

Title	A decomposition theorem in $K_{\{ex\}}$ (Model Theory and It's Application to Algebra)
Author(s)	Itai, Masanori
Citation	数理解析研究所講究録 (2010), 1708: 26-28
Issue Date	2010-08
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/170167">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/170167</a>
Right	
Type	Departmental Bulletin Paper
Textversion	publisher

# A decomposition theorem in $K_{\text{ex}}$

Masanori Itai\*  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Tokai University, Hiratsuka, Japan

## Abstract

Let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$  and  $E = \{y_1 - e^{x_1}, \dots, y_n - e^{x_n}\}$ . A theorem of Richardson gives an irreducible decomposition of the set defined as the zero set of  $S$  and  $E$ . The same statement holds on  $K_{\text{ex}}$ , an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero with pseudo-exponentiation.

## 1 Decomposition of exponential-algebraic sets

### 1.1 Richardson's Theorem in $\mathbb{C}_{\text{ex}}$

In this sub section, we work in  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{exp}}$  the field of complex numbers with the complex exponential.

Let  $\bar{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $\bar{y} = y_1, \dots, y_n$ . Suppose  $S = \{p_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \dots, p_k(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\}$  and  $E = \{y_1 - e^{x_1}, \dots, y_n - e^{x_n}\}$ . Put  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}(S, E) = \{(x, y) \mid p_i(x, y) = 0, y_j - e^{x_j} = 0, (i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, n)\}$ .

In [Ri], Richardson proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 1 (Richardson)** *For any finite set  $S \subset \mathbb{Q}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$ , the zero set of exponential system  $(S, E)$  can be written as a union of finitely many sets;*

$$\mathbb{C}^{2n}(S, E) = \bigcup_i C_i$$

where each set  $C_i$  is the zero set of a triangular condition  $\Delta_i$ .

To make the statement precise we need some definitions.

First introduce a term-order among variables, e.g.,  $x_1 \prec x_2 \prec \dots \prec x_n$ . With this order we introduce a well-founded order on the polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Let  $S$  be a finite set of polynomials  $\{p_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \dots, p_k(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\}$ . If  $p_1 \prec \dots \prec p_k$ , we say that  $S$  is an ascending set.

To define the notion of triangular condition we need the following definition of a differential matrix.

**Definition 2** *Let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  and  $E_1 = \{y_{i_0} - e^{x_{i_0}}, \dots, y_{i_l} - e^{x_{i_l}}\} \subseteq E$ .*

$$df(S, E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial y_n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial y_n} \\ 0 & -y_{i_0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -y_{i_l} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Definition 3 (Triangular conditions)**  $(S, E_1)$  is a triangular condition, if

1.  $S$  is an ascending set.
2. Let  $J$  be the determinant of a maximal minor of the differential matrix  $df(S, E_1)$ . Notice that  $J$  is a polynomial. We infer that  $\text{Rem}(J, S) \neq 0$ .
3. Let  $E_2 = E - E_1$ . Then  $E_2$  is such that if  $C(t)$  is any smooth curve in  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  which  $(S = 0, E_1 = 0, J \neq 0, J \neq 0)$ , then any  $y_i - e^{x_i} \in E_2$  is either identically zero on  $C(t)$  or never zero.

\*This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 19540146.

## 1.2 Decomposition of $(S, E) = 0$ in $K_{\text{ex}}$

Since the proof of Theorem 1 uses only algebraic tools, the same statement holds in  $K_{\text{ex}}$ .

**Theorem 4** For any finite set  $S \subset \mathbb{Q}[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$ , the zero set of exponential system  $(S, E)$  can be written as a union of finitely many sets;

$$K^{2n}(S, E) = \bigcup_i C_i$$

**Proof:** Given a set  $S$ , we just proceed the decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}(S, E)$ . Then transfer the decomposition onto  $K^{2n}$ . ■

## 1.3 Existential closedness and the decomposition

**Definition 5** Let  $(m_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$  be an  $n \times n$ -matrix. Consider a tuple of variables  $(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ . Then  $(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n)^{(m_{ij})}$  denotes the following tuple:

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n m_{1j} u_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n m_{nj} u_j, \prod_{j=1}^n v_j^{m_{1j}}, \dots, \prod_{j=1}^n v_j^{m_{nj}} \right)$$

We call  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})^{(m_{ij})}$  an *admissible* transformation of the tuple of variables  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ .

Recall that the structure  $K_{\text{ex}}$  satisfies following the existential closedness condition :

### Existential closedness

Let  $P_{\bar{a}}(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be an irreducible system of polynomials with coefficients  $\bar{a}$  and  $(x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0, y_1^0, \dots, y_n^0) \in K^{2n}$  its generic zero. If the system  $P_{\bar{a}}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  satisfies the following conditions called *normality* and *freeness* then there is a generic zero of  $P_{\bar{a}}$  such that

$$y_i^0 = \text{ex}(x_i^0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- (Normality condition) For any distinct  $i_1, \dots, i_m$  after any *admissible* transformation of variables, we have

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{Q}(\bar{a})}(x_{i_1}^0, \dots, x_{i_m}^0, y_{i_1}^0, \dots, y_{i_m}^0) \geq m$$

- (Freeness condition) After any *admissible* transformation of variables, we have for all  $i$

$$x_i^0 \notin \text{acl}(\mathbb{Q}(\bar{a})) \text{ and } y_i^0 \notin \text{acl}(\mathbb{Q}(\bar{a}))$$

So, the existential closedness assures the existence of generic solutions to the exponential system  $(P, E)$  if  $P$  is normal and free.

On the other hand, the decomposition theorem describes the structure of the solution set to the exponential system if it has solutions.

## 1.4 PQF is not enough for an analytic Zariski structure

PQF stands for the positive quantifier free topology, i.e., the positive quantifier free definable sets forming the basis for a topology. Since the set of positive quantifier free definable sets is closed under finite unions and finite intersections, the PQF can be introduced in  $K_{\text{ex}}$ .

Suppose we topologize  $K_{\text{ex}}$  with PQF. Then the decomposition theorem above (Theorem 4) suggests that the irreducible subsets of  $K^{2n}$  defined as the zero sets of exponential system can be viewed as *analytic* set.

However as Zilber remarks we see that PQF is not rich enough for  $K_{\text{ex}}$  being an analytic Zariski structure :

First notice that the properties of  $K_{\text{ex}}$  are all of algebraic nature;  $K$  being algebraically closed field,  $\text{ex}$  being a homomorphism from the additive structure to the multiplicative structure of the field  $K$  and the existential closedness guaranteeing the existence of solutions to exponential system.

Therefore in order to consider  $K_{\text{ex}}$  as an analytic Zariski structure, we need to say that e.g.,  $\text{ex}' = \text{ex}$ . For this, we need to define the derivative of  $\text{ex}$  should be *definable and analytic*.

Consider first

$$E = \{(z, x_1, x_2) : z(x_1 - x_2) = \text{ex}(x_1) - \text{ex}(x_2)\}$$

Then clearly  $\dim E = 2$ . Next put  $E' = E \cap \{(z, x_1, x_2) : x_1 = x_2\}$ . We see also that  $\dim E' = 2$ . Since  $E'$  is a subset of  $E$  of equal dimension,  $E$  is not irreducible if  $E$  is analytic. Therefore, by an axiom of analytic Zariski structure we have that  $E = E^0 \cup E'$  for some analytic set  $E^0$ .

Consider the graph of the following function

$$g(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{\text{ex}(x_1) - \text{ex}(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} & x_1 \neq x_2 \\ \text{ex}(x_1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notice here that  $E^0 \setminus E'$  is the graph of

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\text{ex}(x_1) - \text{ex}(x_2)}{x_1 - \text{ex}x_2}, \quad x_1 \neq x_2$$

and that  $E^0 \cap E'$  is the graph of the derivative of  $\text{ex}$ .

The function  $g(x_1, x_2)$  above should be Zariski continuous. Although the graph of  $g$  is quantifier free definable, it is not a closed set in the PQF.

This simple example shows that the PQF is not rich enough for  $K_{\text{cx}}$  being an analytic Zariski. For details see p. 189 of [Z3].

## References

- [CLO] D. Cox, J. Little and D. O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms*, Springer, 1992
- [HZ] Ehud Hrushovski, Boris Zilber, *Zariski geometries*, J of the AMS, 1996
- [P1] Nick Peatfield, *An analytic Zariski structure over a field*, Archive for Mathematical Logic
- [P2] Nick Peatfield, *A complex-like Analytic Zariski structures on models of the theory of a generic function with derivatives*
- [PZ] Nick Peatfield, Boris Zilber, *Analytic Zariski structures and the Hrushovski construction*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 132(2005) 127-180
- [Ri] D. Richardson, *A Zero structure Theorem for Exponential Polynomials*, Proceedings of the 1993 international symposium on Symbolic and algebraic computation, 144 - 151, 1993
- [Z3] Boris Zilber, *Zariski Geometries*, 20 March 2009 version