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Kyoto University
Video preference assessment and behavioral management of single-caged Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata*) by movie presentation

Running head: Visual preference of Japanese macaques
ABSTRACT

Movie presentation can act as an enrichment technique for nonhuman primates, and primates show preferences for certain movie contents over others. This study investigated the video preferences and effects of movie presentation on behavioral abnormalities in single-caged Japanese macaques. When movie rewards were provided as a result of subjects’ touch responses, the subjects maintained the touch responses over the course of 40 two-hour sessions. Although the repeated presentation of one stimulus set decreased the touch response of subjects, the replacement of the stimulus set by a new one led to recovery of this response. The subjects showed clear preferences, which were consistent across two different stimulus sets, for movies showing humans or animation (61.1% of total playing duration). In addition to playing movies with their preferred content, subjects also consistently played movies with other contents. The availability of a variety of contents might be important for attracting subjects’ interest. The frequency with which monkeys engaged in abnormal behaviors decreased in the experimental (20.9%) and the post-experimental (25.6%) periods compared with the pre-experimental period (33.5%). In summary, movie presentations could keep attracting the interest of single-caged monkeys in their visual environment and
ameliorate their behavioral abnormalities for some time. The preference for movies might be influenced by social experience during infancy in Japanese macaques.
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INTRODUCTION

Movie presentation has been suggested to be an effective environmental enrichment technique for captive nonhuman primates in some previous studies. Captive chimpanzees spontaneously watch movies in their ordinary housing conditions (Brent & Stone, 1996; Bloomsmith & Lambeth, 2000). Captive rhesus macaques keep watching presentations of videos, and their sleeping behavior is decreased and locomotion is increased by watching videos (Platt & Novak, 1997). In experimental situations, movie presentation as a reward was shown to maintain joystick task activities in bonnet macaques (Andrews & Rosenblum, 1993) and rhesus macaques (Washburn & Hopkins, 1994), suggesting that movie stimuli can attract the interest of captive animals. Thus, movie presentation may be effective as environmental enrichment for captive nonhuman primates.

The content can be assumed to be an important feature of movies used for enrichment techniques and experimental stimuli. In cognitive experiments, primates show different preferences for various still pictures (Sacket, 1966; Redican, Kellicut, & Mitchell, 1971; Fujita & Matsuzawa, 1986; Fujita, 1990, 1993; Tanaka, 2003, 2007) and movies (Washburn, Gulledge, & Rumbaugh, 1997; Andrews & Rosenblum, 2001; Brannon, Andrews, & Rosenblum, 2004). On the other hand, Bloomsmith and Lambeth
(2000) reported that movies with varying content provided as environmental enrichment do not differentially affect the behavior of chimpanzees. Thus, the contents of visual stimuli have been shown to cause differing results depending on the particular enrichment or cognitive study.

Some biomedical research and zoo management protocols such as those for medical treatment or quarantine require that nonhuman primates be housed individually, although nearly all nonhuman primate species are highly social animals. For their welfare, special care should be devoted to individually housed nonhuman primates. Individually housed chimpanzees watch movies more than the socially housed chimpanzees (Bloomsmith & Lambeth, 2000). Social stimuli presented through colorf movies are able to induce social responses (Plimpton, Swartz, & Rosenblum, 1981), suggesting that movie presentation may be a partial substitute for social visual stimulation for captive nonhuman primates.

Movie presentation can be expected to improve animals’ behavioral abnormalities, especially in the case of single-caged monkeys, because of the advantages of movie presentations, which include movement as well as color, enabling movies to reproduce multiple features of the complex real visual world such as social stimulation (D’Eath, 1998; Morimura & Matsuzawa, 2001). This study investigated the
visual preference of single-caged Japanese macaques by presenting movies in a way in which subjects were able to select the played contents. In order to evaluate the movie presentation as an enrichment technique, the effects on the subjects’ abnormal behaviors were also investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

The subjects were three male Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata*) named Romio, Tim, and Sabu at the Primate Research Institute of Kyoto University. They were raised by human caretakers because of their mother’s death or rejection. Romio (seven years and six months old when the experiment was started) was hand-reared from birth. Tim (eight years and one month old) was reared by his biological mother at first; however, he was hand-reared by his caretakers after the age of three months. Sabu (approximately two years old) was born in the wild. Starting a few months after his birth, he was protected and reared by human caretakers because he was found to be alone and emaciated. Detailed accounts of the subjects’ history are given elsewhere (Murai, Tomonaga, Kamegai, Terazawa, & Yamaguchi, 2004; Murai & Tomonaga, 2009). At the beginning of this study, all of the subjects had lived in individual cages (85 × 80 × 175
cm) for more than one year. During the daily housing situation and this experiment, the
monkeys could see other monkeys in the same room. The monkeys were fed monkey
pellets daily and sweet potatoes three times a week, at about 1000 hr. They could drink
water ad libitum. Routine care of monkeys and experiments were performed in
accordance with the guidelines of the Primate Research Institute, Kyoto University.

Apparatus

A computer-controlled touch-sensitive display was used in this study. A
touch-sensitive display (30.4 × 22.8 cm) (model L352T-C-BK, Eizo Nanao, Ishikawa,
Japan) was attached to each monkey’s home cage. The monkeys were allowed to touch
the display through the bars of their cages. The apparatus was attached only during the
experiment and was removed at other times.

Stimuli

The stimuli were 21.1 × 14.2 cm digitized color movies (720 × 480 pixels,
MPEG 1 - Layer 2 files). They did not include sound. The duration of each movie was
10 seconds. Each stimulus set was composed of six categories of content: Humans,
Animations, Japanese macaques, Rhesus macaques, Chimpanzees, and Controls (Figure
1). Each category consisted of 96 different movies. They were divided into two stimulus
sets: Set 1 and Set 2. Each stimulus set consisted of 288 movies. The humans in the
movies were familiar persons (such as caretakers or researchers) or novel persons. Each
of them wore some clothes that were familiar and some that were novel for the subjects.
The behaviors of the humans in the movies were walking, working, and conversation
with another human. Movies of two familiar humans and two novel humans were used
in the first stimulus set (Set 1), and the movies of the same two familiar humans and
two different novel humans were used in the second stimulus set (Set 2).
Computer-generated moving objects (ball, cube, cone, and so on) and humans were
used as the animation movies. The backgrounds of the objects were plain vivid colors.
Set 1 consisted of 24 movies of a moving object and 24 movies of a moving human, and
Set 2 consisted of 48 movies of a moving human. The movies of Japanese macaques,
rhesus macaques, and chimpanzees were filmed in captive enclosures. The behaviors of
the animals in the movies were resting, feeding, locomotion, and interaction with other
individuals. The individuals in the movies were different between the stimulus sets. The
movies of controls were scenery with no animals in Set1 and blinking color in Set 2.

---

Figure 1 about here
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Procedures
The experiment was carried out once a day, and three or four times per week. The sessions started between 1400 and 1630 hr and continued for two hours. During the sessions, subjects were allowed to touch the display and to watch the movies. Each subject experienced 40 sessions. Set 1 was used in the first 20 sessions and Set 2 was used in the second 20 sessions.

The experiment was carried out under a sensory reinforcement paradigm with a conjugate reinforcement condition (Rovee-Collier & Gekoski, 1978; Matsuzawa, 1981; Fujita & Matsuzawa, 1986). A session began with the appearance of a starting stimulus (a red, blue, or green square, 3.7 × 3.7 cm) at a random position on the display. After the subject touched the starting stimulus, a beep sound was played and the starting stimulus disappeared. One second after that, six thumbnails (8.8 × 5.9 cm) of movies, each of which was randomly selected from one category, were presented randomly within a two-row by three-column matrix on the display. After the subject touched a thumbnail, the selected movie was played in the center of the display. If the subject had made no touch for 10 seconds although the thumbnails appeared on the display, the thumbnails disappeared and the starting stimulus was shown. The selected movie was played as long as the subject touched its thumbnail. If the subject had not touched a thumbnail for three seconds, the beep sound was played and the movie
disappeared. One second after that, the start stimulus was presented at a random position on the display again. When the movie reached its end, it was replayed from the beginning. The duration that each movie was played by a subject was recorded and analyzed as the index of the preference for the content of that movie.

In order to evaluate the effect of the experiment described above on abnormal behavior, the behavior of subjects was compared among the experimental and non-experimental periods. The behaviors of subjects were recorded using a video camera in the following three periods: the pre-experimental period, experimental period, and post-experimental period, for three days. During the observation period, the subject was subjected to the experiment described above. The sessions started at approximately 1400 hr and continued for two hours (i.e., “the experimental period”). In addition to this period, the behavior of the subjects during the two hours before the experimental period (i.e., “the pre-experimental period”) and two hours after the experimental period (i.e., “the post-experimental period”) was also recorded. During the pre- and post-experimental periods, the apparatus was not attached to the cage of the subject. Videotaped behaviors were sampled by using an instantaneous sampling technique (Altmann, 1974). The sampling interval was one minute, and therefore each period included 360 sampling points over a three-day period. We recorded whether the
subject engaged in abnormal behaviors at the sampling points. The definitions of abnormal behaviors were based on Mallapur and Choudhury (2003) and Walsh, Bramblett, and Alford (1982) (Table 1).

-------------------------------------------
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Statistical Analyses

The total duration of movie playing was calculated in each session for each subject. In order to investigate the effect of the novelty of the movies, the durations of the movie-playing in the first three sessions and the last three sessions for each stimulus set were analyzed using a Generalized Linear Mixed Model (GLMM); the models were constructed using a Poisson distribution. In this analyses, the total durations of movie playing were the response variable. The individuals were contained as random factor. Each data point represented a sum of the duration of movie playing in each session order (the first sessions of Set 1 / the last sessions of Set 1 / the first sessions of Set 2 / the first sessions of Set 2) in each subject. The model which contained the session order and the model without it as the fixed factor were compared.

For multiple comparisons of the session order, the models which contained every
combination of the orders as the fixed factors were also compared. As an index of
preference for the content, the total playing duration of each content category in each
session was calculated. Because some data sets were not normally distributed
(Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample test had $P < 0.05$), non-parametric Steel-Dwass’
multiple comparisons were conducted on every combination of the six categories in
each stimulus set in each subject. The abnormal behaviors during the experimental and
non-experimental periods were compared by a GLMM analysis; the models were
constructed using a Poisson distribution. In this analysis, the numbers of sampling
points at which the subject engaged in abnormal behavior were the response variable.
The individuals were contained as random factor. Each data point represented a total
number of sampling points in each observation period in each subject. The model
which contained the observation period and the model without it as the fixed factor
were compared. For multiple comparisons of the observation periods, the models
which contained every combination of the periods as the fixed factors were also
compared. These statistical analyses would be informal because the number of samples
was small. All statistical analyses were conducted with the freeware package R (R
Development Core Team, 2008).
RESULTS

Preference for Movie Novelty and Contents

The touch responses used to play movies were maintained for 40 sessions by all three subjects. The mean (± SE) duration of movie playing in a session was 1426.9 (± 93.0) seconds for Romio, 172.5 (± 19.3) seconds for Tim, and 356.6 (± 52.2) seconds for Sabu. There was a difference between the playing duration for novel movies and non-novel movies (Figure 2). The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1974) can be used to compare models with different numbers of fitted parameters. The model with the lower AIC is preferred. The model including the session order (AIC: 1420) showed lower AIC than the model without it as the fixed factor (AIC: 7559). The likelihood ratio test showed a significant difference between the models ($\chi^2 = 6144.5, P < 0.001$). In the multiple comparisons of the session orders, the model including the first sessions of Set 1 and Set 2 at a different level from the last sessions showed the lowest AIC (1419). This means that the durations of movie playing in the last sessions of both stimulus sets were shorter than those in the first sessions and there was no difference between the first sessions of two stimulus sets.

-------------------------------

Figure 2 about here
Table 2 shows the mean playing duration of each content category to indicate
the preference pattern in each stimulus set for each subject. All subjects showed a
significant differential preference among movies (Table 2). Romio played human
movies for the longest duration in both stimulus sets (40.6% in Set 1 and 38.6% in Set
2), whereas Tim played animation movies for the longest duration in both stimulus sets
(52.0% in Set 1 and 58.3% in Set 2), and Sabu played animation movies for the longest
duration in Set 1 (54.3%) and human movies in Set 2 (34.9%).

Effect of Movie Presentation on Abnormal Behaviors

Figure 3 shows the frequency of abnormal behavior per hour in each
observation period. The model including the observation period (AIC: 88) showed
lower AIC than the model without it as the fixed factor (AIC: 119). The likelihood ratio
test showed a significant difference between the models ($\chi^2 = 35.1, P < 0.001$). In the
multiple comparisons of the observation periods, the model including the each period at
different levels showed the lowest AIC (88.05). This means that the frequencies of
abnormal behavior were differed among the three observation periods. In the
pre-experimental period, frequently observed patterns of abnormal behavior were
licking hand (11.7% of the total sampling points), self-biting (8.3%), and stereotypic
pacing (7.3%). These behaviors were decreased in the experimental period (licking
hand: 10.4%, self-biting: 7.6%, stereotypic pacing: 0.0%) and in the post-experimental
period (licking hand: 7.7%, self-biting: 4.4%, stereotypic pacing: 8.1%). The other
patterns of abnormal behavior listed in Table 1 were rarely observed.

DISCUSSION

We showed here that movie presentation could keep attracting the interest of
single-caged Japanese macaques over the course of many experimental sessions. Under
a sensory reinforcement paradigm with a conjugate reinforcement condition, the touch
responses of all three subjects enabling them to play the movie stimuli were maintained.
Repeated presentation of a stimulus set caused a reduction of the subjects’ touch
responses. Replacement of the stimulus set increased the touch response used to play
the movie stimuli. These data support earlier findings that the novelty of movie stimuli enhances their effectiveness as reinforcers in bonnet macaques (Andrews & Rosenblum, 2001; Brannon et al., 2004). The monkeys showed a clear preference for novel movies.

The contents of movie stimuli affected the video preferences of each subject. Romio played human movies for the longest duration, whereas Tim preferred animation movies and Sabu preferred human and animation movies. These tendencies were consistent across the two different stimulus sets, although the preferred contents were different among the subjects. Their video preferences for human and animation movies might have developed as a result of social experiences during the infancy of the subjects.

Using still pictures as experimental stimuli, Fujita (1990, 1993) showed that Japanese macaques reared by rhesus macaques preferred pictures of rhesus macaques rather than pictures of Japanese macaques. In chimpanzees, developmental history was also suggested to affect the visual preference regarding still pictures (Tanaka, 2003, 2007). These previous studies suggested that, in the present study, the subjects’ social experience with human caretakers during infancy might have contributed to the development of a preference for movies showing humans and animations of characters that were similar to humans.

The other contents were also played consistently by our subjects, although the
subjects showed clear preferences for human and animation movies. The human and animation movies accounted only for 39.93% (Set 2 for Romio) to 72.66% (Set 1 for Sabu) of total playing duration. The rest of the content viewed by the subjects consisted of movies showing Japanese macaques, rhesus macaques, chimpanzees, and control content. Providing a variety of contents might have been crucial for maintaining the strong interest in movie-presentation shown by Japanese macaques in this study as compared with the shorter watching duration in previous studies (Platt & Novak, 1997; Bloomsmith & Lambeth, 2000).

The abnormal behavior, especially licking hands, self-biting, and stereotypic pacing, of the subjects in the experimental and post-experimental periods decreased compared with that in the pre-experimental period. These decreases of abnormal behavior by movie presentation might have been explained by the availability of the apparatus only during the experimental period. Therefore, it remains possible that the playing behavior might simply have replaced the abnormal behaviors. The movie playing would in that case, however, still have been valuable regarding the animals’ welfare, because some kinds of abnormal behavior, such as self-biting, are harmful to animals. The decrease of abnormal behavior in the post-experimental period might have been an aftereffect of movie presentation, which might have relieved the stress of the
subjects in the single cages. In any case, our findings indicate that movie presentation could be a valuable technique to improve the abnormal behavior of the single-caged monkeys.

For caged nonhuman primates, toys and foraging devices are frequently used as environmental enrichment (Reinhardt, 2010). Some of these objects become less attractive to animals in a very short time or occupy a large space. In contrast, movie enrichment can continue to be attractive for a long period if novel and preferred movies are presented, and it requires little space. In the captive condition, movie presentation can add to the visual environment, which is dynamic and complex in the wild. For the welfare of captive nonhuman primates, movie presentation should be provided in combination with other types of enrichment, such as social, physical, and/or feeding-based ones. Movie presentation can be one element in a comprehensive environmental enrichment plan.

This study showed that movie-playing could be effective for improving the abnormal behavior of macaques in single cages, which are an impoverished environment but are inevitable in some special situations of these animals, such as medical care, quarantine, and so on. The ability to provide environmental enrichment in single cages is limited by the small space, and single cages are a severely restricted
condition with respect to the sensory environment. Movie presentation is an effective technique to enrich the visual environment of the single cage, and the present study showed that single-caged Japanese macaques had a preference for the content of the movie presentation. They had clear preferences for novel movies and movies showing humans or animation. Altogether, our results indicate that the ability of movie presentation to improve behavioral abnormalities of single-caged monkeys could be enhanced by presenting movies with novel, preferred, and/or various contents.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIGURE 1 Examples of one frame of a movie in each content category. (a) Humans; (b) Animations; (c) Japanese macaques; (d) Rhesus macaques; (e) Chimpanzees; (f) Controls.

FIGURE 2 Mean (± SE) of the duration of the movie playing in the first three sessions (S) and the last three sessions (E) in each stimulus set for each subject. S and E were compared using a GLMM analysis, which showed that the durations of movie playing in the last sessions of both stimulus sets were shorter than those in the first sessions.

FIGURE 3 The frequency of abnormal behavior per hour in each observation period. GLMM analysis was carried out to compare the data between experimental conditions. The frequencies of abnormal behavior were differed among the three observation periods.
Frequency of abnormal behavior / hour

Conditions

Romio

Tim

Sabu
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autoerotic stimulation</td>
<td>Self-directed sexual activity and masturbation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floating limb</td>
<td>Unusual movement pattern of a limb; after visually tracking for a short time, the floating limb is often attacked viciously by oneself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-clasping</td>
<td>Use of hands or feet to hold onto part of body by oneself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-biting</td>
<td>Hands, legs, arms and/or torso bitten in a stereotyped fashion by oneself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereotypic pacing</td>
<td>Repetitive pacing along the same path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocking</td>
<td>Repetitive seated, bipedal, or quadrupedal rocking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saluting/eye poking</td>
<td>Poking of one or more fingers into the eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubbing lattices</td>
<td>Repetitive rubbing lattices by hands and licking hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licking lattices</td>
<td>Repetitive licking lattices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licking hand</td>
<td>Hands or foot licked by oneself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair pulling</td>
<td>Pulling out of own or another animal's hair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Mean (± SE) seconds per session of the playing duration of each content in each stimulus set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HU</th>
<th>AN</th>
<th>JM</th>
<th>RM</th>
<th>CH</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Romio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set 1</td>
<td>535.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>218.9&lt;sup&gt;b,c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>180.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>194.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>101.8&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>88.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(± 72.7)</td>
<td>(± 52.8)</td>
<td>(± 20.1)</td>
<td>(± 22.5)</td>
<td>(± 11.5)</td>
<td>(± 10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set 2</td>
<td>592.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>292.7&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>289.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>165.9&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>173.8&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(± 74.1)</td>
<td>(± 6.3)</td>
<td>(± 35.2)</td>
<td>(± 22.0)</td>
<td>(± 10.5)</td>
<td>(± 16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set 1</td>
<td>39.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>121.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.2&lt;sup&gt;a,c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.9&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(± 5.5)</td>
<td>(± 18.0)</td>
<td>(± 7.2)</td>
<td>(± 4.2)</td>
<td>(± 1.3)</td>
<td>(± 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set 2</td>
<td>9.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>64.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.8&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.1&lt;sup&gt;a,c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(± 2.0)</td>
<td>(± 12.1)</td>
<td>(± 1.7)</td>
<td>(± 3.3)</td>
<td>(± 0.6)</td>
<td>(± 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set 1</td>
<td>75.7&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>223.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>33.8&lt;sup&gt;b,c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25.2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.4&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(± 13.3)</td>
<td>(± 62.1)</td>
<td>(± 4.1)</td>
<td>(± 5.5)</td>
<td>(± 4.6)</td>
<td>(± 5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set 2</td>
<td>105.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>79.6&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>49.2&lt;sup&gt;a,b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>30.8&lt;sup&gt;b,c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.6&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(± 21.0)</td>
<td>(± 20.2)</td>
<td>(± 2.9)</td>
<td>(± 7.4)</td>
<td>(± 7.1)</td>
<td>(± 5.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note.* Data within a row with different superscripts differ $P < 0.05$ in the Steel-Dwass’ multiple comparisons for playing duration of each content in each stimulus set. HU: Humans, AN: Animations, JM: Japanese macaques, RM: Rhesus macaques, CH: Chimpanzees, CO: Controls.