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Two commensal copepods belonging to the family Lichomolgidae were obtain­ed respectively from a cuttlefish, Sepia esculenta, and a sabellid polychaete, Sabellastarte indica, in the vicinity of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory in 1971. The commensal of Sepia, representing a new species of the genus Lichomolgus, is described here under the name of L. sepiae. The new species is nearly allied to L. longicauda (Claus, 1860) which has been frequently recorded as associating with Sepia officinalis and S. filionuxi in the Mediterranean and the Atlantic (see Stock, 1956, Humes and Stock, 1973), and these two may probably be regarded as the sibling species, the latter distributed in the Mediterranean or the Atlantic and the former in the Pacific.

The other species here dealt with is identified with Nasomolgus firmus Humes and Ho, 1967, originally recorded from Sabellastarte magnica in Madagascar. The specimens obtained from S. indica in Japan is almost in complete agreement with the original description, except a few minor variations. To verify the present identification, the figures of Japanese specimens are given in this paper together with some measurements.

Lichomolgus sepiae n. sp.
(Figs. 1–19)

Material: Two ovigerous females and 4 males taken from gills of Sepia esculenta Hoyle, caught in Tanabe Bay on the Pacific coast of Middle Japan on April 17, 1971. Holotype (female) and paratypes (1 female and 4 males) are depodited at the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory.

Female: Body (Fig. 1) somewhat slender, 1.28 mm long, excluding caudal ramus, 0.58 mm wide; carapace 0.51 mm long on the median line. Female para­type 1.27 mm long, 0.54 mm wide, with carapace 0.48 mm long. First pedigerous

1) Contributions from the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory, No. 615.
2) This work was partly supported by grants in Aid for Miscellaneous Scientific Researches from the Ministry of Education (Nos. 874226 and 974236).

segment distinct from carapace through a dorsal suture. Genital segment distinct from succeeding segment. Translucent; eyes with silvery luster.

First antenna (Fig. 3) 7-segmented; formula of spinules on segments as 4, 11,
6, 3, 4+1 aesthetes, 2+1 aesthetes, and 7+1 aesthete. Second antenna (Figs. 2 & 4) 4-segmented; first two segments long, each with a seta; third segment short, with 3 setae, inclusive of a feeble hook-like one; terminal segment intermediate in length, with 2 unequal stout claws and 3 setae. Mandible (Fig. 5) structured as in *L. longicauda* and *Doridicola agilis*; in addition to having a squamiform process fringed by ca. 23 spinules, armed with pectination consisting of ca. 31 setae on the concave side in the middle and with terminal lash serrated with ca. 34 teeth on the convex side, but spineless on the concave side. Paragnath (Fig. 6) is a small lobe hairy on the median margin. First maxilla (Fig. 6) elongate, bearing a seta near the middle and 3 finely denticulate terminal spines. Second maxilla (Fig. 7) 2-segmented; first segment stout, nearly as long as wide; second segment tapering distally, ending in 2, long and short, lash-like spines and bearing 2 short, proximo-distally arranged setae. Longer distal spine fringed on the median side with a row of ca. 19 spinules diminishing the size distally, while shorter one about half as long as the longer with ca. 10 tiny marginal spinules on the median side and 2 or 3 hairs

Figs. 9-12. *Lichomolgus sepiae* n. sp., female. 9. urosome, dorsal view. 10. genital segment with spermatophores, dorsal view. 11. first and second legs in situ, ventral view. 12. third and fourth legs in situ, ventral view, magnification as in fig. 11.
on the other side. Maxilliped (Fig. 8) 3-segmented; first segment unarmed; second segment slightly shorter than the first, with 2 setae; third segment very short, wider than long, tipped by 2 stout spines spinulated on both sides and a feeble seta.

First four pairs of legs (Figs. 11 & 12) carrying 3-segmented endopodite. Setal formulae of these legs as follows (number of spines in Roman and that of setae in Arabic numerals):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exopodite</th>
<th>Endopodite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leg 1</td>
<td>I-0; I-1;  I-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg 2</td>
<td>I-0; I-1; III, 1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg 3</td>
<td>I-0; I-1; III, 1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg 4</td>
<td>I-0; I-1; II, 1-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fifth leg (Fig. 9) 2-segmented; basal segment much shorter, fused with pleuron of somite, and tipped by a plumose seta on the dorsal side; second segment ca. 150 μ long, as long as or slightly longer than genital segment, and with a ventro-proximal bulge and 2 apical setae. Sixth leg may be represented by a small knob situated near gonopore and bearing 2 tiny setae (Fig. 10). Caudal ramus (Fig. 10) long, ca. 160 μ in length and nearly 3.5 times as long as wide.

Male: 4 specimens. Body (Fig. 13) slenderer than female. Length excluding caudal ramus 1.19 mm, with 0.38 mm, and carapace length 0.42 mm on an average.

First antenna (Fig. 14) carrying 4, 12+1 aesthete, 6, 3+1 aesthete, 4+1 aesthete, 1+1 aesthete, and 7+1 aesthete, from 1st to 7th segment. Second antenna (Fig. 15) slightly different from that of female in spinulation; 2 spines respectively on first and second segments broader and irregularly spinulate on an edge; second and terminal segments more or less spinulose. Labrum, mandible, paragnath and two maxillae similar to those of female. Maxilliped (Fig. 17) 4-segmented; first two segments long, third very small, fourth forming an elongate claw. Second segment armed with a row of ca. 21 short spines, another row of fine spines and 2 isolated spines on the inner margin; the longer of the spines mentioned last with biserial spinules. Terminal segment falciform, rimmed with a narrow membrane along the whole concave side, carrying a stout basal seta fringed by uniserial spinules and a tiny subbasal simple spinules.

First four pairs of legs as in female in both segmentation and armature, except setation on third endopodite segment of first leg II-4 instead of I-5 in female (Fig. 18). Abnormal setation of third exopodite segment of right third leg, II, I-5, instead of III, I-5 in normal ones, observed in one male. Free segment of fifth leg (Fig. 19) ca. 100 μ long, without basal bulge, and ending in 2 terminal setae with narrow rim on both edges. Caudal ramus ca. 130 μ long.

Remarks: *Lichomolgus sepiæ* n. sp. is clearly distinguishable from the closely related species, *L. longicauda*, by having a narrower carapace. The ratio of carapace length to width is 1:1.13 in the female and 1:0.90 in the male in the former, whereas 1:1.22 in the female in the latter. The new species has the fifth leg and caudal ramus longer than those of *longicauda*, though the two species are almost
equal in the body length. The free segment of the fifth leg is about 150 μ long in the female and 100 μ in the male in the former, while about 83 μ and 57 μ in the latter (all the values referring to *L. longicauda* were measured on Stock's (1956)

Besides these clear differences, *L. sepiae* slightly differs from *L. longicauda* in the armature on the mandible, second maxilla and maxilliped.

As mentioned by Stock (1956), *L. longicauda* agrees with *Doridicola agilis* Leydig, 1853, in having rather wide basal portion of the mandibular lappet, fairly long fifth leg, and female maxilliped armed with two powerful spines. *L. sepiae* resembles *D. agilis* also in these points. In the shape of the mandible, *L. longicauda* and *sepiae* deviate from the other members of *Lichomolgus* (in strict sense, Humes & Stock, 1973); especially, *L. sepiae* is rather similar to *D. agilis* than to other *Lichomolgus* spp. in having a scaly area on the convex side of the mandibular base.

As regards the generic designation of *D. agilis* described from a nudibranch mollusc *Doris lugubris* at Trieste, there has been a certain controversy, some authors placing it in *Lichomolgus*, while others in *Doridicola* (see Humes & Stock, 1973). Lately, Humes and Stock (1973), retaining the genus *Doridicola*, included 14 species in this genus in addition to the type species, *D. agilis*. Even in this genus, *D. agilis* is peculiar in having slenderer mandible than in other species and in showing the setal formula of the third exopodite segment of the fourth leg II, I-5 (Sars, 1917, Plate XC) instead of III, I-5 in the other species. On the other hand, it agrees well with the majority of species of *Lichomolgus* in the constitution of setae.

The sexual dimorphism in the first leg has been regarded as one of the criteria distinguishing *Doridicola* from *Lichomolgus*. Although *L. inflatus* (Tanaka, 1961, Plate 32, Fig. 3), which has a typical lichomolgid mandible, and *L. sepiae* show a distinct dimorphism in this leg, *L. longicauda* is uncertain of this point as far as deduced from the published works. From these, it can hardly be justified to regard the sexual dimorphism in the first leg as one of the generic criteria.

As noticed above, the three species, *L. longicauda*, *L. sepiae*, and *D. agilis*, are closely related to one another and seemingly located intermediately between *Doridicola* and *Lichomolgus*.

*Nasomolgus firmus* Humes and Ho, 1967

(Figs. 20–42)

*Nasomolgus firmus* Humes and Ho, 1967, pp. 386–390, figs. 60–86.

**Material:** A number of specimens, including ovigerous females and males, taken from *Sabellastarte indica* (Savigny), at Seto, on April 16, 1971. They are aggregated on the inner surface of the nest tube of the worm.

**Female:** (Figs. 20–33). Mean body length excluding caudal ramus 0.90 mm, mean width 0.41 mm, egg sac 0.56 mm × 0.16 mm, (based on 9 specimens).

Body translucent, with silvery red eyes, intestinal contents brownish.

**Male:** (Figs. 34–42). Mean length excluding caudal ramus 0.66 mm, mean width 0.22 mm, (based on 5 specimens).

**Remarks:** The specimens obtained from *S. indica* in Japan are in accord with the original description of the type specimens found on *S. magnifica* in Madagascar, but with some minor variations. The caudal ramus is slightly longer in Japanese
specimens than in the type, about 53 μ long in the female and about 33 μ in the male in the former, whereas 43 μ and 27 μ in the latter. The smallest apical seta of the first maxilla (Figs. 26 & 40) has a thickened base in both sexes, this feature is
not shared with the type specimens (Fig. 70 by Humes & Ho). The claw-like second segment of the second maxilla bears a row of 8 teeth feebler but longer than in the type specimens and ends in a trifurcate apex (Figs. 27 & 41). In the type, the second segment is acuminated apically and carries 7 stout teeth (Figs. 71 & 82 by Humes & Ho).

The present record of *N. firmus* is the first from the Pacific and *Sabellastarte indica* (Savigny) is a new host.

Figs. 29–33. *Nasomolgus firmus* Humes and Ho, female. 29. first leg, ventral view. 30. second leg, ventral view, magnification as in fig. 29. 31. third leg, ventral view, magnification as in fig. 29. 32. fourth leg, ventral view, magnification as in fig. 29. 33. fifth leg and genital segment, dorsal view.
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Figs. 34-42. *Nasomolgus firmus* Humes and Ho, male. 34. body, dorsal view. 35. urosome, ventral view. 36. first antenna, ventral view. 37. second antenna, inner view. 38. mandible, ventral view. 39. labrum and paragnath in situ, ventral view, magnification as in fig. 38. 40. first maxilla, ventral view, magnification as in fig. 38. 41. second maxilla, lateral view, magnification as in fig. 37. 42. maxilliped, inner view, magnification as in fig. 37.
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