Boundary Operator in the Matrix Product States

Isao Maruyama

Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University 1-3 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, JAPAN

The direct product state is written as $\prod_i |\psi\rangle_i$, where $|\psi\rangle_i$ is a local state at the *i*th cluster. To represent quantum entanglement between decoupled clusters, one of natural generalizations is the matrix product state (MPS) $|\Psi\rangle = \text{Tr} \prod_i A_i$ with matrix elements $(A_i)_{mm'} = |\psi_{i;mm'}\rangle_i$. The translationally invariant MPS under the periodic boundary condition in one dimensional systems is written as $|\Psi\rangle = \text{Tr} \prod_i A$ with a single uniform matrix A. To include the boundary effect, one can consider the boundary matrix Q with matrix elements $(Q)_{mm'} = |\phi_{mm'}\rangle_0$ and $|\Psi\rangle = \text{Tr} [Q \prod_i A]$, where the arti cial Hilbert space $|\phi\rangle_0$ is set to be one-dimensional generally [1]. Does not the translationally invariant MPS have the boundary operator Q?

Our studies show the importance of Q for the MPS. We have derived a MPS representation of the Bethe ansatz state for spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain [2] and the Lieb-Liniger model [3], from the algebraic Bethe ansatz using the factorizing F-matrices. The uniform matrix A obtained for the Heisenberg chains is the same as that in the matrix product ansatz [4] apart from normalization factors. For the Lieb-Liniger model describing the Bose gas with delta-function interaction in one-dimension, a "continuous" extension of the matrix product state is obtained. The exact MPS has both translationally invariance and the boundary operator Q. The latter comes from the domain wall boundary conditions [5]. In fact, for the MPS in the Bose gas, Q plays a role in xing the number of particles. From a numerical point of view, Q is also important to consider the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the translational symmetry and long-period super-lattices for the magnetic plateau [6].

References

- [1] S. Östlund and S. Rommer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3537 (1995).
- [2] H. Katsura and I. Maruyama, J. Phys. A 43, 175003 (2010).
- [3] I. Maruyama and H. Katsura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 79, 073002 (2010).
- [4] F. C. Alcaraz, J. M. Lazo, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39, 11335 (2006).
- [5] V. Korepin, Commun. Math. Phys. 86, 391 (1982).
- [6] H. Ueda and I. Maruyama, unpublished