<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>項目</th>
<th>内容</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>タイトル</td>
<td>VORTEX and space-time distortion (Mathematical analysis of the Euler equation: 100 years of the Karman vortex street and unsteady vortex motion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>著者</td>
<td>Yoshida, Zensho; Mahajan, S.M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>引用</td>
<td>数理解析研究所講究録 1776: 126-135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>発行日</td>
<td>2012-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/171757">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/171757</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>タイプ</td>
<td>Departmental Bulletin Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>テキストバージョン</td>
<td>publisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>産学官連携</td>
<td>京都大学</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

京都大学学術情報リポジトリ
KURENAI
Kyoto University Research Information Repository
京都大学
KYOTO UNIVERSITY
VORTEX and space-time distortion

Z. Yoshida\(^1\) and S. M. Mahajan\(^2\)

\(^1\)Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo
\(^2\)Institute for Fusion Studies, The University of Texas at Austin

Abstract

The Universe is filled with "vortices" (such as galaxies, accretion disks, stars and planetary systems, etc.) that clump and wind-up with magnetic fields. Strikingly absent in this rich narrative of growth and evolution of the cosmic systems, is a satisfactory "universal" mechanism that could have generated the original seed magnetic field. Because the explosive expansion of the universe must immensely dilute the magnetic field strength, very strong fields must have originated in the early universe. Exploiting the space-time distortion inherent in relativistic dynamics, we have unearthed just the mechanism that, by breaking the topological constraint forbidding the emergence of magnetic fields (vortices), allows "general vorticities" — naturally coupled vortices of matter motion and magnetic fields — to be created in an ideal fluid. The newly postulated relativistic mechanism, arising from the interaction between the inhomogeneous flow fields and inhomogeneous entropy, may be an attractive universal solution to the origin problem.

1 Introduction

Vortex is the most common appearance of existing, sustaining, and evolving "heterogeneity," at every scale hierarchy, in the Universe. Once a vortex is created, it behaves as if it were alive; vortex is basically a coherent, stable "object," while its motion is considerably complex; its interactions with other vortices are not like those of particles, since interactions, in general, may penetrate into the identity of each vortex — quantization of vortex is not a strait-forward notion because of the essential nonlinearity of the kinematic description (while many different approaches have been proposed, and have made some interesting progresses).

Among rich narrative of various aspects of vortices, the "origin problem" is one of the most challenging. The fact that the circulation must vanish for every ideal force (including the thermodynamic force as long as entropy is conserved) forbids the emergence of vorticity (or an axial vector field) in any ideal leading order model. This fundamental obstacle (a conservation law known as Kelvin's circulation theorem), anchored on the general Hamiltonian structure of ideal kinematics [1, 2, 3], seemingly inhibits the creation of the very fist vorticity in the Universe; since the vorticity of fluid motion is unified with the electromagnetic (EM) vorticity, that is magnetic field, the origin of the cosmological
magnetic field is simultaneously questioned [4]. Invoking "non-ideal effects" has been
the only known recourse to change the vortical state of a fluid; A typical example is the
baroclinic mechanism [5], or Biermann battery [6], involving non-ideal thermodynamics
in which the gradients of pressure and temperature have different directions [7, 8]. A
velocity-space non-equilibrium distribution also provides a source of magnetic field via
the so-called Weibel instability [9]. In early cosmology, inflation [10, 11], QCD phase
transition [12, 13], or radiation effect [14] could create a source. While these mechanisms
may, and likely will, play important roles in magnetic-field generation at some scales,
one of these could be considered a universal mechanism operating at all scales [4].

In the present work, we demonstrate that a purely ideal mechanism, originating in the
space-time distortion (shearing) caused by the demands of special relativity, can break the
offending topological constraint. Vorticity, then, may be generated through an interaction
between the inhomogeneous flow fields and inhomogeneous entropy. The new mechan-
ism is universal, and is strong enough to overcome dissipation even for relatively weak
flows [15, 16].

2 Generalized vorticity and circulation theorem

The mathematical and dynamical similarity between magnetic fields and fluid vorticity
imparts both elegance and usefulness to the concept of generalized vorticity. Unless ex-
plicitly stated, generalized vorticity, denoted by \( \Omega \), will symbolize all physical quantities
of this nature.

The "origin problem" has its genesis in the fact that the circulation associated with
\( \Omega \) must vanish for every "ideal force" including the entropy conserving thermodynamic
force. The reasons lie deep in the Hamiltonian structure governing the dynamics of an
ideal fluid; the constrained dynamics implies the conservation of a "topological charge"
that measures the generalized vorticity of the fluid —the invariance of the generalized helicity,
which, for a non-relativistic charged flow, takes the familiar form

\[
K = \int \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega} \, dx,
\]

where \( \mathbf{P} = m \mathbf{V} + \left( \frac{q}{c} \right) \mathbf{A} \) is the canonical momentum and \( \mathbf{\Omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{P} \) is the generalized vorticity or generalized magnetic field (\( m \): mass of a particle, \( q \): charge of a particle,
\( \mathbf{V} \): fluid velocity, \( \mathbf{A} \): vector potential, \( \mathbf{B} \): magnetic field). Consequently, in any "ideal"
leading order model, \( \Omega \) (consisting of both magnetic and kinematic components) cannot
emerge from a zero initial value.

The problem of unearthing a primary generation mechanism for the magnetic field,
found to be important in every scale hierarchy of universe, has defied a satisfactory so-
lution to date [4]. Since the topological constraint on the ideal fluid forbids the vorticity
to emerge, one resorts to "non-ideal dynamics" to affect a change. However, a satisfac-
torily strong and universal mechanism, operating at all scales, is not known. The search
for such a universal mechanism provided the stimulus for this paper in which we make
a clean break with the standard practice: Instead of relying on non-ideal effects we will
show that \( \Omega \) can be generated in strictly ideal dynamics, as long as the dynamics is ex-
plicitly embedded in the space-time dictated by the demands of special relativity. The
generalized vorticity is, then, generated through a source term born out of the special-
relativistic "modifications" to the interaction of an inhomogeneous flow with inhomoge-
Figure 1: Transport of a loop and circulation \([15]\). Given a loop \(L\) in space, the circulation of a vector field \(P\) is the integral \(\oint_L P \cdot dx\). Two loops \(L(\tau)\) and \(L(\tau')\), connected by the "flow" \(dx/d\tau = U\) (the parameter \(\tau\) may be regarded as time), are shown in the figure. A circulation theorem pertains to a "movement" of loops; the rate of change of circulation is calculated as \((1)\). On a loop \(L(\tau)\) carried by the fluid (i.e., \(\tau = t\) and \(U = V\)), the circulation is conserved because \(\oint_{L(t)} \nabla \varepsilon \cdot dx = 0\) (Kelvin’s circulation law).

To generalize the argument to the relativistic regime, we have to immerse the loop in the 4-d space-time and transport it by the 4-velocity \(dx_\mu/d\tau = U_\mu\); see Fig. 2. The explicitly Lorentz covariant equality, written in terms of the proper time \(\tau = s = ct/\gamma\) is found to be \(d(\oint_{L(s)} P^\mu dx_\mu)/ds = \oint_{L(t)} (\partial^\nu P^\mu - \partial^\mu P^\nu)U_\nu dx_\mu\). Thus if the fluid equation could be cast in the form \((\partial^\nu P^\mu - \partial^\mu P^\nu)U_\nu = \partial^\mu \varphi\), the circulation would, indeed, be conserved. The relativistic space-time circulation conserves in ideal fluids; see \((2)\).

The circulation \(\oint L \delta Q\), associated with a physical quantity \(\delta Q\), calculated along the loop \(L\), may be zero or finite depending on whether \(\delta Q\) equals an exact differential \(d\varphi\) (\(\varphi\) being a state variable) or not. For example, if \(\delta Q = T d\sigma\) (\(T\): temperature, \(\sigma\): entropy), the circulation is generally finite and measures the heat gained in a quasistatic thermodynamic cycle.

An ideal fluid can be viewed as a realization of an infinite number of ideal isolated cycles covering space. Along the time dependent loop \(L(t)\), convected by the fluid motion (see Fig. 1), the rate of change of circulation associated with the canonical momentum \(\oint_{L(t)} P \cdot dx\) is identically zero: connecting two loops \(L(t)\) and \(L(t')\) by a "flow" \(dx/dt = U\), the rate of change of circulation is calculated as

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \oint_{L(t)} P \cdot dx = \oint_{L(t')} [\partial_\tau P + (\nabla \times P) \times U] \cdot dx. \tag{1}
\]

The ideal equation of motion for the momentum \(P = mV\) can be written in the form \(\partial_\tau P + (\nabla \times P) \times V = -\nabla \varepsilon\) with the energy density \(\varepsilon = P^2/2m + \phi + H\) (\(\phi\): potential energy, \(H\): enthalpy). Hence, the rate of change of circulation equals the circulation of an exact fluid-dynamic force derived from the energy density, i.e., \(\oint_{L(t)} \nabla \varepsilon \cdot dx = \oint_{L(t)} d\varepsilon = 0\). In the standard non-relativistic description of an ideal fluid, therefore, if the initial state has no circulation (vorticity), the later state will also be vorticity-free (Kelvin’s circulation theorem). For the vorticity to be created, the "force" on the fluid must not be an exact differential.
Figure 2: Transport of a surface (and its boundary) in space-time. Two figures compare the evolution of a surface and its boundary (loop) moved, respectively, by (A) the non-relativistic velocity \(dx_j/dt = V_j\) (3-vector) and (B) the relativistic 4-velocity \(dx_\mu/ds = U_\mu\). The figures are drawn in the space-time \(x-y-t\) with \(V/c = (\tanh x, 0, 0)\) (thus \(\gamma = \text{sech}^{-1}x\)). In the Lorentz-covariant theory, the circulation theorem applies to a loop \(L(s)\) that is moved by the 4-velocity \(U_\mu\) in the 4-dimensional space-time. However, the vorticity (or magnetic field) is a reference-dependent quantity defined on the synchronic cycle \(L(t)\), requiring a mapping from the naturally (relativistically) distorted \(L(s)\) to \(L(t)\); this map multiplies the thermodynamic force by a Jacobian weight \(\gamma^{-1}\) breaking the exactness of the differential form.

In a plasma, the momentum must be generalized to the canonical momentum that includes the EM part, i.e., \(P = mV + (q/c)A\). The generation of a canonical circulation (or vorticity), then, implies the emergence of magnetic field.

### 3 Relativistic circulation theorem in space-time

Interestingly enough, the space-time *unity* imposed by special relativity provides a pathway to create vorticity. This purely kinematic relativistic effect acts by imposing a Jacobian weight \(\gamma^{-1} = \sqrt{1-(V/c)^2}\) that destroys the exactness of the ideal thermodynamic force; relativity transforms the loop integral \(\oint_{L(t)} dH\) to \(\oint_{L(s)} \gamma^{-1} dH\) which is no longer zero. Thus vorticity could be created within purely ideal dynamics.

For a geometric visualization of the new creation mechanism, let us see how relativity brings about a fundamental reconstruction of the notion of circulation. In the relativistic space-time, the loop \(L(t)\) pertaining to a “synchronic space” \((t = \text{constant cross section of space-time in a reference frame})\) ceases to be the appropriate geometric object along which the circulation must be evaluated (see Fig. 1). The loop moves in space-time with a 4-velocity \(U^\mu = (\gamma, \gamma V^j/c)\) \((V^j\): the reference-frame velocity) and the relativistic circulation must be described as a function of the proper time \(s\). In Fig. 2, the respective evolutions of the “synchronic loop” \(L(t)\) and the “relativistic loop” \(L(s)\) are compared. The synchronicity of the loop \(L(s)\) is broken by the nonuniformity of the proper time. The relativistic Kelvin’s theorem applies to the relativistic loop; the circulation of a 4-
vector $\varphi^\mu$ along $L(s)$ obeys

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left( \int_{L(s)} \varphi^\mu dx_\mu \right) = \int_{L(t)} (\partial^\mu \varphi^r - \partial^r \varphi^\mu) U_\nu dx_\nu. \quad (2)$$

If $\varphi^\mu$ is an appropriate momentum, the relativistic equation of motion relates the integrand $(\partial^\mu \varphi^r - \partial^r \varphi^\mu) U_\nu$ with an effective force. If the force is exact, the relativistic circulation will be conserved; the ideal fluid, indeed, obeys this relativistic circulation theorem. However, vorticity (or magnetic field) is defined on synchronous space (hence, it is reference-dependent); its circulation still pertains to the synchronous loop $L(t)$. The field must be mapped from the naturally distorted $L(s)$ back to $L(t)$—this reciprocal distortion, represented by a Jacobian $\gamma^{-1}$, imparts a shear to the thermodynamic force (i.e., changes $dH$ to $\gamma^{-1}dH$) destroying its exactness.

These formal considerations will, now, be translated into an explicit calculation showing how relativity helps us to circumvent the “no-circulation” theorem. A covariant theory of vorticity generation follows from the recently formulated unified theory of relativistic, hot magnetofluids [17]. The central construction of this theory is the relativistic generalized 4-momentum $\varphi^\mu = mc^2 f U^\mu + (q/c)A^\mu$ ($A^\mu$: 4-vector potential) and the anti-symmetric tensor

$$M^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \varphi^r - \partial^r \varphi^\mu = mc S^{\mu\nu} + (q/c)F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (3)$$

where $S^{\mu\nu} = \partial^r (f U^\nu) - \partial^\nu (f U^r)$ is the flow-field tensor representing both the inertial and thermal forces, and $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^r A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^r$ is the electromagnetic tensor. The factor $f$ represents the thermally induced increase in effective mass ($h = fmc^2$ relates $f$ to the molar enthalpy $h$; $h$ is an increasing function of temperature $T$, $f \approx 1$ for non-relativistic rising to $f \approx 6.66$ for $T = 1$ MeV) [1, 18]. In standard text books and papers $h = (\rho + p)/n$ with $\rho$ and $p$ being the proper energy density and pressure, respectively. The generalized vorticity $\hat{\Omega}$ (or the generalized magnetic field $\hat{B}$) is defined by $\nabla \times \varphi$ (or $(c/q)\nabla \times \varphi$), where $\varphi$ is the vector part of $\varphi^\mu$. It must be emphasized that the flow-EM field tensor $M^{\mu\nu}$ contains both the inertial and the thermal forces.

Following an explicitly covariant procedure, the equation of motion

$$\partial^\mu T^{\mu\nu} + qn U_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $T^{\mu\nu} = nhU^\mu U^\nu - Pg^{\mu\nu}$ is perfect fluid energy momentum tensor and the right hand side is the Lorentz force, can be displayed as [17]

$$cU_\mu M^{\mu\nu} = T \partial^r \sigma, \quad (5)$$

where we have written as $\partial^r h - n^{-1} \partial^r P = T \partial^r \sigma$ invoking a “thermodynamic relation,” with a temperature $T$ and a molar entropy $\sigma$, to represent the non-exact residual of the left-hand side ($T$ and $\sigma$ are assumed to be numbers independent of the choice of coordinate).

The vector part of (5)

$$q \left[ \frac{\hat{E}}{c} + \frac{V}{c} \times \hat{B} \right] = \frac{T \nabla \sigma}{\gamma}, \quad (6)$$

with the generalized electric and magnetic fields given by

$$\hat{E} = E - (1/q) [(\delta j/\gamma f m V_j) + \nabla (\gamma f m c^2)], \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{B} = B + (c/q) \nabla \times (\gamma f m V), \quad (8)$$
is a concise way in which the equation of motion of a hot relativistic fluid is expressed in a form reminiscent of the non-relativistic version. By construction (\(S^{\mu\nu}\) was defined to have the exact form of \(F^{\mu\nu}\)), the generalized fields satisfy Faraday’s law \(\partial_t \hat{B} = -c \nabla \times \hat{E}\) [19].

The appearance of \(\gamma^{-1}\) on the right-hand side of (6) is due to the mapping back of the relativistic space-time onto the synchronic space in which the conventional circulation and the vorticity are to be calculated. To evaluate the rate of change of \(\hat{B}\) (with respect to the reference time \(t\)), we must go back to (6) whose curl reveals the source for magnetic field generation:

\[
\partial_t \hat{B} - \nabla \times (V \times \hat{B}) = -\nabla \left( \frac{cT}{q\gamma} \right) \times \nabla \sigma \equiv \mathfrak{S},
\]

where the right-hand-side generation term is broken into the familiar baroclinic term \(\mathfrak{S}_B = -(c/q\gamma)\nabla T \times \nabla \sigma\) and the relativistically induced new term

\[
\mathfrak{S}_R = -\left( \frac{cT}{q} \right) \nabla \gamma^{-1} \times \nabla \sigma = -\left( \frac{c\gamma}{2qn} \right) \nabla \left( \frac{V}{c} \right)^2 \times \nabla p.
\]

### 4 Relativistic source of magnetic field

The discovery of \(\mathfrak{S}_R\) is the principal result of this paper. Following conclusions are readily deducible:

1) For homogeneous entropy, there is no vorticity drive—either baroclinic or relativistic.

2) As long as the kinetic energy is inhomogeneous, its interaction with inhomogeneous entropy keeps \(\mathfrak{S}_R\) non-zero, even in a barotropic fluid.

3) When baroclinic drive is nonzero, and, in addition, the kinematic and thermal gradients are comparable, we can estimate

\[
\frac{|\mathfrak{S}_R|}{|\mathfrak{S}_B|} \approx \frac{(V/c)^2}{1 - (V/c)^2}.
\]

For highly relativistic flows (cosmic particle-antiparticle plasmas, electron-positron plasmas in the magnetosphere of neutron stars, relativistic jets, etc.), \(\mathfrak{S}_R\) will be evidently dominant, and can be far larger than the conventional estimates for the baroclinic mechanism. One must also remember that most long lived plasmas will tend to have \(\nabla T \times \nabla \sigma = 0\) because of the thermodynamic coupling of temperature and entropy. In this large majority of physical situations, \(\mathfrak{S}_R\) may be the only vorticity generation mechanism; no physical constraints will force the alignment of the gradients of kinematic \(\gamma\) and statistical \(\sigma\). Thus, the relativistic drive is truly universal.

### 5 Separation of kinetic vorticity and magnetic field

After having shown that the new drive \(\mathfrak{S}_R\) will always dominate the traditional baroclinic drive \(\mathfrak{S}_B\) for relativistic plasmas, we will now attempt to estimate its strength in a few representative cases. Since the basic theory pertains to the generation of the generalized vorticity \(\hat{\Omega}\), the eventual apportioning of \(\hat{\Omega}\) into the magnetic part and the thermal-kinetic
part will be a difficult system-dependent exercise—for example, if the plasma consists of relativistic electrons in a neutralizing ion background or it is an electron-positron pair plasma where both species are dynamic.

Here we consider a typical pair plasma, neutral in its rest frame, with density $n_+ = n_- = n = \text{constant}$ [16]. The suffix $+$ ($-$) labels the positive (negative) particles. We also assume that the particles have the same homogeneous temperature so that their temperature modified effective masses $m_+^* = f_+ m$ ($m$: rest mass) are also the same ($m_-^* = m_- = m^* = \text{const}$). The generalized canonical momenta are

$$\varphi_+^j = m^* c U_+^j \pm (e/c) A^j,$$

and the associated generalized vorticities are

$$\frac{1}{m^*} \nabla \times \varphi_+ = \nabla \times (c U_+^j) \pm \frac{e}{m^* c} B \equiv \omega_+ \pm \omega_c,$$

in terms of which, the induction equation (9) takes the form

$$\partial_t (\omega_+ \pm \omega_c) - \nabla \times [V_+ \times (\omega_+ \pm \omega_c)] = -\nabla \times \left( \frac{c T \nabla \sigma_+}{\gamma_+ m^*} \right).$$

To close the system, we need a determining equation for $\omega_c = eB/(m^* c)$ (the normalized magnetic field). When the large-scale slowly evolving EM is decoupled from the photons, the displacement current may be neglected [20] and the resulting Ampere’s law

$$\nabla \times B = \frac{4\pi}{c} J = 4\pi e n (U_+ - U_-)$$

may be written as

$$\delta^2 \nabla \times \omega_c = c \hat{n} (U_+ - U_-).$$

Here $\delta = c/\omega_{pe}$ (electron inertia length) with $\omega_{pe}^2 = 4\pi e^2 \bar{n}/m^*$ (plasma frequency), $\bar{n}$ is the average density, and $\hat{n} = n/\bar{n}$ is the normalized density. The curl of (15):

$$\delta^2 \nabla \times \left( \hat{n}^{-1} \nabla \times \omega_c \right) = \omega_+ - \omega_-$$

shows that the magnetic field is related to the difference in the normal vorticities of the two fluids.

We denote the generation drives as

$$G_+ = -\nabla \times \left[ \frac{c T \nabla \sigma_+}{\gamma_+ m^*} \right].$$

Assuming $V_+ \approx V_- \approx \bar{V}$, and defining $\bar{\omega} = (\omega_+ + \omega_-)/2$, we may rewrite (13) as

$$\partial_t \bar{\omega} - \nabla \times (\bar{V} \times \bar{\omega}) = \frac{G_+ + G_-}{2},$$

$$\partial_t \omega_c - \nabla \times (\bar{V} \times \omega_c) = \frac{G_+ - G_-}{2}.$$
6 Cosmological application

One of the primary motivations to look for an ideal drive was to investigate if such a drive could generate a magnetic field in early universe (when the plasma is in strict thermal equilibrium) that is strong enough to leave its mark in an expanding universe. We present here a possible scenario that could emerge in the light of the current relativistic drive. The scenario is intertwined with the thermal history of the universe. Although there are earlier hotter eras, let us begin our considerations around 100 MeV.

(i) 100 MeV (10^{12} K) age (∼ 10^{-4} s): At this time the muon-antimuon are beginning to annihilate, and primary constituents of the universe are electron-positron pairs, neutrinos-antineutrinos and photons, all in thermal equilibrium, with a very small amount of nucleons (protons and neutrons).

(ii) 10 MeV age (∼ 10^{-2} s): The main constituents are electron-positron pairs, neutrinos and photons, and a very small amount of nucleons (protons getting considerably more than neutrons). At this stage neutrinos are decoupled and are freely expanding. The electron-positron pairs and photons are coupled and in thermal equilibrium.

(iii) 0.5 MeV age (∼ 4 s): The neutrinos are in free expansion. The electron-positron pairs are beginning to annihilate.

(iv) 0.1 MeV age (∼ 180 s): Almost all pairs are gone, and what we have now is an electron-proton plasma contaminated by lots of neutrons and gammas (gammas are still electromagnetically coupled).

(v) This plasma continues for a long time, but it is barely relativistic (protons are not). Nucleosynthesis converts some protons and neutrons to He (about 25% of the mass). But we continue with an electron-ion mildly relativistic and then essentially nonrelativistic electrons till 4000 K when atomic hydrogen forms by the absorption of electrons in the protons. At this time the universe is about 400000 years old.

Notice that till there is plasma whether electron-positron or electron-proton (He), the radiation keeps the particles in thermal equilibrium, so there is no baroclinic term. Hence the only thing that could generate seed vorticity is the relativistic source. Now we could envisage the "magnetic field" generation in several stages:

1) The universal "ideal" relativistic drive creates seed vorticity in the MeV era of the early universe when the electron-positron (\(e_-, e_+\)) plasma is the dominant component decoupled from neutrinos. In the context of this paper, this is the crucial element of the total scenario — the rest is cobbling together pieces of highly investigated phenomena.

2) Below 1 MeV, as the (\(e_-, e_+\)) pairs begin to annihilate, the electron-proton plasma tends to be the dominant component. This era lasts for 400,000 years till the temperature falls to 4000 K when the plasma disappears and the radiation decouples from matter. During this relatively long era, the seed field, created in the MeV era, is vastly magnified by what we call the Early Universe Dynamo (EUD).

3) At the hydrogen formation time, this magnetic field (however big it is) is decoupled from matter — there is no plasma left, and like the photons, the macroscopic magnetic field becomes a relic and red shifts (goes down in intensity) conserving flux. The relic field manifests in later eras appropriately diluted (conserving flux) by the cosmic expansion.

4) This diluted field would, then, provide the seed for an intergalactic or a galactic dynamo.
7 Concluding remarks

We have found that a recourse to special relativity uncovers an ideal, ubiquitous, fundamental vorticity generation mechanism. The exploration of this mechanism is likely to help us understand, inter alia, the origin of the magnetic fields in astrophysical and cosmic settings.

We end this paper by making a few comments about the finer points concerning vorticity, the generalized vorticity, and the relativistic generalized vorticity. As the physical system becomes more and more complicated (from an uncharged fluid to a charged fluid to a relativistic charged fluid), one must invent more and more sophisticated physical variables so that the fundamental dynamical structure (vortical form), epitomized in (5) is maintained. We do this because the very beautiful vortical structure is so thoroughly studied that reducing a more complicated system to this form immediately advances our understanding of new larger physical systems or, possibly, of more advanced space-time geometries.
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