

Original Paper

**Copper isotope fractionation between aqueous compounds
relevant to low temperature geochemistry and biology**

Toshiyuki Fujii^{1*}, Frédéric Moynier², Minori Abe³,
Keisuke Nemoto³, and Francis Albarède⁴

¹ Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University, 2-1010 Asashiro Nishi, Kumatori,
Sennan, Osaka 590-0494, Japan

² Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences and McDonnell Center for Space
Sciences, Washington University in St. Louis, Campus Box 1169, 1 Brookings Drive,
Saint Louis, MO 63130-4862, USA

³ Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo
Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

⁴ Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Université de Lyon 1, CNRS, 46, Allée d'Italie,
69364 Lyon Cedex 7, France

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed

tosiyuki@rri.kyoto-u.ac.jp

TEL: +81-72-451-2469, FAX: +81-72-451-2634

Abstract:

Isotope fractionation between the common Cu species present in solution (Cu^+ , Cu^{2+} , hydroxide, chloride, sulfide, carbonate, oxalate, and ascorbate) has been investigated using both *ab initio* methods and experimental solvent extraction techniques. In order to establish unambiguously the existence of equilibrium isotope fractionation (as opposed to kinetic isotope fractionation), we first performed laboratory-scale liquid-liquid distribution experiments. Upon exchange between HCl medium and a macrocyclic complex, the $^{65}\text{Cu}/^{63}\text{Cu}$ ratio fractionated by -1.06 to -0.39% . The acidity dependence of the fractionation was appropriately explained by ligand exchange reactions between hydrated H_2O and Cl^- via intramolecular vibrations. The magnitude of the Cu isotope fractionation among important Cu ligands was also estimated by *ab initio* methods. The magnitude of the nuclear field shift effect to the Cu isotope fractionation represents only $\sim 3\%$ of the mass-dependent fractionation. The theoretical estimation was expanded to chlorides, hydroxides, sulfides, sulfates, and carbonates under different conditions of pH. Copper isotope fractionation of up to 2% is expected for different forms of Cu present in seawater and for different sediments (carbonates, hydroxides, and sulfides). We found that Cu in dissolved carbonates and sulfates is isotopically much heavier ($+0.6\%$) than free Cu. Isotope fractionation of Cu in hydroxide is minimal. The relevance of these new results to the understanding of metabolic processes was also discussed. Copper is an essential element used by a large number of proteins for electron transfer. Further theoretical estimates of $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ in hydrated Cu(I) and Cu(II) ions, Cu(II) ascorbates, and Cu(II) oxalate predict Cu isotope fractionation during the breakdown of ascorbate into oxalate and account for the isotopically heavy Cu found in animal kidneys.

49

50 **Keywords:** Copper, Cupric, isotope fractionation, ligand, quantum chemical calculation

51

1. INTRODUCTION

Copper has two stable isotopes, ^{63}Cu and ^{65}Cu , with respective average abundances of 69.174% and 30.826% in the reference metal SRM-NIST 976 (Shields et al., 1964). Variations of Cu isotope abundances in natural samples were identified by Walker et al. (1958) using thermal ionization mass spectrometry, but the variability of the mass bias at that time was too large with respect to the natural isotopic availability to qualify Cu isotopes as a potential geochemical or biochemical tracer. The advent of inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) instruments equipped with a magnetic sector and multiple collection made precise isotopic analysis of Cu possible (Albarède, 2004). Maréchal et al. (1999) published the first measurements of Cu isotope compositions in a variety of minerals and biological materials. The broad range of isotopic variations in Cu ores observed previously (Walker et al. 1958; Shields et al., 1965) was confirmed by subsequent work (Maréchal et al., 1999; Zhu et al., 2000; Albarède, 2004; Klein et al., 2009). Hereafter, the δ notation with

$$\delta^{65}\text{Cu} = \left(\frac{([^{65}\text{Cu}]/[^{63}\text{Cu}])_{\text{sample}}}{([^{65}\text{Cu}]/[^{63}\text{Cu}])_{\text{reference}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \quad (1)$$

is used throughout.

The narrow range of Cu isotope variation in basalts (Ben Othman et al., 2006; Archer and Vance, 2004; Herzog et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009; Moynier et al., 2010; Bishop et al., 2012) and granites (Li et al., 2009) suggests that high-temperature magmatic processes do not induce large Cu isotope fractionation. As a consequence, it makes Cu isotopes a tracer of choice for the study of sediments and more generally for

low-temperature processes such as those involved in soil formation (Bigalke et al., 2010, 2011) and in biology (Balter and Zarro, 2011; Weinstein et al., 2011).

Substantial isotope fractionation during chemical exchange reactions occurs at room temperature and reflects isotopic differences between the equilibrium constants of Cu isotopologues. Enrichment in ^{65}Cu of up to 0.8‰ was observed during the chromatographic elution of compounds (Matin et al., 1998; Maréchal et al., 1999, 2002; Zhu et al., 2002). The same technique demonstrates isotope fractionation of ~0.4‰ upon chromatographic separation of Cu(I) from Cu(II) (Matin et al., 1998; Zhu et al., 2002).

At low temperature, Cu isotopic abundances are also affected by inorganic surface processes (physical adsorption/desorption). Isotope separation of up to a few permil upon adsorption/desorption of Cu onto/from inorganic and organic substrates was observed by several groups (Mathur et al., 2005; Balistrieri et al., 2008; Pokrovsky et al., 2008; Navarette et al., 2011).

Copper plays very important roles in biology (Lippard and Berg, 1994). The metal centers of the ‘blue’ copper proteins are divided according to the coordination of the Cu ion into three types that contain one or more copper ions as prosthetic groups (Cowan, 1997). In plastocyanine, cysteine, histidine, and methionine ‘residues’ bind to Cu and this plays a major role for electron transfer (redox reactions) (Freeman and Guss, 2001). In humans, ceruloplasmin is a ferroxidase enzyme involved in the iron cycle, cytochrome c oxidase is involved in electron transfer across the membrane of mitochondrion, and superoxide dismutase protects cells against superoxide. Blue (Cu) proteins are ubiquitous and characterized by their multiple ways of bonding with amino acids. (Cowan, 1997). Coupling of Fe and Cu isotope fractionation has been identified

in human blood components (Albarède et al., 2011a) and in mammals (Balter and Zazzo, 2011; Albarede et al., 2011b). Depletion of $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ at the permil level was found in plants with respect to soils or nutrient solutions (Weinstein et al., 2011; Jouvin et al., 2012). $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ in enzymes is a few permil negative compared with the Cu isotopic composition of the host soil (Zhu et al., 2002). Positive $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ values up to 1.5‰ also were found in the kidneys of sheep (Balter and Zazzo, 2011) and mice (Albarede et al., 2011b).

Contrary to Fe (Hill et al., 2010; Rustad et al., 2010, and references therein), Ni (Fujii et al., 2011a), and Zn (Fujii et al., 2010, 2011b; Black et al., 2011; Pons et al., 2011; Fujii and Albarède, 2012), the theoretical prediction of Cu isotope fractionation among ligands (simple inorganic ligands like halide, sulfate, and phosphate ions, and more complex organic ligands as humic acids and phytoplankton exometabolites) in natural waters and biological fluids has only received minimal attention, which is a major hindrance for an informed interpretation of observations. This is the main motivation for the present work.

We first demonstrate that equilibrium isotope fractionation of Cu(II) does take place in laboratory-scale experiments. In parallel, we calculated the molecular orbitals of the corresponding Cu species to obtain the reduced partition function ratio (RPFR) of isotopologues. The *ab initio* calculations were then extended to hydrated Cu(II) complexes, hydroxide, sulfate, carbonate, ascorbate, and oxalate. The choice of ascorbate and oxalate was motivated by evidence of isotopically heavy Cu in the kidneys of sheep (Balter and Zazzo, 2011) and mice (Albarède et al., 2011b). Ascorbate is allegedly catabolized into oxalic acid (Chai et al., 2004; Massey et al., 2005; González et al., 2005), which makes some patients develop a condition known as hyperoxaluria and develop kidney stones, a calcium oxalate. The oxalate anions are

known to be strong complexing ligands of Cu(II) (Hayakawa et al., 1973), while ascorbate oxidase, which oxidizes ascorbate into dehydroascorbate (Solomon et al., 1996) is a bis(histidine)copper(II) compound. Applications of our calculations to the understanding of Cu isotope variability in marine sediments and to biological samples are briefly outlined.

2. METHODS

2.1. Extraction experiments and isotopic analyses

Dicyclohexano-18-crown-6 (DC18C6) (>97% purity) is a product of Fluka Chemie GmbH and Cu dichloride (hydrated, 99.9% purity) is a product of Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. Hydrochloric acid, in which the Cu impurity was certified to be less than 1.9 ppt, was purchased from Kanto Chemical, Co., Inc. Other chemicals were reagent grade.

CuCl₂·2H₂O was dissolved in HCl to prepare solutions of 0.1 mol dm⁻³ (M) Cu(II) in 1 to 6 M HCl. DC18C6 was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane to prepare a solution of 0.1 M DC18C6. Ten mL aqueous solution were combined with 15 mL organic solution in a glass vial, which was sealed. The two phases were stirred for 30 minutes using a Teflon-coated magnetic bar. After equilibrium was achieved, the two phases were separated by centrifugation at 2,000 rpm for 1 min, and an aliquot of the aqueous solution taken for analysis. A 10 mL aliquot of the organic solution was saved for back extraction of Cu in 15 mL of 0.02 M HCl. The extracted Cu was fully (>98%) recovered in this procedure. All these steps were carried out at 298 K. Copper concentrations in the equilibrated aqueous phase and the back extraction solution were determined by inductively-coupled plasma atomic-emission spectrometry (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, iCAP 6300 Duo). Aliquots of these solutions were saved for isotopic analysis.

Organic impurities carried over from the extraction step were separated from Cu on AGMP1 anion exchange resin in 7 M HCl as described in Maréchal et al. (1999). Copper isotopic compositions were measured on a Thermo-Finnigan Neptune MC-ICP-MS at Washington University in St. Louis. The samples were introduced by free aspiration in 0.1 M HNO₃ using a Teflon microcentric nebulizer (uptake rate of 100 µL/min) and a glass cyclonic spray chamber. Masses 63 and 65 were positioned on the L2 and axial collectors, respectively. Intensities of Zn isotopes (64, 66, 67 and 68) were measured on the collectors L1, H1, H2, H3, respectively. The intensity of ⁶²Ni was monitored in order to correct for the potential interference of ⁶⁴Ni. Instrumental mass fractionation was corrected by both Zn doping and standard-sample bracketing (Maréchal et al., 1999; Albarède, 2004). The typical external reproducibility of replicate analyses of the same samples carried out during different analytical sessions is 0.10‰ (2σ) for δ⁶⁵Cu.

2.2. Computational methods

Orbital geometries and vibrational frequencies of aqueous Cu(II) species were computed using the density functional theory (DFT) as implemented by the Gaussian09 code (Frisch et al., 2009; Dannington et al., 2009). The DFT method employed here is a hybrid density functional consisting of Becke's three-parameter non-local hybrid exchange potential (B3) (Becke, 1993) with Lee-Yang-and Parr (LYP) (Lee et al., 1988) non-local functionals. Using the 6-311+G(d,p) basis set or higher is recommended for calculating the Cu complexes by de Bruin et al. (1999). The 6-311+G(d,p) basis set,

which is an all-electron basis set, was therefore chosen for H, C, O, S, Cl, and Cu. Molecules were modeled without any forced symmetry. An ‘ultrafine’ numerical integration grid was used and the SCF (self-consistent field) convergence criterion was set to 10^{-9} .

The isotope enrichment factor due to intramolecular vibrations can then be evaluated from the reduced partition function ratio $(s/s')f$ (Bigeleisen and Mayer, 1947), also noted β ,

$$\ln \frac{s}{s'} f = \sum [\ln b(u_i') - \ln b(u_i)] \quad (2)$$

where

$$\ln b(u_i) = -\ln u_i + \frac{u_i}{2} + \ln(1 - e^{-u_i}) \quad (3)$$

and

$$u_i = \frac{h\nu_i}{kT} \quad (4)$$

in which ν stands for vibrational frequency, s for the symmetry number of the Cu compound, h the Planck constant, k the Boltzmann constant, and T the absolute temperature. The subscript i denotes the i th mode of molecular vibration, and primed variables refer to the light isotopologue. The isotope enrichment factor due to molecular vibrations can be evaluated from the frequencies (ν) summed over all the different modes. The isotopic difference in the stability constant of chemical reactions is identical to the difference of $\ln \beta$ between related species. For example, a chemical exchange reaction,



with stability constant K_{CuCl^+} , the isotope fractionation between the hydrated Cu^{2+} and $CuCl^+$ is,

$$\ln \frac{K_{CuCl^+} (^{65}Cu)}{K_{CuCl^+} (^{63}Cu)} = \ln \frac{[^{65}CuCl^+]/[^{63}CuCl^+]}{[^{65}Cu^{2+}]/[^{63}Cu^{2+}]}$$

$$= \ln \beta_{CuCl^+} - \ln \beta_{Cu^{2+}} \quad (6)$$

Isotope fractionation also results from the mass-independent nuclear field shift effect (Bigeleisen, 1996; Nomura et al., 1996, Fujii et al. 2009) which is an isotope-dependent shift reflecting that the electronic orbitals do not vanish at the nucleus and depends on the nuclear size and shape (King, 1984). The latest version of quantum chemical models have taken finite-size nuclei into account in *ab initio* calculations (Schauble, 2007; Abe et al., 2008, 2010; Fujii et al., 2010, 2011a,c,d). For light elements such as Ni (Fujii et al., 2011a) and Zn (Fujii et al., 2010), the nuclear field shift effect was found to be small as 0.02-0.03%/amu. The isotope enrichment factor via the nuclear field shift ($\ln K_{fs}$) is given by

$$\ln K_{fs} = \frac{hc}{kT} \nu_{fs} \quad (7)$$

where ν_{fs} is the nuclear field shift and c the velocity of light.

The contribution of the nuclear volume was estimated by the Dirac-Coulomb Hartree-Fock (DCHF) method implemented in the UTChem program (see references in

Abe et al., 2008, 2010). We calculated the electronic structure of $\text{Cu}^0([\text{Ar}]3d^{10}4s^1)$, $\text{Cu}^+([\text{Ar}]3d^9)$, $\text{Cu}^{2+}([\text{Ar}]3d^9)$ and a few other simple Cu molecules. The Cartesian coordinates of Cu molecules optimized by the Gaussian09 code were supplied to the DCHF calculation. Exponents of basis sets were taken from Faegri's four-component basis for Cu (Faegri, 2001), third-order Douglas-Kroll basis for H and Cl (Tsuchiya et al., 2001), and ANO-RCC for O (Roos et al., 2005). Contraction coefficients were optimized by four-component atomic calculations (Koc and Ishikawa, 1994). After adding some diffuse and polarization functions, the final contraction form of the large component basis sets was $(19s14p9d)/[6s4p3d]$ for Cu, $(16s11p1d)/[4s3p1d]$ for Cl, $(14s9p2d)/[3s2p2d]$ for O, and $(8s2p)/[3s2p]$ for H.

The effect of nuclear spin can be neglected at equilibrium (Bigeleisen, 1996). Since the present crown ether experiments were run at equilibrium, the kinetic part of this effect also known as the magnetic isotope effect (Epov et al., 2011; Epov, 2011), will not be considered in this work.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Isotope fractionation of Cu by crown ether extraction

The Cu(II) species in HCl medium in the laboratory experiment are Cu^{2+} , CuCl^+ , CuCl_2 , and CuCl_3^- (Brugger et al., 2001), which are related through complexation reaction 5 and the following stepwise reactions, with stability constants K_{species} ,



and



228

229 The presence of CuCl_4^{2-} was reported for highly concentrated HCl and CuCl_2 systems
 230 (Bell et al., 1973; Neilson, 1982; Tanimizu et al., 2007) or high-temperature systems
 231 (Collings et al., 2000) but this higher order of complexation is negligible at the low HCl
 232 concentrations and ambient temperature of the present experiments (Brugger et al.,
 233 2001).

234 The extraction reaction of Cu(II) with the macrocyclic ligand can be written as,



235

236 where L stands for DC18C6. The distribution ratio D is written as,

$$D = \frac{\Sigma[\text{Cu(II)}]_{\text{org}}}{\Sigma[\text{Cu(II)}]_{\text{aq}}} \approx \frac{[\text{CuLCl}_2]}{[\text{Cu}^{2+}] + [\text{CuCl}^+] + [\text{CuCl}_2] + [\text{CuCl}_3^-]} \quad (11)$$

237

238 where the subscripts 'org' and 'aq' stand for the organic and aqueous phases,
 239 respectively.

240 The isotope separation factor α , between the aqueous and organic phases, is
 241 defined as:

$$\alpha = \frac{\sum_k \phi_{\text{org}}^k \left({}^{65}\text{Cu}/{}^{63}\text{Cu} \right)_{\text{org}}^k}{\sum_k \phi_{\text{aq}}^k \left({}^{65}\text{Cu}/{}^{63}\text{Cu} \right)_{\text{aq}}^k} \quad (12)$$

242

where k refers to the k th compound in the organic and aqueous phases, respectively, and ϕ is the fraction of total Cu (proper ^{63}Cu) hosted in the k th compound. The isotope enrichment factor is defined as $\alpha - 1$. Because α is always close to unity, $\alpha - 1 \approx \ln \alpha$, and $1000 \ln \alpha$ therefore can be approximated by $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu} = \delta^{65}\text{Cu}_{\text{org}} - \delta^{65}\text{Cu}_{\text{aq}}$. The $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ values obtained are shown in Table 1 together with distribution ratios. $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ ranged from -1.06 to -0.39‰ .

The yield of Cu(II) extraction by crown ethers has been investigated by Yoshio et al. (1980), Nakamura et al. (1982), and Contreras et al. (1993). A study on extraction kinetics showed that equilibrium is achieved within 30 sec (Nakamura et al., 1982). Hydration-dehydration is known to be the rate-limiting step of solvent extraction. The rate constant for H_2O substitution in the inner coordination sphere of Cu^{2+} is quite high in the transition metal series, and it is comparable with that of Li^+ of the alkali metals as observed by Eigen (1963). The isotope exchange rate kinetics of $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ in the crown ether systems has been studied in detail (Jepson and Cairns, 1979; Nishizawa et al., 1984), in which the isotopic equilibrium is achieved within 30-60 sec after contacting aqueous and organic phases. The 30 min stirring period in the present study is therefore considered to be enough to achieve the isotopic equilibrium of Cu. The $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ values listed in Table 1 are hence attributable to the equilibrium isotope effect.

Figure 1a shows the mole fractions of Cu species estimated by using the stability constants of reactions 5, 8, and 9 (Brugger et al., 2001). $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ was found to vary with HCl molarity (Fig. 1b), a trend that reflects the isotope fractionation of Cu in reactions 5 and 8-10. With increasing HCl molarity, more CuCl_2 forms, which in turn results in an increase of D . The magnitude of $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ shown in Table 1 is similar to that

found in other ligand exchange systems (Matin et al., 1998; Maréchal et al., 1999, 2002; Zhu et al., 2002).

3.2. Contribution of the mass-independent isotope effect

The total electronic energies calculated for Cu isotopes and isotopologues are shown in atomic units (a.u.) in Table 2, in which Cu^{2+} was chosen as the reference. The maximum effect was found to be 0.015‰ for the Cu^0 -Cu(I) pair and 0.012‰ for the Cu(I)-Cu(II) pair. The solvent extraction reaction is controlled by ligand exchange of Cu(II). Based on the Cu(II) data in Table 2, the nuclear field shift effect in Cu(II) species is only ~3% of the smallest $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ value listed in Table 1. The values of $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ reported in Table 1 are the values for the mass-dependent isotope fractionation and reflect intramolecular vibrations.

3.3. Mass-dependent isotope effects

3.3.1. Hydrated Cu(II) ions

The hydrated Cu(II) ion is generally represented as the hexaaqua complex $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$ (Fig. 2). It is known that $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$ shows the Jahn-Teller distortion effect (Sherman, 2001; Bersuker, 2006). Two Cu-O distances of the vertical axial bond (Cu-O_{ax}) are longer than four Cu-O distances in the equatorial plane (Cu-O_{eq}). The Jahn-Teller effect lowers the symmetry of $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$ from octahedral T_h to D_{2h} . The calculated bond distance of Cu-O and the literature values are shown in Table 3. The bond distances were calculated to be 2.02-2.03 Å (Cu-O_{eq}) and 2.30 Å (Cu-O_{ax}), which are consistent with those reported in the literature (see references in Table 3).

After evidence was found that the stable aqueous complex has a fivefold coordination (Pasquarello et al., 2001), the Jahn-Teller effect of $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$ in aqueous solutions has been questioned (Chaboy et al., 2006). The $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^{2+}$ complex has five identical Cu-O bonds with a length of 1.96 Å, which was identified by neutron diffraction (ND) analysis combined with first-principles molecular dynamics (MD). The common bond distance reflects the rapid switch between the square pyramid and trigonal bipyramid configurations (Pasquarello et al., 2001; de Almeida et al., 2008). In a Car-Parrinello MD simulation (Amira et al., 2005), a square pyramidal geometry with four short (2.00 Å) and one long (2.45 Å) Cu-O bond could be optimized. A similar set of bond distances, four short (1.97 Å) and one long (2.39 Å) Cu-O bond, has been confirmed in an X-ray absorption study (Benfatto et al., 2002). Our calculation of the $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^{2+}$ geometry also resulted in the square pyramid rather than the trigonal bipyramid of Fig. 2 and Table 4.

There is no clearly predominant structure among the four-, five-, and six- fold coordinated Cu(II) ions (Chaboy et al., 2006). In the present study, we tested both the coordination numbers five and six. We also tested a coordination number four to evaluate the possibility of steric hindrance and strong degree of covalency. The optimized structures in Cartesian coordinates are given in Table S1 of the electronic supplement.

The intramolecular vibrational frequencies were then calculated for aqueous Cu(II) species with optimized geometries. The $\ln \beta [= \ln (s/s')f]$ values for the isotope pair $^{65}\text{Cu}/^{63}\text{Cu}$ were determined by employing Eqs. 2-4 and are listed in Table 5. The value of $\ln \beta$ of $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^{2+}$ at 298 K is 0.26‰ larger than that of $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$.

3.3.2. Hydrated Cu(II) chlorides

The structure of the hydrated Cu(II) chloride $\text{CuCl}_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^{2-m}$ is shown in Tables 6 and S1 together with literature values. For the Cu(II) *monochloride*, CuCl^+ , both fivefold (D'Angelo et al., 1997) and sixfold (Rode and Islam, 1992; Texler et al., 1998) coordination have been reported. The bond distances obtained for the fivefold-coordinated $\text{CuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$ reproduce the experimental results of D'Angelo et al. (1997). Though the geometry of sixfold-coordinated $\text{CuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^+$ was optimized within the SCF convergence criterion, this species still showed a few imaginary (negative) frequencies. Tighter convergence criteria may be needed.

The Jahn-Teller effect should be detectable in the sixfold-coordinated Cu(II) *dichloride*, $\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$. For a geometry with an axial Cl-Cu-Cl bond, we found two long and two short Cu-O bonds in the equatorial plane (Fig. 2). Our calculation agreed with the bond distances determined experimentally (Neilson, 1982; Tajiri and Wakita, 1986). A fivefold coordinated structure was inferred from the experiments of Ansell et al. (1995), and, indeed, we found evidence for a stable fivefold-coordinated $\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$ structure with a distorted square pyramid (Fig. 2).

The X-ray diffraction study of Bell et al. (1973) reports the existence of sixfold-coordinated Cu(II) *trichloride*, $\text{CuCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3^-$, which is an average structure of polymerized Cu(II) chlorides in a concentrated CuCl_2 solution. The complex has three Cu-Cl and three Cu-O bonds, a very low symmetry, and is unstable. The isolated CuCl_3^- anion in solution may have a different structure. There are no reports in the literature of the fivefold-coordinated $\text{CuCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2^-$. Convergence could not be achieved for the square pyramidal nor the trigonal bipyramidal geometry. A smaller coordination number was found for hydrated CuCl_3^- (Collings et al., 2000). Under highly

concentrated HCl and CuCl₂ conditions, a higher order chlorinated CuCl₄²⁻ exists. It is disputed whether the structure is distorted octahedral CuCl₄(H₂O)₂²⁻ or tetrahedral CuCl₄²⁻ (see references in Table 6). Systematic analysis by the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) technique and Raman spectrometry suggested that a possible structure is the tetrahedral CuCl₄²⁻ (Tanimizu et al., 2007). This supports the existence of fourfold-coordinated CuCl₃H₂O⁻. The calculation of CuCl₃H₂O⁻ successfully converged.

The ln β values of the hydrated chlorides are shown in Fig. 3 and Table 5. As for the hydrated Cu²⁺ case, ln β (298 K) of the fivefold-coordinated CuCl₂(H₂O)₃ was found to be 0.26‰ larger than that of the sixfold-coordinated CuCl₂(H₂O)₄. A difference of this magnitude is assigned to a stereochemical effect. For the fivefold coordination, the ln β value at 298 K for Cu(H₂O)₅²⁺ is 0.56‰ larger than that of CuCl₂(H₂O)₃ (Table 5). Likewise, for the sixfold coordination, the ln β value at 298 K for Cu(H₂O)₆²⁺ is also 0.56‰ larger than that of CuCl₂(H₂O)₄ (Table 5). This suggests that, with the same coordination number, exchanging H₂O by Cl⁻ enhances ⁶⁵Cu/⁶³Cu fractionation by approximately the same amount.

As shown in Fig. 3, ln β decreases when the fraction of H₂O exchanged with Cl⁻ increases. The variation of Δ⁶⁵Cu estimated from the ln β values (298 K) with the molarity of HCl is shown in Fig. 1b. A similar observation was previously made for Ni and Zn isotope fractionation (Fujii et al., 2010, 2011a).

The isotopic mass balance is preserved in the extraction system as,

$$\frac{\Sigma[{}^{65}\text{Cu}]}{\Sigma[{}^{63}\text{Cu}]} = \frac{[{}^{65}\text{Cu}^{2+}] + [{}^{65}\text{CuCl}^+] + [{}^{65}\text{CuCl}_2] + [{}^{65}\text{CuCl}_3^-] + [{}^{65}\text{CuLCl}_2]}{[{}^{63}\text{Cu}^{2+}] + [{}^{63}\text{CuCl}^+] + [{}^{63}\text{CuCl}_2] + [{}^{63}\text{CuCl}_3^-] + [{}^{63}\text{CuLCl}_2]} \quad (13)$$

The mole fractions of related Cu(II) species are evaluated from the values of K_{CuCl^+} , K_{CuCl_2} , $K_{CuCl_3^-}$ (Brugger, 2001), and D (Eq. 11), all obtained experimentally. Each mole fraction is the sum of fractions of ^{63}Cu and ^{65}Cu isotopologues. The isotopic fractions within the species were estimated from $\ln \beta$. Since there is no structural information of the Cu-DC18C6 complex in organic solution, β_{CuLCl_2} was set as a free parameter. The results of the calculation are shown in Fig. 1b. The value of $\ln \beta_{CuLCl_2}$ converged to 3.35‰. The bold and dotted curves shown in Fig. 1b are the values of $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ calculated for $\ln \beta_{CuLCl_2} = 3.35 \pm 0.05\text{‰}$. The results agree well with the experimental results. An important aspect of the estimation is that the calculation correctly predicts the sigmoidal dependence of $\ln \beta$ on the fraction of H_2O exchanged by Cl^- with increasing HCl molarity.

3.4. Application to hydrous geochemistry

In the absence of organic ligands which are known to dominate Cu speciation in seawater (Moffet, 1995; Moffet and Brand, 1996; Hirose, 2006) the free Cu^{2+} ion is dominant in freshwater, while the carbonate complexes CuCO_3 and $\text{Cu}(\text{CO}_3)_2^{2-}$ are dominant in seawater (Albarède, 2004). Copper concentration in seawater increase down the water column, which indicates both the uptake of Cu by plankton and scavenging of Cu by settling particles (Boyle et al., 1977; Bruland, 1980). Dissolved Cu in seawater is isotopically heavy ($\delta^{65}\text{Cu} = +0.9$ to $+1.5\text{‰}$) relative to rocks and sediments (0.0 to $+0.3\text{‰}$) and the riverine input ($+0.69\text{‰}$) (Bermin et al., 2006; Vance et al., 2008). It was suggested that this result is attributable to the partitioning of Cu

isotopes between a heavy dissolved phase, where Cu is bound to organic ligands, and a light particulate phase, dominated by Fe-Mn oxides (Vance et al., 2008). $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ in Fe-Mn nodules (Albarède, 2004) and encrustations (Little et al., 2010) from the three major ocean basins varies between +0.04 and +0.6‰, which is significantly lighter than the $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ value in seawater (+0.9 to +1.5‰, Vance et al., 2008).

Surface seawater also contains various inorganic complexes and carbonates. Speciation of Cu in seawater is very sensitive to the hydrolysis constants. Zirino and Yamamoto (1972) used the cumulative formation constant of $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$, $\log \beta_2 = 14.3$, which, as shown in Fig. S1a (see the electronic supplement), makes Cu speciation in seawater dominated by $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$. Mole fractions have been calculated from the set of stability constants recommended by Powell et al. (2007) with the second hydrolysis constant $\log \beta_2 = -16.65$, and the results are shown in Fig. 4a as a function of pH. At a typical seawater pH of 8.22 (Macleod et al., 1994), CuCO_3 is the dominant species, while the hydrated Cu^{2+} ion, chloride, sulfate, and hydroxide are subordinate. At $\text{pH} \geq 8.22$, $\text{Cu}(\text{CO}_3)_2^{2-}$ becomes an abundant species. These calculations are only relevant to seawater with no organic ligands.

Figure 4b shows the isotope fractionation of Cu(II) among its various species as estimated from their mole fractions and $\ln \beta$ (Tables 5 and 7) at 298 K under conditions typical of seawater. The optimized geometries of the relevant species are shown in Fig. 2 and Table S1 and the temperature dependence of $\ln \beta$ (Table 7) in Fig. 5. The geometry of Cu hydroxides, CuOH^+ and $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$, were calculated by dissociating H^+ ions from the *fivefold*-coordinated $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^{2+}$. The positions of OH^- ligands were determined by reference to CuCl^+ and CuCl_2 (Fig. 2). The structure calculated for both

CuOH(H₂O)₄⁺ and Cu(OH)₂(H₂O)₃ converged to a distorted square pyramid. With a H₂O molecule at the vertex of the square pyramid, the bond distance is shorter for Cu-OH⁻ (1.8-1.9 Å) than for Cu-OH₂ (2.3-2.4 Å) (see Table S1). This suggests that the stronger Cu-O bonds on the square plane loosen the hydration bond from the vertex. This conclusion is consistent with the known structure of amorphous Cu(OH)₂ or Cu(OH)₂ colloidal solution, which both show a layer structure of polymerized square planes (Elizarova et al., 1999; Kriventsov et al., 1999).

Fourfold complexation occurs in both amorphous and crystalline Cu(OH)₂. A stable fourfold complexation was also obtained for the Cu(II) carbonates, CuCO₃(H₂O)₂ and Cu(CO₃)₂²⁻ (Fig. 2 and Table S1). CO₃²⁻ was treated as a bidentate ligand. The structure converged to a distorted square plane. We tested positioning a water molecule above the plane in the initial structure, but it moved out of the inner coordination shell during the calculation. The structural optimization of CuCO₃OH⁻ was more problematic. Since CO₃²⁻ and OH⁻ occupy three coordination positions, one water molecule should also bind to Cu(II) at the fourth coordination position, thereby giving the CuCO₃(OH)H₂O⁻ compound. It was actually found that no water molecule is stable in the inner coordination shell. From the stoichiometry, the stable model molecule may instead be CuHCO₃(OH)₂⁻. This stable structure, in which HCO₃⁻ acts as a quasi-bidentate ligand is shown in Fig. 2 and Table S1. The ln β values obtained are shown in Fig. 5 and Table 7. The Cu hydroxides and carbonates have ln β values of 4.3-5.2‰ (298 K), in which compounds with higher complexation with OH⁻ and CO₃²⁻ resulted in ln β ≥ 5‰ (298 K).

The structure of hydrated Cu(II) in sulfate solutions was previously investigated by Ohtaki et al. (1976) and Musinu et al. (1983). In CuSO₄ solutions, Cu²⁺

is surrounded by disordered ligands (Musinu et al., 1983), which suggests a direct interaction of Cu^{2+} with SO_4^{2-} . We used the structural model of Fe(III) sulfate proposed by Magini (1979) as a reference for $\text{CuSO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$ (Fig. 2 and Table S1), in which SO_4^{2-} is treated as a monodentate ligand, and obtained bond distances of 1.9-2.1 Å for Cu-OH₂ and 2.37 Å for Cu-OSO₃²⁻. These values agree with the Cu-O bond distances determined by X-ray diffraction (Musinu et al., 1983). The electron donor SO_4^{2-} strongly attracts H⁺ and the resulting structure is equivalent to $\text{CuH}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{OH})_2$ (Fig. 2). The magnitude of $\ln \beta$ for CuSO_4 is 5.14‰ at 298 K (Table 7) and matches that of $\text{Cu}(\text{CO}_3)_2^{2-}$ (Fig. 5).

As shown in Figs. 4a and 4b, at pH ≥ 8.22, the dominant Cu(II) species are carbonates. We found that Cu in $\text{Cu}(\text{CO}_3)_2^{2-}$ (and CuSO_4) is isotopically 0.6‰ heavier than Cu^{2+} ion. At pH = 8.22, the $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ value of CuCO_3 is slightly negative -0.17‰, whereas those of $\text{Cu}(\text{CO}_3)_2^{2-}$ and CuCO_3OH^- are substantially heavier, 0.75‰ and 0.58‰, respectively. At high pH, the relevant fractionation factors are $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}[\text{Cu}(\text{CO}_3)_2^{2-}-\text{CuCO}_3] = 0.92\text{‰}$ and $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}[\text{CuCO}_3\text{OH}^--\text{CuCO}_3] = 0.75\text{‰}$. Copper in carbonates, whether in CuCO_3 or in solid solutions with carbonates of other elements should therefore be isotopically light with respect to dissolved Cu.

At pH = 8.22, $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ is +0.48‰ heavier than bulk seawater Cu (Fig. 4b). A caveat is the somewhat different fractionation obtained when the cumulative formation constant of $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$, $\log \beta_2 = 14.3$ of Zirino and Yamamoto (1972) is used. The major species are $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ and CuCO_3 , while mole fractions of other species are insignificant (Fig. S1a, Zirino and Yamamoto, 1972). The $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ shift of $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$ and CuCO_3 at pH = 8.22 with respect to dissolved Cu are +0.02‰ and -0.62‰, respectively (Fig. S1b).

Isotope fractionation may therefore not be seen in Cu(II) hydroxide, but Cu in CuCO₃ should nevertheless remain isotopically light with respect to the seawater value.

As sulfides have small $\ln \beta$ values (Fujii et al., 2011b; Pons et al., 2011), sulfate-sulfide and carbonate-sulfide exchange are possibly determinant reactions for Cu isotope fractionation in seawater. CuHS(H₂O)₄⁺ and Cu(HS)₂(H₂O)₃ were calculated with the geometries shown in Table S1. The structures that successfully converged were similar to those of the hydroxides shown in Fig. 2. The $\ln \beta$ values are shown in Fig 5 and Table 7. It is clear that the $\ln \beta$ values of Cu(II) sulfides are smaller than those of all the species shown in Fig. 3. In particular, the $\ln \beta$ values of Cu sulfides are 1.9-2.0‰ smaller than those of Cu sulfate and carbonates. Diagenetic Cu(II)-bearing sulfides are expected to be isotopically lighter than dissolved Cu, while Cu in the water table of sulfide-rich terranes should be heavy (Mathur et al., 2005, 2012).

Isotope fractionation also occurs under reducing conditions through Cu(I)-Cu(II) redox reactions. The $\ln \beta$ values calculated for Cu(I) chlorides and hydrogensulfides are $\leq 2.6\text{‰}$ at 298 K (Seo et al., 2007), which suggests that Cu(I) complexes preferentially enrich ⁶³Cu and their precipitation results in the enrichment of ⁶⁵Cu in the fluid.

Cu in sulfides should be isotopically light with respect to dissolved aqueous Cu, and the main form of Cu removal from aquatic systems is Cu sulfide. The heavy isotopic composition of Cu in surface seawater (Vance et al., 2008) and groundwater (Mathur et al., 2012) therefore likely reflects that Cu carbonate complexes are held back in solution, while Cu is precipitated in sulfides. Complexation of Cu(II) with organic matter in surface seawater (Moffett, 1995) should also cause Cu isotope fractionation.

Evidence for the large-scale deposition of sediments with isotopically light Cu required by mass balance is, however, still missing.

3.5. Application to biological activity

A variety of biological processes may induce Cu isotope fractionation. Pokrovsky et al. (2008) demonstrated that Cu in bacteria is isotopically light relative to the ambient solution. Pokrovsky et al. (2012) further identified S-coordinated Cu(I) complexes at the surface and inside bacterial cells that may preferentially concentrate ^{63}Cu over ^{65}Cu . It is therefore appealing to assign isotope fractionation in biological material to competing Cu(II) and Cu(I) complexation. Mammal physiology is also a cause of Cu isotope fractionation. Albarede et al. (2011a) found a $\sim 1\text{‰}$ fractionation between human erythrocytes and serum. High $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ values of 1.5‰ were found in the kidney of both sheep (Balter and Zazzo, 2011) and mice (Albarède et al., 2011b) with respect to the rest of the body.

Oxalic acid is an ubiquitous toxic organic acid in body fluids. Oxalate is adsorbed in the intestinal track, but the question of the origin of high oxalate contents in urine and plasma found in patients prone to kidney damage is still contentious. This is a genuine medical concern as ascorbate (vitamin C) supplementation has been argued to increase the urinary oxalate levels, and therefore ascorbate may be a risk factor for individuals predisposed to kidney stones (Chai et al., 2005; González et al., 2005; Massey et al., 2005). Ascorbate is efficiently converted to oxalate when the coexisting copper concentration is high (Hayakawa et al., 1973).


Hayakawa et al. (1973) suggest that Cu(II) is readily reduced by ascorbic acid (H_2A) to Cu(I),



499

500 The ascorbate anion A^{2-} here is oxidized to A, dehydroascorbic acid $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{O}_6$. In the
 501 catalytic reaction by a multicopper oxidase, ascorbate oxidase, the oxidation of
 502 ascorbate to dehydroascorbate occurs via the disproportionation of the
 503 semidehydroascorbate radical (Solomon et al., 1996). The enzymatic reactions may be
 504 identical to the catalytic reaction 14.

505 The monohydroascorbate anion HA^- forms a complex with Cu^{2+} , CuHA^+ .



506

507 Degradation of ascorbate via dehydroascorbate forms oxalate. A pathway of ascorbate
 508 degradation has been proposed by Green and Fry (2005).

509 The oxalic acid also forms a complex with Cu^{2+} , CuC_2O_4 .



510

511 The value of the standard redox potential Eh with respect to the standard hydrogen
 512 electrode (SHE), E_0 , for the Cu redox reaction,



513

514 is $E_0 = 0.153 + 0.591 \log ([\text{Cu}^{2+}]/[\text{Cu}^+])$ (Pourbaix, 1974). We used the following acid
 515 dissociation constants and equilibrium constants $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 4.03$ (ascorbic acid), $\text{p}K_{\text{a1}} =$
 516 1.252 , $\text{p}K_{\text{a2}} = 4.266$ (oxalic acid) (Smith and Martell, 1989), $\log K_{\text{CuHA}^+} = 2.32$

(Jameson et al., 1976), and $\log K_{\text{CuC}_2\text{O}_4} = 4.5$ (Foreci et al., 1995). Figure 6a shows the mole fractions of Cu^+ , Cu^{2+} , CuHA^+ , and CuC_2O_4 as functions of Eh. The total concentrations of Cu and ascorbic acid are $\sim 10^{-6}$ M (Lech and Sadlik, 2007) and $\sim 10^{-4}$ M (Margolls and Davis, 1988), respectively. The pH and Eh in the body are known to be ~ 7.4 and 0.27 V (van Rossum and Schamhart, 1991). These values were used to estimate the mole fractions of Cu species using the assumption that 10% of ascorbic acid is converted to oxalic acid. We further assumed that no other degradation products were present. Figure 6b shows the speciation as a function of the reaction progress. As expected, oxidation of Cu(I) to Cu(II) proceeds with increasing Eh, which in turn enhances Cu-ascorbate and Cu-oxalate formation. The degradation of ascorbate increases oxalic acid concentration and therefore promotes the production of Cu-oxalate.

Figure 6c and 6d show the range of isotope fractionation among Cu species as estimated from their mole fractions and $\ln \beta$ at 298 K (Tables 5 and 8). The optimized geometries of related species are shown in Table S1. Hydrated Cu^+ was treated as free Cu(I). Cu(I) complexes possess simple linear structures (Fulton et al., 2000a,b). Our $\ln \beta$ value of 2.87‰ at 289K for $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2^+$ (Table 8) is consistent with the value of 2.89‰ estimated by *ab initio* methods for CuClH_2O (Seo et al., 2007). $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^{2+}$ was treated as free Cu(II), and its $\ln \beta$ is given in Table 5. For the geometry of Cu(II) ascorbate CuHA^+ , we used the copper monomer (Ünaleroğlu et al., 2001). The structure calculated for Cu(II) in fivefold coordination with L-ascorbate and H_2O is shown in Fig. 2 and Table S1. The value of $\ln \beta$ calculated at 298 K is 3.32‰. The $\ln \beta$ value of D-ascorbate at 298 K (also 3.38‰) is indistinguishable from that of L-ascorbate. The

geometry of Cu(II) oxalate was quite similar to the structure of the hydrated Cu carbonate. It successfully converged to a distorted plane configuration (Fig. 2 and Table S1) and led to large $\ln \beta$ values (Fig.7 and Table 8).

Figures 6c and 6d show the $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ values of the different species relative to the bulk solution as a function of Eh and extent of oxalate formation. Figure 6c shows that, with respect to free Cu(II), free Cu(I) is enriched in the light isotope. When Cu(I) and Cu(II) coexist, the $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ of the total free Cu ions is only slightly lighter than the sum of all the Cu species. The $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ of the Cu ascorbate varies from -1.0 to $+0.5\text{‰}$ when Eh increases from -1 V to $+1$ V, but its mole fraction remains very small. The prominent feature of Fig. 6c is the heavy isotope enrichment ($+0.6$ to $+2.5\text{‰}$) of the Cu oxalate relative to total Cu. Figure 6d was drawn for Eh = 0.27 V, which is a typical Eh value of human blood. It is expected that degradation of ascorbate and excretion of oxalate should leave isotopically heavy Cu in the kidney. With respect to food, which has a $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ value of about 0‰ (Balter and Zazzo, 2011), when even trace amounts of oxalate form, it should leave behind copper with a $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ of $\sim 1.4\text{‰}$. This value is very close to the $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ (of 1.5‰) found in sheep (Balter and Zazzo, 2011) and mice (Albarède et al., 2011b) kidneys. The positive $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ found in kidneys may result from isotopically heavy Cu left in the kidney by the degradation of ascorbic acid. Copper isotopes therefore represent a potential marker of the troubles associated with hyperoxalury and kidney stones.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We first demonstrated that Cu isotope fractionation at equilibrium is observed in laboratory-scale experiments. The effect on the $^{65}\text{Cu}/^{63}\text{Cu}$ ratio of Cu(II) partitioning between HCl and DC18C6 depends on acid molarity. The effect is mainly governed by the mass-dependent fractionations as a result of intramolecular vibrations. The nuclear field shift effect accounts for less than 3% of the mass-dependent fractionation. We then computed the coefficients of isotope fractionation of Cu for several species (hydrated Cu ion, hydroxide, chloride, sulfide, sulfate, carbonate, oxalate, and ascorbate). It was found that Cu in dissolved carbonates and sulfates is isotopically heavier than free Cu and Cu in sulfides. The theoretical estimation of $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ in ligand exchange between inorganic ligands including carbonate anions may be useful to understand the heavy Cu isotopic compositions found in both seawater and groundwater in the absence of strong organic ligands. Further theoretical estimation of $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ in hydrated Cu(I) and Cu(II) ions, Cu(II) ascorbates, and Cu(II) oxalate suggests that Cu isotope fractionation during the breakdown of ascorbate into oxalate results in the isotopically heavy Cu found in mammal kidneys.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the anonymous reviewers and Associate Editor Mark Rehkämper for their useful suggestions and constructive comments on the manuscript. The authors wish to thank the generous help of Janne Blichert-Toft in editing the English of this paper. FM acknowledged the support of NASA EXO (NNX12AD88G) and of the Washington University I-CARES program.

REFERENCES

- Abe M., Suzuki T., Fujii Y., Hada M. and Hirao K. (2008) An *ab initio* molecular orbital study of the nuclear volume effects in uranium isotope fractionations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **129**, 164309.
- Abe M., Suzuki T., Fujii Y., Hada M., Hirao K. (2010) Ligand effect on uranium isotope fractionations caused by nuclear volume effects: An *ab initio* relativistic molecular orbital study. *J. Chem. Phys.* **133**, 044309.
- Åkesson R., Pettersson L. G. M., Sandström M. and Wahlgren U. (1992) Theoretical calculations of the Jahn-Teller effect in the hexahydrated copper(II), chromium(II), and manganese(III) ions, $[\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$, $[\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}$, and $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$, and comparisons with the hexahydrated copper(I), chromium(III), and manganese(II) clusters. *J. Phys. Chem.* **96**, 150-156.
- Albarède F. (2004) The stable isotope geochemistry of copper and zinc. *Rev. Mineral. Geohem.* **55**, 409-427.
- Albarède F., Telouk P., Lamboux A., Jaouen K. and Balter V. (2011a) Isotopic evidence of unaccounted for Fe and Cu erythropoietic pathways. *Metallomics* **3**, 926-933.
- Albarède F., Balter V., Jaouen K. and Lamboux A. (2011b) Applications of the stable isotopes of metals to physiology. *The 38th Meeting of the Federation of Analytical Chemistry and Spectroscopy Societies (FACSS)*, Reno (abstract).
- Amira S., Spångberg D. and Hermansson K. (2005) Distorted five-fold coordination of $\text{Cu}^{2+}(\text{aq})$ from a Car-Parrinello molecular dynamics simulation. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **7**, 2874-2880.
- Angeli I. (2004) A consistent set of nuclear rms charge radii: properties of the radius surface $R(\text{N},\text{Z})$. *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **87**, 185-206.

609 Ansell S., Tromp R. H. and Neilson G. W. (1995) The solute and aquaion structure in a
 610 concentrated aqueous solution of copper(II) chloride. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **7**,
 611 1513-1524.

612 Archer C. and Vance D. (2002) Mass discrimination correction in multiple collector
 613 plasma source mass-spectrometry: an example using Cu and Zn isotopes. *J. Anal. At.*
 614 *Spectrom.* **64**, 356-365.

615 Balistrieri L. S., Borrok D. M., Wanty R. B. and Ridley W. I. (2008) Fractionation of
 616 Cu and Zn isotopes during adsorption onto amorphous Fe(III) oxyhydroxide:
 617 Experimental mixing of acid rock drainage and ambient river water. *Geochim.*
 618 *Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 311-328.

619 Balter V. and Zazzo A. (2011) An animal model (sheep) for Fe, Cu, and Zn isotopes
 620 cycling in the body. *Mineralogical Magazine* **75**, 476-476.

621 Beagley B., Eriksson A., Lindgren J., Persson I, Pettersson L. G. M., Sandström M.,
 622 Wahlgren U. and White E. W. (1989) A computational and experimental study on
 623 the Jahn-Teller effect in the hydrated copper (II) ion. Comparisons with hydrated
 624 nickel (II) ions in aqueous solution and solid Tutton's salts. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*
 625 **1**, 2395-2408.

626 Becke A. D. (1993) Density-functional thermochemistry. 3. The role of exact exchange.
 627 *J. Chem. Phys.* **98**, 5648-5652.

628 Benfatto M., D'Angelo P., Della Longa S. and Pavel N. V. (2002) Evidence of distorted
 629 fivefold coordination of the Cu²⁺ aqua ion from an x-ray-absorption spectroscopy
 630 quantitative analysis. *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 174205.

631 Bell J. R., Tyvoll J. L. and Wertz D. L. (1973) Solute structuring in aqueous copper(II)
 632 chloride solutions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **95**, 1456-1459.

633 Ben Othman D., Luck J. M., Bodinier J. L., Arndt N. T. and Albarède F. (2006) Cu-Zn
 634 isotopic variations in the Earth's mantle. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta Suppl.* **70**,
 635 46-46.

636 Bermin J., Vance D., Archer C. and Statham P.J. (2006) The determination of the
 637 isotopic composition of Cu and Zn in seawater. *Chem. Geol.* **226**, 280-297.

638 Bersuker I. B. (2006) *The Jahn-Teller effect*; Cambridge Univ. Press, New York.

639 Bigalke M., Weyer S. and Wilcke W. (2010) Stable copper isotopes: a novel tool to
 640 trace copper behavior in hydromorphic soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **74**, 60-73.

641 Bigalke M., Weyer S. and Wilcke W. (2011) Stable Cu isotope fractionation in soils
 642 during oxic weathering and podzolization. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **75**,
 643 3119-3134.

644 Bigeleisen J. and Mayer M. G. (1947) Calculation of equilibrium constants for isotopic
 645 exchange reactions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 261-267.

646 Bigeleisen J. (1996) Nuclear size and shape effects in chemical reactions. isotope
 647 chemistry of the heavy elements. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **118**, 3676-3680.

648 Bishop M. C., Moynier F., Weinstein C., Fraboulet J. G., Wang K. and Foriel J. (2012)
 649 Cu isotopic composition of iron meteorites. *Meteor. Planet. Sci.* **47**, 268-276.

650 Black J. R., Kavner A. and Schauble E. A. (2011) Calculation of equilibrium stable
 651 isotope partition function ratios for aqueous zinc complexes and metallic zinc.
 652 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **75**, 769-783.

653 Boyle E. A., Sclater F. R. and Edmond J.M. (1977) The distribution of dissolved copper
 654 in the Pacific. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **37**, 38-54.

655 Breza M., Biskupic S. and Kozisek J. (1997) On the structure of hexaaquacopper(II)
 656 complex. *J. Mol. Struct. (Teochem)* **397**, 121-128.

657 Brugger J., McPhail D. C., Black J and Spiccia L. (2001) Complexation of metal ions in
 658 brines: application of electronic spectroscopy in the study of the Cu(II)-LiCl-H₂O
 659 system between 25 and 90°C. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **65**, 2691.

660 Bruland K.W. (1980) Oceanographic distributions of cadmium, zinc, nickel, and copper
 661 in the North Pacific. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **47**, 176-198.

662 Bryantsev V. S., Diallo M. S., van Duin A. C. T. and Goddard III W. A. (2008)
 663 Hydration of copper(II): new insights from density functional theory and the COSMO
 664 solvation model. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **112**, 9104-9112.

665 Chaboy J., Muñoz-Páez A., Merklings P. J. and Sánchez Marcos E. (2006) The hydration
 666 of Cu²⁺: Can the Jahn-Teller effect be detected in liquid solution? *J. Chem. Phys.* **124**,
 667 064509.

668 Chai W., Liebman M., Kynast-Gales S. and Massey L. (2004) Oxalate absorption and
 669 endogenous oxalate synthesis from ascorbate in calcium oxalate stone formers and
 670 non-stone formers. *Am. J. Kidney Diseases* **44**, 1060-1069.

671 Collings M. D., Sherman D. M. and Ragnarsdottir K. V. (2000) Complexation of Cu²⁺
 672 in oxidized NaCl brines from 25°C to 175°C: results from in situ EXAFS
 673 spectroscopy. *Chem. Geol.* **167**, 65-73.

674 Contreras F., Fontal B. and Bianchi G. (1993) Copper(II) thiocyanide complexes
 675 extractable with [dibenzo-18-crown-6-K]⁺ in chloroform. *Transition Met. Chem.* **18**,
 676 104-106.

677 Cowan J.A. (1997) *Inorganic Biochemistry*, Wiley-VCH, New York.

678 de Almeida K. J., Murugan N. A., Rinkevicius Z., Hugosson H. W., Vahtras O., Ågren
 679 H. and Cesar A. (2009) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **11**, 508-519.

680 de Bruin T. J. M., Marcelis A. T. M., Zuilhof H. and Sudhölter E. J. R. (1999)
 681 Geometry and electronic structure of bis-(glycinato)-Cu^{II}·2H₂O complexes as studied
 682 by density functional B3LYP computations. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **1**, 4157-4163.
 683 Dennington R., Keith T. and Millam J. (2009) *GaussView, Version 5.0.8*. Semichem
 684 Inc., Shawnee Mission KS.
 685 Eigen M. (1963) Fast elementary steps in chemical reaction mechanisms. *Pure Appl.*
 686 *Chem.* **6**, 97-116.
 687 Elizarova G. L., Kochubey D. I., Kriventsov V. V., Odegova G. V., Matvienko H. L. G.,
 688 Kolomiychuk V. N. and Parmon V. N. (1999) Study of the interaction products of
 689 some N- and O-containing compounds with highly dispersed copper(II) hydroxide. *J.*
 690 *Colloid Interface Sci.* **213**, 126-132.
 691 Epov V. N., Malinovskiy D., Vanhaecke F., Begue D. and Donard O. F. X. (2011)
 692 Modern mass spectrometry for studying mass-independent fractionation of heavy
 693 stable isotopes in environmental and biological sciences. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **26**,
 694 1142-1156.
 695 Epov V. N (2011) Magnetic isotope effect and theory of atomic orbital hybridization to
 696 predict a mechanism of chemical exchange reactions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **13**,
 697 13222-13231.
 698 Faegri K. (2001) Relativistic Gaussian basis sets for the elements K-Uuo. *Theo. Chem.*
 699 *Acc.* **105**, 252-258.
 700 Feroci G., Fini A., Fazlo G. and Zuman P. (1995) Interaction between dihydroxy bile
 701 salts and divalent heavy metal ions studied by polarography. *Anal. Chem.* **67**,
 702 4077-4085.

703 Freeman H. C. and Guss J. M. (2001) Plastocyanin. In *Handbook of Metalloproteins*
 704 (eds. R. Huber, T. L. Poulos, and K. Wieghardt), Wiley, Chichester. pp. 1153-1169.
 705 Frisch M. J., Trucks G. W., Schlegel H. B., Scuseria G. E., Robb M. A., Cheeseman J.
 706 R., Scalmani G., Barone V., Mennucci B., Petersson G. A., Nakatsuji H., Caricato M.,
 707 Li X., Hratchian H. P., Izmaylov A. F., Bloino J., Zheng G., Sonnenberg J. L., Hada
 708 M., Ehara M., Toyota K., Fukuda R., Hasegawa J., Ishida M., Nakajima T., Honda Y.,
 709 Kitao O., Nakai H., Vreven T., Montgomery Jr. J. A., Peralta J. E., Ogliaro F.,
 710 Bearpark M., Heyd J. J., Brothers E., Kudin K. N., Staroverov V. N., Kobayashi R.,
 711 Normand J., Raghavachari K., Rendell A., Burant J. C., Iyengar S. S., Tomasi J.,
 712 Cossi M., Rega N., Millam N. J., Klene M., Knox J. E., Cross J. B., Bakken V.,
 713 Adamo C., Jaramillo J., Gomperts R., Stratmann R. E., Yazyev O., Austin A. J.,
 714 Cammi R., Pomelli C., Ochterski J. W., Martin R. L., Morokuma K., Zakrzewski V.
 715 G., Voth G. A., Salvador P., Dannenberg J. J., Dapprich S., Daniels A. D., Farkas Ö.,
 716 Foresman J. B., Ortiz J. V., Cioslowski J. and Fox D. J. (2009) *Gaussian 09, Revision*
 717 *B.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT.
 718 Fujii T., Moynier F., Telouk P. and Albarede F. (2006) Isotope fractionation of iron(III)
 719 in chemical exchange reactions using solvent extraction with crown ether. *J. Phys.*
 720 *Chem. A* **110**, 11108-11112.
 721 Fujii T., Moynier F. and Albarède F. (2009) The nuclear field shift effect in chemical
 722 exchange reactions. *Chem. Geol.* **267**, 139-156.
 723 Fujii T., Moynier F., Telouk P. and Abe, M. (2010) Experimental and theoretical
 724 investigation of isotope fractionation of zinc between aqua, chloro, and macrocyclic
 725 complexes. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **114**, 2543-2552.

726 Fujii T. Moynier F., Dauphas N. and Abe M. (2011a) Theoretical and experimental
 727 investigation of nickel isotopic fractionation in species relevant to modern and
 728 ancient oceans. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **75**, 469-482.

729 Fujii T., Moynier F. Pons M. L. and Albarède F (2011b) The origin of Zn isotope
 730 fractionation in sulfides. *Geochim. Cosmochim Acta* **75**, 7632-7643.

731 Fujii T., Moynier F., Agranier A., Ponzevera E. and Abe M. (2011c) Nuclear field shift
 732 effect of lead in ligand exchange reaction using a crown ether. *Proc. Radiochim. Acta*.
 733 **1**, 387-392.

734 Fujii T., Moynier F., Agranier A., Ponzevera E. and Abe M. (2011d) Isotope
 735 fractionation of palladium in chemical exchange reaction. *Proc. Radiochim. Acta*. **1**,
 736 339-344.

737 Fujii T. and Albarède F. (2012) Ab initio calculation of the Zn isotope effect in
 738 phosphates, citrates, and malates and applications to plants and soil. *PLoS ONE* **7**,
 739 e30726.

740 Fulton J. L., Hoffmann M. M. and Darab J. G. (2000a) An x-ray absorption fine
 741 structure study of copper(I) chloride coordination structure in water up to 325°C.
 742 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **330**, 300-308.

743 Fulton J. L., Hoffmann M. M., Darab J. G., Palmer B. J. and Stern E. A. (2000b)
 744 Copper(I) and copper(II) coordination structure under hydrothermal conditions at
 745 325°C: an x-ray absorption fine structure and molecular dynamics study. *J. Phys.*
 746 *Chem. A* **104**, 11651-11663.

747 Garcia J., Benfatto M. Notoli C. R., Bianconi A., Fontaine A. and Tolentino H. (1989)
 748 The quantitative Jahn-Teller distortion of the Cu²⁺ site in aqueous solution by
 749 XANES spectroscopy. *Chem. Phys.* **132**, 295-307.

750 González M. J., Miranda-Massari J. R., Mora E. M., Guzmán A., Riordan N. H.,
 751 Riordan H. D., Casciari J. J., Jackson J. A. and Román-Franco A. (2005)
 752 Orthomolecular oncology review: Ascorbic acid and cancer 25 years later. *Integr.*
 753 *Cancer Ther.* **4**, 32-44.
 754 Green M. A. and Fry S. C. (2005) Vitamin C degradation in plant cells via enzymatic
 755 hydrolysis of 4-O-oxalyl-L-threonate. *Nature* **433**, 83-87.
 756 Hayakawa K., Minami S. and Nakamura S. (1973) Kinetics of the oxidation of ascorbic
 757 acid by the copper(II) ion in an acetate buffer solution. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **46**,
 758 2788.
 759 Herzog G. F., Moynier F. and Albarède F. (2009) Isotopic and elemental abundances of
 760 copper and zinc in. lunar basalts, glasses, and soils, a terrestrial basalt, Pele's hairs,
 761 and Zagami. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.* **73**, 5884-5904.
 762 Hill P. S., Schauble E. A. and Young E. D. (2010) Effects of changing solution
 763 chemistry on $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\text{Fe}^{2+}$ isotope fractionation in aqueous Fe-Cl solutions. *Geochim.*
 764 *Cosmochim. Acta* **74**, 6669-6689.
 765 Hirose K. (2006) Chemical speciation of trace metals in seawater: a review. *Anal. Sci.*
 766 **22**, 1055-1063.
 767 Jameson R. F. and Blackburn N. J. (1976) Role of copper dimers and the participation
 768 of copper(III) in the copper-catalysed autoxidation of ascorbic acid. Part II. Kinetics
 769 and mechanism in $0.100 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ potassium nitrate. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton* 534-541.
 770 Jepson B.E. and Cairns G.A. (1979) Lithium isotope effects in chemical exchange with
 771 (2,2,1) cryptand, *MLM-2622*.

772 Jouvin D., Weiss D. J., Mason T. F. M., Hinsinger P. and Benedetti M. F. (2012) Stable
 773 isotopes of Cu and reduction at the root surface isotopic fractionation processes.
 774 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **46**, 2652-2660.

775 King W. H. (1984) *Isotope Shifts in Atomic Spectra*; Plenum Press, New York.

776 Klein S., Domergue C., Lahaye Y., Brey G. P. and von Kaenel H.-M. (2009) The lead
 777 and copper isotopic composition of copper ores from the Sierra Morena (Spain). *J.*
 778 *Iberian Geol.* **35**, 59-68.

779 Koc K. and Ishikawa Y. (1994) Single-Fock-operator method for matrix Dirac-Fock
 780 self-consistent-field calculations on open-shell atoms. *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 794-798.

781 Kriventsov V. V., Kochubey D. I., Elizarova G. L., Matvienko H. L. G. and Parmon V.
 782 N. (1999) The structure of amorphous bulk and silica-supported copper(II)
 783 hydroxides. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **215**, 23-27.

784 Lec T. and Sadlik J. K. (2007) Contribution to the data on copper concentration in blood
 785 and urine in patients with Wilson's disease and in normal subjects. *Biol. Trace Elem.*
 786 *Res.* **118**, 16-20.

787 Lee C. T., Yang W. T. and Parr R. G. (1988) Development of the colle-salvetti
 788 correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron-density. *Phys. Rev. B* **37**,
 789 785-789.

790 Li W. Q., Jackson S. E., Pearson N. J., Alard O. and Chappell B. W. (2009) The Cu
 791 isotopic signature of granites from the Lachlan Fold Belt, SE Australia. *Chem. Geol.*
 792 **258**, 38-49.

793 Lippard S. J. and Berg J. M. (1994) *Principles of Bioinorganic Chemistry*, University
 794 Science Books, Mill Valley.

795 Little S. H., Vance D., Sherman D. M. and Hein J.R. (2010) *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*
 796 **74**, A608.
 797 Liu X., Lu X., Meijer E. J. and Wang R. (2010) Hydration mechanisms of Cu²⁺: tetra-,
 798 penta- or hexa-coordinated? *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **12**, 10801-10804.
 799 Macleod, G., Mcneown, C., Hall, A. J., Russel, M. J. (1994) Hydrothermal and oceanic
 800 pH conditions of possible relevance to the origin of life. *Origin Life Evol. Biosphere*
 801 **24**, 19-41.
 802 Magini, M. (1979) Solute structuring in aqueous iron(III) sulphate solutions. Evidence
 803 for the formation of iron(III)-sulphate complexes. *J. Chem. Phys.* **70**, 317-324.
 804 Magini M. (1982) Coordination of copper(II). Evidence of the Jahn-Teller effect
 805 inaqueous perchlorate solutions. *Inorg. Chem.* **21**, 1535-1538.
 806 Maréchal C. N., Telouk P. and Albarède F. (1999) Precise analysis of copper and zinc
 807 isotopic compositions by plasma-source mass spectrometry. *Chem. Geol.* **156**,
 808 51-273.
 809 Maréchal C. and Albarède F. (2002) Ion-exchange fractionation of copper and zinc
 810 isotopes. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **66**, 1499-1509.
 811 Marini G. W., Liedl K. R. and Rode B. M. (1999) Investigation of Cu²⁺ hydration and
 812 the Jahn-Teller effect in solution by QM/MM Monte Carlo simulations. *J. Phys.*
 813 *Chem. A* **103**, 11387-11393.
 814 Margolls S. A and Davis T. P. (1988) Stabilizationof ascorbic acid in human plasma,
 815 and its liquid-chromatographic measurement. *Clin. Chem.* **34**, 2217-2223.
 816 Massey L. K., Liebman, M., Kynast-Gales S. A. (2005) Ascorbate increases human
 817 oxaluria and kidney stone risk. *J. Nutrition* **135**, 1673-1677.

818 Mathur R., Ruiz J., Titley S., Liermann L., Buss H. and Brantley S. (2005) Cu isotopic
819 fractionation in the supergene environment with and without bacteria. *Geochim.*
820 *Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 5233-5246.

821 Mathur R. Jin L., Prush V., Paul J., Ebersole C., Fornadel A., Williams J. Z. and
822 Brantley S. (2012) Cu isotopes and concentrations during weathering of black shale
823 of the Marcellus Formation, Huntingdon County, Pennsylvania (USA). *Chem. Geol.*
824 **304-305**, 175-184.

825 Matin M. D. A., Nomura M., Fujii Y. and Chen J. (1998) Isotope effects of copper in
826 ligand-exchange system and electron-exchange system observed by ion-exchange
827 displacement chromatography. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* **33**, 1075-1087.

828 Moffett J. W. (1995) Temporal and spatial variability of copper complexation by strong
829 chelators in the Sargasso Sea. *Deep Sea Res I* **42**, 1273-1295.

830 Moffett J. W. and Brand L. E. (1996) The production of strong, extracellular Cu
831 chelators by marine cyanobacteria in response to Cu stress. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **41**,
832 388-395.

833 Morel F. M. M. and Hering J. G. (1993) *Principles and Applications of Aquatic*
834 *Chemistry*, John Wiley, New York.

835 Moynier F., Koeberl C., Beck P., Jourdan F. and Telouk P. (2010) Isotopic fractionation
836 of Cu in tektites. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **74**, 799-807.

837 Musinu A., Paschina G., Piccaluga G. and Magini M. (1983) Coordination of copper(II)
838 in aqueous CuSO₄ solution. *Inorg. Chem.* **22**, 1184-1187.

839 Nakamura E., Okubo K. and Namiki H. (1982) Butanol extraction of copper-zincon
840 complex with dibenzo-18-crown-6. *Bunseki Kagaku* **31**, 602-604.

841 Navarette J.U., Borrok D.M., Viveros M. and Ellzey J.T. (2011) Copper isotope
842 fractionation during surface adsorption and intracellular incorporation by bacteria.
843 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **75**, 784-799.

844 Neilson G. W. (1982) Cu^{2+} coordination in aqueous solution. *J. Phys. C: Solid State*
845 *Phys.* **15**, L233-L237.

846 Nishizawa K., Ishino S., Watanabe H. and Shinagawa M. (1984) Lithium isotope
847 separation by liquid-liquid extraction using benzo-15-crown-5. *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*
848 **21**, 694–701.

849 Nomura M., Higuchi N. and Fujii Y. (1996) Mass dependence of uranium isotope
850 effects in the U(IV)-U(VI) exchange reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **118**, 9127-9130.

851 Nomura M. and Yamaguchi T. (1988) Concentration dependence of extended x-ray
852 absorption fine structure and x-ray absorption near-edge structure of copper(II)
853 perchlorate aqueous solution: comparison of solute structure in liquid and glassy
854 states. *J. Phys. Chem.* **92**, 6157-6160.

855 Ohtaki H and Maeda M. (1974) An x-ray diffraction study of the structure of hydrated
856 copper(II) ion in a copper(II) perchlorate solution. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **47**,
857 2197-2199.

858 Okan S. E. and Salmon P. S. (1995) The Jahn-Teller effect in solutions of flexible
859 molecules: a neutron diffraction study on the structure of a Cu^{2+} solution in ethylene
860 glycol. *Mol. Phys.* **85**, 981-998.

861 Pasquarello A., Petri I., Salmon P. S., Parisel O., Car R., Toth E., Powell D. H., Fischer
862 H. E, Helm L. and Merbach A. E. (2001) First solvation shell of the Cu(II) aqua ion:
863 evidence for fivefold coordination. *Science* **291**, 856-859.

864 Persson I., Persson P., Sandström M. and Ullström A. -S. (2002) Structure of
 865 Jahn-Teller distorted solvated copper(II) ions in solution, and in solids with
 866 apparently regular octahedral coordination geometry. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*
 867 1256-1265.

868 Pokrovsky O. S., Viers J., Emnova E. E., Kompantseva E. I. and Freydier R. (2008)
 869 Copper isotope fractionation during its interaction with soil and aquatic
 870 microorganisms and metal oxy(hyd)oxides: Possible structural control. *Geochim.*
 871 *Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 1742-1757.

872 Pokrovsky O. S., Pokrovski G. S., Shirokova L. S., Gonzalez A. G., Emnova E. E. and
 873 Feurtet-Mazel A. (2012) Chemical and structural status of copper associated with
 874 oxygenic and anoxygenic phototrophs and heterotrophs: possible evolutionary
 875 consequences. *Geobiol.* **10**, 130-149.

876 Pons M. L., Quitté G., Fujii T., Rosing M. T., Reynard B., Moynier F., Douchet C. and
 877 and Albarède F. (2011) Early Archean serpentine mud volcanoes at Isua, Greenland,
 878 as a niche for early life. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **108** 17639-17643.

879 Pourbaix, M. (1974) *Atlas of electrochemical equilibria in aqueous solutions*. NACE,
 880 Houston.

881 Rode B. M. and Islam S. M. (1992) Structure of aqueous copper chloride solutions:
 882 results from Monte Carlo simulations at various concentrations. *J. Chem. Soc.,*
 883 *Faraday Trans.* **88**, 417-422.

884 Roos B. O., Lindh R., Malmqvist P. -Å., Veryazov V. and Widmark P. -O. (2005) New
 885 relativistic ANO basis sets for transition metal atoms. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **109**,
 886 6575-6579.

887 Rustad J. R., Casey W. H., Yin Q. Z., Bylaska E. J., Felmy A. R., Bogatko S. A.,
 888 Jackson V. E. and Dixon D. A. (2010) Isotopic fractionation of $\text{Mg}^{2+}(\text{aq})$, $\text{Ca}^{2+}(\text{aq})$,
 889 and $\text{Fe}^{2+}(\text{aq})$ with carbonate minerals. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **74**, 6301-6323.
 890 Salmon P. S. Neilson G. W. and Enderby J. E. (1988) The structure of Cu^{2+} aqueous
 891 solutions. *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **21** 1335-1349.
 892 Schauble E. A. (2007) Role of nuclear volume in driving equilibrium stable isotope
 893 fractionation of mercury, thallium, and other very heavy elements, *Geochim.*
 894 *Cosmochim. Acta* **71**, 2170-2189.
 895 Schwenk C. F. and Rode B. M. (2003a) New insights into the Jahn-Teller effect through
 896 ab initio quantum-mechanical/molecular-mechanical molecular dynamics simulations
 897 of Cu^{II} in water. *Chem. Phys. Chem.* **4**, 931-943.
 898 Schwenk C. F. and Rode B. M. (2003b) Extended ab initio quantum
 899 mechanical/molecular mechanical molecular dynamics simulations of hydrated Cu^{2+} .
 900 *J. Chem. Phys.* **119**, 9523-9531.
 901 Sherman D. M. (2001) Quantum chemistry and classical simulations of metal
 902 complexes in aqueous solutions. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* **42**, 273-317.
 903 Sham T. K., Hastings J. B. and Perlman M. L. (1981) Application of the EXAFS
 904 method to Jahn-Teller ions: static and dynamic behavior of $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$ and
 905 $\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$ in aqueous solution. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **83**, 391-396.
 906 Shields W. R., Murphy T. J. and Garner E. L. (1964) Absolute isotopic abundance ratio
 907 and the atomic weight of a reference sample of copper. *J. Res. NBS* **68A**, 589-592.
 908 Shields W. R., Goldich S. S., Garner E. L. and Murphy, T. J. (1965) Natural variations
 909 in the abundance ratio and the atomic weight of copper. *J Geophys Res*, **7**, 479-491.

910 Smith, R. M., Martell, A. E. (1989) *Critical stability constants*, vol. 6, 2nd Suppl.,
 911 Plenum Press, New York.
 912 Solomon E. I., Sundaram U. M and Machonkin T. E. (1996) Multicopper oxidases and
 913 oxygenases. *Chem. Rev.* **96**, 2563-2605.
 914 Tajiri Y. and Wakita H. (1986) An EXAFS investigation of the coordination structure of
 915 copper(II) ions in aqueous $\text{Cu}(\text{ClO}_4)_2$ and methanolic CuCl_2 solutions. *Bull. Chem.*
 916 *Soc. Jpn.* 59, 2285-2291.
 917 Tanimizu M., Takahashi Y. and Nomura M. (2007) Spectroscopic study on the anion
 918 exchange behavior of Cu chloro-complexes in HCl solutions and its implication to Cu
 919 isotopic fractionation. *Geochem. J.* **41**, 291-295.
 920 Texler N. R., Holdway S., Neilson G. W. and Rode B. M. (1998) Monte Carlo
 921 simulations and neutron diffraction studies of the peptide forming system 0.5 mol
 922 kg^{-1} CuCl_2 –5mol kg^{-1} NaCl – H_2O at 293 and 353 K. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*
 923 **94**, 59-65.
 924 Tsuchiya T., Abe M., Nakajima T. and Hirao K. (2001) Accurate relativistic Gaussian
 925 basis sets for H through Lr determined by atomic self-consistent field calculations
 926 with the third-order Douglas-Kroll approximation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **115**, 4463-4472.
 927 van Duin A. C. T., Bryantsev V. S., Diallo M. S., Goddard W. A., Rahaman O., Doren
 928 D. J., Raymond D. and Hermansson K. (2010) Development and validation of a
 929 ReaxFF reactive force field for Cu cation/water interactions and copper metal/metal
 930 oxide/metal hydroxide condensed phases. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **114**, 9507-9514.
 931 van Rossum J. P. and Scharhart D. H. J. (1991) Oxidation-reduction (redox)
 932 potentiometry in blood in geriatric conditions: a pilot study. *Exper. Gerontol.* **26**,
 933 37-43.

934 Vance D., Archer C., Bermin J., Perkins J., Statham P. J., Lohan M. C., Ellwood M. J.
 935 and Mills R. A. (2008) The copper isotope geochemistry of rivers and the oceans.
 936 *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **274**, 204-213.

937 Walker E. C., Cuttitta F. and Senfle F. E. (1958) Some natural variations in the relative
 938 abundance of copper isotopes. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **15**, 183-194.

939 Weinstein C., Moynier F., Wang K., Paniello R., Foriel J. Catalano J. and Pichat S.
 940 (2011) Isotopic fractionation of Cu in plants. *Chem. Geol.* **286**, 266-271.

941 Yoshio M., Ugamura M., Noguchi H. and Nagamatsu M. (1980) Applications of crown
 942 ether in chemical analysis extraction of copper(II)-zincon chelate anion with crown
 943 ether complex. *Anal. Lett.* **13**, 1431-1439.

944 Zhu X. K., O’Nions R. K., Guo Y., Belshaw N. S. and Rickard D. (2000) Determination
 945 of Cu-isotope variation by plasma-source mass spectrometry: implications for use as
 946 geochemical tracers. *Chem. Geol.* **163**, 139-149.

947 Zhu X. K., Guo Y., Williams R. J. P., O’Nions R. K., Matthews A., Belshaw N. S.,
 948 Canters G. W., de Waal E. C., Weser U., Burgess B. K. and Salvato B. (2002) Mass
 949 fractionation processes of transition metal isotopes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **200**,
 950 47-62.

951 Zirino A. and Yamamoto S (1972) A pH-dependent model for the chemical speciation
 952 of copper zinc cadmium, and lead in seawater. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **17**, 661-671.

953

954

Table 1 Isotopic fractionation of Cu(II) during exchange experiments between HCl medium and a macrocyclic complex.

[HCl] (M)	D	$\Delta^{65}\text{Cu}^a$ (‰)
1	1.3×10^{-4}	-1.06
2	1.8×10^{-3}	-0.84
3	2.4×10^{-2}	-0.77
4	1.5×10^{-1}	-0.65
5	4.6×10^{-1}	-0.61
6	9.8×10^{-1}	-0.39

^a Errors are ± 0.10 ‰ for 2σ .

Table 2 Nuclear field shift effect of Cu isotopes and isotopologues at 298 K.

Valence	Species	Total energy (a.u.)		ΔE (a.u.) (10^{-5})	Nuclear Field shift effect (‰)
		^{63}Cu	^{65}Cu		
Cu(0)	Cu^0	-1653.451898480	-1653.451827445	7.1035	-0.014
Cu(I)	Cu^+	-1653.210583752	-1653.210512731	7.1021	0.001
	CuCl	-2114.481787065	-2114.481716036	7.1029	-0.007
	CuH_2O^+	-1729.354508434	-1729.354437410	7.1024	-0.002
	CuOH	-1728.963440770	-1728.963369739	7.1031	-0.010
	Cu^{2+}	-1652.578696630	-1652.578625608	7.1022	0
Cu(II)	CuCl^+	-2114.155110496	-2114.155039471	7.1025	-0.003
	$\text{CuH}_2\text{O}^{2+}$	-1728.798913392	-1728.798842366	7.1026	-0.004
	CuOH^+	-1728.656622258	-1728.656551226	7.1032	-0.011
	CuO	-1728.336259331	-1728.336188299	7.1032	-0.011

^a The nuclear field shift effect was calculated by $(\delta E_{\text{reference}} - \delta E_{\text{species}})/kT$ at $T = 298\text{K}$. The root-mean-square charge radii $\langle r^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ reported for ^{63}Cu (3.8823×10^{-15} m) and ^{65}Cu (3.9022×10^{-15} m) (Angeli, 2004) were used. Cu^{2+} was set as the reference. Energy of photons of 1 a.u. is equal to 4.3597×10^{-18} J.

Table 3 Bond distances determined for $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$.

Cu-O _{ax} (Å)	Cu-O _{eq} (Å)	Method ^a	Reference
2.30	2.02-2.03	MO	This study
2.25	2.06	MO	Beagley et al., 1989
2.25	2.05	MO	Åkesson et al., 1992
2.25	2.06-2.07	MO	Breza et al., 1997
2.23-2.26	2.033	MO	Bryantsev et al., 2008
2.46-2.58	1.97-2.09	MD	Liu et al., 2010
2.27	1.94	QM	van Duin et al., 2010
2.24	2.07	QM/MM MC	Marini et al., 1999
2.2-2.3	1.99-2.07	QM/MM MD	Schwenk and Rode, 2003a,b
2.60	1.955	EXAFS	Sham et al., 1981
2.28	2.00	EXAFS	Tajiri and Wakita, 1986
2.3	1.96	EXAFS	Nomura and Yamaguchi, 1988
2.29	1.99	EXAFS	Beagley et al., 1989
2.375-2.413	1.969-1.971	EXAFS	Fulton et al., 2000a,b
2.30	1.955	EXAFS	Persson et al., 2002
2.56	1.99	EXAFS+XANES	Benfatto et al., 2002
2.36	1.96	EXAFS+XANES	Chaboy et al., 2006
2.32	1.96	XANAS	Garcia et al., 1989
≥2.21	1.96	ND	Salmon et al., 1988
2.45	1.95	ND	Okan and Salmon, 1995
2.43	1.94	XRD	Ohtaki and Maeda, 1974
2.339	1.976	XRD	Magini, 1982

^a MO (molecular orbital), EXAFS(extended x-ray absorption fine structure), MD (molecular dynamics), ND (neutron diffraction), QM(quantum mechanics), MM (molecular mechanics), MC (Monte Carlo), XANES (x-ray absorption near-edge structure), and XRD(x-ray diffraction).

Table 4 Bond distances determined for $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^{2+}$.

Cu-O _{long} (Å)	Cu-O _{short} (Å)	Method ^a	References
2.20	1.99-2.02	MO	This study
2.181	2.011	MO	Bryantsev et al., 2008
2.45	2.00	CPMD	Amira et al., 2005
2.22	2.04-2.07	CPMD	de Almeida et al., 2009
2.31	2.03-2.09	MD	Liu et al., 2010
2.34	1.963	EXAFS	Persson et al., 2002
2.39	1.97	EXAFS+XANES	Benfatto et al., 2002
2.36	1.96	EXAFS+XANES	Chaboy et al., 2006
–	1.96	ND	Pasquarello et al., 2001

^a CPMD (Car-Parrinello MD)

Table 5 Logarithm of the reduced partition function, $\ln \beta$, for the pair ^{65}Cu - ^{63}Cu .
Hydrated Cu(II) ions and chlorides.

Species	Temperature (K)					
	273	298	323	373	473	573
$\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^{2+}$	5.355	4.546	3.905	2.968	1.876	1.290
$\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$	5.053	4.288	3.682	2.798	1.767	1.215
$\text{CuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$	4.906	4.161	3.572	2.712	1.711	1.176
$\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$	4.709	3.988	3.420	2.592	1.633	1.120
$\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$	4.397	3.724	3.193	2.421	1.525	1.046
$\text{CuCl}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$	3.530	2.985	2.556	1.933	1.214	0.832

Table 6 Bond distances determined for $\text{CuCl}_m(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n^{2-m}$.

Possible species	<i>m</i>	<i>n</i>	Cu-Cl ^a (Å)	Cu-O ^a (Å)	Method	References
$\text{CuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$	1	4	2.20	2.04-2.06(3) 2.35(1)	MO	This study
$\text{CuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$	1 ^b	4	2.29 ^b	1.968(3) 2.27(1)	EXAFS	D'Angelo et al., 1997
$\text{CuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^+$	1.1	5.2	2.55	2.00	MC	Rode and Islam, 1992
$\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$	2	3	2.25	2.07-2.08(2) 2.35(1)	MO	This study
$\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$	2.0	3.3	3.1	1.96	ND	Ansell et al, 1995
$\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$	2	4	2.27	2.11(2) 2.43(2)	MO	This study
$\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$	2	4	2.22	2.01(2) 2.28(2)	EXAFS	Tajiri and Wakita, 1986
$\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$	2.8	4.3	2.56	2.05(2) 2.5(2)	ND	Neilson, 1982
$\text{CuCl}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$	3	1	2.22(1) 2.28(2)	2.28	MO	This study
$\text{CuCl}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$	2.1	1	2.28	1.95	EXAFS	Collings et al., 2000
$\text{CuCl}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3^-$	3.3	2.7	2.43	1.90-1.95	XRD	Bell et al., 1973
CuCl_4^{2-}	3.8	0	2.24	–	EXAFS	Tanimizu et al., 2007
$\text{CuCl}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2^{2-}$	3.8	1.9	2.23	2.18	EXAFS	Tanimizu et al., 2007
$\text{CuCl}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2^{2-}$	4.2	2.3	2.56	2.05	ND	Neilson, 1982
$\text{CuCl}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2^{2-}$	3.6	2.4	2.43	1.90-1.95	XRD	Bell et al., 1973

^a Number of bonds is shown in parentheses.

^b An additional Cu-Cl bond distance of 2.85 Å reported may be too long to treat as chemical bonding.

1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095

1096 Table 7 Logarithm of the reduced partition function, $\ln \beta$, for the pair ^{65}Cu - ^{63}Cu .
1097 Cu(II) hydroxides, carbonates, sulfate, and sulfides.

Species	Temperature (K)					
	273	298	323	373	473	573
$\text{CuOH}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$	5.307	4.517	3.889	2.967	1.883	1.298
$\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$	5.814	4.966	4.288	3.286	2.098	1.451
$\text{CuCO}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$	5.091	4.323	3.715	2.825	1.787	1.230
$\text{Cu}(\text{CO}_3)_2^{2-}$	6.176	5.239	4.498	3.416	2.158	1.483
$\text{CuHCO}_3(\text{OH})_2^-$	5.951	5.075	4.376	3.346	2.130	1.471
$\text{CuSO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$	6.041	5.144	4.430	3.381	2.148	1.481
$\text{CuHS}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$	4.002	3.386	2.900	2.194	1.377	0.942
$\text{Cu}(\text{HS})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$	3.855	3.264	2.797	2.119	1.333	0.914

1098
1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115 Table 8 Logarithm of the reduced partition function, $\ln \beta$, for the pair ^{65}Cu - ^{63}Cu .

1116 Hydrated Cu(I) ion, Cu(II) ascorbates, and Cu(II) oxalate.

Species	Temperature (K)					
	273	298	323	373	473	573
$\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2^+$, Cu(I)	3.368	2.867	2.468	1.882	1.193	0.822
$\text{CuH}(\text{L-asorbate})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$	3.924	3.324	2.850	2.161	1.362	0.935
$\text{CuH}(\text{D-asorbate})(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$	3.989	3.380	2.899	2.199	1.386	0.951
$\text{CuC}_2\text{O}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$	6.236	5.302	4.561	3.474	2.202	1.516

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

Figure captions

Figure 1 Mole fractions of Cu species and isotope fractionation of Cu in HCl

solutions. a) Distribution of Cu chlorides. The mole fractions were calculated from the stability constants of Brugger (2001). b) Isotope fractionation is shown as $\Delta^{65}\text{Cu} = \delta^{65}\text{Cu}_{\text{org}} - \delta^{65}\text{Cu}_{\text{aq}}$ upon extraction of Cu by dicyclohexano-18-crown-6 (DC18C6) from the aqueous solution. The solid curves represent our estimates from the $\ln \beta$ values (298 K) of fivefold-coordinated $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^{2+}$, $\text{CuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^{2+}$, and $\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$, and $\text{CuCl}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$ (Table 5), $\ln \beta_{\text{CuLCl}_2}$ (3.35‰), and D . The dotted curves represent the effect of $\pm 0.05\text{‰}$ errors on $\ln \beta_{\text{CuLCl}_2}$.

Figure 2. Molecular structures of hydrated Cu^+ , Cu^{2+} , and aqueous Cu(II) species.

The structures are drawn using GaussView5 (Gaussian Inc.) (Dannington et al., 2009). Symbol keys: Cu (vermilion), Cl (green), S (yellow), O (red), C (gray), and H (white).

Figure 3. Temperature dependence of $\ln \beta$. The $\ln \beta$ values of hydrated Cu^{2+} and Cu(II) chlorides (see Table 5) are shown as linear functions of T^2 .


Figure 4. Mole fractions of Cu(II) species and Cu isotopic variations as functions of


pH at 298 K. a) Mole fractions of Cu species, b) Species $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ relative to the bulk solution. Literature values of formation constants at ionic strength $I = 0.70$ M (Powell et al., 2007) were used for the calculations. $\Sigma[\text{Cu(II)}]$ was set to 10^{-9} M and it was assumed that the system was in equilibrium with air having a CO_2 fugacity of $10^{-3.5}$ bar (1 bar = 10^5 Pa) (Powell et al., 2007). We further assumed $\log K[\text{CO}_2(\text{g}) = \text{CO}_2(\text{aq})] = -1.5$ (Morel and Hering, 1993) and concentrations of $\text{Cl}^- = 0.55 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ and $\text{SO}_4^{2-} = 0.029 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$ (Macleod et al., 1994).

Figure 5. Temperature dependence of $\ln \beta$. The $\ln \beta$ values of hydrated Cu(II) hydroxides, carbonates, sulfate, and sulfides (see Table 7) are shown as linear functions of T^2 .


Figure 6. Mole fractions of Cu(I) and Cu(II) species and Cu isotopic variations as functions of Eh and extent of oxalate formation at 298 K. pH and total Cu concentration were set to be 7.4 and 1 μM , respectively a) Mole fractions of Cu species as functions of Eh. Concentrations of ascorbate and oxalate were set to 90 μM and 10 μM , respectively. b) Mole fractions of Cu species as functions of proportion of oxalate formed. Eh was set to be 0.27 V. c) $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ relative to the bulk solution as functions of Eh. Conditions are identical to those of a, and d) $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ relative to the bulk solution as functions of extent of oxalate formation. Conditions are identical to those of b.

Figure 7. Temperature dependence of $\ln \beta$. The $\ln \beta$ values of hydrated Cu^+ and Cu(II) oxalate and ascorbate (see Table 8) are shown as linear functions of T^2 . The $\ln \beta$ values of pentaqua Cu^{2+} (see Table 5 and Fig. 3) are shown together.







a) $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$




b) $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5^{2+}$




c) $\text{CuCl}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$




d) $\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$




e) $\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$




f) $\text{CuCl}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$




g) $\text{CuOH}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^-$




h) $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$




i) $\text{CuCO}_3(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$




j) $\text{Cu}(\text{CO}_3)_2^{2-}$




k) $\text{CuHCO}_3(\text{OH})_2^-$




l) $\text{CuSO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$




m) $\text{Cu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2^+$, Cu(I)




n) $\text{CuHA}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$
(A: L-ascorbate)





o) $\text{CuHA}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$
(A: D-ascorbate)








p) $\text{CuC}_2\text{O}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$











Supporting Information

Copper isotope fractionation between aqueous compounds relevant to low temperature geochemistry and biology

Toshiyuki Fujii^{1*}, Frédéric Moynier², Minori Abe³,
Keisuke Nemoto³, and Francis Albarède⁴

¹ Research Reactor Institute, Kyoto University, 2-1010 Asashiro Nishi, Kumatori, Sennan, Osaka 590-0494, Japan


² Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences and McDonnell Center for Space Sciences, Washington University in St. Louis, Campus Box 1169, 1 Brookings Drive, Saint Louis, MO 63130-4862, USA

³ Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Osawa, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan


⁴ Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Université de Lyon 1, CNRS, 46, Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 7, France

Table S1. Optimized structure Cartesian coordinates of hydrated Cu(I) and Cu(II) ions and Cu(II) complexes. (see Figure 2).


Cu(H ₂ O) ₅ ²⁺			
Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.000000	0.000000	0.180286
O	-0.000218	1.972347	0.416359
O	-2.015695	0.000003	0.367094
O	0.000218	-1.972347	0.416359
O	2.015695	-0.000003	0.367094
O	0.000000	0.000000	-2.017628
H	-0.788497	2.520100	0.544468
H	0.787668	2.520277	0.546113
H	-0.787668	-2.520277	0.546113
H	0.788497	-2.520100	0.544468
H	-2.541191	0.000171	1.181710
H	-2.620652	-0.000935	-0.390193
H	2.541191	-0.000171	1.181710
H	2.620652	0.000935	-0.390193
H	-0.000087	0.774145	-2.598417
H	0.000087	-0.774145	-2.598417



Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.000038	-0.000034	0.000063
O	-0.088873	2.019575	0.031113
O	-0.024969	-0.086591	2.300836
O	2.025399	0.080251	0.024068
O	0.088810	-2.019630	-0.031022
O	0.024925	0.086561	-2.300618
O	-2.025376	-0.080204	-0.023865
H	0.668784	2.613652	0.123299
H	-0.902057	2.538568	0.101414
H	0.902001	-2.538615	-0.101323
H	-0.668838	-2.613716	-0.123240
H	-0.067738	0.644710	2.931884
H	0.005459	-0.892336	2.834501
H	-0.005323	0.892306	-2.834292
H	0.067755	-0.644755	-2.931647
H	2.576945	0.077097	0.819263
H	2.591990	0.154602	-0.756953
H	-2.591929	-0.154550	0.757185
H	-2.576976	-0.076949	-0.819023



Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	-0.016654	0.212129	-0.176174
Cl	-0.368293	-1.902143	-0.655033
O	-0.263347	-0.047793	1.850095
O	2.209959	-0.237365	0.440996
O	-0.036021	2.218349	0.250375
O	-0.057535	0.711653	-2.157294
H	0.598254	-0.331813	2.193790
H	-0.889110	-0.757015	2.061119
H	0.438028	2.925105	-0.204498
H	-0.248494	2.513422	1.145250
H	3.038932	0.256513	0.412129
H	2.414530	-1.133283	0.138808
H	-0.785481	1.250808	-2.496952
H	-0.059913	-0.113878	-2.668013



Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	-0.053962	0.000888	-0.169852
Cl	0.052761	2.249760	-0.117204
Cl	0.050030	-2.247826	-0.115168
O	-0.166668	0.001703	1.905225
O	0.472730	-0.000037	-2.167140

O	-2.337733	0.004542	-0.702549
H	0.114046	0.787034	-2.597917
H	0.111330	-0.785773	-2.598069
H	0.267547	-0.788948	2.253624
H	0.269249	0.791416	2.253590
H	-2.746356	0.783330	-0.306552
H	-2.752723	-0.768865	-0.302717

$\text{CuCl}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$

Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.000113	-0.000205	0.000036
Cl	0.001187	-2.268694	0.000102
Cl	-0.000962	2.268241	-0.000026
O	0.417498	0.000178	2.071588
O	2.327682	0.000039	-0.692256
O	-0.417251	-0.000257	-2.071517
O	-2.327453	-0.001831	0.692327
H	-2.708483	-0.776704	0.262520
H	-2.710171	0.772049	0.262246
H	0.031352	0.780962	-2.422591
H	0.032951	-0.780315	-2.423107
H	2.709142	-0.774664	-0.262522
H	2.709964	0.774089	-0.262095
H	-0.031968	0.780871	2.422726
H	-0.031827	-0.780407	2.423132


$\text{CuCl}_3\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$

Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.009270	0.089718	-0.002037
Cl	2.197046	0.600440	0.407279
Cl	-0.053553	-0.775059	-2.050390
Cl	-2.075181	0.930696	0.398702
O	-0.031900	-0.399468	2.220232
H	-0.770277	0.213170	2.362199
H	0.792853	0.090825	2.362505


$\text{CuOH}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4^+$

Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	-0.022412	-0.011572	0.135042
O	2.055857	0.070828	-0.052489
O	-2.050422	0.169765	-0.181485
O	0.159947	1.789601	-0.261094
O	-0.205225	-2.061829	0.224458
O	0.053073	-0.361275	2.421138
H	2.051118	1.010485	-0.319345
H	2.660380	-0.414596	-0.627116
H	-2.204931	0.986925	-0.676734

H	-2.650954	-0.512055	-0.507452
H	-0.097467	2.412135	0.429233
H	-0.047966	-2.399730	1.116909
H	0.091646	-2.725054	-0.410229
H	0.872675	-0.124189	2.873930
H	-0.665321	-0.109440	3.015233



Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	-0.258245	-0.086613	-0.062472
O	-0.074679	-0.232907	1.820662
O	2.081764	0.067472	0.259624
O	-0.399476	2.106958	-0.460200
O	-0.677539	0.056454	-1.875154
O	-0.551081	-2.201797	0.273191
H	-0.175399	-0.497968	-2.477903
H	-1.413113	-2.553797	0.027322
H	-0.566410	-1.987168	1.228999
H	2.369866	0.976539	0.124900
H	1.738922	0.025664	1.174903
H	-1.195357	2.594308	-0.223017
H	-0.543869	1.724067	-1.363498
H	-0.468696	0.448284	2.370949




Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.248982	0.000870	-0.001777
O	1.470106	1.668045	-0.237640
O	1.470728	-1.665996	0.239991
O	-1.340955	1.081270	-0.128922
O	-1.338478	-1.082522	0.125223
O	-3.325503	-0.003074	-0.002008
C	-2.117328	-0.001419	-0.001917
H	2.092931	1.971316	0.432853
H	0.791443	2.353819	-0.329161
H	0.791117	-2.351065	0.329900
H	2.096954	-1.971245	-0.426543




Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
O	1.670093	1.094791	0.000000
O	1.670093	-1.094791	0.000000
O	-1.670093	1.094791	0.000000
O	-1.670093	-1.094791	0.000000
O	3.658669	0.000000	0.000000
O	-3.658669	0.000000	0.000000


C	2.413378	0.000000	0.000000
C	-2.413378	0.000000	0.000000



Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.328828	-0.274402	0.333280
O	-0.217938	0.652837	1.889544
O	2.152371	-0.434121	0.505155
O	-1.358315	-0.720389	-0.512057
O	-2.850925	-0.477652	-2.183668
O	-0.850477	0.562886	-2.262179
C	-1.778817	-0.270627	-1.625885
H	2.446380	-0.021985	1.321861
H	-1.181615	0.642755	1.857756
H	-1.280353	0.840660	-3.079424




Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.747523	-0.018631	0.075914
S	-2.464712	0.089386	0.319198
O	0.888308	1.472448	-1.374296
O	1.260468	-1.547098	-1.216183
O	-1.460921	0.074115	-0.784172
O	-3.860376	0.146652	-0.015297
O	-2.105782	1.314366	1.248990
O	-2.198978	-1.199463	1.194935
O	0.426005	1.463763	1.245656
O	0.334120	-1.521773	1.204522
H	0.822684	1.412610	2.120663
H	0.727408	-1.537786	2.082364
H	-1.070215	1.435319	1.324962
H	-1.181852	-1.395474	1.269655
H	-0.031570	1.461652	-1.687718
H	0.699514	-1.631741	-1.997308
H	0.934346	2.226004	-0.763219
H	0.994031	-2.244346	-0.588664




Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.005656	0.054181	0.049629
S	0.046759	2.225860	-0.652513
O	2.066189	0.034639	0.017085
O	-2.046642	0.067176	-0.000969
O	-0.098475	-2.084607	0.119384
O	-0.014729	-0.342819	2.333240
H	2.342733	0.886375	-0.359080
H	2.619756	-0.660348	-0.362321

H	-2.350685	0.888640	-0.417416
H	-2.561894	-0.668691	-0.356925
H	0.013829	2.775894	0.581471
H	-0.038801	-2.444466	1.013933
H	0.055219	-2.798994	-0.509691
H	0.752827	-0.111541	2.871191
H	-0.791745	-0.101300	2.852979



Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	-0.314974	-0.153083	0.141975
S	-0.177791	-0.026204	2.425827
S	-0.977088	-0.352010	-2.086603
O	2.022133	0.047647	0.018214
O	-0.359897	2.013095	-0.277947
O	-0.584294	-2.264904	0.309083
H	0.260936	-0.547023	-2.586321
H	-1.025393	-2.457587	-0.533692
H	-1.180639	-2.509597	1.028124
H	2.206699	0.965967	-0.211249
H	2.078845	0.012600	0.986178
H	-1.007256	2.546627	0.198468
H	-0.707717	1.864863	-1.179080
H	-0.366876	1.299105	2.585329



Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
O	1.917316	0.000000	0.000000
O	-1.917316	0.000000	0.000000
H	2.479474	0.000000	0.785072
H	2.479474	0.000000	-0.785072
H	-2.479474	0.785072	0.000000
H	-2.479474	-0.785072	0.000000



Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	-2.554119	0.204618	-0.182677
O	2.495659	-1.159318	2.512367
O	2.452051	-0.123655	0.482443
O	0.832979	2.264059	0.187868
O	2.921441	1.402529	-2.662114
O	-0.251520	-1.875139	2.006688
O	-0.902877	-0.653920	-0.701190
O	-1.802772	1.980765	0.480774
O	-2.984382	-1.344893	1.462135
O	-3.638265	-1.258716	-1.373516

O	-4.488981	1.096700	-0.188664
C	0.210170	-0.634730	-0.055714
C	0.533793	-1.180051	1.159370
C	1.917511	-0.858682	1.501999
C	1.476723	0.028661	-0.581649
C	1.324332	1.507831	-0.932285
C	2.611406	2.124521	-1.479680
H	0.282491	-2.124993	2.781107
H	1.856949	-0.495139	-1.462939
H	0.550722	1.582431	-1.699857
H	2.426476	3.184812	-1.685448
H	3.412119	2.041566	-0.733982
H	1.495728	2.278551	0.891165
H	3.769228	1.694906	-3.011156
H	-0.822376	2.131725	0.453305
H	-2.229187	2.799140	0.202579
H	-5.124762	0.619254	-0.737069
H	-4.976734	1.533791	0.518984
H	-2.135960	-1.697050	1.787704
H	-3.557018	-1.253973	2.232088
H	-3.692109	-2.023413	-0.782479
H	-3.169074	-1.562546	-2.161632

CuH(D-ascorbate)(H ₂ O) ₄ ⁺			
Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	1.338456	0.278439	2.323761
O	0.071296	1.001644	1.087992
O	3.072810	0.897735	1.231687
O	1.773378	-1.729001	1.305373
O	-0.285916	0.071930	3.660925
O	2.706954	0.025220	3.885105
O	1.836297	1.689085	-1.258622
O	0.437176	0.641637	-3.585234
O	-1.131435	-0.102936	-2.109935
O	-0.309048	-2.292050	-0.476613
O	-2.377214	-0.591814	1.927738
C	-0.098546	0.703108	-0.149174
C	0.661040	1.030157	-1.240427
C	0.029557	0.534435	-2.460046
C	-1.310506	-0.058681	-0.671084
C	-1.489555	-1.515966	-0.211950
C	-1.770799	-1.723141	1.289766
H	-2.225667	0.499580	-0.459825
H	-2.314597	-1.919188	-0.807171
H	-0.261507	-2.495742	-1.418672
H	-2.380007	-2.618680	1.425075
H	-0.818875	-1.901148	1.791013

H	-3.309482	-0.757823	2.100138
H	2.102750	1.825492	-2.183748
H	2.843084	1.455503	0.467547
H	3.464206	0.098983	0.853094
H	3.521725	0.542562	3.868942
H	2.519606	-0.218994	4.798761
H	-1.112200	0.003512	3.130698
H	-0.438922	0.769088	4.309435
H	1.151785	-2.028910	0.608694
H	2.088039	-2.518759	1.759410

$\text{CuC}_2\text{O}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$

Element	X	Y	Z
Cu	0.220299	0.001436	-0.001762
O	-1.136604	0.668638	1.127124
O	-1.137806	-0.672486	-1.125207
O	-3.370391	0.723223	1.234860
O	-3.371751	-0.739772	-1.222759
O	1.501827	0.874109	1.359112
O	1.503177	-0.864008	-1.365652
C	-2.354928	0.396973	0.678715
C	-2.355545	-0.407526	-0.671427
H	0.833390	1.221592	1.973878
H	2.155906	0.391795	1.877813
H	2.155176	-0.378487	-1.884016
H	0.837250	-1.215488	-1.980679




Figure S1. Mole fractions of Cu(II) species and Cu isotopic variations as functions of pH at 298 K. a) Mole fractions of Cu species, b) Species $\delta^{65}\text{Cu}$ relative to the bulk solution. Conditions are the same with those of Fig. 4 except for second hydrolysis constant. $\log^*\beta_2 = -16.65$ used in Fig. 4 was substituted by $\log \beta_2 = 14.3$ and ion product $\text{p}K_{\text{W}} = 14$ (ionic strength $I = 0$).