Remarks on perturbation of defect operators on Hilbert function spaces

島根大学・総合理工学部 瀬戸 道生 (Michio Seto)

Department of Mathematics

Shimane University

1 Introduction

Let $(\mathcal{H}, k_{\lambda}, \Omega)$ be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space consisting of analytic functions on a domain Ω in \mathbb{C}^n with the variable $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and the reproducing kernel $k_{\lambda} = k(\lambda, \cdot)$, where λ is a point in Ω . Without loss of generality, we may assume that Ω contains the origin. Moreover, we assume that \mathcal{H} is invariant under pointwise multiplication of any polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$. Then a family of operators encoding structure of $(\mathcal{H}, k_{\lambda}, \Omega)$ is obtained under appropriate conditions. In this note, these operators will be denoted by Δ_{λ} . We should mention that $\Delta = \Delta_0$ has been studied already by many researchers on some Hilbert function spaces.

This note has been organized as follows. In Section 2 and Section 3, we will give a partial announcement of results obtained in [8], where we dealt with Δ_{λ} 's of submodules in Hardy space over the bidisk. In Section 4, we revisit the Hardy space over the unit disk from our point of view. In Section 5, we studies Δ_{λ} 's of submodules in the Bergman space over the unit disk.

2 Rudin's module

Let \mathbb{D} denote the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ be the Hardy space over \mathbb{D} . The Hardy space over the bidisk \mathbb{D}^2 will be denoted by $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$, or H^2 for short. Then $z = (z_1, z_2)$ will denote the variable of functions in H^2 . We note that H^2 can be defined as the tensor product Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{D})$. A will denote the bidisk algebra. Then,

under pointwise multiplication, H^2 becomes a Hilbert module over A. A closed subspace \mathcal{M} of H^2 is called a submodule if \mathcal{M} is invariant under the module action, that is, a submodule is an invariant subspace of H^2 under multiplication of each function in A. [S] denotes the submodule generated by a set S. The rank of a submodule \mathcal{M} is the least cardinality of a generating set of \mathcal{M} as a Hilbert module, and which will be denoted by rank \mathcal{M} , and the following inequality is well known:

$$\dim \mathcal{M}/[(z_1-\lambda_1)\mathcal{M}+(z_2-\lambda_2)\mathcal{M}] \leq \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{M} \ ((\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\in \mathbb{D}). \tag{2.1}$$

Set $\alpha_n = 1 - n^{-3}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, and let b_{α_n} be the Blaschke factor whose zero is α_n . Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_j H^2(\mathbb{D}) \otimes z_2^j \quad \left(ext{where } q_j = \prod_{n=j}^{\infty} b_{lpha_n}^{n-j}
ight)$$

has been called Rudin's module (cf. Rudin [7]). The striking fact on Rudin's module is that the module rank is infinity. Indeed, for any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ in \mathbb{D}^2 , we have

$$\dim \mathcal{M}/[(z_1 - \lambda_1)\mathcal{M} + (z_2 - \lambda_2)\mathcal{M}] = \begin{cases} n+1 & (\lambda = (\alpha_n, 0)) \\ 1 & \text{(otherwise)}. \end{cases}$$

As n tends to infinity, we have rank $\mathcal{M} = \infty$ by (2.1).

Therefore we are interested in the following family of quotient vector spaces.

$$\mathcal{M}/[(z_1-\lambda_1)\mathcal{M}+(z_2-\lambda_2)\mathcal{M}] \ ((\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\in\mathbb{D}^2).$$

$3 \quad H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \text{ case}$

Let \mathcal{M} be a submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Then R_f denotes the compression of a Toeplitz operator T_f into \mathcal{M} , that is, we set $R_f = P_{\mathcal{M}}T_f|_{\mathcal{M}}$ where $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the

orthogonal projection of H^2 onto a submodule \mathcal{M} . The following operator is called the defect operator of a submodule \mathcal{M} .

$$\Delta = I_{\mathcal{M}} - R_{z_1} R_{z_1}^* - R_{z_2} R_{z_2}^* + R_{z_1} R_{z_2} R_{z_1}^* R_{z_2}^*,$$

which has been introduced by Yang in [9, 10] (see, also Guo [3] and Guo-Yang [5]). Moreover, we introduce the following operator valued function:

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = I_{\mathcal{M}} - R_{b_{\lambda_{1}}(z_{1})} R_{b_{\lambda_{1}}(z_{1})}^{*} - R_{b_{\lambda_{2}}(z_{2})} R_{b_{\lambda_{2}}(z_{2})}^{*} + R_{b_{\lambda_{1}}(z_{1})} R_{b_{\lambda_{2}}(z_{2})} R_{b_{\lambda_{1}}(z_{1})}^{*} R_{b_{\lambda_{2}}(z_{2})}^{*},$$

where

$$(b_{\lambda_1}(z_1), b_{\lambda_2}(z_2)) = \left(\frac{z_1 - \lambda_1}{1 - \overline{\lambda_1} z_1}, \frac{z_2 - \lambda_2}{1 - \overline{\lambda_2} z_2}\right) \quad (\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2).$$

Since $(b_{\lambda_1}(z_1), b_{\lambda_2}(z_2))$ defines an automorphism of \mathbb{D}^2 (i.e. a biholomorphic map acting on \mathbb{D}^2), Δ_{λ} can be seen as a defect operator perturbed by an automorphism. The following theorem is the reason why we are interested in Δ_{λ} , which was shown in Guo-Yang [5] for the case where $\lambda = 0$ (see also Guo-Wang [4]), and their proof can be applied to the general case.

Theorem 3.1 (Guo-Yang [5], Guo-Wang [4]) Let \mathcal{M} be a submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}^2$,

$$\ker(I_{\mathcal{M}} - \Delta_{\lambda}) = \mathcal{M}/[(z_1 - \lambda_1)\mathcal{M} + (z_2 - \lambda_2)\mathcal{M}].$$

Yang defined a new class of submodules in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

Definition 3.1 ([10]) A submodule \mathcal{M} in H^2 is said to be Hilbert-Schmidt if Δ is Hilbert-Schmidt.

Yang showed that Hilbert-Schmidt class includes Rudin's module and submodules generated by polynomials.

Theorem 3.2 (S [8]) Let \mathcal{M} be a submodule of H^2 .

(i) If Δ_{μ} is Hilbert-Schmidt for some μ in \mathbb{D}^2 , then Δ_{λ} is Hilbert-Schmidt for any λ in \mathbb{D}^2 .

(ii) If \mathcal{M} is Hilbert-Schmidt then $\|\Delta_{\lambda} - \Delta_{\mu}\|_{2} \to 0 \ (\lambda \to \mu)$.

Theorem 3.3 (S [8]) Let \mathcal{M} be a Hilbert-Schmidt submodule such that $\dim \ker(I - \Delta_{\mu}) = n > 1$ for some μ in \mathbb{D}^2 . Then, for any neighborhood U_1 of 1 such that $\sigma(\Delta_{\mu}) \cap \overline{U_1} = \{1\}$, there exists a neighborhood U_{μ} of μ such that $\sigma(\Delta_{\lambda}) \cap U_1 = \{1, \sigma_1(\lambda), \dots, \sigma_{n-1}(\lambda)\}$ for any λ in U_{μ} , counting multiplicity.

Example 3.1 (Yang [9], S [8]) Let $q_1 = q_1(z_1)$ and $q_2 = q_2(z_2)$ be one variable inner functions, and let \mathcal{M} be the submodule generated by q_1 and q_2 in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. Then we have

$$\dim \ker(I_{\mathcal{M}} - \Delta_{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} 2 & (\text{if } q_1(\lambda_1) = q_2(\lambda_2) = 0) \\ 1 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma(\Delta_{\lambda}) = \{0, 1, \pm \sigma(\lambda)\},\$$

where we set

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \sqrt{(1 - |q_1(\lambda_1)|^2)(1 - |q_2(\lambda_2)|^2)}.$$

This calculation has been done already in the case where $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (0, 0)$ by Yang in [9]. If $\sigma(\lambda) \neq 1$ then the eigenfunction corresponding to $\sigma(\lambda)$ is

$$e(\lambda) = \left(\sqrt{1 - |q_2(\lambda_2)|^2} - \sqrt{1 - |q_1(\lambda_1)|^2}\right) \frac{q_1(z_1)q_2(z_2)}{(1 - \overline{\lambda_1}z_1)(1 - \overline{\lambda_2}z_2)}$$

$$-\frac{q_2(\lambda_2)}{\sqrt{1-|q_2(\lambda_2)|^2}}\frac{q_1(z_1)(1-\overline{q_2(\lambda_2)}q_2(z_2))}{(1-\overline{\lambda_1}z_1)(1-\overline{\lambda_2}z_2)}$$

$$+\frac{q_1(\lambda_1)}{\sqrt{1-|q_1(\lambda_1)|^2}}\frac{q_2(z_2)(1-\overline{q_1(\lambda_1)}q_1(z_1))}{(1-\overline{\lambda_1}z_1)(1-\overline{\lambda_2}z_2)}$$

If $\sigma(\lambda) = 1$ then the eigenfunctions corresponding to $\sigma(\lambda)$ are

$$\frac{q_1(z_1)}{(1-\overline{\lambda_1}z_1)(1-\overline{\lambda_2}z_2)}, \quad \frac{q_2(z_2)}{(1-\overline{\lambda_1}z_1)(1-\overline{\lambda_2}z_2)}.$$

Note that $e(\lambda)$ converges to 0 as $\sigma(\lambda)$ tends to 1.

$4 \quad H^2(\mathbb{D}) \text{ case}$

The defect operator of a submodule \mathcal{M} in $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is as follows:

$$\Delta = I_{\mathcal{M}} - R_z R_z^* = \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M}/z\mathcal{M}) = q \otimes q,$$

where q is the inner function corresponding to a submodule \mathcal{M} by Beurling's theorem. The definition of Δ_{λ} is similar to that given in Section 3, and we have

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = I_{\mathcal{M}} - R_{b_{\lambda}} R_{b_{\lambda}}^* = \operatorname{Proj}(\mathcal{M}/(z-\lambda)\mathcal{M}) = qK_{\lambda} \otimes qK_{\lambda},$$

where we set $b_{\lambda} = (z - \lambda)/(1 - \overline{\lambda}z)$ and K_{λ} denotes the normalized Szegö kernel. These facts are well known.

5 $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ case

In this section, we deal with the defect operator of a submodule in Bergman space over \mathbb{D} . The Bergman space over \mathbb{D} is defined as follows:

$$L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 \, dx dy < \infty \, \left(z = x + iy\right) \right\}.$$

The reproducing kernel is

$$k_{\lambda}(z) = \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{\lambda}z)^2}$$
 (the Bergman kernel),

and the operator $S_z: f \mapsto zf$ acting on $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$ is called the Bergman shift. The definition of submodules in $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$ is the same as that of $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. We summarize well known facts on submodules of $L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$.

Theorem 5.1 Let \mathcal{M} be a submodule of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$.

(i) dim $\mathcal{M}/(z-\lambda)\mathcal{M}$ is independent of choice of λ in \mathbb{D} (Richter [6]).

- (ii) For every n in $\{1, 2, ..., \infty\}$, there exists a submodule \mathcal{M} such that $\dim \mathcal{M}/z\mathcal{M} = n$ (Apostol-Bercovici-Foiaș-Pearcy [1]).
- (iii) $\mathcal{M}/z\mathcal{M}$ is a generating set of \mathcal{M} (Aleman-Richter-Sundberg [2]).

The defect operator of a submodule of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is as follows:

$$\Delta = I_{\mathcal{M}} - 2R_z R_z^* + R_z^2 R_z^{*2},$$

which was introduced by Yang-Zhu [11] (they called this the root operator of \mathcal{M}). The definition of Δ_{λ} is similar to that given in Section 3,

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = I_{\mathcal{M}} - 2R_{b_{\lambda}}R_{b_{\lambda}}^* + R_{b_{\lambda}}^2 R_{b_{\lambda}}^{*2},$$

where we set $b_{\lambda} = (z - \lambda)/(1 - \overline{\lambda}z)$. The following theorem was shown in Yang-Zhu [11] in the case where $\lambda = 0$, and their proof can be applied to the general case.

Theorem 5.2 (Yang-Zhu [11])

$$\ker(I_{\mathcal{M}} - \Delta_{\lambda}) = \mathcal{M}/(z - \lambda)\mathcal{M}.$$

The Hilbert-Schmidt class of submodules in $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ is defined as same as that given in Section 3.

Theorem 5.3 (S) Let \mathcal{M} be a Hilbert-Schmidt submodule of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. Then

- (i) Δ_{λ} is Hilbert-Schmidt for any λ in \mathbb{D} ,
- (ii) $\|\Delta_{\lambda} \Delta_{\mu}\|_2 \to 0 \ (\lambda \to \mu)$.

Proof First, we shall show (i). Setting $k_z^{\mathcal{M}} = P_{\mathcal{M}} k_z$, we have

$$(\Delta_{\lambda}f)(z) = \langle \Delta_{\lambda}f, k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle$$

$$= \langle (I_{\mathcal{M}} - 2R_{b_{\lambda}}R_{b_{\lambda}}^{*} + R_{b_{\lambda}}^{2}R_{b_{\lambda}}^{*2})f, k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle$$

$$= \langle f, (I_{\mathcal{M}} - 2R_{b_{\lambda}}R_{b_{\lambda}}^{*} + R_{b_{\lambda}}^{2}R_{b_{\lambda}}^{*2})k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle$$

$$= \langle f, (1 - 2\overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}R_{b_{\lambda}} + \overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}^{2}R_{b_{\lambda}}^{2})k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle$$

$$= \langle f, (1 - 2\overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}b_{\lambda} + \overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}^{2}b_{\lambda}^{2})k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}} \rangle$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(w)\overline{(1 - \overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}b_{\lambda}(w))^{2}k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}}(w)} dA(w),$$

where $dA(w) = \pi^{-1} dx dy$ (w = x + iy). Hence Δ_{λ} is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if

$$(1-\overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}b_{\lambda}(w))^{2}k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}}(w)$$

is square integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{D}^2 . We note that

$$\frac{(1-\overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}b_{\lambda}(w))^{2}}{(1-\overline{z}w)^{2}} = \left(\frac{1-|\lambda|^{2}}{(1-\lambda\overline{z})(1-\overline{\lambda}w)}\right)^{2}.$$
 (5.1)

Hence we have

$$(1 - \overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}b_{\lambda}(w))^{2}k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}}(w) = \frac{(1 - \overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}b_{\lambda}(w))^{2}}{(1 - \overline{z}w)^{2}}(1 - \overline{z}w)^{2}k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1 - |\lambda|^{2}}{(1 - \lambda\overline{z})(1 - \overline{\lambda}w)}\right)^{2}(1 - \overline{z}w)^{2}k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}}. \tag{5.2}$$

Since trivially (5.1) is bounded on \mathbb{D}^2 , (5.2) is square integrable on \mathbb{D}^2 . This concludes (i).

Next, we shall show (ii). Since the integral kernel of Δ_{λ} is

$$(1 - \overline{b_{\lambda}(z)}b_{\lambda}(w))^2 k_z^{\mathcal{M}}(w),$$

and using (5.2), we have

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta_{\lambda} - \Delta_{\mu}\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| (1 - \overline{b_{\lambda}(z)} b_{\lambda}(w))^{2} k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}}(w) - (1 - \overline{b_{\mu}(z)} b_{\mu}(w))^{2} k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}}(w) \right|^{2} dA(z) dA(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \left(\frac{1 - |\lambda|^{2}}{(1 - \lambda \overline{z})(1 - \overline{\lambda}w)} \right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1 - |\mu|^{2}}{(1 - \mu \overline{z})(1 - \overline{\mu}w)} \right)^{2} \right|^{2} \\ &\qquad \times \left| (1 - \overline{z}w)^{2} k_{z}^{\mathcal{M}} \right|^{2} dA(z) dA(w) \\ &\to 0 \ (\lambda \to \mu) \end{split}$$

by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. This concludes (ii).

References

- [1] C. Apostol, H. Bercovici, C. Foiaş and C. Pearcy, *Invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and the structure of the predual of a dual algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **63** (1985), 369–404.
- [2] A. Aleman, S. Richter and C. Sundberg, Beurling's theorem for the Bergman space, Acta Math. 177 (1996), 275–310.
- [3] K. Guo, Defect operators, defect functions and defect indices for analytic submodules, J. Funct. Anal. 213 (2004), 380–411.
- [4] K. Guo and P. Wang, Defect operators and Fredholmness for Toeplitz pairs with inner symbols, J. Operator Theory 58 (2007), 251–268.
- [5] K. Guo and R. Yang, The core function of submodules over the bidisk, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004), no. 1, 205–222.
- [6] S. Richter, Invariant subspaces in Banach spaces of analytic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **304** (1987), no. 2, 585–616.
- [7] W. Rudin, Function theory in polydiscs, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam 1969.
- [8] M. Seto, A perturbation theory for core operators of Hilbert-Schmidt submodules, preprint.
- [9] R. Yang, Hilbert-Schmidt submodules and issues of unitary equivalence, J. Operator Theory **53** (2005), no. 1, 169–184.
- [10] R. Yang, The core operator and congruent submodules, J. Funct. Anal. 228 (2005), no. 2, 469–489.
- [11] R. Yang and K. Zhu, The root operator on invariant subspaces of the Bergman space, Illinois J. Math. 47 (2003), no. 4, 1227–1242.