

Blow-up set for a semilinear heat equation with exponential nonlinearity

東北大学大学院理学研究科数学専攻 藤嶋 陽平 (Yohei Fujishima)¹
Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University

1 Introduction

We consider the blow-up problem for a semilinear heat equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u = \epsilon \Delta u + e^u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi_\epsilon(x) \geq 0 (\neq 0), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $\epsilon > 0$, $N \geq 1$, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N , and the initial function φ_ϵ is a nonnegative bounded continuous function in $\bar{\Omega}$. Let T_ϵ be the maximal existence time of the unique classical solution u_ϵ of problem (1.1). If $T_\epsilon < \infty$, then we define the set B_ϵ by

$$B_\epsilon := \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \text{there exists a sequence } \{(x_n, t_n)\} \subset \bar{\Omega} \times (0, T_\epsilon) \\ \text{such that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n, t_n) = (x, T_\epsilon) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u(x_n, t_n)| = \infty\}.$$

We call T_ϵ and B_ϵ the blow-up time and the blow-up set, respectively. We remark that, if ϵ is sufficiently small, then $T_\epsilon < \infty$ and the solution u_ϵ blows up in a finite time.

The blow-up problem for a semilinear heat equation has been studied by many mathematicians. We refer to a survey [6] and references therein. Among others, the author of this paper and Ishige in [3] studied the blow-up problem for

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u = \epsilon \Delta u + u^p, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi_\epsilon(x) \geq 0 (\neq 0), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $p > 1$. Consider a family of initial functions $\{\varphi_\epsilon\}$ satisfying

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} > 0, \quad \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty, \quad \varphi_\epsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

and

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ |\varphi_\epsilon(x) - \varphi_\epsilon(y)| : x, y \in \bar{\Omega}, |x - y| \leq \epsilon^{1/2-A} \right\} = 0 \text{ for some } A > 0.$$

¹e-mail: sa8m31@math.tohoku.ac.jp

They studied the location of the blow-up set for problem (1.2), and proved the following: Let u_ϵ be the solution of (1.2) satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 < t < T_\epsilon} (T_\epsilon - t)^{1/(p-1)} \|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there holds

$$(1.4) \quad B_\epsilon \subset \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \varphi_\epsilon(x) \geq \|\varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \delta\}$$

for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we see from (1.4) that the solution u_ϵ of problem (1.2) blows up only near the maximum points of the initial function φ_ϵ if $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Furthermore, if the initial function is independent of ϵ , then we can obtain more precise information on the location of the blow-up set. In fact, the author of this paper and Ishige in [4] proved the following: Let $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ be a nonnegative function such that $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ and u_ϵ be the solution of (1.2) satisfying (1.3) with the initial function replaced by φ . Assume that there exist two points $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$ such that $|\Delta\varphi(\alpha)| < |\Delta\varphi(\beta)|$. Then there exists a positive constant δ_* such that

$$B_\epsilon \cap \{y \in \bar{\Omega} : |y - \beta| < \delta_*\} = \emptyset$$

for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. This result implies that the location of the blow-up set for problem (1.2) depends on the mean curvature of the graph of the initial function on its maximum points.

In this paper we consider a semilinear heat equation having exponential nonlinearity (1.1), and study the location of the blow-up set B_ϵ of the solution u_ϵ . In particular, we refine the argument of [3], and characterize the location of the blow-up set of u_ϵ of (1.1) by using the level sets of the initial function φ_ϵ .

Before stating our main results, we introduce some notation. For $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ and $r > 0$, we put $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^N : |y - x| < r\}$. Let

$$BC_+(\bar{\Omega}) := \{f \in L^\infty(\Omega) : f \text{ is a nonnegative continuous function on } \bar{\Omega}\},$$

$$BUC_+(\bar{\Omega}) := \{f \in L^\infty(\Omega) : f \text{ is a nonnegative uniformly continuous function on } \bar{\Omega}\}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, $A > 0$, $\eta > 0$, and $\phi \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, put

$$\omega(\epsilon, A, \phi) := \sup \left\{ |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| : x, y \in \bar{\Omega}, |x - y| \leq A\epsilon^{1/2} \right\},$$

$$M(\phi, \eta) := \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \phi(x) \geq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \eta\}.$$

We are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $N \geq 1$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$, Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^N , and $\{\varphi_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \subset BC_+(\bar{\Omega})$ be a family of initial functions satisfying*

$$(1.5) \quad \inf_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \|\varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} > 0, \quad \sup_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \|\varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

Assume the followings:

- there exists a positive constant η such that $M(\varphi_\epsilon, \eta) \subset \Omega$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$;
- there exists a family of positive constants $\{A_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0}$ such that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} A_\epsilon = \infty, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega(\epsilon, A_\epsilon, \varphi_\epsilon) = 0.$$

For any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, let u_ϵ be the solution of (1.1), and assume that

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \sup_{0 < t < T_\epsilon} [\log(T_\epsilon - t) + \|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}] < \infty.$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a positive constant ϵ_δ such that

$$B_\epsilon \subset M(\varphi_\epsilon, \delta) = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \varphi_\epsilon(x) \geq \|\varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \delta\}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_\delta)$.

The following corollary immediately follows from Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.1 *Let $N \geq 1$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$, Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^N , and $\varphi \in BUC_+(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying $M(\varphi, \eta) \subset \Omega$ for some $\eta > 0$. For any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, let u_ϵ be the solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \epsilon \Delta u + e^u, & x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0 \quad \text{if } \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

and assume that (1.6) holds. Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a positive constant ϵ_δ such that

$$B_\epsilon \subset M(\varphi, \delta) = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \varphi(x) \geq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \delta\}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_\delta)$.

By Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.1 we see that the location of the blow-up set for problem (1.1) is characterized by using the level sets of the initial function.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we refine the argument of [3], which is a modification of [7], and construct a supersolution \bar{u}_ϵ with the following properties:

- \bar{u}_ϵ exists in $\Omega \times (0, T_\epsilon)$;
- For any $\delta > 0$, \bar{u}_ϵ is bounded in $M(\varphi_\epsilon, \delta) \times (0, T_\epsilon)$ if $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

However, the argument of [3] heavily depends on the nonlinearity, and it seems difficult to apply the argument to problem (1.1), which has exponential nonlinearity, directly. In order to generalize the argument of [3], we consider the blow-up problem for a generalized semilinear heat equation

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u = \epsilon \Delta u + f(u), & x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0 \quad \text{if } \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi_\epsilon(x) \geq 0, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We find a sufficient condition of f such that a supersolution satisfying properties (a) and (b) can be constructed for problem (1.7), and construct a supersolution \bar{u}_ϵ for problem (1.1). Thus we prove Theorem 1.1.

2 Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we explain the outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we construct a supersolution \bar{u}_ϵ for some semilinear heat equation with the following properties:

- (a) \bar{u}_ϵ is a smooth function defined in $\Omega \times (0, T_\epsilon)$;
- (b) For any $\delta > 0$, there holds

$$\sup \{ \bar{u}_\epsilon(x, t) : (x, t) \in [\Omega \setminus M(\varphi_\epsilon, \delta)] \times (0, T_\epsilon) \} < \infty$$

for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

By using above properties (a) and (b) and the comparison principle, for any $x_\epsilon \notin M(\varphi_\epsilon, \delta)$, we can find a constant $r_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T_\epsilon} \sup_{x \in B(x_\epsilon, r_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon(x, t) \leq \limsup_{t \nearrow T_\epsilon} \sup_{x \in B(x_\epsilon, r_\epsilon)} \bar{u}_\epsilon(x, t) < \infty.$$

This together with the definition of the blow-up set B_ϵ implies that $x_\epsilon \notin B_\epsilon$, and we have

$$B_\epsilon \subset M(\varphi_\epsilon, \delta) = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \varphi_\epsilon(x) \geq \|\varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \delta\}$$

for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

In order to construct a supersolution \bar{u}_ϵ , we impose the following condition (F) on the nonlinear term f :

$$(F) \quad \begin{cases} f \in C^2((0, \infty)) \cap C^1([0, \infty)), \\ f(s), f'(s), f''(s) > 0 \text{ for all } s > 0, \\ \int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{f(s)} < \infty, \\ \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} f'(u)F(u) < \infty, \\ \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u+M)}{f(u)} < \infty \text{ for some } M > 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$F(u) := \int_u^\infty \frac{ds}{f(s)} < \infty.$$

Under condition (F), we can generalize the argument of [3], and construct a supersolution \bar{u}_ϵ satisfying properties (a) and (b).

Remark 2.1 *The following functions satisfy condition (F):*

- $f(u) = (u + \lambda)^p$ with $p > 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$;
- $f(u) = u^p + u^q$ with $p > q > 1$;

- $f(u) = (u + 1)^p [\log(u + 1)]^q$ with $p > 1$ and $q > 1$;
- $f(u) = e^{\alpha u}$ with $\alpha > 0$.

In the rest of this section we give some comments on condition (F). The third condition $F(1) < \infty$ is a necessary condition for a finite time blow-up of the solution. If $F(1) = \infty$, then the solution for the following ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \zeta = f(\zeta), & t > 0, \\ \zeta(0) = \lambda > 0, \end{cases}$$

exists globally in time. Therefore, if $F(1) = \infty$, by the comparison principle we see that the solution of (1.1) does not blow-up in a finite time.

Furthermore, we can give examples of f which do not satisfy the fourth condition and the fifth condition. The function define by $f(u) = e^{u^2}$ satisfies

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u + M)}{f(u)} = \infty \quad \text{for any } M > 0,$$

and f does not satisfies (F).

On the other hand, the function defined by $f(u) = (u + 1)[\log(u + 1)]^\alpha$ ($\alpha > 1$) satisfies

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} f'(u)F(u) = \infty,$$

and f does not satisfy (F). However, if $\alpha = 2$, then a regional blow-up may occur even if the initial function has the only one maximum point (see [5]). We suspect that Theorem 1.1 does not hold for problem (1.1) with $f(u) = (u + 1)[\log(u + 1)]^2$.

3 Blow-up problem for generalized semilinear heat equation

In this section we consider the blow-up problem for (1.7), and generalize the result of Section 1. Using the argument of Section 2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $N \geq 1$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$, Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^N , and $\{\varphi_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \subset BC_+(\overline{\Omega})$ be a family of initial functions satisfying (1.5). Assume the followings:*

- *there exists a positive constant η such that $M(\varphi_\epsilon, \eta) \subset \Omega$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$;*
- *there exists a family of positive constants $\{A_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0}$ such that*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} A_\epsilon = \infty, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega(\epsilon, A_\epsilon, \varphi_\epsilon) = 0.$$

Let f be a function satisfying (F). For any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, let u_ϵ be the solution of (1.7). Furthermore assume that there exists a constant $c_ > 0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq F^{-1}(c_*(T_\epsilon - t))$$

for all $t \in (0, T_\epsilon)$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, where F^{-1} is the inverse function of F . Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a positive constant ϵ_δ such that

$$B_\epsilon \subset M(\varphi, \delta) = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} : \varphi(x) \geq \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \delta\}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_\delta)$.

Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1. In fact, it is easy to show that the functions $f(u) = u^p$ ($p > 1$) and $f(u) = e^u$ satisfy (F). Furthermore, (3.1) is equivalent to (1.3) if $f(u) = u^p$ ($p > 1$) and (1.6) if $f(u) = e^u$.

Remark 3.1 (i) Let f and g be functions satisfying (F). Then, for any $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, the function $\alpha f + \beta g$ also satisfies (F).

(ii) Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $\{\varphi_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \subset BC_+(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{1/2} \|\nabla \varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Put $A_\epsilon = [\epsilon^{1/2} \|\nabla \varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}]^{-1/2}$. Then $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} A_\epsilon = \infty$ and there holds

$$|\varphi_\epsilon(x) - \varphi_\epsilon(y)| \leq \|\nabla \varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} |x - y| \leq \epsilon^{1/2} \|\nabla \varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \cdot A_\epsilon = A_\epsilon^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

for all $x, y \in \bar{\Omega}$ with $|x - y| \leq A_\epsilon \epsilon^{1/2}$, that is, $\{A_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0}$ and $\{\varphi_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0}$ satisfy (1.5).

(iii) Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$, Ω be a C^2 smooth domain, f be a function satisfying (F), and $\{\varphi_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \subset C^2(\Omega)$ be a family of nonnegative functions satisfying (1.5), and $\varphi_\epsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Furthermore assume that there exist a function $F \in C^2([0, \infty))$ and a positive constant c such that

$$\begin{cases} F(s) > 0, & F'(s) \geq 0, & F''(s) \geq 0 & \text{in } s \in [0, \infty), \\ f'(s)F(s) - f(s)F'(s) \geq cF'(s)F(s) & \text{in } s \in (0, \infty), \\ \int_1^\infty \frac{ds}{F(s)} < \infty, \end{cases}$$

which was introduced by Friedman and McLeod in [1]. If there holds either

(a) $f(0) = 0$ and there exists a constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that $\epsilon \Delta \varphi_\epsilon + f(\varphi_\epsilon) \geq \delta f(\varphi_\epsilon)$ in Ω for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$

or

(b) there exist a subdomain $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ and positive constants C and δ such that

$$u_\epsilon(x, t) \leq C \text{ in } [\Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}'] \times (0, T_\epsilon), \quad \epsilon \Delta \varphi_\epsilon + f(\varphi_\epsilon) \geq \delta \text{ in } \Omega,$$

for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,

then we can prove the uniform blow-up estimate (3.1) by using the same argument as in [1]. Condition (b) is verified if Ω is a bounded convex domain and there exist positive constants C and δ such that

$$|\nabla^2 \varphi_\epsilon| \leq C \text{ near } \partial\Omega, \quad \partial \varphi_\epsilon / \partial \nu \leq -\delta \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad \epsilon \Delta \varphi_\epsilon + f(\varphi_\epsilon) \geq \delta \text{ in } \Omega,$$

for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, where ν is the outer normal unit vector to $\partial\Omega$.

4 Remarks

In this section we discuss the application of Theorem 1.1, and give an extension of Theorem 1.1 for more general superlinear heat equations.

Consider

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t v = \Delta v + e^v, & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, t > 0, \\ v(x, 0) = \phi(x) \geq 0, & x \in \mathbf{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where $N \geq 1$ and $\phi \in BC_+(\mathbf{R}^N)$. Let T be the blow-up time of v , and assume that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(4.2) \quad \log(T - t) + \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Here we remark that the solution of (4.1) blows up in a finite time since the initial function ϕ is nonnegative. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a sufficiently small constant and put

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon(x, t) &= \log \epsilon + v(x, T - \epsilon + \epsilon t) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N \times [0, 1), \\ \varphi_\epsilon(x) &= u_\epsilon(x, 0) = \log \epsilon + v(x, T - \epsilon) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N. \end{aligned}$$

Then u_ϵ blows up at $t = 1$ and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_\epsilon = \epsilon \Delta u_\epsilon + e^{u_\epsilon} & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N \times (0, 1), \\ u_\epsilon(x, 0) = \varphi_\epsilon(x) & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

and by (4.2) we obtain

$$u_\epsilon(x, t) \leq \log \epsilon + \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq \log \epsilon + [C - \log(T - (T - \epsilon + \epsilon t))] \leq C - \log(1 - t)$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times (0, 1)$, which implies the uniform blow-up estimate for u_ϵ . Therefore, under suitable assumptions on ϕ and ϵ , we can apply Theorem 1.1 to obtain the location of the blow-up set of v . Similar argument was employed in [4] for a semilinear heat equation with power nonlinearity, and the author of this paper and Ishige succeeded in obtaining the location of the blow-up set (see also Remark 1.2 (ii) in [3]).

On the other hand, the above argument can be applied to study the location of the blow-up set even if the equation does not have a self-similarity. For this purpose, we give an extension of Theorem 1.1 for more general superlinear heat equation whose nonlinear term depends on ϵ . Consider

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u = \epsilon \Delta u + f_\epsilon(u), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \quad \text{if } \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset, \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi_\epsilon(x) \geq 0 (\neq 0), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $\{\varphi_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \subset BC_+(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies (1.5), and $\{f_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \subset C^1([0, \infty)) \cap C^2((0, \infty))$ satisfies

$$(F_\epsilon) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f_\epsilon(s), \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f'_\epsilon(s), \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f''_\epsilon(s) > 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, \infty), \\ \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f_\epsilon(s), \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f'_\epsilon(s), \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f''_\epsilon(s) < \infty \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, \infty), \\ \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} F_{f_\epsilon}(s) < \infty \quad \text{for all } s > 0, \\ \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} f_\epsilon(u) F_{f_\epsilon}(u) < \infty, \\ \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \frac{f_\epsilon(u + M)}{f_\epsilon(u)} < \infty \quad \text{for some } M > 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Then we can prove the following theorem with a slight modification of the argument of this paper, which is an extension of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $N \geq 1$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$, Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^N , and $\{\varphi_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \subset BC_+(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfying (1.5). Let $\{f_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0}$ be a family of functions satisfying (F_ϵ) . For any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, let u_ϵ be the solution of (4.3), and assume that there exists a positive constant c_* such that*

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq F_{f_\epsilon}^{-1}(c_*(T_\epsilon - t))$$

for all $t \in (0, T_\epsilon)$ and all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$. Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there holds

$$B_\epsilon \subset M(\varphi_\epsilon, \delta) = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : \varphi_\epsilon(x) \geq \|\varphi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} - \delta\}$$

for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

We apply Theorem 4.1 to the blow-up problem for a semilinear heat equation

$$(4.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t v = \Delta v + v^p + v^q, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\ v(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0 \quad \text{if } \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset, \\ v(x, 0) = \phi(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

where $1 < q < p$ and $\phi \in BC_+(\overline{\Omega})$. Assume that the solution of (4.4) blows up at some time $t = T < \infty$ and that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$(4.5) \quad \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(T - t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a sufficiently small constant and put

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon(x, t) &= \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} v(x, T - \epsilon + \epsilon t) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N \times [0, 1), \\ \varphi_\epsilon(x) &= u_\epsilon(x, 0) = \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} v(x, T - \epsilon) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \\ f_\epsilon(s) &= s^p + \epsilon^{\frac{p-q}{p-1}} s^q \quad \text{in } (0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{f_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0}$ satisfies (F_ϵ) for some positive constant ϵ_0 , and u_ϵ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_\epsilon = \epsilon \Delta u_\epsilon + f_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, 1), \\ u_\epsilon(x, t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, 1), \\ u_\epsilon(x, 0) = \varphi_\epsilon(x) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, by (4.5) we have

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

for all $t \in (0, 1)$. This yields

$$\|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq F_{f_\epsilon}^{-1}(c_*(1-t))$$

for some constant $c_* > 0$, provided that $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Therefore, under suitable assumptions, we can apply Theorem 4.1 to problem (4.4), and obtain the location of the blow-up set of v by using the maximum points of the solution just before the blow-up time.

References

- [1] A. Friedman and B. McLeod, Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **34** (1985), 425–447.
- [2] Y. Fujishima, Location of the blow-up set for a superlinear heat equation with small diffusion, to appear in *Differential Integral Equations*.
- [3] Y. Fujishima and K. Ishige, Blow-up set for a semilinear heat equation with small diffusion, *J. Differential Equations* **249** (2010), 1056–1077.
- [4] Y. Fujishima and K. Ishige, Blow-up set for a semilinear heat equation and pointedness of the initial data, to appear in *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*
- [5] V. A. Galaktionov and J. L. Vázquez, Regional blow up in a semilinear heat equation with convergence to a Hamilton-Jacobi equation, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **24** (1993), 1254–1276.
- [6] P. Quittner and P. Souplet, “Superlinear Parabolic Problems, Blow-up, Global Existence and Steady States,” *Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher* Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [7] H. Yagisita, *Blow-up profile of a solution for a nonlinear heat equation with small diffusion*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56** (2004), 993–1005.