

1 Title:

2 Enhancement of production of eugenol and its glycosides in transgenic aspen plants *via*
3 genetic engineering

4

5 Authors:

6 Takao Koeduka^{a,1,*}, Shiro Suzuki^{b,1}, Yoko Iijima^c, Toshiyuki Ohnishi^d, Hideyuki
7 Suzuki^e, Bunta Watanabe^a, Daisuke Shibata^e, Toshiaki Umezawa^b, Eran Pichersky^f, Jun
8 Hiratake^a

9

10 Affiliation:

11 ^aInstitute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto, 611-0011, Japan

12 ^bResearch Institute for Sustainable Humanosphere, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto
13 611-0011, Japan

14 ^cFaculty of Applied Bioscience, Kanagawa Institute of Technology, 1030 Shimo-ogino,
15 Atsugi, Kanagawa 243-0292, Japan

16 ^dFaculty of Agriculture, Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8529,
17 Japan

18 ^eKazusa DNA Research Institute, 2-6-7 Kazusakamatari, Kisarazu, Chiba 292-0818,
19 Japan

20 ^fDepartment of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, University of
21 Michigan, 830 North University Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1048, USA

22

23 ¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

24

25 *To whom correspondence should be addressed:

26 Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan

27 Tel: +81 774 38 3230

1 Fax: +81 774 38 3229

2 E-mail: takaori@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 **Abstract**

2 Eugenol, a volatile phenylpropene found in many plant species, exhibits antibacterial
3 and acaricidal activities. This study attempted to modify the production of eugenol and
4 its glycosides by introducing petunia *coniferyl alcohol acetyltransferase (PhCFAT)* and
5 *eugenol synthase (PhEGS)* into hybrid aspen. Gas chromatography analyses revealed
6 that wild-type hybrid aspen produced small amount of eugenol in leaves. The
7 heterologous overexpression of *PhCFAT* alone resulted in up to 7-fold higher eugenol
8 levels and up to 22-fold eugenol glycoside levels in leaves of transgenic aspen plants.
9 The overexpression of *PhEGS* alone resulted in a subtle increase in either eugenol or
10 eugenol glycosides, and the overexpression of both *PhCFAT* and *PhEGS* resulted in
11 significant increases in the levels of both eugenol and eugenol glycosides which were
12 nonetheless lower than the increases seen with overexpression of *PhCFAT* alone. On the
13 other hand, overexpression of *PhCFAT* in transgenic *Arabidopsis* and tobacco did not
14 cause any synthesis of eugenol. These results indicate that aspen leaves, but not
15 *Arabidopsis* and tobacco leaves, have a partially active pathway to eugenol that is
16 limited by the level of CFAT activity and thus the flux of this pathway can be increased
17 by the introduction of a single heterologous gene.

18

19 **Keywords**

20 transgenic aspen; phenylpropene; monolignol; eugenol glycoside; metabolic
21 engineering

22

23 **Introduction**

24 Eugenol belongs to the class of volatile phenylpropenes that are widely distributed
25 across the plant kingdom. Many phenylpropenes impart a characteristic aroma, and
26 some fresh fruits and processed products that contain them are widely consumed. For
27 example, in the important vegetable crop tomato, many phenylpropene volatiles,

1 including eugenol, are often stored as glycosides, representing an aroma reserve, and
2 overall content of releasable phenylpropenes is an important factor determining the
3 commercial value of the tomato fruits [1,2]. Volatile phenylpropenes also exhibit
4 various physiological activities, antimicrobial and acaricidal activities [3,4], thus these
5 compounds function as direct defense against microorganisms and herbivorous pests.

6 Eugenol is biosynthesized *via* two enzymatic steps. Coniferyl alcohol
7 acetyltransferase (CFAT) catalyzes the first step in eugenol biosynthesis that branches
8 off from the lignin pathway, and eugenol synthase (EGS) is the second and last enzyme
9 responsible for synthesis of eugenol (Fig. 1). The two genes have been isolated and
10 functionally characterized in basil (*Ocimum basilicum*), petunia (*Petunia hybrida* and
11 *Petunia axillaris*), *Clarkia breweri*, and anise (*Pimpinella anisum*) [5-10].

12 To date, genetic modification of phenylpropene biosynthesis has been used to alter
13 the volatile composition only in strawberry fruits [11]. In transgenic strawberry, the
14 modification of anthocyanin biosynthesis by simultaneously expressing *EGS* and
15 downregulating *chalcone synthase (CHS)* gene boosted the formation of eugenol,
16 isoeugenol, and their analogs chavicol and anol (the latter two are phenylpropenes
17 without a functional group at 3' position of the aromatic ring, see Fig. 1), indicating that
18 diverting the flavonoid pathway to phenylpropene biosynthesis through metabolic
19 engineering [11] is also possible. Surprisingly, there is no report about the metabolic
20 engineering in vegetative parts, using model plants such as tobacco and *Arabidopsis*.
21 Hybrid aspen (*Populus tremula* × *tremuloides*), which is a relatively amenable tree
22 species for transformation, has been utilized to genetically engineer the lignin
23 biosynthetic pathway. Because the lignin pathway shares some of its precursors with the
24 phenylpropene pathway (Fig. 1) and enhancing the productivity of eugenol *in planta*
25 may contribute to improve plant protection, plants with high levels of lignin
26 biosynthesis are attractive targets for genetic manipulation of the phenylpropene
27 pathway. In this study, we attempted to engineer eugenol synthesis in tobacco,

1 *Arabidopsis*, and hybrid aspen by introducing petunia *CFAT* and/or petunia *EGS* genes.

2

3 **Materials and methods**

4 *Preparation and growth of transgenic plants*

5 In preparation of transgenic hybrid aspen, tobacco and *Arabidopsis*, we first subcloned
6 the coding sequences of *P. hybrida CFAT* (*PhCFAT*; GenBank accession ABG75942)
7 and *P. hybrida EGS* (*PhEGS*; GenBank accession ABR24115) individually into the
8 pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The resulting entry vector
9 was then recombined with the destination vector pH35GS [12] or pMDC32 [13] using
10 LR clonase II (Life Technologies). *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation and
11 regeneration of hybrid aspen (*Populus tremula* × *tremuloides* T89) were carried out as
12 previously described [12] using the equivalent mixture of cells [14] of *A. tumefaciens*
13 GV3101::pMP90 harboring pH35GS-PhCFAT and pH35GS-PhEGS (Supplementary
14 Fig. 1). The regenerated transformants were screened by RT-PCR with the specific
15 primers described as below. PCR-positive transgenic aspen were acclimatized in a
16 growth chamber at 25°C under a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod for one month. The
17 plants were then transplanted into 1/10,000a Wagner pots containing a equivalent
18 mixture of garden soil “Hanasaki Monogatari” (Akimoto-tensanbutsu, Iga, Japan) and
19 vermiculite and were grown in a greenhouse at 25±2°C from June to October. The
20 transgenic *Arabidopsis* and tobacco plants were produced by using *A. tumefaciens* strain
21 AGL1 harboring pMDC32-PhCFAT (Supplementary Fig. 1) and a floral dip or a leaf
22 disc protocol [15,16]. The obtained transformants were grown in the growth chamber at
23 22°C for *Arabidopsis* and 25°C for tobacco under a 14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod.

24

25 *RT-PCR analysis*

26 Total RNA was isolated from each leaf tissue with an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen,
27 Tokyo, Japan). The RNA was subjected to DNase treatment using the DNA-free kit

1 (Life Technologies), and the first strand cDNAs were synthesized using Superscript III
2 reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies) with Oligo-dT primer. Semi-quantitative
3 RT-PCR experiments with KOD FX Neo polymerase (Toyobo, Tokyo, Japan) were
4 carried out for 32 cycles (98°C for 2 min and then cycling at 98°C for 15 sec, 54°C for
5 30 sec and 68°C for 30 sec). PCR primers for *PhCFAT* amplification were forward
6 primer, 5'-TATTGACGATTCTAAAAGATGCAAACCTT-3', and reverse primer,
7 5'-TATTGACGATTCTAAAAGATGCAAACCTT-3'. Primers (forward and reverse,
8 respectively) for *PhEGS* were 5'-CCAACTTTGGTTCAGCCAGGAGC-3' and
9 5'-TCCGCTCGAGTTAGGCAAAGTGACTAAGGTACTC-3'. Primers (forward and
10 reverse, respectively) for *Actin*, which was used as reference for standardization of
11 cDNA amounts, were 5'-GCCAGTGGTCGTACAACCTGGTATTG-3' and
12 5'-CCTTGATCTTCATGCTTGGAGC-3'.

13

14 *Analysis of phenylpropene volatiles*

15 Leaves (250 mg fresh weight) from each plant were ground using mortar and pestle in
16 liquid nitrogen. The ground powder was homogenized and immersed with 2.0 mL of
17 hexane containing guaiacol (20 µg) as an internal standard. The mixture was incubated
18 at 25°C for 1 hr to extract eugenol. The extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium
19 sulfate and concentrated to 100 µL by passing air-flow. The concentrated extracts were
20 passed through a CENTRICUT W-MR column (MF 0.4 µm, Kurabo, Osaka, Japan) to
21 remove any residue. Eugenol and other phenylpropene volatiles were analyzed by a
22 Shimadzu GC-2014 or GCMS-QP2010 Plus equipped with a DB-5 column (15 m ×
23 0.25 mm I.D. × 0.4 µm film thickness, Agilent Technologies) as previously described
24 [8]. Separation conditions were as follows: 80°C for the initial temperature using a
25 2-min hold, and then a temperature gradient from 80°C to 240 °C at 10°C min⁻¹ was
26 applied, followed by a 5-min hold at 240°C. Injection and detector temperatures were
27 set at 250°C and 280°C, respectively. Eluted compounds were identified by comparing

1 their retention time and mass fragmentation patterns with authentic standards.

2
3 *LC/MS/MS analysis of eugenol glycosides in transgenic hybrid aspen*

4 Each frozen leaf sample was powdered with a mortar and pestle. Powdered samples
5 (100 mg) were extracted with 300 μ L of extraction solvent (methanol containing 10
6 μ g/mL genistein as an internal standard). After samples had been homogenized twice
7 with a Mill MM 300 mixer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) at 27 Hz for 2 min,
8 homogenates were centrifuged. The supernatant was removed, and an additional 300 μ L
9 was added to the residue, and the extraction was repeated. The pooled extracts were
10 diluted with methanol to 10 times volume, and filtered through a 0.2 μ m PVDF
11 membrane (Whatman, Brentford, U.K.). The filtrate (10 μ L) was applied to LC-MS.

12 A Finnigan LTQ Orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) coupled
13 with an Agilent 1200 system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) was used for
14 LC-MS analysis. A TSK-gel column ODS-100V (5 μ m, 4.6 mm I.D. \times 250 mm,
15 TOSOH, Tokyo, Japan) was used for separation of eugenol glycosides by mobile phase
16 consisting of 0.1% (v/v) aqueous formic acid (solvent A) and 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in
17 acetonitrile (solvent B). The gradient program was as follows: 3 to 50% B for the first
18 20 min, 50 to 90% B from 20 to 40 min, 90% B from 40 to 45 min, and 95% B from 45
19 to 50 min, with a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹. The column oven temperature was set at
20 40°C. The MS system was operated in the positive mode according to a previously
21 described method [17].

22 Quantification of eugenol glycosides in the leaves of hybrid aspen was performed
23 using calibration curves prepared with eugenyl primeveroside.

24
25 *Purification of eugenyl 6-O- β -D-xylopyranosyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (eugenyl*
26 *primeveroside)*

27 For purification of eugenyl 6-O- β -D-xylopyranosyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (eugenyl

1 primeveroside), the fresh leaves of *Camellia sasanqua* were plucked at the Center for
2 Education and Research in Field Sciences, Shizuoka University (Fujieda, Shizuoka,
3 Japan) in March from 2009 to 2011. Fresh young leaves were finely chopped and
4 crushed in liquid nitrogen by a homogenizer. The fine powder was extracted three times
5 with 80% methanol and filtered. The combined extracts were concentrated *in vacuo* and
6 separated three times with hexane and H₂O. The combined aqueous fractions were
7 applied on a solid phase extract column (Oasis HLB 3 cc Vac Cartridge, Waters,
8 Milford, MA). The column was washed with H₂O and eluted with MeOH. The eluent
9 was applied to a reversed-phase HPLC column (Cosmosil 5C₁₈-MS-II, 4.6 mm I.D. ×
10 150 mm, Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) with gradient elution of 15 to 70% acetonitrile
11 in H₂O and detection at UV 278 nm. The collected fractions were checked by
12 HPLC-LC/MS (*m/z* 371, negative mode), and the fractions containing eugenyl
13 primeveroside were further purified by HPLC (CAPCELL PAK C₁₈ UG120, 4.6 mm
14 I.D. × 250 mm, Shiseido, Tokyo, Japan) to afford the target compound. The molecular
15 formula and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data of the isolated compound was identical
16 to eugenyl primeveroside as previously described [16].

17

18 **Results**

19 *Generation of transgenic plants*

20 Previous studies showed that two enzymes, CFAT and EGS, were required to convert
21 coniferyl alcohol to eugenol [5,7]. Because detectable levels of coniferyl alcohol were
22 observed in aspen differentiating xylem [19], we rationalized that the overexpression of
23 CFAT and EGS would result in an increase in the production of eugenol in aspen.
24 Therefore, we used a mixture of two different *Agrobacterium* transformants [14]
25 harboring pH35GS-PhCFAT and pH35GS-PhEGS (Supplementary Fig. 1) to infect
26 hybrid aspen stem segments. We obtained more than 50 transgenic lines after repeated
27 subcultures containing hygromycin as a selective reagent. The transgene expression was

1 checked by RT-PCR using leaves of the regenerated transformants (Fig. 2). Of these
2 lines, *PhCFAT* alone was expressed in 18 lines, *PhEGS* alone was expressed in 26 lines,
3 and both *PhCFAT* and *PhEGS* were expressed in 12 lines. All transgenic lines
4 established with the *PhCFAT* and/or *PhEGS* genes showed the same morphological
5 phenotype as wild-type plants (Supplementary Fig. 2). Some of the representative
6 transformants that survived after transplanting in pots were used for the subsequent
7 analysis.

8

9 *Production of eugenol and its glycosides in transgenic aspen plants overexpressing*
10 *PhCFAT and/or PhEGS*

11 Leaves of transgenic lines and wild type of hybrid aspen were extracted with hexane
12 and analyzed by GC-MS for the production of eugenol and other volatile compounds.
13 GC analyses of *PhEGS*-overexpressing lines showed only a slight increase in eugenol
14 level in hybrid aspen as compared to that of the wild type (the eugenol content of
15 wild-type plants ranged from 6.2 to 8.8 $\mu\text{g/g}$ fresh weight, with an average of $7.4 \pm$
16 $1.3 \mu\text{g/g}$ fresh weight, whereas for *PhEGS*-expressing lines, an average of $11.3 \pm$
17 $3.2 \mu\text{g/g}$ fresh weight). However, in the transgenic lines overexpressing both *PhCFAT*
18 and *PhEGS* or only *PhCFAT*, a significant increase in the eugenol production was
19 observed, with eugenol content measured at 52.5 and 38.9 $\mu\text{g/g}$ fresh weight on average,
20 respectively. In particular, the *PhCFAT* transformant no. 4 accumulated 85.1 μg
21 eugenol/g fresh weight, which was 12-fold greater than that of the wild type (Fig. 3). It
22 should be noted that no other phenylpropenes were detected in addition to eugenol in
23 the transgenic lines (data not shown).

24 Eugenol is often detected as a glycosylated form in plants [1,20,21]. Therefore, the
25 accumulation of eugenol glycosides in the leaves was analyzed using liquid
26 chromatography/mass/mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) (Supplementary Fig. 3). When
27 compared with the mass pattern and retention time of the standard eugenyl

1 primeveroside, glycosylated eugenol was detected as a monoglycoside and a
2 diglycoside in all of the transformant as well as the wild-type aspen plants. In the
3 *PhCFAT*-overexpressing line, the maximal increase in both eugenol monoglycoside and
4 diglycoside of 22 and 3.5-fold, respectively, was observed over the levels seen in
5 wild-type plants, and the *PhCFAT* and *PhEGS* double transformants exhibited 7.5 and
6 2.5-fold increases over wild type (Fig. 4). In contrast, the level of eugenol glycosides
7 from *PhEGS* single transformants was only slightly higher than the wild-type level. A
8 similar accumulation pattern between the level of eugenol glycosides and its aglycone
9 was observed among all the transformants (Fig. 3, 4).

10 Since transgenic aspen leaves expressing only *PhCFAT* showed a significant
11 increase in eugenol biosynthesis, we checked to see if aspen leaves already express an
12 endogenous gene encoding EGS. This was a reasonable hypothesis particularly in light
13 of the observations that non-transgenic aspen leaves already synthesize low levels of
14 eugenol (Fig. 3). A search of the database
15 (<http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/cgi-bin/tgi/gimain.pl?gudb=poplar>) identified several
16 aspen cDNAs that encode proteins with high identity to *bona fide* EGS proteins (Table
17 1). Moreover, transcripts of some of these genes were identified in leaf RNA (Table 1).

18 As expressing *PhCFAT* by itself led to a large increase in eugenol production in
19 hybrid aspen, we next examined whether transforming tobacco and *Arabidopsis* plants
20 with *PhCFAT* would also result in increased eugenol production. The T2 generation
21 plants of transformants with pMDC32-PhCFAT (Fig. 2) were analyzed, and, in contrast
22 to hybrid aspen, no significant increase in the eugenol production was observed in both
23 transgenic tobacco and *Arabidopsis* harboring *PhCFAT* as compared to the wild type
24 (Supplementary Table 1).

25

26 **Discussion**

27 Recently, Hoffman et al [11] reported that the expression of basil *EGS* gene or petunia

1 *IGS (isoeugenol synthase)* in strawberry fruit, which is rich in anthocyanins (pigments
2 that are derived from *p*-coumaroyl CoA), led to a large increase in the levels of eugenol,
3 chavicol, isoeugenol, and anol. The presence of phenylpropene glycosides was not
4 examined. Based on these results, they concluded that the strawberry fruit already
5 expresses the putative acyl transferases that produce monolignol acetates but lacks the
6 final enzyme (EGS or IGS) for phenylpropene biosynthesis. This conclusion was
7 supported by the observation that *p*-coumaryl acetate accumulated in the transgenic
8 strawberry fruits expressing a *CHS*-RNAi construct (suppressing chalcone synthase).

9 In our investigation in aspen we have observed that expressing *PhCFAT* by itself
10 greatly increased the production of eugenol, and expressing *PhEGS* in addition to
11 *PhCFAT* also caused an increase in eugenol production, but at a lower level compared
12 with *PhCFAT* alone, while expressing *PhEGS* by itself resulted in only a minor increase
13 in eugenol levels over those seen in wild-type aspen plants. These observations suggest
14 that, as in strawberries, aspen has a latent ability to biosynthesize eugenol, but that the
15 limiting enzyme in aspen is not EGS but CFAT. Thus, the introduction of *PhCFAT* to
16 aspen plants led to a much higher flux for monolignol acetates and hence a significant
17 increase in eugenol biosynthesis. This conclusion is consistent with the observations
18 that (1) wild-type aspen leaves contain some eugenol, (2) their vascular tissue
19 (including the prominent veins in the leaves) is known to synthesize monolignols, and
20 (3) genes with significant sequence identity to *bona fide* EGS enzymes have been found
21 to be expressed in aspen leaf tissue.

22 The expression of *PhCFAT* did not lead to the production of other phenylpropenes
23 such as chavicol and methoxyeugenol in the transgenic aspen leaves (data not shown).
24 One possible explanation for this observation is that, even though PhCFAT was present
25 in the transgenic plants, the PhCFAT activities against *p*-coumaryl alcohol and sinapyl
26 alcohol is lower than its activity with coniferyl alcohol, as previously reported [7], or
27 that the levels of *p*-coumaryl alcohol and sinapyl alcohol were not sufficient for

1 chavicol or methoxyeugenol formation *in planta*, suggesting that the phenylpropene
2 production is dependent on *in vivo* production of monolignols and their acetates.

3 In addition to the increase in eugenol levels, *PhCFAT* overexpression with/without
4 *PhEGS* expression also led to a proportional increase in the levels of eugenol glycosides
5 in transgenic hybrid aspen (Fig. 4). Because eugenol is generally toxic to plant cells as
6 well as to herbivores, free eugenol is often stored in the compartmentalized tissue such
7 as trichomes [22] or is converted to its glycosylated forms that can be stored in vacuoles.
8 It was previously reported that tomato fruits and leaves of *Camellia sasanqua* contain
9 glycosylated eugenol rather than its aglycone [1,20]. In this study, we used eugenyl
10 6-*O*-(β -D-xylopyranosyl)- β -D-glucopyranoside (eugenyl primeveroside) isolated from *C.*
11 *sasanqua* leaves as a standard. However, the mass spectroscopic analysis indicated that
12 the aspen eugenol diglycosides are not identical to that of *C. sasanqua* leaves although
13 the MS/MS spectra are very similar [Supplementary Fig. 3 (E) and (F)].

14 In contrast to the situation in aspen, where small amounts of eugenol were already
15 found in wild-type plants and the introduction of *PhCFAT* alone greatly increased these
16 amounts, wild-type tobacco and *Arabidopsis* plants did not contain any eugenol, and the
17 introduction of *PhCFAT* did not lead to any eugenol synthesis. This observation
18 suggests that these plants are missing multiple enzymes in the pathway to
19 phenylpropene in the leaf tissues examined.

20 This report demonstrates that the overexpression of *PhCFAT* in transgenic hybrid
21 aspen leads to higher levels of eugenol and eugenol glycosides. For *in vivo*
22 phenylpropene production, the supply of the endogenous monolignols and their acetates
23 in the host plants is clearly a prerequisite in attempt to manipulate phenylpropene levels.
24 In future work it would be of interest to investigate if the increased levels of eugenol in
25 the transgenic plants correlates with antimicrobial and acaricidal activities.

26
27 **Acknowledgement**

1 We thank Dr. Kazufumi Yazaki of Kyoto University for his valuable advice and Ms.
2 Naoko Tsue of Kyoto University for her technical assistance. We also acknowledge Dr.
3 Taku Demura of Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Dr. Csaba Koncz of
4 Max-Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, and Dr. Björn Sundberg of Umeå
5 Plant Science Centre for providing pH35GS vector, *A. tumefaciens* GV3101::pMP90,
6 and *P. tremula* × *tremuloides* T89, respectively. We also thank DASH (Development
7 and Assessment of Sustainable Humanosphere) system of Research Institute for
8 Sustainable Humanosphere, Kyoto University. This study was supported in part by the
9 Shorai Foundation for Science and Technology.

10

11 **References**

12 [1] Y.M. Tikunov, R.C. de Vos, A.M. González Paramás, R.D. Hall, A.G. Bovy, A role
13 for differential glycoconjugation in the emission of phenylpropanoid volatiles from
14 tomato fruit discovered using a metabolic data fusion approach, *Plant Physiol.* 152
15 (2010) 55-70.

16

17 [2] S. Birtić, C. Ginies, M. Causse, C.M. Renard, D. Page, Changes in volatiles and
18 glycosides during fruit maturation of two contrasted tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*)
19 lines, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 57 (2009) 591-598.

20

21 [3] P. Zhang, E. Zhang, M. Xiao, C. Chen, W. Xu, Enhanced chemical and biological
22 activities of a newly biosynthesized eugenol glycoconjugate, eugenol
23 α -D-glucopyranoside, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 97 (2013) 1043-1050.

24

25 [4] C. Pasay, K. Mounsey, G. Stevenson, R. Davis, L. Arlian, M. Morgan, D.
26 Vyszenski-Moher, K. Andrews, J. McCarthy, Acaricidal activity of eugenol based
27 compounds against scabies mites, *PLoS One* 5 (2010) e12079.

- 1
- 2 [5] T. Koeduka, E. Fridman, D.R. Gang, D.G. Vassão, B.L. Jackson, C.M. Kish, I.
3 Orlova, S.M. Spassova, N.G. Lewis, J.P. Noel, T.J. Baiga, N. Dudareva, E. Pichersky,
4 Eugenol and isoeugenol, characteristic aromatic constituents of spices, are
5 biosynthesized via reduction of a coniferyl alcohol ester, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
6 103 (2006) 10128-10133.
- 7
- 8 [6] G.V. Louie, T.J. Baiga, M.E. Bowman, T. Koeduka, J.H. Taylor, S.M. Spassova, E.
9 Pichersky, J.P. Noel, Structure and reaction mechanism of basil eugenol synthase,
10 PLoS One 2 (2007) e993.
- 11
- 12 [7] R. Dexter, A. Qualley, C.M. Kish, C.J. Ma, T. Koeduka, D.A. Nagegowda, N.
13 Dudareva, E. Pichersky, D. Clark, Characterization of a petunia acetyltransferase
14 involved in the biosynthesis of the floral volatile isoeugenol, Plant J. 49 (2007)
15 265-275.
- 16
- 17 [8] T. Koeduka, G.V. Louie, I. Orlova, C.M. Kish, M. Ibdah, C.G. Wilkerson, M.E.
18 Bowman, T.J. Baiga, J.P. Noel, N. Dudareva, E. Pichersky, The multiple
19 phenylpropene synthases in both *Clarkia breweri* and *Petunia hybrida* represent two
20 distinct protein lineages, Plant J. 54 (2008) 362-374.
- 21
- 22 [9] T. Koeduka, T.J. Baiga, J.P. Noel, E. Pichersky, Biosynthesis of t-anethole in anise:
23 characterization of t-anol/isoeugenol synthase and an O-methyltransferase specific
24 for a C7-C8 propenyl side chain, Plant Physiol. 149 (2009) 384-394.
- 25
- 26 [10] T. Koeduka, I. Orlova, T.J. Baiga, J.P. Noel, N. Dudareva, E. Pichersky, The lack
27 of floral synthesis and emission of isoeugenol in *Petunia axillaris* subsp. *parodii* is

- 1 due to a mutation in the isoeugenol synthase gene, *Plant J.* 60 (2009) 961-969.
- 2
- 3 [11] T. Hoffmann, R. Kurtzer, K. Skowranek, P. Kiessling, E. Fridman, E. Pichersky,
4 W. Schwab, Metabolic engineering in strawberry fruit uncovers a dormant
5 biosynthetic pathway, *Metab. Eng.* 13 (2011) 527-531.
- 6
- 7 [12] M. Kubo, M. Udagawa, N. Nishikubo, G. Horiguchi, M. Yamaguchi, J. Ito, T.
8 Mimura, H. Fukuda, T. Demura, Transcription switches for protoxylem and
9 metaxylem vessel formation. *Genes Dev.* 19 (2005) 1855-1860.
- 10
- 11 [13] M.D. Curtis, U. Grossniklaus, A gateway cloning vector set for high-throughput
12 functional analysis of genes in planta, *Plant Physiol.* 133 (2003) 462-469.
- 13
- 14 [14] L. Li, Y. Zhou, X. Cheng, J. Sun, J.M. Marita, J. Ralph, V.L. Chiang,
15 Combinatorial modification of multiple lignin traits in trees through multigene
16 cotransformation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100 (2003) 4939-4944.
- 17
- 18 [15] A. Bent, *Arabidopsis thaliana* floral dip transformation method, *Methods Mol.*
19 *Biol.* 343 (2006) 87-103.
- 20
- 21 [16] R.B. Horsch, J.E. Fry, N.L. Hoffmann, D. Eichholtz, S.G. Rogers, R.T. Fraley, A
22 simple and general method for transferring genes into plants, *Science*, 227 (1985)
23 1229-1231.
- 24
- 25 [17] Y. Iijima, Y. Nakamura, Y. Ogata, K. Tanaka, N. Sakurai, K. Suda, T. Suzuki, H.
26 Suzuki, K. Okazaki, M. Kitayama, S. Kanaya, K. Aoki, D. Shibata, Metabolite
27 annotations based on the integration of mass spectral information, *Plant J.* 54 (2008)

1 949-962

2

3 [18] M. Straubinger, H. Knapp, N. Watanabe, N. Oka, H. Washio, P. Winterhalter,
4 Three novel eugenol glycosides from rose flowers, *Rosa damascena* Mill, Natural
5 Product Letters 13 (1999) 5-10.

6

7 [19] S. Suzuki, N. Sakakibara, L. Li, T. Umezawa, V.L. Chiang, Profiling of
8 phenylpropanoid monomers in developing xylem tissue of transgenic aspen (*Populus*
9 *tremuloides*). J. Wood Sci. 56 (2010) 71-76.

10

11 [20] C.R. Parks, K. Kondo, T. Swain, Phytochemical evidence for the genetic
12 contamination of *Camellia sasanqua* Thunberg, Japan J. Breed. 31 (1981) 168-182.

13

14 [21] S. Hammami, M.L. Ciavatta, H.B. Jannet, G. Cimino, Z. Mighri, Three phenolic
15 and a sterol glycosides identified for the first time in *Matthiola longipetala* growing
16 in Tunisia, Croatica Chemica Acta 79 (2006) 215-218.

17

18 [22] D.R. Gang, T. Beuerle, P. Ullmann, D. Werck-Reichhart, E. Pichersky, Differential
19 production of meta hydroxylated phenylpropanoids in sweet basil peltate glandular
20 trichomes and leaves is controlled by the activities of specific acyltransferases and
21 hydroxylases, Plant Physiol. 130 (2002) 1536-1544.

22

23 **Table legend**

24 Table 1. Genes encoding EGS-like proteins in *Populus* EST databases.

25

26 **Figure legend**

27 Figure 1. Formation of phenylpropenes in transgenic plants.

1 Eugenol is formed with the subsequent reactions by coniferyl alcohol acetyltransferase
2 (CFAT) and eugenol synthase (EGS) from coniferyl alcohol. Eugenol is glycosylated by
3 the endogenous glycosyltransferase (GT). Lignins are produced by the polymerization
4 of monolignols such as *p*-coumaryl alcohol and coniferyl alcohol. The minor pathway
5 leading to hydroxyphenyl lignin was indicated by a broken arrow. Chalcone synthase
6 (CHS) catalyzes the formation of flavonoids and anthocyanins. The phenylpropene
7 pathway was indicated by a broken line.

8

9 Figure 2. Expression of *coniferyl alcohol acetyltransferase* and *eugenol synthase* in
10 independent transformants.

11 Semi-quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis confirmed *PhCFAT* and *PhEGS*
12 transcript levels in transgenic hybrid aspen. Actin expression levels were used as an
13 internal control. Expression of *PhCFAT* mRNAs in transgenic tobacco (SR1) and
14 *Arabidopsis* (Col-0) were also shown. WT indicates wild type.

15

16 Figure 3. The accumulation of eugenol in transgenic hybrid aspen.

17 (A) Representative gas chromatograms of hexane extracts from aspen leaves.

18 (B) Level of eugenol accumulated in aspen leaves.

19

20 Figure 4. The accumulation of eugenol glycosides in transgenic hybrid aspen.

21 The amount of the glycosides is represented as relative abundance (Wild type = 1)

22 (A) Level of eugenol monoglycoside in aspen leaves.

23 (B) Level of eugenol diglycoside in aspen leaves.

24

25 **Supplementary data**

26 Supplementary Figure 1. The constructs for overexpressing of *PhCFAT* and *PhEGS*
27 under control of the 35S promoter.

1 (A) The constructs used for overexpression of *PhCFAT* and *PhEGS* in hybrid aspen.
2 (B) The constructs used for overexpression of *PhCFAT* in tobacco and *Arabidopsis*.
3
4 Supplementary Figure 2. Morphology of transgenic plants overexpressing *PhCFAT*
5 and/or *PhEGS*.
6
7 Supplementary Figure 3. LC/MS/MS analysis of eugenol glycosides produced by
8 transgenic hybrid aspen overexpressing *PhCFAT*.
9 (A) HPLC chromatogram of eugenol monoglycoside extracted from *PhCFAT*
10 transformants.
11 (B) HPLC chromatogram of eugenol diglycoside extracted from *PhCFAT*
12 transformants.
13 (C) HPLC chromatogram of authentic eugenyl primeveroside.
14 The metabolic products and authentic eugenol glycoside were analyzed by LC/MS/MS
15 using selected ion monitoring at m/z 371 for eugenol monoglycoside (D) and at m/z 503
16 for eugenol diglycoside (E) and authentic eugenyl primeveroside (F).
17
18 Supplementary Table 1. Production of eugenol in transgenic plants with overexpression
19 of *PhCFAT*.
20

Table 1. Genes encoding EGS-like proteins in *Populus* EST databases.

Sequence ID	Annotation	Length (bp)	Identity to PhEGS (%)	Expressed in leaf tissue
TC142239	Isoflavone reductase-like	1991	76	yes
TC147936	Leucoanthocyanidin reductase-like	1492	41	yes
TC138212	Phenylcoumaran benzylic ether reductase-like	1321	77	yes
TC141798	Isoflavone reductase-like	1211	55	no
TC145463	Phenylcoumaran benzylic ether reductase-like	1178	77	no
TC144261	Phenylcoumaran benzylic ether reductase-like	1030	78	yes
TC148965	Pinoresinol-lariciresinol reductase-like	976	49	no
CK320793	Phenylcoumaran benzylic ether reductase-like	812	76	no
TC154756	Phenylcoumaran benzylic ether reductase-like	754	74	no






Figure 1. Koeduka et al.

Hybrid aspen



N. tabacum



A. thaliana




Figure 2. Koeduka et al.





Figure 3. Koeduka et al.







Figure 4. Koeduka et al.

A



B



Hybrid aspen



Wild type (Control) *35S::PhCFAT* *35S::PhEGS*
+
35S::PhCFAT

Arabidopsis



Wild type (Control)


35S::PhCFAT

Tobacco



Wild type (Control)

35S::PhCFAT



Supplementary Fig. 3. Koeduka et al.

Supplementary Table 1. Production of eugenol in transgenic plants with overexpression of *PhCFAT*.

Host plants	Line	Produced eugenol
		μg/g fresh weight
Hybrid aspen	wild type	7.5 ± 1.3
	<i>PhCFAT</i>	52.4 ± 20.3
Tobacco	wild type	n.d.
	<i>PhCFAT</i>	n.d.
<i>Arabidopsis</i>	wild type	n.d.
	<i>PhCFAT</i>	n.d.

n.d., Not detectable