the time course of FRET/CFP was similar between Picchu and PicchuEV (Figure 4D), suggesting that PicchuEV was also capable of monitoring the rapid kinetics of regulation in the protein kinases and phosphatases like the prototype Picchu.

FRET biosensors of small GTPases based on the Eevee backbone

We further applied the Eevee backbone to the intramolecular FRET biosensors of small GTPases. As examples, we adduced the FRET biosensors for Ras and Rac1, Raichu-Ras and Raichu-Rac1, respectively (Mochizuki et al., 2001; Itoh et al., 2002). The new FRET biosensors, RaichuEV-Ras and RaichuEV-Rac1, exhibited two- to threefold larger gains than the original FRET biosensors (Figure 5 and Supplemental Videos S5 and S6). Similarly to AKAR3 with the long linker (Figure 3C), the basal FRET/CFP ratio of RaichuEV-Ras with the EV linker was considerably reduced by the EV linker (Figure 5C). As expected, the basal FRET/CFP of RaichuEV-Rac1 was also markedly lower than that of the original Raichu-Rac1 (Figure 5F). We further confirmed that the EV linker reduced the ratio of GTP versus GDP bound to biosensors by thin-layer chromatography with the 32P-labeled, unstimulated HeLa cells (Supplemental Figure S4). Thus, in
analogy to AKAR3EV, the EV linker seemed to facilitate the access of PKC to phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphates and serves as a plasma membrane–targeting signal. Hence, addition of the PH domain to the FRET biosensors of Akt could increase the effective concentration of the FRET biosensor around the active Akt at the plasma membrane. As expected, cells expressing this FRET biosensor, which we named Eevee-Akt, exhibited a rapid and robust increase in FRET/CFP (ca. 25%) at the plasma membrane (Figure 6F, H and I, and Supplemental Video S9). As a control, we prepared Eevee-Akt-TA, in which Thr in the substrate peptide was replaced with Ala. Unexpectedly, we found that Eevee-Akt-TA also exhibited an 18% increase in FRET/CFP ratio upon stimulation (Figure 6H). This increase in FRET/CFP was probably caused by the bystander FRET (i.e., local increase in the concentration of the FRET biosensors at the plasma membrane could result in the stochastic intermolecular FRET; Supplemental Figure S6; Chiu et al., 2002).

In a similar manner, we constructed Eevee-PKCb, in which the C1 domain of PKCβ was fused at the N terminus of the FRET biosensor (Figure 6J). The C1 domain binds to diacylglycerol and serves as another plasma membrane–targeting signal. Again, cells expressing Eevee-PKCb exhibited a rapid and marked increase in FRET/CFP (Figure 6L and Supplemental Video S10). Similarly to Eevee-Akt-TA, Eevee-PKCb also showed membrane translocation (Supplemental Figure S6) and a slight, but not ignorable, increase in FRET/CFP ratio (Figure 6K). Taken together, Eevee-PKCb and Eevee-Akt monitor not only kinase activity of PKC and Akt, but also stimulation-dependent membrane translocation of the kinases. Furthermore, we constructed the Akt and PKC biosensors that were localized to plasma membrane by lipid modification of the K-Ras4B C-terminus region (Eevee-Akt-pm and Eevee-PKCb-pm; Supplemental Figure 5). TA mutants of these plasma membrane–targeted FRET biosensors did not respond to the stimulation. Notably, FRET gains of Eevee-Akt-pm and Eevee-PKCb-pm upon stimulation corresponded to the difference of FRET gains between Eevee-Akt and Eevee-Akt-TA and between Eevee-PKCb and Eevee-PKCb-TA (Supplemental Figure 5). Thus Eevee-PKCb-pm and Eevee-Akt-pm are applicable to visualize only the kinase activity of PKC and Akt at the plasma membrane, respectively.

Quantitative evaluation of kinase inhibitors by Eevee biosensor-expressing cell lines
With FRET biosensors having large gains in hand, we developed a quantitative and rapid assay to evaluate the effects of kinase inhibitors on Ser/Thr kinases in living cells. First, we established HeLa cell lines stably expressing Eevee biosensors. We previously failed to establish such stable cell lines with retrovirus/lentivirus-mediated gene transfer or by the transfection of linearized plasmid DNAs because of frequent recombination between YFP and CFP (data not shown). We recently found that this problem could be readily overcome by the use of a piggyBac transposase system (Yusa et al.,...
revealed unexpected differences in terms of the mode of action of inhibitors (Figure 7C). Intriguingly, this single cell–based assay also
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FIGURE 6: Novel Ser/Thr kinase FRET biosensors based on the Eevee backbone. (A, D, G, and J) Structures of Eevee-RSK, Eevee-S6K, Eevee-Akt, and Eevee-PKC, which are FRET biosensors of RSK, S6K, Akt, and classical PKC activities, respectively. Red and blue letters in the substrate peptide sequences denote the phosphorylation sites
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FIGURE 7: Quantitative evaluation of kinase inhibitors with Eevee-expressing cell lines. (A) Schematic view of the experimental design. Cells expressing EKAREV-nuc were seeded, starved, and treated with stimulant in the presence of decreasing concentrations of the indicated kinase inhibitors. After 30 min, FRET images were acquired and processed for quantification. (B) Shown here are the representative FRET/CFP ratio images of HeLa cells stably expressing EKAREV-nuc and treated with 25 ng/ml EGF and the indicated concentrations of an EGFR inhibitor, PD153035. Scale bar is 50 μm. (C) Averaged FRET/CFP ratios are plotted against the concentrations of kinase inhibitors and fitted with curves by the four-parameter logistic model. (D and E) FRET/CFP ratios in each cell at the indicated concentration of PD153035 (D) or a MEK inhibitor, PD184352 (E), were quantified and represented in histograms (n > 80 cells).
DISCUSSION

We have developed an optimized backbone, Eevee, which allows us to quickly develop FRET biosensors. The flexible long linker and the optimized FP pairs cooperatively served to increase the gain of the FRET biosensors. The Eevee backbone was used to improve FRET biosensors of PKA, ERK, JNK, EGFR/Ab1, Ras, and Rac1 (Figures 4 and 5) and to develop FRET biosensors of RSK, S6K, Akt, and PKC (Figure 6).

The key technology of the Eevee backbone is the flexible long linker EV, which renders FRET biosensors mostly distance-dependent. It has been reported that circularly permuted (cp) FPs improved FRET biosensors of calcium and PKA (Nagai et al., 2004; Allen and Zhang, 2006), which would seem to provide evidence that orientation has a critical impact on the FRET efficiency of the intramolecular FRET biosensors. Consistent with this idea, the FRET efficiency of orientation-dependent biosensors has been reported to be drastically influenced by the addition or deletion of one to several amino acids at the C terminus of FPs (Miyawaki et al., 1997; Horikawa et al., 2010). The developer, however, could not predict whether the FRET of a newly constructed, orientation-dependent biosensor would increase or decrease upon the perception of the signal (Violin et al., 2003; Kunkel et al., 2005). For these reasons, we decided to provide an optimized backbone for the distance-dependent type of FRET biosensor. The evidence that FRET of the Eevee backbone is mostly distance-dependent is as follows: 1) All of the FRET biosensors based on the Eevee backbone were associated with an increase in FRET/CFP in the ON state; 2) the basal fraction of OFF-state biosensors was inversely correlated with the length of the linker (Figure 3C); and 3) a series of cpVenus variants did not improve the gain (Figure 2B). Of note, there existed optimal linker lengths, depending on the pair of FPs, which were qualitatively explained by the mathematical model (Supplemental Figure S3). To quantitatively predict an optimal length of linker, we need to measure and/or estimate quantitative parameters and implement a model with those parameters.

An intramolecular FRET biosensor often suffers from a high basal FRET level, which could be caused by two mechanisms. First, the distance between the donor and acceptor FPs may not be sufficient to eliminate the basal FRET. Second, and more likely, the ligand domain may increase the fraction of ON-state biosensors by masking the sensor domain from negative regulators such as phosphatases and GAPs. Consistent with this idea, the basal GTP/GDP ratio on the Raichu-RhoA biosensor varies significantly according to the affinity of RhoA binding domains for RhoA (Yoshizaki et al., 2003). We presume that a flexible long linker minimizes this masking effect by reducing the effective concentration of the ligand domain around the sensor domain. There have been several reports of a linker peptide improving the FRET biosensor. For example, a “flip-flop” linker composed of a rigid α-helical linker with a flexible diglycine motif (Sato et al., 2003) and an “elastic” linker derived from spider silk protein flagelliform (Grashoff et al., 2010) have been shown to increase the gain of the FRET biosensor. It is unknown, however, whether these linkers also serve to reduce the masking effect of the ligand domain.

We showed that two FP pairs, ECFP/YPet and Turquoise-GL/YPet, were used preferably to the distance-dependent intramolecular FRET biosensors (Ouyang et al., 2008). The wild-type Aequorea FP forms a dimer with congeneric Aequorea FP at high concentration, of which the dissociation constant ($K_{d}$) is 110 μM (Zacharias et al., 2002). Recently Kotera et al. (2010) have verified that an Aequorea FP pair possessing reversible dimerization property enhances FRET of intramolecular FRET biosensors. Originally, YPet was reported to enhance FRET without inducing heterodimerization between YPet and CyPet in an intramolecular FRET biosensor (Nguyen and Daugherty, 2005). Recent reports suggested, however, that the increase in FRET gain by using YPet as an acceptor seems to be attributable to an enhanced dimerization with the congeneric FPs (Ohashi et al., 2007; Kotera et al., 2010). In agreement with this report, we have found that YPet did not improve FRET when a TFP, which was derived from Clavularia FP (Ai et al., 2006), was used as a donor (Figure 2C). It should be noted that, beyond a certain threshold, the increasing donor–acceptor FP binding affinity will yield a substantial fraction of FRET biosensors locked to the closed form even in the absence of stimulation.

To develop a novel FRET biosensor of Ser/Thr kinase with the Eevee backbone, we need to find a substrate peptide that is phosphorylated by the said Ser/Thr kinase with high efficiency and specificity. We will adduce S6K as an example. Because the two major substrates of S6K are S6 and Rictor (Ferrari et al., 1991; Dibble et al., 2009), naturally we chose a substrate peptide encompassing Ser-240 of S6 and Thr-1135 of Rictor. Interestingly, the FRET biosensor containing the substrate peptide derived from Rictor exhibited a significant response to EGF and rapamycin (Figure 6), whereas the other FRET biosensor containing a substrate peptide derived from S6 did not respond to EGF due to insufficient phosphorylation (data not shown). Notably, newly developed biosensors, Eevee-RSK, Eevee-S6K, Eevee-Akt, and Eevee-PKC, did not achieve gains as large as did AKAR3EV, EKAREV, and JNKAR1EV. This result is possibly due to the lower phosphorylation efficiency. Hence, screening of an optimal substrate peptide that is efficiently phosphorylated by the kinase of interest remains an important step in the development of FRET biosensors of a kinase. This problem of low phosphorylation efficiency may be overcome by two options. First, by the addition of a subcellular localization domain, the Eevee biosensor could be concentrated at the specific intracellular compartment where the said kinase is activated. Successful examples are Eevee-Akt and Eevee-PKC (Figure 6). Second, we may use a motif that facilitates the association of the substrate with the target kinase as exemplified in EKAREV and JNKAR1EV (Figure 4; Harvey et al., 2008; Fosbrink et al., 2010).

In summary, we described an optimized backbone for intramolecular FRET biosensors. The Eevee biosensor still needs a number of steps and careful characterization to create a sensitive and specific FRET biosensor, such as a choice of efficiently phosphorylated peptide and intracellular targeting. This simple and versatile system should prove useful for developing FRET biosensors with high productivity and for accelerating our understanding of spatiotemporal cellular signaling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

FRET biosensor construction

The cDNAs of YFPs and CFPs were amplified by PCR. Fluorescence proteins used as acceptor or donor were Venus (Nagai et al., 2002), cpVenus (cp50Venus, cp157Venus, cp173Venus, cp195Venus, cp229Venus; Nagai et al., 2004), mCitrine (Griesbeck et al., 2001), YPet, CyPet (Nguyen and Daugherty, 2009), mTFP1 (Ai et al., 2006), mTurquoise, mTurquoise-GL (Goedhart et al., 2010), ECFP, and SECFP (a brighter version of ECFP developed by A. Miyawaki [RIKEN, Saitama, Japan]). The cDNA of SECFP contains additional mutations of K26R, D130G, N165H, and S176G based on the cDNA of Aequorea FP (Nguyen and Daugherty, 2005). Recent reports suggested, however, that the increase in FRET gain by using YPet as an acceptor seems to be attributable to an enhanced dimerization with the congeneric FPs (Ohashi et al., 2007; Kotera et al., 2010). In agreement with this report, we have found that YPet did not improve FRET when a TFP, which was derived from Clavularia FP (Ai et al., 2006), was used as a donor (Figure 2C). It should be noted that, beyond a certain threshold, the increasing donor–acceptor FP binding affinity will yield a substantial fraction of FRET biosensors locked to the closed form even in the absence of stimulation.

To develop a novel FRET biosensor of Ser/Thr kinase with the Eevee backbone, we need to find a substrate peptide that is phosphorylated by the said Ser/Thr kinase with high efficiency and specificity. We will adduce S6K as an example. Because the two major substrates of S6K are S6 and Rictor (Ferrari et al., 1991; Dibble et al., 2009), naturally we chose a substrate peptide encompassing Ser-240 of S6 and Thr-1135 of Rictor. Interestingly, the FRET biosensor containing the substrate peptide derived from Rictor exhibited a significant response to EGF and rapamycin (Figure 6), whereas the other FRET biosensor containing a substrate peptide derived from S6 did not respond to EGF due to insufficient phosphorylation (data not shown). Notably, newly developed biosensors, Eevee-RSK, Eevee-S6K, Eevee-Akt, and Eevee-PKC, did not achieve gains as large as did AKAR3EV, EKAREV, and JNKAR1EV. This result is possibly due to the lower phosphorylation efficiency. Hence, screening of an optimal substrate peptide that is efficiently phosphorylated by the kinase of interest remains an important step in the development of FRET biosensors of a kinase. This problem of low phosphorylation efficiency may be overcome by two options. First, by the addition of a subcellular localization domain, the Eevee biosensor could be concentrated at the specific intracellular compartment where the said kinase is activated. Successful examples are Eevee-Akt and Eevee-PKC (Figure 6). Second, we may use a motif that facilitates the association of the substrate with the target kinase as exemplified in EKAREV and JNKAR1EV (Figure 4; Harvey et al., 2008; Fosbrink et al., 2010).

In summary, we described an optimized backbone for intramolecular FRET biosensors. The Eevee biosensor still needs a number of steps and careful characterization to create a sensitive and specific FRET biosensor, such as a choice of efficiently phosphorylated peptide and intracellular targeting. This simple and versatile system should prove useful for developing FRET biosensors with high productivity and for accelerating our understanding of spatiotemporal cellular signaling.
The mutation of Ala at the 206 position in mTurquoise and mTurquoise-GL was restored to Lys with a two-step overlap PCR, generating Turquoise and Turquoise-GL (Goedhart et al., 2010). Amino acid substitutions in YFP and CFP variants used in this study are summarized in Supplemental Table S1. These cDNAs of fluorophores were inserted into the eukaryotic expression vectors pCAGGS (Niwa et al., 1991) and/or pBPBssr, which harbors blasticidin S-resistant gene (Yusa et al., 2009). The unique restriction enzyme sites are shown in Figure 1B. EKAR was obtained from Addgene (Cambridge, MA; http://www.addgene.org/). AKAR3, AKAR4, and JNKAR1 were gifts from J. Zhang (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD).

The flexible linkers collectively designated EV consisted of various numbers of the 20 a.a. peptide, SAGGSAGGSAGGSAGGSAGG (Levkaya et al., 2009). The cDNAs of the EV were introduced into an expression plasmid by a combination of standard subcloning and annealed DNA duplex ligation. The DNA of the 20 a.a. EV linker was generated by annealing the sense-oligonucleotide (5′-GTACCAGT-GCTGGTGTAGTCTGTTGTAGTCTGTTGTG-3′) and antisense-oligonucleotide (5′-CCG-GAACCAGCAGCTACACGACACGACACAGCTACCCAGACGACCAGCTACAGCCTG-3′) and inserted into an expression vector of the PKA FRET biosensor, generating pAKAR3EV-20.

The Ser/Thr kinase FRET biosensors with long linkers were collectively designated Eevee, and the plasmids thereof, pEEvee, were constructed essentially in the manner of pRaichu-Ras (Mochizuki et al., 2001). From the N terminus, Eevee consists of YPet, an EV linker, a spacer (Gly-Gly-Arg), ECFP (a.a. 513–750), a spacer (Ser-Arg), and the nuclear export sequence signal of the SV40 large T antigen (GGPPKKKPKVEDP). Eevee consists of YPet, an EV linker, a spacer (Ser-Gly), a Ser/Thr kinase substrate peptide used as the sensor domain, a spacer (Gly-Gly-Arg), ECFP (a.a. 513–750), a spacer (Ser-Arg), and the nuclear export sequence signal of the HIV–1 rev protein (LQLPPLERLTLD) or the nuclear localization signal of the SV40 large T antigen (GGPPKKKPKVEDP). Eevee–Akt and Eevee–PKC included the PH domain of human Akt1 (a.a. 5–152) and the C1 domain of human PKCβ (a.a. 5–121) at the N terminus of YPet, respectively. In EKAREV, the FHA1 domain was replaced with the WW domain of human Pin1 (a.a. 241–295). cDNAs of the substrate peptides were synthesized so that the amino acid residue at the phosphoacceptor was Thr and the amino acid residue at the +1 position from the phosphoacceptor was Pro when the WW domain was replaced with the WW domain of human CrkII (a.a. 217–225) was used as the sensor domain. pRaichu-Ras and Raichu-Rac1 containing an EV linker were constructed by replacing the linker region of the original biosensors with an EV linker (Mochizuki et al., 2001; Itoh et al., 2002).

**Cells, reagents, and antibodies**

HeLa cells were purchased from the Human Science Research Resources Bank (Sennanshi, Japan). The Cos7 cells used were Cos7/E3, a subclone of Cos7 cells established by Y. Fukui (National Research Institute of Health, Taiwan, Republic of China). HeLa cells and Cos7 cells were maintained in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 10% FBS. The cells were plated on 35-mm glass base dishes or 96-well glass base plates (Asahi Techno Glass, Tokyo, Japan), which were coated with collagen type I (Nitta Gelatin, Osaka, Japan). Plasmids encoding FRET biosensors were transfected into HeLa cells and Cos7 cells by 293fectin or Lipofectamine 2000, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA), respectively. EGF was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. dbcAMP, TPA, Calcultur A, Anisomycin, PD153035, and JNK inhibitor VIII were purchased from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA). PD184352 was obtained from Toronto Research Chemicals (Ontario, Canada). BI-D1870 was purchased from Synmansi (Shanghai, China). Rapamycin was obtained from LC Laboratories (Woburn, MA). PLX-4720 was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX). The expression vector of piggyBac transposase was provided by A. Bradley (Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK; Yusa et al., 2009). Phos-tag was obtained from the Phos-tag Consortium (Hiroshima, Japan; www.phos-tag.com). Anti-green fluorescence protein (GFP) sera were prepared in our laboratory. LI-COR (Lincoln, NE) blocking buffer and the IRDye680- and IRDye800-conjugated anti-rabbit and anti–mouse immunoglobulin G secondary antibodies were obtained from LI-COR.

**Phospho-affinity PAGE**

Phospho-affinity gel electrophoresis was performed essentially as described previously (Kinoshita et al., 2006). Conventional SDS-polyacrylamide separation gels were supplemented with 50 μM Phos-tag and 100 μM MnCl2, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Proteins were detected and quantified by using an Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (LI-COR).

**Time-lapse FRET imaging**

FRET images were obtained and processed using essentially the same conditions and procedures as previously reported (Aoki and Matsuda, 2009). Briefly, HeLa cells or Cos7 cells expressing FRET biosensors were starved for 6–12 h with phenol red–free DMEM/ F12 medium or Medium 199 (Invitrogen) containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) or phenol red–free M199 (Invitrogen) with 20 mM HEPES and 0.1% BSA. Starved cells were treated with stimuli, followed by the addition of inhibitors if necessary. Cells were imaged with an inverted microscope (IX71 or IX81; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a 60× objective lens (Olympus), a cooled CCD camera (CoolSNAP HQ or CoolSNAP K4; Roper Scientific, Tucson, AZ), an LED illumination system (CoolLED precisExcite; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), an IX2-ZDC laser-based autofocusing system (Olympus), and an MD-XY30100T-Meta automatically programmable XY stage (SIGMA KOKI, Tokyo, Japan). The following filters used for the dual–emission imaging studies were obtained from Omega Optical (Brattleboro, VT): an XF1071 (440AF21) excitation filter, an XF2034 (455DRLP) dichroic mirror, and two emission filters (XF3075 480AF30 for CFP and XF3079 535AF26 for YFP). After background subtraction, FRET/CFP ratio images were created with MetaMorph software (Universal Imaging, West Chester, PA), and represented by the intensity-modulated display mode. In the
intensity-modulated display mode, eight colors from red to blue are used to represent the FRET/CFP ratio, with the intensity of each color indicating the mean intensity of FRET and CFP. For the quantification, the FRET and CFP intensities were averaged over the whole cell area, and the results were exported to Excel software (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA). In some experiments, the FRET/CFP value from before 10 min to the time of stimulation was averaged and used as the reference. The ratio of raw FRET/CFP value versus the reference value was defined as the normalized FRET/CFP value.

**Multiwell FRET imaging**

A HeLa cell line stably expressing EKAREV-nls, which contained the nuclear localization signal, was established according to Yusa et al. (2009). The cells were seeded on a 96-well glass base plate at a cell density of 1.5 × 10^4 cells/well. One day after seeding, the cells were serum starved for 6 h, followed by treatment with stimulant and kinase inhibitors for 15 min. Then, the 96-well plate was imaged by an inverted microscope as described earlier in text, except that an 20x objective lens was used. FRET and CFP images were obtained in one position for every well of the 96-well plate.

**Spectroscopy by confocal microscopy**

Twenty-four hours after transfection, HeLa cells expressing FRET probes were starved for 3–6 h. Fluorescence spectra were acquired by using a FV-1000 confocal imaging system (Olympus) in the lambda scanning mode upon excitation of CFP at a wavelength of 405 nm.

**Quantification of guanine nucleotide bound to GTPases**

Guanine nucleotides bound to Raichu biosensors were analyzed essentially as described previously (Gotoh et al., 1997). Briefly, HeLa cells were transfected with expression vectors for Raichu-Ras, RasEV, Rac1, and RaichuEV-Rac1. After 36 h, the cells were metabolically labeled with ^32P orthophosphate for 2 h and then lysed. The cell lysates were clarified by centrifugation, and Raichu biosensors were immunoprecipitated by using anti-GFP antibody. Guanine nucleotides bound to Raichu biosensors were separated by thin-layer chromatography and quantitated with a BAS-1000 image analyzer (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

**Mathematical and statistical analysis**

Simulation was implemented by Mathematica software (Wolfram Research, Champaign, IL). Details are described in the Supplemental Information.

Phosphorylation levels (Figure 3) or GTP/(GTP+GDP) levels (Supplemental Figure S4) at the basal state varied from day to day; the supplemental Information.
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