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Abstract

The minimum number of NOT gates in a Boolean circuit computing a Boolean function \( f \) is called the inversion complexity of \( f \). In 1958, Markov determined the inversion complexity of every Boolean function and particularly proved that \( \lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil \) NOT gates are sufficient to compute any Boolean function on \( n \) variables. In this note, we consider circuits computing probabilistically, and prove that the decrease of the inversion complexity is at most a constant if probabilistic circuits compute a correct value with probability \( 1/2 + p \) for some constant \( p > 0 \).

1 Introduction

When we consider Boolean circuits with a limited number of NOT gates, there is a basic question: Can a given Boolean function be computed by a circuit with a limited number of NOT gates? This question was answered by Markov [2] in 1958 and the result plays an important role in the study of the negation-limited circuit complexity. The inversion complexity of a Boolean function \( f \) is the minimum number of NOT gates required to construct a Boolean circuit computing \( f \), and Markov completely determined the inversion complexity of every Boolean function \( f \). In particular, it has been shown that \( \lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil \) NOT gates are sufficient to compute any Boolean function.

The inversion complexity has been studied for many circuit models such as constant depth circuit [5], bounded depth circuits [6], formulas [3], bounded treewidth and upward planar circuits [1], and non-deterministic circuits [4]. In this note, we consider the inversion complexity in probabilistic circuits.

2 Preliminaries

A circuit is an acyclic Boolean circuit which consists of AND gates of fan-in two, OR gates of fan-in two and NOT gates. A probabilistic circuit is a circuit with actual inputs \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \{0,1\}^n \) and some further inputs
$(r_1, \ldots, r_m) \in \{0,1\}^m$ called random inputs which take the values 0 and 1 independently with probability 1/2. For $0 < p \leq 1/2$, a probabilistic circuit $C(x)$ computes a Boolean function $f(x)$ with probability $1/2 + p$ if

$$\text{Prob}[C(x) = f(x)] \geq 1/2 + p \quad \text{for each } x \in \{0,1\}^n.$$ 

In this note, we call a circuit without random inputs a deterministic circuit to distinguish it from a probabilistic circuit.

Let $x$ and $x'$ be Boolean vectors in $\{0,1\}^n$. $x \leq x'$ means $x_i \leq x'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. $x < x'$ means $x \leq x'$ and $x_i < x'_i$ for some $i$.

The theorem of Markov [2] is in the following. We denote the inversion complexity of a Boolean function $f$ in deterministic circuits by $I(f)$. A chain is an increasing sequence $x^1 < x^2 < \cdots < x^k$ of Boolean vectors in $\{0,1\}^n$. The decrease $d_X(f)$ of a Boolean function $f$ on a chain $X$ is the number of indices $i$ such that $f(x^i) \not\leq f(x^{i+1})$. The decrease $d(f)$ of $f$ is the maximum of $d_X(f)$ over all increasing sequences $X$. Markov gave the tight bound of the inversion complexity for every Boolean function.

**Theorem 1** (Markov[2]). For every Boolean function $f$,

$$I(f) = \lceil \log_2(d(f) + 1) \rceil.$$ 

In Theorem 1, the Boolean function $f$ can also be a multi-output function.

### 3 Inversion Complexity in Probabilistic Circuits

#### 3.1 Result

We denote by $I_{pc}(f, q)$ the inversion complexity of a Boolean function $f$ in probabilistic circuits with probability $q$. We consider only single-output Boolean functions since probabilistic circuits are not defined as ones computing multi-output Boolean functions.

**Theorem 2.** For every Boolean function $f$,

$$I_{pc}(f, 1/2 + p) \geq \lceil \log_2(2p \cdot d(f) + 1) \rceil.$$ 

By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, if $p$ is a constant, then the decrease of the inversion complexity from deterministic circuits is at most a constant, which means that probabilistic computation save only the constant number of NOT gates. Especially, if $p = 1/4$, then,

**Corollary 1.** For every Boolean function $f$,

$$I_{pc}(f, 3/4) \geq I(f) - 1.$$
3.2 Proof

Proof (of Theorem 2). Let $C$ be a probabilistic circuit computes $f$ with probability $1/2 + p$, and let $X$ be a chain such that $d_X(f) = d(f)$, i.e., the decrease of $f$ is the maximum on $X$. Consider some $i$ such that $f(x^i) = 1$ and $f(x^{i+1}) = 0$. Since $C$ computes each of $f(x^i)$ and $f(x^{i+1})$ correctly with at least $2^m(1/2 + p)$ random inputs, the number of random inputs such that $C$ computes both of $f(x^i) = 1$ and $f(x^{i+1}) = 0$ correctly is at least,

$$2^m \cdot (1 - 2 \cdot (1 - (1/2 + p))) = 2^m \cdot 2p.$$

Since, for all $i$ such that $f(x^i) = 1$ and $f(x^{i+1}) = 0$, the number of random inputs such that $C$ computes both of $f(x^i) = 1$ and $f(x^{i+1}) = 0$ correctly is at least $2^m \cdot 2p$, there is random inputs $r$ such that $C$ with $r$ computes $f(x^i) = 1$ and $f(x^{i+1}) = 0$ correctly for at least $2p \cdot d(f)$ i's. Let $C'$ be a circuit which obtained by fixing random inputs in $C$ to $r$. $C'$ is a deterministic circuit and computes a Boolean function $f'$ such that $d(f') \geq 2p \cdot d(f)$. By Theorem 1, $C'$ includes at least $\lceil \log_2(2p \cdot d(f) + 1) \rceil$ NOT gates, which is also included in $C$.  

\[\square\]
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