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**Abbreviations**

ADP: adenosine diphosphate
AMP: adenosine monophosphate
ANOVA: analysis of variance
AoP: aortic pressure
ATP: adenosine triphosphate
CO: cardiac output
CVP: central venous pressure
DCD: donation after cardiac death
ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
IL: interleukin
LAP: left atrial pressure
PIP: peak inspiratory pressure
PAP: pulmonary artery pressure
ROS: reactive oxygen species
RPL19: ribosomal protein L19
TNF: tumor necrosis factor
TUNEL: terminal deoxynucleotidyltransferase-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling

WDR: wet-to-dry weight ratio

WIT: warm ischemic time
**Background:** One method of countering chronic lung donor shortages is the practice of donation after cardiac death (DCD). However, this technique inevitably leads to pulmonary dysfunction related to warm ischemia. One promising method of alleviating this problem is ventilation. However, it can rarely be initiated from the onset of cardiac arrest, particularly in uncontrolled DCD donors. Here, we investigated the protective effect of the last 60 min of ventilation during a 240-min warm ischemic time. **Methods:** We rendered donor dogs cardiac-dead and left them at room temperature. Six dogs received ventilation with 100% oxygen for 60 min starting at 180 min after cardiac arrest (ventilation group). Eight dogs received no ventilation. Lungs were harvested 240 min after cardiac arrest, then transplanted into recipient dogs. At 60 min after reperfusion, the right pulmonary artery was ligated and the function of the left transplanted lung was evaluated. **Results:** In the ventilation group, all 6 animals survived for 240 min after reperfusion, while in the non-ventilation group, only 4 out of 8 survived. The ventilation group demonstrated significantly better pulmonary oxygenation, shunt fraction, and wet-to-dry weight ratio. Furthermore, the ventilation group revealed significantly higher levels of high-energy phosphates in the lung tissues, fewer apoptotic cells, lower levels of TNF-α and IL-8 mRNA in the lung tissues, and lower levels of IL-6 mRNA in the serum. **Conclusion:** Our results suggest that ventilation during the late phase of the pre-procurement period may ameliorate ischemia-reperfusion injury in DCD donors.
Introduction

Lung transplantation is currently the definitive treatment of end-stage respiratory disease. However, it is limited by a shortage of suitable donors. One potential solution to this problem is donation after cardiac death (DCD). Indeed, more than 100 cases of lung transplantations from DCD donors have been reported, at least 28 of which involved uncontrolled DCD donors (1).

Lung transplantation from DCD donors is feasible in part because the lung has a unique tolerance for ischemia; this is because lung cells are able to maintain aerobic metabolism by utilizing the oxygen in the alveoli even without perfusion (2). Some reports have already revealed that the lungs can be protected by inflation (2-4) or ventilation with oxygen (4,5). For instance, a continuous 240-min ventilation and heparin use before cardiac arrest attenuated lung injury induced by 240-min of WIT in a canine transplantation model (5).

Although ventilation during the whole WIT is preferable, it is rarely possible to commence ventilation soon after cardiac arrest particularly in uncontrolled DCD donor. In order to determine the optimal technique for utilizing lungs from an uncontrolled DCD donor, we evaluated the protective effects of ventilation during the last 60 min of a 240-min WIT in a canine lung transplantation model. This simulates a situation commonly encountered in clinical practice—a non-heparinized, uncontrolled DCD donor receiving ventilation during the last period of WIT.

Results

There were no significant differences between the treatment groups in donor or recipient weight (ventilation group vs. non-ventilation group, donor weight: 10.4 ± 0.2 vs. 10.1 ± 0.4 kg; recipient weight: 9.5 ± 1.0 vs. 9.0 ± 0.4 kg), or in total ischemic time (ventilation group vs. non-ventilation group: 422.8 ± 9.2 vs. 430.0 ± 6.7 min). We set 60 min for the implantation time in our protocol and could perform within 60 min. All 6 animals in the ventilation group survived until the end-point of the study (240 min after reperfusion), while only half (4/8) of the non-ventilation group survived. All 4
dead animals expired as the result of a graft dysfunction; 3 of the deaths occurred between 75 and 120 min after reperfusion, while the fourth occurred between 120 and 180 min after reperfusion.

Assessment of lung function

$\text{PaO}_2$ at 240 min after reperfusion was $619.5 \pm 49.1$ vs. $204.5 \pm 190.8$ mmHg (ventilation group vs. non-ventilation group). Furthermore, by repeated analysis of variance between groups, both $\text{PaO}_2$ and pulmonary shunt fraction were significantly better in the ventilation group than in the non-ventilation group ($P = 0.0057$ and $P = 0.010$, respectively; Table 1). Peak airway pressure was higher in the non-ventilation group, but this difference did not reach significance ($P = 0.072$, Table 1). Pulmonary artery pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance seemed high in the ventilation group, but these differences did not reach significance ($P = 0.27$ and $P = 0.33$, respectively; Table 1).

Wet-to-dry lung weight ratio

WDR at 240 min after reperfusion was significantly lower in the ventilation group than in the non-ventilation group (5.43 ± 0.67 vs. 8.06± 1.45, respectively; $P = 0.010$).

Measurement of adenine nucleotide levels

In the ventilation group, ATP levels and energy charge were significantly improved by the 60-min ventilation ($P = 0.0090$; Table 2B), though the improvements did not reach the levels observed in the normal lungs (Table 2A). Moreover, at 240 min after reperfusion, ATP and TAN (TAN = ATP + ADP +AMP) levels were significantly higher in the recipient lungs of ventilation group than in the recipient lungs of the non-ventilation group ($P = 0.019$ and 0.011, respectively; Table 2C) and the ATP and TAN levels improved similarly to those in the normal lungs (Table 2A),
Macroscopic figures and histology

At 240 min after reperfusion, lungs from the non-ventilation group had dark-red areas exhibiting more patchiness than was observed in the ventilation group macroscopically (Figures 1A and 1C). The histological study indicated severe intraparenchymal hemorrhage and inflammation. (Figure 1B).

In situ apoptosis detection

At 240 min after reperfusion, there were significantly more TUNEL-positive cells in the non-ventilation group than in the ventilation group ($P = 0.0019$; Figure 2). This indicates that significantly more apoptosis occurred in the non-ventilation group.

Serum cytokine levels

At 75 min after reperfusion, TNF-α and IL-8 mRNA levels were significantly higher in the non-ventilation group than in the ventilation group ($P = 0.0027$ and $P = 0.032$, respectively; Figure 3A, D). Likewise, at 240 min after reperfusion, serum IL-6 levels were significantly higher in the non-ventilation group than in the ventilation group ($P = 0.011$; Figure 3F).

Discussion

In the present study, we used a canine lung transplantation model to evaluate the protective effect of ventilation during “only the last 60 min” of a 240-min WIT, while the previous studies revealed the protective effect of continuous ventilation in the whole WIT (5,6). Our results indicated that the last 60 min of ventilation could preserve the lung function of an uncontrolled DCD donor. To be more specific, we observed better oxygenation, pulmonary shunt fraction, and wet-to-dry weight ratios in the ventilation treatment group. Furthermore, the ventilation group experienced significantly higher energy levels, better pathological findings, lower apoptosis, and lower levels of inflammatory
cytokines.

The mechanism by which the 60-min ventilation provides protection can be inferred from our measurements of adenine nucleotide levels, apoptosis, and inflammatory cytokines. First, the adenosine nucleotide levels recorded here suggest that ventilation increased energy charge and ATP levels in the donor lungs, as well as ATP and TAN levels in the recipients. Previously, increased TAN levels have been significantly associated with lung viability (7). In non-ventilated or nitrogen-ventilated lungs retrieved 4 hours after death, 50% of the pulmonary parenchymal cells in alveolar areas of the lung were dead. However, oxygen ventilation in WIT resulted in attenuation of cell death: Viability was observed in more than 90% of cells in oxygen-ventilated lungs retrieved 4 hours after death and in 75% of cells from oxygen-ventilated lungs retrieved 12 hours after arrest. Furthermore, depletion of ATP causes a decrease in the membrane potential of mitochondria (8), allowing calcium to escape and trigger the apoptotic process (9).

Second, we found significantly fewer apoptotic cells in the ventilation group, which we believe may have resulted in better pulmonary function after the transplant. Previous studies have produced conflicting evidence as to whether apoptotic cells have a deleterious impact on organ function. When the apoptotic cascade is blocked, injured cells may not always recover, leading to necrosis that is injurious to surrounding tissue (10). However, previous studies have shown a reduction in ischemia-reperfusion injury of kidney, heart and lung after injection of antiapoptotic agents prior to reperfusion (11-13). Furthermore, it has been suggested that, in human lung transplantation, apoptosis may contribute to ischemia-reperfusion injury during the early phase of graft reperfusion and may be responsible for severe organ dysfunction (14).

Third, we observed significant decreases in the mRNA levels of the inflammatory cytokines TNF-α, IL-8, and IL-6. Both anti- and pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α, interferon-γ, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, 1L-9, and IL-10, are known to be released in response to lung ischemia reperfusion injuries (15-17). The characteristics of the early phase of ischemia-reperfusion injury depend on
donor macrophages, which release IL-8, 12, 18, TNF-α, and IFN-γ (15). IL-8 in particular seems to influence graft failure after human lung transplantation (18,19). Additionally, plasma levels of IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α have been found to relate to endothelial dysfunction among apnea patients (20). Thus, in our study, it appears likely that the 60-min ventilation reduces donor macrophage activation and/or cytokine elevation in donor ischemic and non-ventilated lungs, thereby ameliorating endothelial dysfunction and the early phase of ischemia-reperfusion injury.

Ventilation with 100% oxygen is a double-edged sword. For instance, it may be toxic if performed beyond 6 hours (4). Further, a comparison of ventilation with room air, oxygen, and nitrogen revealed that ischemia-reperfusion injury in oxygen-ventilated animals was aggravated by oxygen free radicals (21). Other studies have reported that free radical scavengers such as superoxide dismutase can have protective effects against pulmonary ischemia-reperfusion injuries (22). Though we did not examine oxygen free radicals, we did find relatively high levels of pulmonary artery pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance in the ventilation group, which may have resulted from the activity of free radicals. In DCD donors ventilated with high-concentration oxygen, free radical scavengers may improve the outcomes. On the other hand, Fisher et al. found that hypoxia in alveoli resulted in a sharp decrease in ATP and a corresponding increase in the ATP degradation product hypoxanthine, which generates superoxide when oxygen is reintroduced via reperfusion and/or ventilation (23); this is consistent with our observations in the non-ventilation group. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated with a decrease in the membrane potential of mitochondria which results from the ATP degradation (9). Therefore, we hypothesize that hypoxia-induced superoxide accumulation was responsible for the poor results observed in the non-ventilation group in our study. Moreover, from a clinical point of view, oxygen ventilation is used to simulate uncontrolled DCD donors—cardiopulmonary-resuscitation patients, in particular. American Heart Association guidelines call for the use of 100% oxygen during cardiopulmonary resuscitation (24).
Other protective methods for uncontrolled DCD donors include in situ topical cooling (25-27), which was reported to have superior protection compared to ventilation (28). However, ventilation is much easier and can be combined with medication, such as β-adrenergic agonists (29,30), nitric oxide (31), and isoflurane (32) delivered through the airway. Cardiac arrest patients are usually ventilated during cardiopulmonary resuscitation; even if their lives cannot be saved, this technique has the added benefit of preparing their lungs for possible transplantation, the functions of which are estimated via ex vivo lung perfusion (33,34), thus enlarging the donor pool.

One limitation of our study is that it has not investigated how long we could extend the WIT period with the final 60-min ventilation. Additionally, because we focused only on 60-min ventilations, we were not able to ascertain whether shorter ventilation periods would be adequate for preserving lung function. Additionally, our evaluation of the ventilated lungs was limited to 240 min after reperfusion. Finally, our study does not entirely mimic the clinical scenario in uncontrolled DCD donors in the following three points (35). First, these donors are ventilated within 30 min after cardiac arrest. Second, topical cooling is performed after declaration of death. Third, there is agonal phase leading to inflammation in the lungs prior to cardiac arrest. Further research will explore each of these remaining questions.

In conclusion, we found that the protective effect of ventilation during the last 60 min of a 240-min WIT preserves lung function of uncontrolled DCD donors. Thus, use of the ventilation during this period may improve our ability to manage uncontrolled DCD donors, thus increasing lung donor utilization.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Fourteen pairs of weight-matched TOYO Beagles (8.35-11.9 kg, Kitayama Labes Co. Ltd., Hongo Farm, Yamaguchi, Japan) were used in this study. All animals received humane care in compliance
with the *Principles of Laboratory Animal Care*, formulated by the National Society for Medical Research, and the *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*, prepared by the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources and published by the National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 86-23, revised 1996). The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Graduate School of Medicine at Kyoto University, Japan.

*Donor preparation*

Donor dogs were anesthetized via an intramuscular injection of midazolam (0.5 mg/kg), xylazine (0.25 mg/kg), and atropine sulfate (0.05 mg/kg). They were then intubated and mechanically ventilated at a tidal volume of 25 ml/kg and a rate of 15 breaths/min. The positive end-expiratory pressure was 5.0 cm H$_2$O; the inspired O$_2$ fraction was 1.0. The donors were maintained at an inhalation of 1% to 2% sevoflurane and an intravenous injection of vecuronium bromide (0.4 mg/kg/hr) and buprenorphine hydrochloride (0.01 mg/kg/hr). They were euthanized via an intravenous administration of potassium chloride (0.5 mEq/kg), without heparinization. The ventilators were removed and the tracheal tubes were open to room air. All donors were left at room temperature (21°C) for 240 min. The ventilation group (n = 6) received ventilation with 100% oxygen for 60 min starting at 180 min after cardiac arrest. The non-ventilation group (n = 8) did not receive any ventilation for 240 min after cardiac arrest. A pulmonary arterial flush was performed on all donors at 240 min after the cardiac arrest, using ET-Kyoto solution (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Factory Inc, Tokushima, Japan), an extracellular preservation solution that we originally developed for clinical lung transplantation (36,37). Prior to use, the ET-Kyoto solution was stored at 4°C. For the antegrade flush, we used 100 ml/kg; 50 ml/kg was used for the retrograde flush. Both were performed from 30 cm above the donors’ chests. Ventilation was performed during the flush. The harvested organs, semi-inflated (within 20 cm H$_2$O) with 100% oxygen, were preserved at 4°C for 120 min.
Recipient preparation and transplantation

Recipient dogs were anesthetized, maintained, and ventilated in the same manner as the donors. Peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) was monitored by a pressure transducer that was attached to the tracheal tube. For each recipient, a 5F thermodilution catheter (151F7, Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) was placed in the main pulmonary artery from the right femoral vein to measure pulmonary artery pressure (PAP), central venous pressure (CVP), and cardiac output (CO). A femoral arterial line was inserted for measuring aortic pressure (AoP) and for arterial blood gas analysis. After thoracotomy, a 2.0-mm catheter was inserted directly into the left atrium for monitoring left arterial pressure (LAP). We then performed a left pneumonectomy and left lung transplantation. We set 60 min for the implantation time. The right pulmonary artery was encircled with a vascular tape and clamped with a tourniquet at 60 min after reperfusion in order to evaluate the function of the transplanted left lung.

Pulmonary shunt fraction

Pulmonary shunt fraction (Qs / Qt (%)) was calculated according to the following formula: \[ \frac{Qs}{Qt} \times 100 \], where Cc, Ca, and Cv represent the oxygen content of the pulmonary capillary blood, the pulmonary artery blood, and the pulmonary venous blood, respectively.

Assessment of lung and cardiac function

Arterial and pulmonary arterial blood samples were taken in order to analyze blood gases with a blood gas analyzer (iSTAT Portable Clinical Analyzer, iSTAT Corp., East Windsor, NJ, USA).

Wet-to-dry lung weight ratio
Left lung tissues (100-300 mg) were used to calculate the wet-to-dry weight ratio (WDR) at 240 min after reperfusion or at death. The tissues were excised from the tip of the lung and the cut end was closed by ligation. Wet weight (mg) was measured first; dry weight (mg) was measured after the tissue had been dried overnight at 180°C. The WDR was calculated by dividing the wet weight by the dry weight.

**Measurement of adenine nucleotide levels**

We used the pieces of peripheral lung tissues collected from “normal lungs”, which were collected from left lungs of recipients just after the anesthetic induction. Furthermore, we used ones from donors before and after ventilation and from the recipients at 240 min after reperfusion. We measured levels of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), adenosine diphosphate (ADP), and adenosine monophosphate (AMP) using high-performance liquid chromatography (Shim-pack CLC-ODS column; 15 cm × 6.0 mm; Shimadzu, Japan). Total adenosine nucleotides (TAN) and energy charge were calculated as previously reported (30).

**Macroscopic figures and histology (hematoxylin and eosin staining)**

We recorded the macroscopic appearances of the left lungs 240 min after reperfusion. Left lung specimens collected 240 min after reperfusion were used for histological analysis. Each lung was immersed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin.

**In situ apoptosis detection**

An apoptosis in situ detection kit (Wako Jyunyaku, Osaka, Japan) was used to evaluate nick-end labeling of DNA fragmentation in paraffin-embedded sections of left lungs harvested at 240 min after reperfusion or death, as previously reported (38). TUNEL-positive cells were counted in 10
randomly chosen high-power fields per section at an original magnification of 400×; apoptosis was expressed as the number of TUNEL-positive cells per section. Three separate investigators (J.S., F.C., and D.N.) evaluated, interpreted, and reached a consensus, without any knowledge of the experimental groups.

_Cytokine messenger RNA (mRNA) expression in lung tissues_

Left lung specimens collected at 75 min after reperfusion were stored in RNAlater TissueProtect tubes (Qiagen, Hiden, Germany) for RNA stabilization. Total RNA was extracted from specimens using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), and the RNA extract was incubated with RNase-free DNase I (Qiagen) to remove contaminating DNA. Reverse transcription of total RNA was performed using Ready-To-Go You-Prime First-Strand Beads (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences Corp, Piscataway, NJ, USA) to generate complementary DNA. We used oligonucleotide sequences originally described by Rachankonda et al. (39) and Koike et al. (40): interleukin (IL)-1β (39), IL-6 (39), IL-8 (39), tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (39), and ribosomal protein L19 (RPL19) (40). Quantitative real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reactions (qRT-PCR) were performed on a LightCycler using LightCycler FastStart DNA masterPLUS SYBR Green I mix (Qiagen). PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s. Quantities of the genes of interest were calculated from corresponding standard curves using LIGHTCYCLER software (Roche, Basel, Switzerland); they are presented here in relation to the amount of RPL19.

_Serum cytokine levels_

We used enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) to measure serum IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α levels at 240 min after reperfusion in surviving animals. In all cases, we followed the instructions in the manufacturer’s manuals from IL-6, IL-8, and TNF-α ELISA kits (Quantikine, R&D Systems Inc.,
Minneapolis, MN, USA).

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed using STATVIEW v5.0 (Abacus Concepts, Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA). Values are expressed as means ± standard deviations. We used Mann-Whitney $U$-tests and repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests to explore differences between and within treatment groups. Significance was defined as $P < 0.05$. 
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.**
Results of macroscopic (A, C) and histological (B, D) analyses conducted 240 min after reperfusion (hematoxylin-eosin staining, original magnification x 400). In the non-ventilation group, we observed many dark-red spots (A) and alveolar congestion, hemorrhage, infiltration, aggregation of inflammatory cells, and edema (B). However, the ventilation group looked relatively normal (C, D).

**Figure 2.**
TUNEL staining of lung sections harvested 240 minutes after reperfusion or death: (A) non-ventilation group; (B) ventilation group (original magnification x 400). Subjects in the non-ventilation group possessed significantly higher numbers of TUNEL-positive cell than subjects in the ventilation group (C). Data are presented as mean ± SD and were analyzed using a Mann-Whitney U-test. Non-Vent. = non-ventilation group; Vent. = ventilation group.

**Figure 3.**
Cytokine levels in the ventilation and non-ventilation groups at 2 different time points post-reperfusion. Cytokine messenger RNA (mRNA) expression in lungs at 75 min after reperfusion (A-D), with data normalized against ribosomal protein L19 (RPL19). mRNA expression of TNF-α and IL-8 was significantly higher in the non-ventilation group. Serum cytokine levels at 240 min after reperfusion (E-G). Serum levels of IL-6 was significantly higher in the non-ventilated group. Data are presented as mean ± SD and were analyzed using a Mann-Whitney U-test. Non-Vent. = non-ventilation group; Vent. = ventilation group.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before OP</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>180</th>
<th>240</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean AoP, mmHg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>134.2 ± 18.5</td>
<td>130.5 ± 18.5</td>
<td>133.0 ± 22.0</td>
<td>115.2 ± 29.2</td>
<td>98.7 ± 28.7</td>
<td>95.2 ± 33.5</td>
<td>82.0 ± 28.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>130.6 ± 21.2</td>
<td>116.3 ± 17.8</td>
<td>116.0 ± 17.3</td>
<td>109.1 ± 22.4</td>
<td>105.1 ± 17.9</td>
<td>106.1 ± 23.6</td>
<td>95.5 ± 35.3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean PAP, mmHg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>17.5 ± 3.8</td>
<td>19.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>19.7 ± 3.6</td>
<td>31.0 ± 11.9</td>
<td>33.5 ± 11.5</td>
<td>33.7 ± 16.3</td>
<td>32.5 ± 12.3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>17.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>19.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>20.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>39.3 ± 7.7</td>
<td>38.3 ± 6.2</td>
<td>40.0 ± 9.3</td>
<td>40.0 ± 9.4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean CVP, mmHg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>8.7 ± 5.7</td>
<td>7.2 ± 2.7</td>
<td>8.7 ± 4.5</td>
<td>11.0 ± 7.6</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>6.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>8.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>9.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean LAP, mmHg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>13.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>15.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>15.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>8.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>9.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>12.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>11.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>11.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>8.6 ± 4.4</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>8.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cardiac output, l/min</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PVR, dyn・s・cm⁻⁵・x・10³</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>382.2 ± 299.0</td>
<td>352.1 ± 329.6</td>
<td>431.1 ± 425.2</td>
<td>3160.1 ± 2057.2</td>
<td>2850.6 ± 1467.5</td>
<td>2416.9 ± 1617.2</td>
<td>2138.3 ± 1009.1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>517.9 ± 265.1</td>
<td>883.6 ± 178.6</td>
<td>780.5 ± 281.9</td>
<td>3624.0 ± 1210.1</td>
<td>3281.8 ± 647.7</td>
<td>3018.1 ± 896.5</td>
<td>3105.0 ± 1269.8</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PaO₂, mmHg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>583.5 ± 63.6</td>
<td>565.2 ± 76.1</td>
<td>525.7 ± 172.4</td>
<td>404.7 ± 240.7</td>
<td>305.0 ± 267.4</td>
<td>268.7 ± 248.7</td>
<td>204.5 ± 190.8</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>595.1 ± 30.0</td>
<td>620.5 ± 42.3</td>
<td>637.1 ± 41.6</td>
<td>636.1 ± 32.8</td>
<td>642.5 ± 38.2</td>
<td>627.1 ± 25.6</td>
<td>619.5 ± 49.1</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PaCO₂, mmHg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>21.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td>22.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>23.2 ± 5.0</td>
<td>32.1 ± 6.0</td>
<td>43.3 ± 7.0</td>
<td>53.2 ± 9.4</td>
<td>60.4 ± 18.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>23.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td>22.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>22.9 ± 3.0</td>
<td>33.8 ± 7.0</td>
<td>38.6 ± 7.9</td>
<td>37.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>38.3 ± 6.3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peak airway pressure, mmHg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>15.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>14.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>14.7 ± 2.7</td>
<td>19.0 ± 5.7</td>
<td>19.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>20.0 ± 6.0</td>
<td>20.5 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>15.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>13.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>12.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>14.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>14.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>14.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pulmonary shunt fraction, %</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vent.</td>
<td>5.7 ± 3.8</td>
<td>7.7 ± 7.1</td>
<td>6.3 ± 3.4</td>
<td>10.3 ± 10.6</td>
<td>23.4 ± 26.8</td>
<td>28.6 ± 21.6</td>
<td>36.5 ± 27.9</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vent.</td>
<td>6.3 ± 3.0</td>
<td>3.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>2.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>3.1 ± 4.0</td>
<td>3.4 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OP = operation; AoP = aortic pressure; PAP = pulmonary artery pressure; CVP = central venous pressure; LAP = left atrial pressure; PVR = pulmonary vascular resistance. Data are shown as mean ± SD. P-values are for the differences between groups by repeated measures analysis of variance.
Table 2. Energy levels in normal lung (A), in donors before and after the 60-min ventilation (B), and in recipients at 240 min after reperfusion (C).

**A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy levels</th>
<th>Normal lung</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATP (nmol/mg·dw)</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.66&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy charge&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (nmol/mg·dw)</td>
<td>5.05 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy levels</th>
<th>Before ventilation</th>
<th>After ventilation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy charge</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.35</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.51</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy levels</th>
<th>Ventilation group</th>
<th>Non-ventilation group</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>3.64 ± 0.50</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.54</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy charge</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.55</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.53</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Data are presented as mean ± SD and were analyzed with Mann-Whitney U-tests.

<sup>b</sup>Energy charge = (ATP + 0.5ATP) / (ATP + ADP + AMP).

<sup>c</sup>TAN = ATP + ADP + AMP.

ADP = adenosine diphosphate; AMP = adenosine monophosphate; ATP = adenosine triphosphate; TAN = total adenosine nucleotides.
Figure 3.