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The monographic work by RITTER-ZAHONY (1911) "Revision der Chattognathen" appeared in the report of the Deutsche Südpolarexpedition, 1901-3, may be regarded as the base for the taxonomy of Chaetognatha which has been accepted so far. In that paper, the following 27 species belonging to 6 genera were given, besides 16 species were listed as species incertae. And other 44 names were treated there as synonyms of valid species.

List 1. Valid species listed by RITTER-ZAHONY (1911).

1. Sagitta hexaptera
2. Sagitta maxima
3. Sagitta lyra
4. Sagitta gazellae
5. Sagitta enflata
6. Sagitta setosa
7. Sagitta elegans
8. Sagitta bipunctata
9. Sagitta robusta
10. Sagitta helenae
11. Sagitta friderici
12. Sagitta bedoti
13. Sagitta pulchra
14. Sagitta serratodentata
15. Sagitta neglecta
16. Sagitta regularis
17. Sagitta minima
18. Sagitta decipiens
19. Sagitta planctonis
20. Sagitta macrocephala
21. Pterosagitta draco
22. Spadella cephaloptera
23. Spadella schizoptera
24. Eukrohnia hamata
25. Eukrohnia fowleri
26. Heterokrohnia mirabilis
27. Krohnitta subtilis

List 2. Species incertae by RITTER-ZAHONY (1911).

*1. Sagitta britannica
*2. Sagitta coreana
3. Sagitta darwini
4. Sagitta diptera
*5. Sagitta euxina
*6. Sagitta gegenbauri
*7. Sagitta helgolandica
*8. Sagitta hispida
*9. Sagitta levis
*10. Sagitta longicauda
*11. Sagitta orientalis
*12. Spadella profunda

1) Contributions from the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory, No. 430.

Of the species incertae, S. darwini, S. diptera and S. triptera were referred to S. hexaptera with some questions and so was S. rostrata to S. setosa. And K. viridis is Aida's misrecord for Krohnitta pacifica. Then eleven species marked with asterisk were left without being referred to any valid species. Forty-one years later, I made a general review on chaetognaths of the Indo-Pacific (Chaetognaths of the Indo-Pacific; Annot. Zool. Japon., Vol. 25, Nos. 1-2, 1952, pp. 307-316) and there were enumerated the world chaetognaths as follows.


1. Bathyspadella edentata
2. Eukrohnia hamata
3. Heterokrohnia mirabilis
4. Krohnitta pacifica
5. Krohnitta subtilis
6. Krohnittella boureei
7. Pterosagitta draco
8. Sagitta bedfordii
9. Sagitta bedoti
10. Sagitta bedoti f. minor
11. Sagitta bipunctata
12. Sagitta bombayensis
13. Sagitta crassa
14. Sagitta crassa f. naikaiensis
15. Sagitta decipiens
16. Sagitta delicata
17. Sagitta elegans
18. Sagitta enflata
19. Sagitta euxina
20. Sagitta ferox
21. Sagitta friderici
22. Sagitta helenae
23. Sagitta hexaptera
24. Sagitta lyra
25. Sagitta macrocephala
26. Sagitta minima
27. Sagitta neglecta
28. Sagitta oceanica
29. Sagitta parva
30. Sagitta planctonis
31. Sagitta pulchra
32. Sagitta regularis
33. Sagitta robusta
34. Sagitta serratodentata atlantica f. typica
35. Sagitta serratodentata atlantica f. pseudoserratodentata
36. Sagitta serratodentata atlantica f. tasmanica
37. Sagitta serratodentata pacifica
38. Sagitta setosa
39. Sagitta tropica
40. Sagitta tumida
41. Spadella cephaloptera
42. Spadella schizoptera

These forty-two taxa included 37 species, 1 subspecies and 4 forms. Besides, next 11 species were treated as doubtful species.


1. Eukrohnia fowleri
2. Sagitta coreana
3. Sagitta levis
4. Sagitta longicauda
5. *Sagitta maxima*  
6. *Sagitta orientalis*  
7. *Sagitta tenuis*  
8. *Spadella moretonensis*  
9. *Spadella musculosa*  
10. *Spadella profunda*  
11. *Zahonya cestoda*

*Sagitta gazellae* was regarded there as a synonym of *S. lyra*. Of the remaining eleven forms of the List 2, *S. euxina* was accepted as a valid species and *S. hispida* was treated as a synonym of *S. ferox*, while *Spadella musculosa* treated by RITTER-ZAHONY as a synonym of *S. cephaloptera* was regarded then as a doubtful species possibly distinct from *S. cephaloptera*. *Sagitta britannica*, *S. gegenbauri* and *S. helgolandica* were put outside the consideration, as the original descriptions were inaccessible to me. Actually, even if those descriptions are read by myself, I wont be able to give any more comments on those vaguely defined forms than RITTER-ZAHONY did long ago. Fifteen synonyms appeared after the publication of RITTER-ZAHONY's monograph were respectively referred to the species considered to be valid, although the following two papers were overlooked at that time: Hsu's paper (1943) including a new species, *Sagitta multidentata* (non KROHN, 1853), and MAWSON's paper (1944) reporting two new species of *Spadella*, *S. sheardi* and *S. johnstoni*.

Many important papers have been published since the above-mentioned lists were prepared by me, and these have brought a significant number of alterations and additions to the list of the world's chaetognaths. PIERCE's works (1951, 53, 58 and 62) cleared the validity of *Sagitta hispida* and *Sagitta tenuis*, and this was confirmed by subsequent papers by TóKIOKA (1955), SUÁREZ (1955 and 60), FURNESTIN (1959, 60 and 62c) and BAINBRIDGE (1960). DAVID studied the morphology and distribution of the chaetognaths occurring in the Antarctic and adjacent seas and ascertained that *Sagitta gazellae* (1955) and *Sagitta zetesios* (1956) are valid species. Besides, he found two new species from the Antarctic waters: *Sagitta marri* (1956) and *Eukrohnia bathyantarctica* (1958). It was found that the individuals which had been known from the warm-water regions under the name of *Sagitta gazellae* were really the gazellae-forms of *Sagitta lyra* probably representing the younger stages of that species. This is very interesting when the matter is noted in relation to the life cycle of *Sagitta lyra* suggested by FURNESTIN (1962a). FURNESTIN (1958 and 62b) examined *Sagitta euxina* from the Black Sea and *Sagitta setosa* from the North Sea, the Mediterranean Sea and the Black Sea and concluded that the former should be treated as a variety of the latter. On the other hand, the validity of *Sagitta maxima* and *Eukrohnia fouleri* has been recognized by various authors (DAVID, 1958 a and b). Especially, ALVARINO (1962) found out a marked difference of the arrangement of the nerve cord between *S. maxima* and *S. lyra* and put a period to the questions concerning the validity of *S. maxima*. In addition, the following 14 new species and one form have been described.
Further, *Spadella cephaloptera* f. *angulata* was raised to the rank of species by *Tokioka and Pathansali* (1964).

Taking the above-mentioned amendments and additions into the consideration, 65 taxa listed in next section are considered to be valid in my opinion. Eleven species still remain as doubtful species, while others are treated as synonyms. About three fourths of these taxa belong to the genus *Sagitta*, and thus there is found a high grade of variety in *Sagitta*. Some species resemble one another so closely that they may be better treated as subspecies, varieties or ecological forms of a single species, while in some couples one species may differ from the other so much that if the forms in the preceding case are reasonably treated as species, then it is desirable to prepare some genera to fit in such cases. Thus, the necessity of subdividing the genus *Sagitta* has been recognized. *Tokioka and Pathansali* (1963) proposed two subgenera, *Aidanosagitta* and *Serratosagitta*, to cover 18 described taxa, but other about thirty ones are still left confused. An attempt to classify these into some groups is to be made in next section. (cf. *Alvareno* 1963, 136-141)

As to further classification above the level of the genus, I am aware of so far Family Sagittidae shown in “C. Claus-K. Grobbene: Lehrbuch der Zoologie (1905)” and Family Amiskwiidae *Walcott* given to a single fossil species, and Class Sagittoidea found also in *Claus-Grobben’s* text-book. It is not known whether Amiskwiidae is included in Sagittoidea or not. The group name Chaetognatha has been used for Order, Class or Phylum. *Abric* (1905) rearranged the species of Chaetognatha known at that time into nine new genera by fin characters and then divided those genera into two groups by the situation of the anus.
Gastroproctidae

*Scottiochaetus*—Given to a form figured by T. Scott (1896: Additions to the fauna of the Firth of Forth, Pt. VIII—Annelid parasite of *Sagitta*, Ann. Rep. Fish. Board of Scotland, Vol. 14, p. 165, pl. 4, figs. 16–17) without any name nor description. The fin system consisting of paired fins and caudal fin is completely continuous or adjoining parts of the system are in contact with each other; the separation of the caudal fin from the whole system is foreshown.

*Krohniochaetus*—Type species is *Krohnia foliaceus* AIDA. This genus resembles the preceding one, but without a continuation between the lateral fins and the caudal fin. Lateral fins are extended on the tail segment as well as on the most part of the trunk.

*Lyrochaetus*—Type species is *Sagitta lyra* Krohn. Each lateral fin is divided on the trunk, but incompletely.

*Sagittochaetus*—Given for *Sagitta* auct. The separation of the lateral fin is made completely.

*Conantochaetus*—Type species is *Spadella schizoptera* Conant. The separation of the lateral fin occurs at the trunk-tail septum; the tail segment is as long as the trunk; non-pelagic.

*Spadellochaetus*—Given for *Spadella* auct. and *Krohnia hamata* Möbius. This genus resembles *Krohniochaetus*, but the part of the lateral fin corresponding to the anterior fin of *Sagittochaetus* is not developed.

*Grassiochaetus*—Type species is *Sagitta Claparedi* Grassi. This genus resembles *Spadellochaetus*, but the lateral fins and the caudal fin are continuous to each other.

*Dracochaetus*—The fin system is similar to that of *Spadellochaetus*; a pair of bristle bundles on lateral sides of the trunk. Three species are included; *Dr. Krohni*, *Dr. Strodtmanni*, *Dr. Vougai* BeranecK.

Notoproctidae

*Gourretochaetus*—Type species is *Spadella Marioni* Gourret.

This classification is, however, evidently unnatural and the principal characters of respective genera are based on incomplete or inaccurate figures or descriptions; none of actual examinations of real specimens was made. Needless to say about the dorsal situation of the anus for Notoproctidae. Thus, the classification was not accepted by successive authors.

Since that no attempt has been made to establish further classification system of Chaetognatha. So is the case in HYMAN'S *"The Invertebrates, Vol. V (1959)"*. Nevertheless, it seems to me unreasonable to leave all the extant genera of Chaetognatha in a single group, because some of the structural differences found between certain genera are considered to be of a significant systematic importance. Thus a proposal of a new classification of Chaetognatha, treated here as a phylum, is presented as follows.

**PHYLUM CHAETOGNATHA** (Leuckart, 1894)

† 1. **Class Archisagittoidea** nov.

Family Amiskwiidae Walcott, 1911

Genus *Amiskwia* Walcott, 1911

Only a single extinct species *A. sagittiformis* Walcott, 1911 is known by
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three fossil specimens from the Middle Cambrian of British Columbia. OwRE and Bayer (1962) are skeptical to treat the genus as belonging to Chaetognatha and interpret it as a member of Nemertina, possibly related to forms such as Nectonemertes. The body size much larger than in extant forms, the existence of a pair of remarkable cephalic tentacles and the location of the anus near the caudal end of the body are unusual for chaetognaths. Moreover, it is strange that any traces of reproductive organs which are so massive in mature individuals of extant forms are not left on these fossils. I am rather inclined to the opinion of OwRE and Bayer. At the same time, however, I cannot definitely reject the possibility that Amiskwia might represent an ancient form of recent chaetognaths, on the basis of the comparison between the so old Cambrian fossils and to-day's chaetognaths. Of the strange features of Amiskwia mentioned above, the most important one must be the terminal situation of the anus, and essentially this is not unusual for Chaetognaths as the endodermal septum stretches along the sagittal axis of the body from the pharynx to the caudal end of the body in the larval stage of chaetognaths. Thus, when Amiskwia is admitted as a member of Chaetognatha, a special group must be established to hold it, and the group, Class Archisagittoidea is proposed here for it, should be characterized by the location of the anus at the caudal end of the body. Other external features such as the existence of a pair of stout cephalic tentacles and others are regarded as being of the family or generic importance.

2. Class Sagittoidea Claus and Grobben, 1905

The class consists of extant forms. The anus opens on the ventral side just before the trunk-tail septum, far anterior to the caudal end of the body. Nine genera have been included unless further division of the genus Sagitta is made. Of the characteristics differentiating these genera one another, the existence of the ventral transverse musculature and the peculiar appearance of hooks and teeth unique to Krohnitta are most remarkable and considered to be of an essential importance. The differences found in the appearance of fins and number of tooth-rows are less significant as is suspected from the ontogenical observations.

Now, there are two groups of chaetognaths differing distinctly from each other in the mode of life; one is the littoral group represented by a few members of Spadella and the other is the pelagic group containing a large number of species mostly belonging to the genus Sagitta in the sense hitherto be used. Pelagic species are mostly devoid of the ventral transverse musculature and provided with less glandular structures on the body surface, except for a few deep-water forms. Contrarily, members of Spadella are all provided with the ventral transverse musculature in the coelom and richer glandular
structures on the body surface. It seems to me that pelagic forms of a simpler body structure were derived from the littoral forms with creeping ability and with more complicated body structures. The reverse process cannot be impossible, but it seems more improbable than the preceding process. For this reason, the existence of the ventral transverse musculature is regarded here as a basic feature separating the primitive forms from the advanced ones, and the peculiarity found in hooks and teeth of *Krohnitta* is considered to be a sign showing a high grade of specialization. Thus, the class is divided into the following three main groups.

1. Order Phragmophora nov. Phragmatic structure made of the ventral transverse musculature in the coelom. Usually various kinds of glandular structures are seen on the body surface, in the neck or cephalic region.

2. Order Aphragmophora nov. Phragmatic structure is absent and thus the whole coelom is entirely hollow. Glandular structures on the body surface are scarcely developed.

1. Suborder Ctenodontina nov. Hooks are curved gently and tooth-rows are comb-shaped, teeth are slender.

2. Suborder Flabelloidontina nov. Hooks are curved rather abruptly and teeth are stouter than in Ctenodontina and arranged in a fan shape.

§ Order Phragmophora  The order is divided into two families.

I. Family Spadellidae nov. Littoral, usually resting on algae, stones or other objects on the bottom, but retaining the ability of creeping and swimming. Tail segment is relatively large. One or two pairs of lateral fins. Anterior and posterior rows of teeth, which show a trend to the degeneration. Corona ciliata on the neck, wider than long.

(1) Genus *Spadella* LANGERHANS, 1880

1. *S. cephaloptera* (BUSH, 1851)
   *Sagitta cephaloptera* BUSH, 1851
   *Sagitta Batziana* GIARD, 1874
   *Sagitta Claparedi* GRASSI, 1883
   *Sagitta gallica* PAGENSTECHER, 1863
   *Sagitta Mariana* LEWES, 1858
   *Spadella parvula* MOLTSCHANOFF, 1909
   *Sagitta pontica* ULJANIN, 1870

2. *S. angulata* (TOKIOKA, 1951)
   *Spadella cephaloptera* f. *angulata* TOKIOKA, 1951

3. *S. moretonensis* JOHNSTON & TAYLOR, 1919

* Synonymy after RITTER-ZAHONY (1911).
4. *S. schizoptera* Conant, 1895  
5. *S. sheardi* Mawson, 1944  
6. *S. johnstoni* Mawson, 1944  
7. *S. nana* Owre, 1963  
8. *S. pulchella* Owre, 1963

*S. cephaloptera* and *S. angulata* are devoid of any adherent apparatus, while other six species have each adherent apparatuses of various shapes. In *S. moretonensis* the apparatuses are rudimentary and arranged asymmetrically. In other five species, the apparatuses are well developed in a paired arrangement. It is not impossible that at least some of the five species, *S. schizoptera*, *S. sheardi*, *S. johnstoni*, *S. nana* and *S. pulchella*, represent only different intra-specific variants of a single species. *Spadella musculosa* and *Spadella profunda* described by Doncaster in 1903 are left as questionable species. It seems rather dangerous to abandon these deep-water species, as some valid species of *Spadella* are collected from the waters of a considerable depth.

II. Family Eukrohniidae nov. Pelagic, tail segment is comparatively short. One pair of lateral fins, each beginning far anterior to the trunk-tail septum. Anterior tooth-row or both anterior and posterior tooth-rows may be missing in some genera. So far as it is described, the conona ciliata begins at the posterior edge of the brain and ends at the neck region. All valid forms are known from the deep water at least in the warm-water regions.

(2) Genus *Eukrohnia* Ritter-Záhony, 1909  
9. *E. hamata* (Möbius, 1875)  
   *Sagitta hamata* Möbius, 1875  
   *Krohnia hamata* var. *borealis* Moltschanoff, 1907  
   *Eukrohnia hamata* var. *antarctica* Johnston & Taylor, 1921  
   *Krohnia foliacea* Aida, 1897  
   *Eukrohnia richardii* Germain & Joubin, 1912  
11. *E. bathyantarctica* David, 1958  

The last two species are separated from *E. hamata* only by exact differences, although their distributions are said strictly limited.

(3) Genus *Heterokrohnia* Ritter-Záhony, 1911  
13. *H. mirabilis* Ritter-Záhony, 1911
(4) Genus *Bathyspadella* Tokioka, 1939


Next two genera, respectively represented by a single species, are placed here provisionally with some doubts.

Genus *Krohnittella* Germain & Joubin, 1912

*K. boureei* Germain & Joubin, 1912

Genus *Zahonya* Oye, 1918

*Z. cestoda* Oye 1918

Two specimens of *Krohnittella boureei* were collected once from the depths north of the Canary Islands. No descriptions are given for the ventral transverse musculature, the intestinal diverticula, the eye-pigment and the col­larette. Thus, the exact situation of this genus is quite obscure. However, one pair of lateral fins, the corona ciliata confined to the head, the complete absence of teeth, and the large depth of the inhabiting layer seem to allege that the genus is allied to genera of Eukrohniidae. It is possible that the existence of the ventral transverse musculature was overlooked. On the dorsal aspect of the head of this species figured by Germain & Joubin, no pigment is indicated in each eye. The species resembles *Bathyspadella edentata* in the complete absence of teeth, but differs distinctly from it in that the tail segment is much shorter.

*Zahonya cestoda* was once collected from the surface water of the eastern Java Sea. The whole trunk and the tail segment are provided with the ventral transverse musculature. The corona ciliata is described to be confined to the head. But it is very probable that the outline of the pharynx was mistaken for the corona ciliata in this case. Other features are quite the same as in general species of Ctenodontina of Aphragmophora. The comment given to this species in my previous paper (1952, p. 312) may be reproduced here again to show a possible interpretation about the species,—“It is a noteworthy fact that some species with strongly developed musculature assume an appearance, when they are in a slightly contracted state, as if they were provided with a transverse musculature along the whole body. Thus, this species is possibly no more than a small individual of *S. robusta* (or *S. ferox*) in such a state.” If this species is proved to be valid, then the habitat, the surface layer in the tropical waters, must be quite unusual for the members of the family.
§ Order Aphragmophora

Suborder Ctenodontina

III. Family Sagittidae CLAUS & GROBBEN 1905 Two pairs of lateral fins. The former genus Sagitta is now splitted into next nine genera.

(5) Genus Sagitta (s. str.) QUOY & GAIMARD, 1827

The corona ciliata is very elongate, begins just behind the brain and stretches posteriorly onto the dorsal side of the anterior portion of the trunk. Intestinal diverticula are absent. Lateral fins are thoroughly set with rays.

15. S. bipunctata QUOY & GAIMARD, 1827
   (Based on the description given by RITTER-ZÁHONY, 1911.)
   Sagitta californica MICHAEL, 1913
   *Spadella Marioni GOURRET, 1884
   *Sagitta multidentata KROHN, 1853
16. S. setosa MÜLLER, 1847
   *Sagitta Germanica LEUCKART, 1847
   *? Sagitta rostrata BUSCH, 1851
17. S. setosa var. euxina (MOLTSCHANOFF, 1909)
   Sagitta euxina MOLTSCHANOFF, 1909
18. S. tenuis CONANT, 1896
   Sagitta popovicii SUND, 1961
19. S. friderici RITTER-ZÁHONY, 1911
   Sagitta eumeritica ALVARIÑO, 1961
   Sagitta peruviana SUND, 1961
20. S. helenae RITTER-ZÁHONY, 1910
21. S. bombayensis LELE & GAE, 1936

S. bipunctata described by some authors in earlier years represent hexaptera, setosa, elegans, bedoti or rarely Spadella cephaloptera.

As to the distinction between S. tenuis and S. friderici see TOKIOKA's paper of 1961. In that paper, the proportion of the anterior part of the posterior fin above the trunk-tail septum to the posterior part of the fin below the septum is taken as one of the important clues. Generally the ratio is smaller in S. tenuis than in S. friderici. It is, however, not impossible that the development of the anterior part of the posterior fin above the septum might be retarded by the fast development of the ovary in the structural connection. More observations and measurements made statistically on various species are desirable to settle this problem.
(6) Genus *Zonosagitta* nov.

The corona ciliata as in *Sagitta* (s. str.), intestinal diverticula absent. Lateral fins are each provided with a very remarkable rayless-zone.

22. *Z. bedoti* (Beranek, 1895)
   
   *Sagitta bedoti* Beranek, 1895

23. *Z. bedoti* f. minor (Tokioka, 1942)
   
   *Sagitta bedoti* f. minor Tokioka, 1942
   *Sagitta bedoti* minor: Hamon, 1956
   ?*Sagitta polyodon* Doncaster, 1902

24. *Z. pulchra* (Doncaster, 1902)
   
   *Sagitta pulchra* Doncaster, 1902
   *Sagitta weberi* Oye, 1918

*Z. bedoti* f. minor from the tropical waters matures at much smaller body size (about 10 mm) than that of mature individuals of the typical form which may be up to 30 mm in higher latitudes, the Yellow Sea or the Japan Sea. Such larger individuals are noted by Alvarino (1963) as *bedoti* f. large. Recently, further smaller form of *Z. bedoti* was found by Pathansali in certain inlets of Malay Peninsula. This form matures at 6-7 mm and thus may represent a special ecological form of the species; its description will be given in a future paper. The existence of the rayless-zone seems to be a significant character as the fin rays are generated ontogenically at the very beginning of the fin formation and the arrangement of rays is quite regular in adult forms.

(7) Genus *Serratosagitta* Tokioka & Pathansali, 1963

Originally defined as a subgenus. This genus conforms to *Sagitta* (s. str.) in features concerning the corona ciliata, intestinal diverticula and lateral fins, but differs from the latter in having serrated hooks, much smaller and elongate pigmented area of the eye, seminal vesicles situated just behind the posterior fin and widely apart from the tail fin and a remarkable swelling near the middle of the vas deferens. The serrated aspect of the hook edge is not a very unusual feature for members of Sagittidae, as under high magnification the hook edge manifests sometimes an insignificant array of faint prominences regularly or irregularly arranged in some species, and thus the serrated feature of the hook can not be of a great systematic importance.*

25. *S. serratodentata* (Krohn, 1853)
   
   *Sagitta serrato-dentata* Krohn, 1853

* This will be mentioned in detail by Pathansali in his paper in press.
**Sagitta serrulata** Cleve, 1905
*Sagitta serratodentata f. atlantica* Tokioka, 1940
*Sagitta serratodentata atlantica f. typica* Tokioka, 1952
*Sagitta serratodentata atlantica* Tokioka, 1959

26. **S. pseudoserratodentata** (Tokioka, 1939)
*Sagitta pseudoserratodentata* Tokioka, 1939
*Sagitta serratodentata atlantica f. pseudoserratodentata* Tokioka, 1952
*Sagitta serratodentata pseudoserratodentata* Tokioka, 1959

27. **S. tasmanica** (Thomson, 1947)
*Sagitta serratodentata tasmanica* Thomson, 1947
*Sagitta serratodentata atlantica f. tasmanica* : Tokioka, 1952
*Sagitta selkirki* Fagetti, 1958

28. **S. bierii** (Alvarino, 1961)
*Sagitta bierii* Alvarino, 1961

29. **S. pacifica** (Tokioka, 1940)
*Sagitta serratodentata f. pacifica* Tokioka, 1940
*Sagitta serratodentata pacifica* : Thomson, 1947
*Sagitta pacifica* : Bieri, 1957

*S. pacifica* was first defined by Tokioka as a geographical form of *serratodentata*, but later raised to the rank of subspecies by Thomson (1947) and then to species by Bieri (1957). *S. bierii* resembles very closely *S. tasmanica*, differing from it only in the exact appearance of the seminal vesicle. As the main distributions of *S. tasmanica* and *S. bierii* are confined to the rather cooler waters of the southern hemisphere or to the northward flows, the Humboldt Current and the affected area off the California coast and the Benguela Current, both originating in the southern cool waters, it is not impossible that these two species are identical with each other. Further studies on the morphology of the seminal vesicle are desirable. On the posterior fin, in some species, rays are sometimes missing in a narrow area around the female genital opening, although this is nearly insignificant.

(8) Genus *Parasagitta* nov.

The corona ciliata as in *Sagitta* (s. str.), lateral fins are wholly set with rays. Intestinal diverticula are present.

30. **P. elegans** (Verrill, 1873)
*Sagitta elegans* Verrill, 1873
*Sagitta falcidens* Leidy, 1882
*Sagitta flaccida* Gutzow, 1909
*Sagitta germanica* of some authors
*Sagitta glacialis* Motschanoff, 1907
*Sagitta gracilis* Verrill, 1885
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This subspecies consists of larger individuals from the far off-shore waters in the subarctic to the arctic regions. The difference between this and typical *elegans* does not seem to be discontinuous. However, this subspecific distinction may be effectual when the chaetognath populations in those areas are discussed at the level of stocks.

32. *P. elegans baltica* (Ritter-Záhony, 1911)

*Sagitta elegans f. baltica* Ritter-Záhony, 1911

Besides the above-mentioned subspecific differentiations, the diminution of the body size of mature individuals in the enclosed environments is recorded in Lake Ogac on the north-eastern coast of Canada (Dunbar, 1962).

33. *P. hispida* (Conant, 1895)

*Sagitta hispida* Conant, 1895
*Sagitta gloriae* Prado, 1960

34. *P. hispida americana* (Tokioka, 1959)

*Sagitta ferox f. americana* Tokioka, 1959

Anterior teeth are more numerous than in the typical form.

35. *P. robusta* (Doncaster, 1902)

*Sagitta robusta* Doncaster, 1902
*Sagitta ai* Tokioka, 1939
*Sagitta ferox* Alvarino, 1962

36. *P. ferox* (Doncaster, 1902)

*Sagitta ferox* Doncaster, 1902
*Sagitta equatoria* Gray, 1923
*Sagitta hispida* of some authors
*Sagitta japonica* Galzow, 1909
*Sagitta robusta* of many authors

I think the identification of *P. ferox* and *P. robusta* should be made after the description by Thomson (1947) based on the type specimens of Doncaster. *P. hispida* resembles these two species very closely, but differs from them in the exact appearance and situation of the seminal vesicle and number of teeth. *Sagitta gloriae* from Brazilian waters is considered to be a synonym of *P. hispida*.

(9) Genus *Aidanosagitta* Tokioka & Pathansali, 1963

The genus was originally defined as a subgenus. The corona ciliata begins
below the level of eyes, intestinal diverticula are present, and lateral fins are wholly rayed. The seminal vesicle is generally situated just behind the posterior fin, being apart more or less from the base of the tail fin; in no species is the anterior glandular portion differentiated externally. The tail segment is relatively long. In most species, the distribution is limited to the inlet or neritic waters.

37. *A. neglecta* (AIDA, 1897)
   *Sagitta neglecta* AIDA, 1897
   *Sagitta septata* DONCASTER, 1902
   *Sagitta trichodermis* OYE, 1918

38. *A. oceanica* (GRAY, 1930)
   *Sagitta oceanica* GRAY, 1930
   *Sagitta lacunae* TOKIOKA, 1942

   *Sagitta johorensis* PATHANSALI & TOKIOKA, 1963

40. *A. regularis* (AIDA, 1897)
   *Sagitta regularis* AIDA, 1897

41. *A. bedfordii* (DONCASTER, 1902)
   *Sagitta Bedfordii* DONCASTER, 1902
   *Sagitta pseudoregularis* OYE, 1918

42. *A. demipenna* (TOKIOKA & PATHANSALI, 1963)
   *Sagitta (Aidanosagitta) demipenna* TOKIOKA & PATHANSALI, 1963

43. *A. crassa* (TOKIOKA, 1938)
   *Sagitta crassa* TOKIOKA, 1938
   *Sagitta multidentata* Hsu, 1943

44. *A. crassa* f. *naikaiensis* (TOKIOKA, 1939)
   *Sagitta crassa* f. *naikaiensis* TOKIOKA, 1939

45. *A. crassa* f. *tumida* (TOKIOKA, 1939)
   *Sagitta tumida* TOKIOKA, 1939

46. *A. delicata* (TOKIOKA, 1939)
   *Sagitta delicata* TOKIOKA, 1939

47. *A. tropica* (TOKIOKA, 1942)
   *Sagitta tropica* TOKIOKA, 1942

48. *A. parva* (OYE, 1918)
   *Sagitta parva* OYE, 1918

*A. oceanica* is related very closely to *A. neglecta*, the comparison between these two species is fully discussed in the description of *S. lacunae*. I made observations on the chaetognath population of Lake Notoro of Hokkaido Island in early September last year and in early May this year and confirmed that the chaetognath population of this lake, completely separated from the Okhotsk...
Sea by a sand bank in the winter season but communicated with the sea through a narrow and shallow canal maintained artificially during the summer season, consisted of only a single species which had been known under the name of *Sagitta tumida* and that the species assumed the characteristic appearance of *S. tumida* in May, but the appearance was exactly the same as that of *A. crassa f. naikaiensis* in September. This seems to prove that *S. tumida* is an ecological form of *A. crassa* and the appearance characteristic to *S. tumida* is the feature unique to the generation appeared after the winter. Further details will be given in a future paper.

(10) Genus *Mesosagitta* nov.

The corona ciliata begins on the neck and stretches posteriorly onto the anterior portion of the trunk. Intestinal diverticula are present. Fin rays are sparse, or missing in some parts of lateral fins. The posterior fin lies much more along the trunk than along the tail. Seminal vesicles are situated apart from the rear end of the posterior fin. Generally the members of the genus occur most frequently and abundantly in mesoplankton, although they can be fished sparsely in the surface layer.

49. *M. minima* (Grassi, 1881)
   *Sagitta minima* Grassi, 1881
   ?*Sagitta atlantica* Gray, 1923

50. *M. decipiens* (Fowler, 1905)
   *Sagitta decipiens* Fowler, 1905
   *Sagitta philippini* Michael, 1919
   *Sagitta Sibogae* Fowler, 1906

51. *M. neodecipiens* (Tokioka, 1959)
   *Sagitta neodecipiens* Tokioka, 1959

The difference between *decipiens* and *neodecipiens* is found merely in the situation of the seminal vesicle. More crucial studies are needed till the validity of *neodecipiens* is confirmed definitely.

(11) Genus *Solidosagitta* nov.

The appearance of the corona ciliata, the existence of intestinal diverticula, and the distribution of the posterior fin on the trunk and the tail are quite similar to those in the preceding genus. In the present genus, however, the distinct rayless-zone is defined on respective fins and the pigmented area of the eye is markedly small as compared with the size of the eye. The body is much stouter than in the preceding genus. All members occur in the middle to deep layers of the sea. This genus is related to *Mesosagitta* very closely.
General body features and the inhabiting layer are quite similar in these two genera which might be better united into a single genus.

52. *S. planctonis* (Steinhaus, 1896)
*Sagitta planctonis* Steinhaus, 1896
*Sagitta moreauensis* Gray, 1923

53. *S. zetesios* (Fowler, 1905)
*Sagitta Zetesios* Fowler, 1905

54. *S. marri* (David, 1956)
*Sagitta marri* David, 1956

(12) Genus *Caecosagitta* nov.

The central nuclear and the peripheral cortical regions are not differentiated in the eye, hence no pigments are found there. Lateral fins are nearly wholly rayed. Intestinal diverticula are absent. A single species from the deep water.

55. *C. macrocephala* (Fowler, 1905)
*Sagitta macrocephala* Fowler, 1905

(13) Genus *Flaccisagitta* nov.

The body is flaccid without exception. The corona ciliata is short and confined to the head, starting just behind the brain and stretching to the neck. Intestinal diverticula absent. There is a distinctive rayless-zone on each lateral fin.

56. *F. hexaperta* (d'Orbigny, 1834–44)
*Sagitta hexaperta* d'Orbigny, 1834–44
*Sagitta exaperta* d'Orbigny, 1834–44
*?Sagitta darwini* Grassl, 1883
*?Sagitta diptera* d'Orbigny, 1834–44
*Sagitta fowleri* Benham, 1912
*Sagitta longidentata* Grassl, 1881
*Sagitta magna* Langerhans, 1880
*Sagitta hexaperta f. magna* Germain & Joubin, 1916
*Sagitta Mediterranea* Forbes, 1843
*Sagitta tricuspidata* Kent, 1870
*Sagitta triptera* d'Orbigny, 1834–44

57. *F. enflata* (Grassl, 1881)
*Sagitta enflata* Grassl, 1881
*Sagitta inflata* Ritter-Záhony, 1908
*Sagitta australis* Johnston, 1909
*Sagitta brachycephala* Moltschanoff, 1907
*Sagitta flaccida* Conant, 1896
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58. *F. gardineri* (Doncaster, 1902)
   Sagitta gardineri Doncaster, 1902
   Sagitta inflata, gardineri-type: Ritter-Zahony, 1909
   Sagitta enflata f. gardineri: Tokioka, 1959

59. *F. lyra* (Krohn, 1853)
   Sagitta lyra Krohn, 1853
   *Sagitta furcata* Steinhaus, 1896
   Pseudosagitta grimaldii Germain & Joubin, 1912

60. *F. scrippsae* (Alvareno, 1962)
   Sagitta scrippsae Alvareno, 1962

61. *F. gazellae* (Ritter-Zahony, 1909)
   Sagitta gazellae Ritter-Zahony, 1909

62. *F. maxima* (Conant, 1896)
   Spadella maxima Conant, 1896
   *Sagitta gigantea* Broch, 1906
   *Sagitta Whartoni* Fowler, 1896

Smaller mature individuals of *F. enflata* were ever separated from those of usual body size (forma typica) by Ritter-Zahony* (1909, p. 47) and named forma minor. This distinction will be significant if occurrences of these two forms are separated from each other. *F. gardineri* differs from *enflata* in having longer ovaries, while the length of mature ovary seems quite discontinuous between these two species. In some individuals of *F. lyra* the arrangement of lateral fins resembles closely that of *F. gazellae*, the anterior and posterior fins not being combined with each other by a low rayless elevation. Such individuals are gazellae-forms of *F. lyra* and distinctly differ from genuine *F. gazellae* (see p. 337). *F. scrippsae* is related very closely to *F. lyra*, but it is said that the species is provided with a distinctive collarette around the neck. This feature is quite exceptional for members of this genus. If the existence of the collarette is ascertained by successive authors, then this species must be recognized as a distinct species, as the distribution is confined to the sub-arctic waters of the North Pacific.

IV. Family Pterosagittidae nov. One pair of lateral fins, each beginning at the trunk-tail septum. The massive collarette is developed along the body sides, and there is a tuft of small tentacular protuberances on each lateral side at the level of the ventral ganglion.

(14) Genus *Pterosagitta* Costa, 1869

The corona ciliata is oval, longer than wide, and stretched below the level
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of the neck. Lateral fins are rayed thoroughly.

63. *P. draco* (KROHN, 1953)

*Sagitta draco* KROHN, 1853

*Pterosagitta besnardi* VANNucci & HOSOE, 1952

*Dracochaetus Krohni* ABRIC, 1905

*Pterosagitta mediterranea* A. COSTA, 1869

*Dracochaetus Strodtmanni* ABRIC, 1905

*Spadella Vougai* BÉRANECK, 1895

**Suborder Flabellodontina**

V. Family Krohnittidae nov. One pair of lateral fins, each beginning above the trunk-tail septum. The shape of the tail fin differs slightly from that in other families.

(15) Genus *Krohnitta* RITTER-ZÁHONY, 1910

The corona ciliata is short and begins at the level of the neck. Distinct rayless-zone is found on the lateral fin.

64. *K. subtilis* (GRASSI, 1881)

*Sagitta Suptilis* GRASSI, 1881

65. *K. pacifica* (AIDA, 1897)

*Krohnia pacifica* AIDA, 1897

*Krohnitta kerberti* OYE, 1918

*Krohnia viridis* AIDA, 1897

In all, 5 families, 15 genera and 65 taxa are included in Class Sagittoidea. Of 65 taxa, three are defined as subspecies, one as variety and three as forma, thus 58 are recognized as species. The type species of respective genera are represented by the first one of the species list, and the same as to the families. The establishment of forma, variety or subspecies based on minute morphological differences and the separation of species due to so exact differences found in the body appearance may be accused for their making the taxonomy of Chaetognatha complicated. Nevertheless, such distinctions must be very significant when they are noted in relation to the speciation of Chaetognatha or to the distribution of water masses in oceans. Apart from the problems of ranking these taxa, further efforts are desirable to confirm such exact morphological differences and to clarify the causes of such variations.

The following eleven species are still left as doubtful species.

1. *Sagitta britannica* FORBES, 1843

2. *Sagitta gegenbauri* FOL, 1879

3. *Sagitta helgolandica* R. LEUCKART, 1857

4. *Sagitta coreana* MOLTSCHANOFF, 1907
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5. *Sagitta levis* GALZOW, 1909
6. *Sagitta longicauda* GALZOW, 1909
7. *Sagitta orientalis* MOLTSCHANOFF, 1907
8. *Spadella musculosa* DONCASTER, 1903 (see p. 342)
9. *Spadella profunda* DONCASTER, 1903 (see p. 342)
10. *Zahonya cestoda* OYE, 1918 (see p. 343)
11. *Krohnitella boureei* GERMAN & JOUBIN, 1912 (see p. 343)

As stated in my previous paper (1952, pp. 311-312) *Sagitta longicauda* is related closely to *Aidanosagitta crassa* and *Sagitta coreana* and *S. levis* resemble *f. naikaiensis* of the same species, while *S. orientalis* and again *S. levis* bear some resemblances to *Parasagitta elegans*. Anyhow, the reexamination of type specimens is the only way to solve the questions about these species.

Before closing this taxonomical note on Chaetognatha, I want to express here my hearty thanks to Dr. T. KOMAI, professor emeritus of Kyoto University, who offered me the theme “the systematic study of Chaetognatha” when I graduated from the Zoological Institute of Kyoto University and was going to the former Mitsui Institute of Marine Biology twenty-eight years ago and since then has encouraged me and also given me adequate advices at times. But for his kind teaching and help in earlier stages of this study, I would not be able to reach the present level to propose here a new taxonomical system of Chaetognatha. (1964, 11, 20)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caecosagitta</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Californica</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephaloeca</td>
<td>335, 336, 341, 342, 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephaloeca f. angulata</td>
<td>338, 341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cestoda</td>
<td>337, 334, 335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conantoehaeus</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coreana</td>
<td>335, 336, 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crassa</td>
<td>336, 348, 353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crassa f. naikaeensis</td>
<td>336, 348, 349, 353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crassa f. tumida</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ctenodontina</td>
<td>341, 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darcei</td>
<td>335, 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decipiens</td>
<td>335, 336, 349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delicata</td>
<td>336, 348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demipenna</td>
<td>338, 348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diptera</td>
<td>335, 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draco</td>
<td>335, 336, 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dracochaetus</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edentata</td>
<td>336, 343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elegans</td>
<td>335, 336, 344, 346, 353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elegans arctica</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elegans baltica</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elegans f. baltica</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enflata</td>
<td>335, 336, 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enflata f. gardineri</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enflata f. minor</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eukrohnia</td>
<td>335, 336, 338, 342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eukrohnidae</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eunertica</td>
<td>338, 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euxina</td>
<td>335, 336, 337, 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exaperta</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcidens</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferox</td>
<td>336, 343, 347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferox f. americanus</td>
<td>338, 347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flabellodentina</td>
<td>341, 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaccida (of Conant)</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaccida (of Galzow)</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaccisagitta</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foliasce (=foliaceus)</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fowleri (Eukrohnia)</td>
<td>335, 336, 337, 342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fowleri (Sagitta)</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friderici</td>
<td>335, 336, 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furcata</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallica</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardineri</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardineri-type of inflata</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroproctidae</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gazellea</td>
<td>335, 337, 351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gazellea-form of lyra</td>
<td>337, 351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gegenbauri</td>
<td>335, 337, 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germanica</td>
<td>344, 346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giganteria</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glacialis</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloriae</td>
<td>338, 347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gourrochaetus</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gracilis</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassochaetus</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimaldii</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamata</td>
<td>335, 336, 342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamata var. antarctica</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamata var. boreales</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helenae</td>
<td>335, 336, 344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helglandica</td>
<td>335, 337, 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterokrohnia</td>
<td>335, 336, 342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexapreta</td>
<td>335, 336, 344, 350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexapreta f. magna</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispida</td>
<td>335, 337, 347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispida americana</td>
<td>337, 347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflata</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanica</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnstoni</td>
<td>337, 342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johorensis</td>
<td>338, 348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerberti</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krohni</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krohnia</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krohnitta</td>
<td>335, 336, 341, 352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krohnittella</td>
<td>335, 343, 353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krohnittidae</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krohnochaetus</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacunae</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levis</td>
<td>335, 336, 353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longicauda</td>
<td>335, 336, 353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longidentata</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyra</td>
<td>335, 336, 337, 351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyrochaetus</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrocephala</td>
<td>335, 336, 350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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magna ........................................... 350
mariena ........................................ 341
marioni ....................................... 344
marri .......................................... 337, 350
maxima ......................................... 335, 337, 351
mediterranea (Pterosagitta) .......... 352
mediterranea (Sagitta) ................. 350
melanognatha ................................. 347
Mesosagitta .................................. 349
minima ......................................... 335, 336, 349
mirabilis ...................................... 335, 336, 342
moreauxensis .................................. 343
moretonensis ................................... 337, 341
multidentata (of Hoist) ............... 337, 348
multidentata (of Krohn) ............... 334
musculososa .................................... 337, 342, 353
nana ........................................... 338, 342
neglecta ....................................... 335, 336, 348
neodecipiens .................................. 338, 349
Notoprotidae .................................. 339
Oceanica ........................................ 336, 348
orientalis ....................................... 335, 337, 353
pacific (Krohnittta) ....................... 336, 352
pacific (Serratosagitta) ............... 346
Parasagitta .................................... 346
para ............................................. 336, 348
parcola .......................................... 341
peraviana ...................................... 338, 344
philippini ...................................... 349
Phragmophora ................................... 341
planctomis ..................................... 335, 336, 350
polyodon ........................................ 345
ponica .......................................... 341
pojovicii ....................................... 338, 344
profunda ........................................ 335, 337, 342, 353
pseudoregularis ............................ 348
Pseudosagitta .................................. 351
pseudoserratodentata .................... 346
Pterosagitta ................................. 335, 336, 338, 351
Pterosagittidae ............................... 351
pulchella ...................................... 338, 342
pulchra ......................................... 335, 336, 345
rapax ........................................... 347
regularis ....................................... 335, 336, 348
richardi ......................................... 342
robusta ......................................... 335, 336, 343, 347
rostrata ........................................ 336, 344
Sagitta ........................................ 335, 336, 338, 340, 344, 352
Sagittidae ..................................... 338, 344
sagittiformis ................................... 339
Sagittochaetus ................................ 339
Sagittoidea .................................... 338, 340
schizoptera .................................... 335, 336, 342
scrippae ....................................... 338, 351
Scottochaetus .................................. 339
selkirki ......................................... 338, 346
sepilata ........................................ 348
Serratosagitta ................................ 335, 345
Serradentata ................................... 345
Serradentata atlantica ........................ 346
Serradentata atlantica f. pseudoserradentata ......................... 336, 346
Serradentata atlantica f. tasmanica..336, 346
Serradentata atlantica f. typica ........ 336, 346
Serradentata f. atlantica ................... 346
Serradentata f. pacifica ..................... 346
Serratodentata pacifica .................... 336, 346
Serradentata pseudoserratodentata .... 346
Serradentata tasmanica .................... 346
Serratosagitta ................................ 338, 345
setula ......................................... 346
setosa .......................................... 335, 336, 337, 344
setosa var. euxina ............................ 344
sheardi ......................................... 337, 342
Sibogae ........................................ 349
Solidosagitta .................................. 349
Spadella ........................................ 335, 336, 340, 341, 353
Spadellidae .................................... 341
Spadellochaetus ................................ 339
strodtmanni ..................................... 339
subtilis ........................................... 335, 336, 352
suptilis .......................................... 352
tasmanica ........................................ 346
tenuis .......................................... 336, 337, 344
Trichodermis .................................. 348
Tricuspidata .................................... 350
Triptera ......................................... 336, 350
tropica ......................................... 336, 348
tunida ............................................ 336, 348, 349
viridis .......................................... 336, 352
vouga ............................................ 352
weberi .......................................... 345
whartoni ........................................ 351
Zahonya ......................................... 337, 343, 353
zetesios ......................................... 337, 350
Zonosagitta .................................... 345