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Abstract

We give two characterizations of graphs with coloring number $\leq \kappa$ in terms of elementary submodels; one under ZFC and another under SSH and the version of very weak square principle of [8].

These characterizations suggest that the graphs with coloring number $\leq \kappa$ behave very much like the Boolean algebras with $\kappa$-Freese-Nation property (see [5], [8]).

1 Introduction

A graph $G = \langle G, K \rangle$ ($K \subseteq [G]^2$) has coloring number $\leq \kappa$ (notation: $\text{col}(G) \leq \kappa$) if there is a well ordering $\Subset$ on $G$ such that $K_{\Subset}^a = \{ b \in G : b \Subset a \text{ and } \{a, b\} \in \Subset \}$.
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has cardinality $< \kappa$ for all $a \in G$ ([3]). The coloring number $\text{col}(G)$ of $G$ is then defined as the minimum of such $\kappa$'s. It is easy to see that the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of $G$ is less or equal to $\text{col}(G)$.

The purpose of this note is to show that the graphs with coloring number $\leq \kappa$ behave quite similarly to the Boolean algebras with $\kappa$-Freese-Nation property (see e.g. [5], [8]).

In Section 2 we give a characterization of graphs with coloring number $\leq \kappa$ in terms of elementary submodels (Theorem 2.4). As an application of the characterization, we present in Section 3 a short proof of the countability of the coloring number of the plane.

In Section 4, we show that the characterization of Section 2 can be yet sharpened under SSH and the version of the very weak square principle introduced in [8] (Theorem 4.2).

Both Theorems 2.4 and 4.2 find their parallels in the theory of Boolean algebras with $\kappa$-Freese-Nation property (see Proposition 3 and Theorem 10 in [8]).

The following theorem also underlines the analogy between the Boolean algebras with the $\kappa$-Freese-Nation property and the graphs with coloring number $\leq \kappa$ in the case of $\kappa = \aleph_0$. Note that Boolean algebras with $\aleph_0$-Freese Nation property are also called openly generated.

If $G = \langle G, K \rangle$ is graph then we identify any subset $H$ of $G$ with the graph $G \upharpoonright H = \langle H, K \cap [H]^2 \rangle$.

**Theorem 1.1** ([6] and [7]). The following assertions are equivalent over ZFC:

\[\begin{align*}
(\alpha) & \quad \text{For any Boolean algebra } B \text{ if there are club many subalgebras of } B \text{ of cardinality } \aleph_1 \text{ which are openly generated then } B \text{ is openly generated.} \\
(\beta) & \quad \text{For any graph } G \text{ if } \text{col}(H) \leq \aleph_0 \text{ for every } H \in [G]^{\aleph_1} \text{ then } \text{col}(G) \leq \aleph_0.
\end{align*}\]

Theorem 1.1 in the formulation as above is a sort of bluff since we actually proved that each of the assertions $(\alpha)$ and $(\beta)$ is equivalent to the set-theoretic principle FRP introduced in [4].

\section{A characterization of graphs with coloring number $\leq \kappa$}

We use here the following notations. The first one was already used in the introduction:

For a linear ordering $\in$ on a graph $G = \langle G, K \rangle$ we denote
$K_{\Subset}^a = \{ b \in G : b \Subset a \text{ and } \{a, b\} \in K \}.$

If $H \subseteq G$ then we write

(2.2) $K_{\Subset}^{H,a} = \{ b \in H : b \Subset a \text{ and } \{a, b\} \in K \}.$

For a graph $G = \langle G, K \rangle$, $H \subseteq G$ and $a \in G$, let

(2.3) $K_H^a = \{ b \in H : \langle a, b \rangle \in K \}.$

We write $H \subseteq_\kappa G$ if $|K_H^a| < \kappa$ for all $a \in G \setminus H$.

A mapping $f : G \to \mathcal{P}^<\kappa$ is a $\kappa$-coloring mapping on $G$ if for any $a, b \in G$ with $\{a, b\} \in K$, at least one of $a \in f(b)$ or $b \in f(a)$ holds.

**Lemma 2.1 ([7]).** For any graph $G$ and any infinite cardinal $\kappa$, the following are equivalent:

(a) $\text{col}(G) \leq \kappa$.

(b) There is a $\kappa$-coloring mapping on $G$.

**Proof.** (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Suppose that $\text{col}(G) \leq \kappa$ and let $\Subset$ be a well-ordering on $G$ such that $|K_{\Subset}^a| < \kappa$ for all $a \in G$. Then $f : G \to \mathcal{P}^<\kappa$ defined by $f(a) = K_{\Subset}^a$ for $a \in G$ is a $\kappa$-coloring mapping.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Suppose that $f : G \to \mathcal{P}^<\kappa$ is a $\kappa$-coloring mapping on $G$. Let $\Subset$ be a well-ordering on $G$ such that all initial segments of $G$ of order-type of the form $\kappa \cdot \alpha$ with respect to $\Subset$ are closed with respect to $f$. Then $\Subset$ is as desired:

**Claim 2.1.1.** $|K_{\Subset}^a| < \kappa$ for all $a \in G$.

\[ |K_{\Subset}^a| < \kappa \text{ for all } a \in G. \]

\[ \vdash \text{Suppose that } a \in G \text{ is the } \kappa \cdot \alpha + \beta \text{'th element with respect to } \Subset \text{ where } \beta < \kappa. \text{ Then the first } \kappa \cdot \alpha \text{ elements of } G \text{ are closed with respect to } f \text{ and hence if } b \text{ is among them and } \{a, b\} \in K \text{ then we have } b \in f(a). \text{ Thus} \]

\[ K_{\Subset}^a \subseteq \{ b \in G : b \text{ is the } \gamma \text{'th element for some } \kappa \cdot \alpha \leq \gamma < \kappa \cdot \alpha + \beta \} \]

\[ \cup f(a). \]

The right side of the inclusion has size $< \kappa$ (note that we need here the infinity of $\kappa$). Hence $|K_{\Subset}^a| < \kappa$. \[ \vdash \text{(Claim 2.1.1)} \]

\[ \square \text{(Lemma 2.1)} \]

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose that $\langle G_\alpha : \alpha < \delta \rangle$ is a filtration of a graph $G = \langle G, K \rangle$ and $\kappa$ is an infinite cardinal. If $G_\alpha \subseteq_\kappa G$ and $\text{col}(G_{\alpha+1}) \leq \kappa$ for all $\alpha < \delta$, then we have $\text{col}(G) \leq \kappa$. 
Proof. For $a \in G$ let $o(a) = \min\{\alpha < \delta : a \in G_{\alpha+1}\}$. For $\alpha < \delta$, let $\subseteq_{\alpha+1}$ be a well-ordering of $G_{\alpha+1}$ witnessing $col(G_{\alpha+1}) \leq \kappa$. Let $\subseteq$ be the ordering on $G$ defined by:

\[ (2.4) \quad a \subseteq b \iff o(a) < o(b) \text{ or } \left( o(a) = o(b) \text{ and } a \subseteq_{o(a)+1} b \right). \]

Then $\subseteq$ is a well ordering on $G$. The following claim shows that $\subseteq$ witnesses that $G$ has coloring number $< \kappa$.

**Claim 2.2.1.** $|K^{a}_{\subseteq}| < \kappa$ for all $a \in G$.

$\vdash$ For $a \in G$, we have $K^{a}_{\subseteq} \subseteq K^{a}_{G_{o(a)}} \cup K^{G_{o(a)+1}, a}_{\subseteq_{o(a)+1}}$. Since the right side of the inclusion is of cardinality $< \kappa$, it follows that $|K^{a}_{\subseteq}| < \kappa$. $\neg$ (Claim 2.2.1)

$\square$ (Lemma 2.2)

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$ are subsets of $G$ with $H_{0} \subseteq \kappa \text{ and } H_{1} \subseteq_{\kappa} G$. Then we have $H_{0} \cap H_{1} \subseteq_{\kappa} G$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $a \in G \setminus (H_{0} \cap H_{1})$. Then we have $a \in G \setminus H_{0}$ or $a \in G \setminus H_{1}$. If $a \in G \setminus H_{0}$, then $K^{a}_{H_{0} \cap H_{1}} \subseteq K^{a}_{H_{0}}$. And hence $|K^{a}_{H_{0} \cap H_{1}}| < \kappa$. If $a \in G \setminus H_{1}$, then $K^{a}_{H_{0} \cap H_{1}} \subseteq K^{a}_{H_{1}}$. And hence again we have $|K^{a}_{H_{0} \cap H_{1}}| < \kappa$.

This shows $H_{0} \cap H_{1} \subseteq_{\kappa} G$. $\square$ (Lemma 2.3)

**Theorem 2.4.** For any graph $G = \langle G, K \rangle$ and an infinite cardinal $\kappa$, the following are equivalent:

(a) $col(G) \leq \kappa$.

(a') There is a well-ordering $\subseteq$ of $G$ of order-type $|G|$ such that $|K^{a}_{\subseteq}| < \kappa$ for all $a \in G$.

(b) $G$ has a $\kappa$-coloring mapping.

(c) For all sufficiently large regular $\chi$ and for all $M < \mathcal{H}(\chi)$ such that $\langle G, K \rangle \in M$ and $\kappa + 1 \subseteq M$ we have $G \cap M \subseteq_{\kappa} G$.

**Proof.** (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) was already proved in Lemma 2.1. (a') $\Rightarrow$ (a) is trivial. The proof of (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) in Lemma 2.1 actually proves (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a').

For (a) $\Rightarrow$ (c), suppose that $G = \langle G, K \rangle$ has coloring number $\leq \kappa$. Let $\chi$ be a sufficiently large regular cardinal and $M < \mathcal{H}(\chi)$ be such that $G \in M$ and $\kappa + 1 \subseteq M$. By elementarity and (a) $\iff$ (b), there is $f \in M$ such that $f$ is a $\kappa$-coloring mapping on $G$. Note that by $\kappa + 1 \subseteq M$ and by elementarity, $G \cap M$ is closed with respect to $f$. For $a \in G \setminus M$ and $b \in K^{a}_{G \cap M}$, since $a \not\in f(b) \subseteq M$, we have $b \in f(a)$. Thus $K^{a}_{G \cap M} \subseteq f(a)$ and hence $|K^{a}_{G \cap M}| < \kappa$. This shows that $G \cap M \subseteq_{\kappa} G$. 
Now we prove (c) \(\Rightarrow\) (a) by induction on \(|G|\).

If \(|G| \leq \kappa\), then (c) \(\Rightarrow\) (a) holds since \(G\) then has coloring number \(\leq \kappa\) anyway — any well-ordering of \(G\) of order-type \(|G|\) will witness this.

Suppose that \(|G| > \kappa\) and we have shown the implication (c) \(\Rightarrow\) (a) for all graphs of cardinality \(< |G|\). Let \(\lambda = |G|\), \(\lambda^* = \text{cf}(\lambda)\) and \(\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^* \rangle\) a continuously increasing chain of elementary submodels of \(\mathcal{H}(\chi)\) such that

\[(2.5)\quad G \in M_0; \quad \kappa + 1 \subseteq M_0;\]
\[(2.6)\quad |M_\alpha| < \lambda \text{ for all } \alpha < \lambda^*; \text{ and}\]
\[(2.7)\quad G \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha<\lambda^*} M_\alpha.\]

For \(\alpha < \lambda^*\), let \(G_\alpha = G \cap M_\alpha\). Then \(\langle G_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda^* \rangle\) is a filtration of \(G\) by (2.6) and (2.7). \(G_\alpha \subseteq G\) for all \(\alpha < \kappa\) by (2.5) and by the assumption of (c).

By Lemma 2.3, \(G_\alpha\) also satisfies (c) for \(\alpha < \lambda^*\). Since \(|G_\alpha| < \lambda\), it follows that \(\text{col}(G_\alpha) \leq \kappa\) for all \(\alpha < \lambda^*\) by the induction hypothesis. Hence we have \(\text{col}(G) \leq \kappa\) by Lemma 2.2. \(\square\) (Theorem 2.4)

3 Coloring number of the plane

The plane, or the unit distance graph of the plane, is the graph \(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\) defined by \(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2) = \langle \mathbb{R}^2, K^1_{\mathbb{R}^2}\rangle\) where \(K^1 = \{\{x, y\} \in [\mathbb{R}^2]^2 : d(x, y) = 1\}\). Applying Theorem 2.4, we can show easily that the coloring number of the plane is equal to \(\aleph_0\).

Theorem 3.1. \(\text{col}(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \aleph_0.\)

Proof. In [2] it is noted that the list-chromatic number \(\text{list}(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2))\) of \(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\) is infinite since finite regular graph of arbitrarily large degree \(d\) can be embedded in \(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\) (e.g., throwing down of \(n\)-dimensional cube onto the plane) and the list-chromatic number of such finite graph is \(d\) (see [1]). Thus we have \(\aleph_0 \leq \text{list}(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \leq \text{col}(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2))\).

To prove the inequality \(\text{col}(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \leq \aleph_0\), let \(\chi\) be sufficiently large and \(N \prec \mathcal{H}(\chi)\). Note that we have \(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \in N\) since the plane is definable. Suppose \(x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N\). Let us write simply \(K\) for \(K^1_{\mathbb{R}^2}\). By Theorem 2.4, it is enough to show that \(K^2_{\mathbb{R}^2 \cap N}\) is finite. Actually, we can show that \(|K^2_{\mathbb{R}^2 \cap N}| \leq 1:\)

Toward a contradiction, suppose that \(|K^2_{\mathbb{R}^2 \cap N}| > 1\). Then there are two distinct \(y, z \in G \cap N\) such that \(d(x, y) = d(x, z) = 1\). But then \(X = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^2 : d(u, y) = d(u, z) = 1\}\) is a two element set definable with parameters from \(N\). It follows that \(x \in X \subseteq N\). This is a contradiction to the choice of \(x.\) \(\square\) (Theorem 3.1)
With the same proof we can also show:
\[
\text{col}(G^{\text{Odd}}(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \text{col}(G^{\text{N}}(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \text{col}(G^{\text{Q}}(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \text{col}(G^{\text{algebraic}}(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \cdots = \aleph_0.
\]

Theorem 3.1 may be already known. However I could not find any direct mention of the theorem in the literature. Also, in [2] the authors prove \(\text{list}(G^{\text{Odd}}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \leq \aleph_0\) directly and it seems that idea of the proof cannot be extended to a proof of \(\text{col}(G^{\text{Odd}}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \leq \aleph_0\).

I first learned a proof of \(\text{col}(G^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \leq \aleph_0\) from Hiroshi Sakai in November 2009 who proved the inequality straightforwardly.

Theorem 2.4 is often quite useful to decide the coloring number of infinite graphs. For example, \(\text{col}(K(\kappa, \kappa)) = \kappa\) and \(\text{col}(K(\kappa, \lambda)) = \kappa^+\) for any \(\aleph_0 \leq \kappa < \lambda\); \(\text{col}(G^{\text{Odd}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) = \aleph_1\) etc. can be seen immediately by this theorem.

We shall demonstrate the last equality. Recall \(G^{\text{Odd}}(\mathbb{R}^3) = (\mathbb{R}^3, K^{\text{odd}}_{\mathbb{R}^3})\) where \(K^{\text{odd}}_{\mathbb{R}^3} = \{\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y}\rangle \in [\mathbb{R}^3]^2 : d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \text{ is an odd (natural) number}\}\).

Theorem A.3.1. \(\text{col}(G^{\text{Odd}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) = \aleph_1\).

Proof. For notational simplicity, let \(G = G^{\text{Odd}}(\mathbb{R}^3) = (G, K)\) with \(G = \mathbb{R}^3\) and \(K = K^{\text{odd}}_{\mathbb{R}^3}\). Suppose that \(\chi\) is sufficiently large. By Theorem 2.4, it is enough to show that \(G \cap M \subseteq_{\aleph_1} G\) for all \(M \prec \mathcal{H}(\chi)\) but \(G \cap M \not\subset M\) for some \(M \prec \mathcal{H}(\chi)\).

Suppose that \(M \prec \mathcal{H}(\chi)\). If \(\mathbb{R} \subseteq M\) then \(G \subseteq M\) and we have \(G \cap M \subseteq_{\aleph_1} G\) vacuously.

Otherwise, letting \(C = \{(x, y, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : d((x, y, 0), \vec{0}) = 1\}\), we have \(C \not\subseteq M\). Let \(\vec{x} \in C \setminus M\). Then, for any odd \(n \in \omega\), \(\sqrt{n^2 - 1} \in M\) and \(d(\vec{x}, (0, 0, \sqrt{n^2 - 1})) = n\). Thus \(\langle 0, 0, \sqrt{n^2 - 1}\rangle \in K^{x}_{G \cap M}\). This shows that \(G \cap M \not\subseteq_{\aleph_0} G\).

To show \(G \cap M \subseteq_{\aleph_1} G\), assume for contradiction that there is \(\vec{x} \in G \setminus M\) such that \(K^{x}_{G \cap M}\) is uncountable. Then there is an odd \(n \in \omega\) such that \(X = \{\vec{y} \in G \cap M : d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = n\}\) is uncountable. Let \(y_0, y_1, y_3\) be three distinct elements of \(X\). \(Y = \{\vec{z} \in G : d(\vec{x}, y_0) = d(\vec{x}, y_1) = d(\vec{x}, y_2) = n\}\) is a two-elements set definable with parameters form \(M\). It follows that \(\vec{x} \in Y \subseteq M\). This is a contradiction to the choice of \(\vec{x}\). \(\square\) (Theorem A.3.1)

4 Coloring number under very weak square

The following version of the very weak square was introduced in [8].
For a regular cardinal $\kappa$ and $\mu > \kappa$, let $\square^{**}_{\kappa, \mu}$ be the following assertion: there exists a sequence $(C_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+)$ and a club set $D \subseteq \mu^+$ such that, for all $\alpha \in D$ with $\text{cf}(\alpha) \geq \kappa$, we have

(4.1) $C_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$, $C_\alpha$ is unbounded in $\alpha$; and

(4.2) $[\alpha]^{<\kappa} \cap \{C_{\alpha'} : \alpha' < \alpha\}$ dominates $[C_\alpha]^{<\kappa}$ (with respect to $\subseteq$).

For a (sufficiently large regular) cardinal $\chi$ and $M < \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, $M$ is $\kappa$-internally cofinal if $[M]^{<\kappa} \cap M$ is cofinal in $[M]^{<\kappa}$ with respect to $\subseteq$. For $\mathcal{D} \subseteq [\mathcal{H}(\chi)]^{<\kappa}$, $M$ is $\mathcal{D}$-internally cofinal if $\mathcal{D} \cap M$ is cofinal in $[M]^{<\kappa}$ with respect to $\subseteq$.

Suppose now that $\kappa$ is a regular cardinal and $\mu > \kappa$ is such that $\text{cf}(\mu) < \kappa$. Let $\mu^* = \text{cf}(\mu)$. For a sufficiently large $\chi$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, let us call a sequence $\langle M_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha < \mu^+, \beta < \mu^* \rangle$ a $(\kappa, \mu)$-dominating matrix (of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\chi)$) over $x$ if the following conditions (4.3) – (4.6) hold:

(4.3) $M_{\alpha,\beta} < \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, $x \in M_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\kappa + 1 \subseteq M_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $|M_{\alpha,\beta}| < \mu$ for all $\alpha < \mu^+$ and $\beta < \mu^*$;

(4.4) $\langle M_{\alpha,\beta} : \beta < \mu^* \rangle$ is an increasing sequence for each fixed $\alpha < \mu^+$;

(4.5) if $\alpha < \mu^+$ is such that $\text{cf}(\alpha) \geq \kappa$, then there is $\beta^* < \mu^*$ such that, for every $\beta^* \leq \beta < \mu^*$, $M_{\alpha,\beta}$ is $\kappa$-internally cofinal.

For $\alpha < \mu^+$, let $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \mu^*} M_{\alpha,\beta}$. By (4.3) and (4.4), we have $M_\alpha < \mathcal{H}(\chi)$.

(4.6) $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ is continuously increasing and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^*} M_\alpha$.

**Theorem 4.1** (THEOREM 7 in [8]). Suppose that $\kappa$ is a regular cardinal and $\mu > \kappa$ is such that $\text{cf}(\mu) < \kappa$. If we have $\text{cf}(\lambda) = \kappa$ for cofinally many $\lambda < \mu$ and $\square^{**}_{\kappa, \mu}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large $\chi$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a $(\kappa, \mu)$-dominating matrix over $x$.

**Theorem 4.2.** Assume SSH and $\square^{**}_{\kappa, \mu}$ for a regular uncountable $\kappa$ and all singular cardinal $\mu$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) < \kappa < \mu$.

Then, for any graph $G = (G, K)$ the following are equivalent:

(a) $\text{col}(G) \leq \kappa$.

(d) For a/all sufficiently large regular $\chi$ and $\kappa$-internally cofinal $M < \mathcal{H}(\chi)$ with $G \subseteq M$ we have $G \cap M \subseteq G$.

(e) For a/all sufficiently large regular $\chi$ there is $\mathcal{D} \subseteq [\mathcal{H}(\chi)]^{<\kappa}$ such that $\mathcal{D}$ is cofinal in $[\mathcal{H}(\chi)]^{<\kappa}$ and, for any $\mathcal{D}$-internally cofinal $M < \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, we have $G \cap M \subseteq G$. 


Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (d) follows from Theorem 2.4, (d) $\Rightarrow$ (e) is trivial (just put $\mathcal{D} = [\mathcal{H}(\chi)]^{<\kappa}$).

For (e) $\Rightarrow$ (a), we proceed with induction on $|G|$. If $|G| \leq \kappa$ then the implication (e) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is trivial since col($G$) $\leq \kappa$ holds always for any graph of size $\leq \kappa$. Suppose now that $|G| > \kappa$ and we have shown the implication (e) $\Rightarrow$ (a) for all graphs of cardinality $< |G|$.

Assume that $G$ satisfies (e) with $\chi$ and $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\chi^*$ be sufficiently large above $\chi$ such that we have in particular $\mathcal{H}(\chi) \in \mathcal{H}(\chi^*)$.

Claim 4.2.1. If $M$ is a $\kappa$-internal cofinal elementary submodel of $\mathcal{H}(\chi^*)$ such that

\[(4.7) \ G, \chi, \mathcal{D} \in M \text{ and } \kappa + 1 \subseteq M,\]

then we have $G \cap M \subseteq \kappa G$.

\[(\neg) \text{ Suppose not. Then there is } a \in G \setminus M \text{ such that } |K_{G \cap N}^a| \geq \kappa. \text{ Let } N = \mathcal{H}(\chi) \cap M. \text{ By elementarity we have } N \prec \mathcal{H}(\chi). \text{ Let } \langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle \text{ be an increasing sequence such that, for all } \alpha < \kappa, \text{ we have}\]

\[(4.8) \ N_\alpha \in \mathcal{D} \cap M; \]

\[(4.9) \ N_\alpha \in N_{\alpha+1}; \]

\[(4.10) \text{ there is } N_\alpha^* \in [N]^{<\kappa} \cap M \text{ such that } N_\alpha^* \prec N \text{ and } N_\alpha \subseteq N_\alpha^* \subseteq N_{\alpha+1}; \text{ and}\]

\[(4.11) \text{ } K_{G \cap N}^a \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \neq \emptyset.\]

The construction is possible by elementarity of $M$ and since $\mathcal{D}$ is cofinal in $[\mathcal{H}(\chi)]^{<\kappa}$.

Let $N^* = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha$. By (4.10) we have $N^* \prec N \prec \mathcal{H}(\chi)$. By (4.8) and (4.9) $N^*$ is $\mathcal{D}$-internally cofinal. On the other hand, we have $|K_{G \cap N^*}^a| \geq \kappa$ by (4.11). This is a contradiction to the assumption of (e). \hfill (Claim 4.2.1)

Claim 4.2.2. If $H \subseteq \kappa G$ then for every $\mathcal{D}$-internally cofinal $M \prec \mathcal{H}(\chi)$ we have $H \cap M \subseteq \kappa H$. In particular, $H$ also satisfies the condition (e).

Proof. Suppose that $M \prec \mathcal{H}(\chi)$ is $\mathcal{D}$-internally approachable. For $a \in H \setminus (H \cap M)$, since $a \in G \setminus (G \cap M)$, we have $K_{H \cap M}^a \subseteq K_{G \cap M}^a$. The right side of the inclusion is of cardinality $< \kappa$ by the assumption of (e) on $G$. This shows that $H \cap M \subseteq \kappa H$. \hfill (Claim 4.2.2)

Now we finish the induction step for the proof of (e) $\Rightarrow$ (a) in two cases. Let $\nu = |G|$.

Case I. $\nu$ is a limit cardinal or $\nu = \delta^+$ with $\text{cf}(\delta) \geq \kappa$.

Let $\nu^* = \text{cf}(\nu)$. Note that, in this case, we have that
the cardinals $\lambda < \nu$ such that $\text{cf}([\lambda]^{<\kappa}) = \lambda$ are cofinal among cardinals below $\nu$

by SSH.

Let $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \nu^* \rangle$ be an increasing sequence of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\chi^*)$ of cardinality $< \nu$ satisfying (4.7) and $G \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha<\nu^*} M_\alpha$. We can find such a sequence by (4.12).

Let

$$G_\alpha = \begin{cases} G \cap M_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \text{ or } \alpha \text{ is a successor ordinal;} \\ G \cap \left( \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} M_\beta \right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha < \nu^*$. Then $\langle G_\alpha : \alpha < \nu^* \rangle$ is a filtration of $G$.

Claim 4.2.3. $G_\alpha \subseteq_\kappa G$ for all $\alpha < \nu^*$.

Proof. If $\alpha < \nu^*$ is 0 or a successor ordinal, this follows from Claim 4.2.1.

If $\alpha < \nu^*$ is a limit and $\text{cf}(\alpha) < \kappa$, Then $G_\alpha$ is a union of less than $\kappa$ many $G_\beta$'s where $\beta < \alpha$ may be chosen to be a successor ordinal and hence $G_\beta \subseteq_\kappa G$. It follows that we have $G_\alpha \subseteq_\kappa G$ also in this case.

If $\text{cf}(\alpha) \geq \kappa$, then $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} M_\beta$ is $\kappa$-internally cofinal and hence we have $G_\beta \subseteq_\kappa G$ again by Claim 4.2.1. (Claim 4.2.3)

Now by Claim 4.2.2 and by the induction hypothesis, all of $G_\alpha$, $\alpha < \nu^*$ are of coloring number $\leq \kappa$. By Lemma 2.2, it follows that $G$ also has coloring number $\leq \kappa$.

Case II. $\nu = \mu^+$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) < \kappa$. Let $\mu^* = \text{cf}(\mu)$.

By Theorem 4.1, there is a $(\kappa, \mu)$-dominating matrix $\langle M_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha < \nu, \beta < \mu^* \rangle$ of submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\chi^*)$ over $x = \langle G, \mathcal{H}(\chi) \rangle$.

For $\alpha < \nu$ and $\beta < \mu^*$, let $G_{\alpha,\beta} = G \cap M_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $G_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta<\mu^*} G_{\alpha,\beta} = G \cap \left( \bigcup_{\beta<\mu^*} M_{\alpha,\beta} \right)$. By (4.6), the sequence $\langle G_\alpha : \alpha < \nu \rangle$ is continuously increasing and $\bigcup_{\alpha<\nu} G_\alpha = G$. By (4.3), we have $|G_\alpha| \leq \mu < \nu$. Thus $\langle G_\alpha : \alpha < \nu \rangle$ is a filtration of $G$.

Let

$$C = \{ \alpha < \nu : \text{cf}(\alpha) \geq \kappa \text{ or } \{ \alpha' < \alpha : \text{cf}(\alpha') \geq \kappa \} \text{ is cofinal in } \alpha \}.$$ 

$C$ is a club subset of $\nu$.

Claim 4.2.4. $G_\alpha \subseteq_\kappa G$ for all $\alpha \in C$. 

(4.12)
Suppose $\alpha \in C$. If $\text{cf}(\alpha) \geq \kappa$, $M_{\alpha, \beta}$ is $\kappa$-internally cofinal for all sufficiently large $\beta < \mu^*$ by (4.5). Hence by Claim 4.2.1, we have $G_{\alpha, \beta} \subseteq_{\kappa} G$ for all such $\beta$. Since $\mu^* < \kappa$, it follows that $G_{\alpha} \subseteq_{\kappa} G$.

If $\text{cf}(\alpha) < \kappa$, then let $X \subseteq \alpha$ be a cofinal subset of $\alpha$ with $|X| < \kappa$ such that all $\alpha' \in X$ have cofinality $\geq \kappa$. Since $G_\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha' \in X} G_{\alpha'}$ and $G_{\alpha'} \subseteq_{\kappa} G$ for all $\alpha' \in X$ by the first part of the proof, it follows that $G_\alpha \subseteq_{\kappa} G$. $\dashv$ (Claim 4.2.4)

By Claim 4.2.2 and by the induction hypothesis, we have $\text{col}(G_\alpha) \leq \kappa$ for all $\alpha \in C$. Hence by Lemma 2.2 we can conclude that $\text{col}(G) \leq \kappa$.

$\square$ (Theorem 4.2)
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