<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Bilinearization and Casorati Determinant Solutions to Non-autonomous 1+1 Dimensional Discrete Soliton Equations (Expansion of Integrable Systems)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>KAJIWARA, Kenji; OHTA, Yasuhiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td>数理解析研究所講究録別冊 = RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu (2009), B13: 53-73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue Date</td>
<td>2009-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/2433/176808">http://hdl.handle.net/2433/176808</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Departmental Bulletin Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textversion</td>
<td>publisher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kyoto University
Bilinearization and Casorati Determinant Solutions to Non-autonomous 1 + 1 Dimensional Discrete Soliton Equations

By

Kenji KAJIWARA* and Yasuhiro OHTA**

Abstract

Some techniques of bilinearization of the non-autonomous 1+1 dimensional discrete soliton equations are discussed by taking the discrete KdV equation, the discrete Toda lattice equation, and the discrete Lotka-Volterra equation as examples. Casorati determinant solutions to those equations are also constructed explicitly.

§1. Introduction

The Hirota-Miwa equation, or the discrete KP equation is the bilinear difference equation of Hirota type given by

\[ a(b - c)\tau(l + 1, m, n)\tau(l, m + 1, n + 1) + b(c - a)\tau(l, m + 1, n)\tau(l + 1, m, n + 1) + c(a - b)\tau(l, m, n + 1)\tau(l + 1, m + 1, n) = 0. \]  

Eq.(1.1) is well-known as one of the most important integrable systems[3, 11, 16]. Here, \( a, b, c \) are arbitrary constants playing a role of lattice intervals of discrete independent variables \( l, m, n \), respectively. The Casorati determinant solution to eq.(1.1) is given by

\[ \tau(l, m, n) = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \varphi_1^{(s)}(l, m, n) & \varphi_1^{(s+1)}(l, m, n) & \cdots & \varphi_1^{(s+N-1)}(l, m, n) \\ \varphi_2^{(s)}(l, m, n) & \varphi_2^{(s+1)}(l, m, n) & \cdots & \varphi_2^{(s+N-1)}(l, m, n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_N^{(s)}(l, m, n) & \varphi_N^{(s+1)}(l, m, n) & \cdots & \varphi_N^{(s+N-1)}(l, m, n) \end{array} \right|, \]
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where $\varphi_r^{(s)}(l, m, n)$ ($r = 1, \ldots, N$) are arbitrary functions satisfying the linear relations

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varphi_r^{(s)}(l+1, m, n) - \varphi_r^{(s)}(l, m, n)}{a} &= \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(l, m, n), \\
\frac{\varphi_r^{(s)}(k, l+1, m) - \varphi_r^{(s)}(l, m, n)}{b} &= \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(l, m, n), \\
\frac{\varphi_r^{(s)}(l, m, n+1) - \varphi_r^{(s)}(l, m, n)}{c} &= \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(l, m, n).
\end{align*}
\tag{1.3}
\]

For example, choosing $\varphi_r^{(s)}$ to be sum of the exponential type functions as

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_r^{(s)}(l, m, n) &= \alpha_r p_r^s (1 + ap_r)^l (1 + bp_r)^m (1 + cp_r)^n \\
&\quad + \beta_r q_r^s (1 + aq_r)^l (1 + bq_r)^m (1 + cq_r)^n,
\end{align*}
\tag{1.4}
\]

where $\alpha_r, \beta_r$ are arbitrary constants and $p_r, q_r$ are parameters, then it gives the $N$-soliton solution.

It is known that eq.(1.1) yields various discrete and continuous soliton equations by reductions and limiting procedures. For example, let us impose the condition

\[
\tau(l+1, m+1, n) \sim \tau(l, m, n),
\tag{1.5}
\]

where $\sim$ denotes the equivalence up to multiple of gauge functions which leaves the bilinear equation invariant. Then using eq.(1.5) to suppress $l$-dependence and taking $a = -b$, eq.(1.1) yields

\[
(b - c) \tau_{n+1}^{m-1} \tau_{n}^{m+1} - (b + c) \tau_{n}^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^{m-1} + 2c \tau_{n+1}^{m} \tau_{n}^{m} = 0,
\tag{1.6}
\]

where $\tau_{n}^{m} = \tau(l, m, n)$. Eq.(1.6) is transformed to

\[
v_{n+1}^{m} - v_{n}^{m+1} = \frac{b - c}{b + c} \left( \frac{1}{v_{n+1}^{m+1}} - \frac{1}{v_{n}^{m}} \right),
\tag{1.7}
\]

by the dependent variable transformation

\[
v_{n}^{m} = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^{m} \tau_{n}^{m+1}}{\tau_{n}^{m} \tau_{n+1}^{m+1}}.
\tag{1.8}
\]

Eq.(1.6) or eq.(1.7) are called the discrete KdV equation[2, 16]. The condition (1.5) is realized by choosing $q_r = -p_r$ on the level of $\varphi_r^{(s)}(l, m, n)$ in eq.(1.4). Therefore choosing the entries of the determinant as

\[
\varphi_r^{(s)}(m, n) = \alpha_r p_r^s (1 + bp_r)^m (1 + cp_r)^n + \beta_r (1 - bp_r)^s (1 + cp_r)^m (1 + cp_r)^n,
\tag{1.9}
\]

it gives the $N$-soliton solution of the discrete KdV equation. Hence, if a given equation turns out to be derived by the reduction or other procedure from the Hirota-Miwa equation, it is possible to construct wide class of solutions in this manner.
On the other hand, it has been pointed out that a generalization of the Hirota-Miwa equation is possible in such a way that the lattice intervals are arbitrary functions of the corresponding independent variables[7, 23]. Such generalization to the “inhomogeneous lattice” or to the non-autonomous equation is regarded as an important problem in the context of ultradiscretization or the box and ball systems, since it corresponds to a generalization such that the capacity of the boxes changes according to the lattice sites[14]. Moreover, many discrete soliton equations are shown to describe discrete surfaces and curves in various settings of the discrete differential geometry. In this context, such inhomogeneity of lattice corresponds to the scaling freedom of parametrization of the geometric objects and therefore it is geometrically natural[1].

The generalization to the non-autonomous equation is technically straightforward for the “generic” equation such as the Hirota-Miwa equation because of its gauge invariance. However, when we consider the reduction to 1 + 1 dimensional system such as the discrete KdV equation, the reduction procedure does not work consistently because of the non-autonomous property on the level of both bilinear equation and solution. Therefore the 1 + 1 dimensional non-autonomous discrete soliton equations have not been studied well.

Recently, Tsujimoto and Mukaihira have considered the non-autonomous discrete Toda lattice (1DTL) equation on semi-infinite lattice from the standpoint of $R_I$ and $R_{II}$ type bi-orthogonal functions[12, 13]. By introducing a certain auxiliary $\tau$ function which does not appear in the expression of the solution, they succeeded in bilinearization of the equation and constructing molecular type solution. Then it has been shown that the non-autonomous discrete 1DTL equation on infinite lattice also admits similar bilinearization, and the soliton type solutions have been constructed[8]. Moreover, three different bilinearizations of different origins have been presented for the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation in [9], each of which requires a certain auxiliary $\tau$ function. The techniques developed in recent researches may enable systematic studies of the non-autonomous discrete soliton equations.

The purpose of this paper is to give a review and present some new results on bilinearization of non-autonomous 1 + 1 dimensional discrete soliton equations and construction of their Casorati determinant solutions. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a brief review of the non-autonomous discrete KP hierarchy and its solutions. In Section 3, we discuss three bilinearizations of the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation. Section 4 deals with two bilinearizations of the non-autonomous discrete 1DTL equation. We discuss in Section 5 the case of discrete Lotka-Volterra equation, where the direct reduction from the discrete two-dimensional Toda lattice equation works without auxiliary $\tau$ functions.
§ 2. Non-autonomous Discrete KP Hierarchy

§ 2.1. $\tau$ Function and Bilinear Equations

We define the $\tau$ function $\tau_{N}(s;l, m;x, y)$ depending on infinitely many independent variables $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s$, $l = (l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots)$, $m = (m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots)$, $x = (x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots)$, and $y = (y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots)$ by

\[
\tau_{N}(s;l, m;x, y) = \left| \begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{1}^{(s)} \\
\varphi_{2}^{(s)} \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_{N}^{(s)} 
\end{array} \right| 
\]

\[
\varphi_{r}^{(s)}(l_{\nu} + 1) - \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(l_{\nu}) = \varphi_{r}^{(s+1)}(l_{\nu}), \\
\varphi_{r}^{(s)}(m_{\nu} + 1) - \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(m_{\nu}) = \varphi_{r}^{(s-1)}(m_{\nu}), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\nu}} \varphi_{r}^{(s)} = \varphi_{r}^{(s+\nu)}, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\nu}} \varphi_{r}^{(s)} = \varphi_{r}^{(s-\nu)},
\]

where $\varphi_{r}^{(s)} = \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(l, m; x, y)$ ($r = 1, \ldots, N$) satisfy the linear equations

\[
\frac{\varphi_{r}^{(s)}(l_{\nu} + 1) - \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(l_{\nu})}{a_{l_{\nu}}(l_{\nu})} = \varphi_{r}^{(s+1)}(l_{\nu}), \\
\frac{\varphi_{r}^{(s)}(m_{\nu} + 1) - \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(m_{\nu})}{b_{m_{\nu}}(m_{\nu})} = \varphi_{r}^{(s-1)}(m_{\nu}),
\]

for $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$. Here the lattice intervals $a_{\nu}$ and $b_{\nu}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$) are arbitrary functions with respect to the indicated variables. We note that in the following, we indicate only the relevant independent variables for notational simplicity, as in eqs.(2.2)–(2.5). For example, the $N$-soliton solution is obtained by choosing $\varphi_{r}^{(s)}$ as

\[
\varphi_{r}^{(s)} = \alpha_{r}p_{r}^{s} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=i_{\nu}}^{l_{\nu}-1} (1 + a_{\nu}(i)p_{r}) \prod_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=i_{\mu}}^{m_{\mu}-1} (1 + b_{\mu}(i)p_{r}^{-1}) e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}p_{r}^{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{n}p_{r}^{-n}} + \beta_{r}q_{r}^{s} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=i_{\nu}}^{l_{\nu}-1} (1 + a_{\nu}(i)q_{r}) \prod_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=i_{\mu}}^{m_{\mu}-1} (1 + b_{\mu}(i)q_{r}^{-1}) e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{n}q_{r}^{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_{n}q_{r}^{-n}},
\]

where $\alpha_{r}, \beta_{r}$ are arbitrary constants and $p_{r}, q_{r}$ are parameters.

It is known that $\tau_{N}(s;l, m; x, y)$ satisfies infinitely many difference, differential and difference-differential bilinear equations of Hirota type (for autonomous case, see for example [21]). We call this hierarchy of equations the non-autonomous discrete KP hierarchy. We give a list of some typical examples included in the hierarchy:
KP equation \((x = x_1 y = x_2, t = x_3)\)

\[(D_x^4 - 4D_xD_t + 3D_y^2) \tau \cdot \tau = 0.\]

Two-dimensional Toda lattice (2DTL) equation \((x = x_1 y = y_1, n = s)\)

\[
\frac{1}{2} D_x D_y \tau_n \cdot \tau_n = \tau_n^2 - \tau_{n+1} \tau_{n-1}.
\]

Non-autonomous discrete KP equation \((l = l_i, m = l_j, n = l_k, a_l = a_i(l_i), b_m = a_j(l_j), c_m = a_k(l_k), \{i, j, k\} \subset \{1, 2, 3, \cdots \})\)

\[
a_l (b_m - c_n) \tau(l+1, m, n) \tau(l, m+1, n+1)
+ b_m (c_n - a_l) \tau(l, m+1, n) \tau(l+1, m, n+1)
+ c_n (a_l - b_m) \tau(l, m, n+1) \tau(l+1, m+1, n) = 0.
\]

Non-autonomous discrete 2DTL equation \((l = l_i, m = m_j, n = s, a_l = a_i(l_i), b_m = b_j(m_j), \{i, j\} \subset \{1, 2, \cdots \})\)

\[
(1-a_l b_m) \tau_n(l+1, m+1) \tau_n(l, m)-\tau_n(l+1, m) \tau_n(l, m+1)
+ a_l b_m \tau_{n+1}(l, m+1) \tau_{n-1}(l+1, m) = 0.
\]

Bäcklund transformation (BT) of 2DTL equation \((x = x_1, m = m_i, n = s, b_m = b_i(m_i), i \in \{1, 2, \cdots \})\)

\[
(D_x - b_m) \tau_n(m) \cdot \tau_n(m+1) + b_m \tau_{n-1}(m) \tau_{n+1}(m+1) = 0.
\]

BT of non-autonomous discrete KP(2DTL) equation \((l = l_i, m = l_j, n = s, a_l = a_i(l_i), b_m = a_j(l_j), \{i, j\} \subset \{1, 2, \cdots \})\)

\[
a_l \tau_{n+1}(l, m+1) \tau_n(l+1, m) - b_m \tau_{n+1}(l+1, m) \tau_n(l, m+1)
- (a_l - b_m) \tau_{n+1}(l, m) \tau_n(l+1, m+1) = 0.
\]

§ 2.2. Casoratian Technique

In order to prove that the \(\tau\) function given in the form of Casoratian determinant satisfies the bilinear equations, the Casoratian technique is quite useful\([16, 17]\). We demonstrate the outline of the technique by taking eq.(2.11) as an example.

Under the setting of eq.(2.11), the \(\tau\) function (2.1) reads

\[
\tau_n(m) = \left| \begin{array}{c}
\varphi_1^{(n)}(m) & \varphi_1^{(n+1)}(m) & \cdots & \varphi_1^{(n+N-1)}(m) \\
\varphi_2^{(n)}(m) & \varphi_2^{(n+1)}(m) & \cdots & \varphi_2^{(n+N-1)}(m) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi_N^{(n)}(m) & \varphi_N^{(n+1)}(m) & \cdots & \varphi_N^{(n+N-1)}(m)
\end{array} \right|,
\]
where \( \varphi_k^{(n)}(m) \) \((k = 1, \ldots, N)\) satisfy the linear relations

\begin{align}
\partial_x \varphi_k^{(n)}(m) &= \varphi_k^{(n+1)}(m), \\
\varphi_k^{(n)}(m) - \varphi_k^{(n)}(m-1) &= b_{m-1} \varphi_k^{(n-1)}(m-1).
\end{align}

For instance, if we choose \( \varphi_k^{(n)}(m) \) as

\begin{equation}
\varphi_k^{(n)}(m) = \alpha_k p_k^n \prod_{i=i_0}^{m-1} (1 + b_i p_k^{-1}) e^{p_k x} + \beta_k q_k^n \prod_{i=i_0}^{m-1} (1 + b_i q_k^{-1}) e^{q_k x},
\end{equation}

we obtain the \( \mathcal{N} \)-soliton solution.

The bilinear equation (2.11) is reduced to the Plücker relation, which is the quadratic identity among the determinants whose columns are properly shifted. To this end, we first construct difference/differential formulas which express determinants whose columns are shifted by \( \tau_n(m) \).

**Lemma 2.1.** The following formulas hold.

\begin{align}
\tau_n(m) &= |0, \cdots, N - 2, N - 1|, \\
\tau_n(m-1) &= |0_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N - 2, N - 1|, \\
-b_{m-1} \tau_n(m-1) &= |1_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N - 2, N - 1|, \\
\partial_x \tau_n(m) &= |0, \cdots, N - 2, N|, \\
(\partial_x + b_{m-1}) \tau_n(m-1) &= |0_{m-1}, \cdots, N - 2, N|,
\end{align}

where “\( j_m \)” is the column vector

\begin{equation}
\dot{j}_m = \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_1^{(n+j)}(m) \\
\varphi_2^{(n+j)}(m) \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_N^{(n+j)}(m)
\end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}

and the subscript is shown only when \( m \) is shifted.

**Proof.** Eq.(2.17) follows by definition, and eq.(2.20) is derived from the differential rule of determinant. Using eq.(2.15) to the \( i \)-th column of \( \tau_n(m-1) \) for \( i = N, N - 1, \ldots, 2 \), we have

\begin{align}
\tau_n(m-1) &= |0_{m-1}, 1_{m-1}, \cdots, N - 2_{m-1}, N - 1_{m-1}| \\
&= |0_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N - 2, N - 1|,
\end{align}
which is eq.(2.18). Multiplying $-b_{m-1}$ to the first column of the right hand side of eq.(2.18) and using eq.(2.15) we have eq.(2.19),

$$-b_{m-1}\tau_n(m-1) = | -b_{m-1} \cdot 0_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N-2, N-1 |$$

$$= | 1_{m-1} - 1, 1, \cdots, N-2, N-1 |$$

$$= | 1_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N-2, N-1 |. $$

Differentiating eq.(2.18), we have

$$\partial_x\tau_n(m-1) = | 1_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N-2, N-1 | + | 0_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N-2, N |$$

$$= -b_{m-1}\tau_n(m-1) + | 0_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N-2, N |,$$

from which we obtain eq.(2.21). This completes the proof.

Finally, eq.(2.11) is derived by applying Lemma 2.1 to the Plücker relation

$$0 = | 0_{m-1}, 0, 1, \cdots, N-2 | \times | 1, \cdots, N-2, N-1, N |$$

$$- | 0, 1, \cdots, N-2, N-1 | \times | 0_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N-2, N |$$

$$+ | 0, 1, \cdots, N-2, N | \times | 0_{m-1}, 1, \cdots, N-2, N-1 |. $$

Therefore we have shown that the $\tau$ function (2.13) actually satisfies the bilinear equation (2.11). Other equations are derived in a similar manner. We refer to [16, 17] for further details of the technique.

§ 3. Non-autonomous Discrete KdV Equation

§ 3.1. Casorati Determinant Solution

In this section we consider the following difference equation[10]

$$\left( \frac{1}{a_m} + \frac{1}{b_{n+1}} \right) v_{n+1}^m - \left( \frac{1}{a_{m+1}} + \frac{1}{b_n} \right) v_n^{m+1}$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{a_m} - \frac{1}{b_n} \right) \frac{1}{v_n^m} - \left( \frac{1}{a_{m+1}} - \frac{1}{b_{n+1}} \right) \frac{1}{v_{n+1}^{m+1}},$$

where $a_m$, $b_n$ are arbitrary functions of $m$ and $n$, respectively. If $a_m$ and $b_n$ are constants, eq.(3.1) is equivalent to the discrete KdV equation (1.7). We call eq.(3.1) the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation.

The $N$–soliton solutions to eq.(3.1) can be expressed by Casorati determinants as follows:
Theorem 3.1. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define an $N \times N$ determinant $\tau_n^m$ by

$$\tau_n^m = \begin{vmatrix}
\varphi_1^{(s)}(m, n) & \varphi_1^{(s+1)}(m, n) & \cdots & \varphi_1^{(s+N-1)}(m, n) \\
\varphi_2^{(s)}(m, n) & \varphi_2^{(s+1)}(m, n) & \cdots & \varphi_2^{(s+N-1)}(m, n) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi_N^{(s)}(m, n) & \varphi_N^{(s+1)}(m, n) & \cdots & \varphi_N^{(s+N-1)}(m, n)
\end{vmatrix},$$

$$\varphi_r^{(s)}(m, n) = \alpha_r p_r^s \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 + a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + b_j p_r)$$

$$+ \beta_r (-p_r)^s \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 - a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 - b_j p_r).$$

Then

$$v_n^m = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^m \tau_n^{m+1}}{\tau_n^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1}},$$

satisfies eq.(3.1).

Unlike the autonomous case, eq.(3.1) cannot be put into the bilinear equation directly in terms of a single $\tau$ function $\tau_n^m$ because of the non-autonomous property. This difficulty is overcome by introducing suitable auxiliary $\tau$ functions. In the following, we discuss three different bilinearizations.

§ 3.2. Bilinearization (I)

Proposition 3.2. Let $\tau_n^m$ and $\sigma_n^m$ be functions satisfying the bilinear equations

$$- \epsilon(a_m - b_n) \tau_n^m \sigma_n^{m+1} + a_m(b_n + \epsilon) \tau_{n+1}^m \sigma_n^{m+1} - b_n(\epsilon + a_m) \tau_n^{m+1} \sigma_{n+1}^m = 0,$$

$$\epsilon(a_m - b_n) \sigma_n^m \tau_n^{m+1} + a_m(b_n - \epsilon) \tau_{n+1}^m \sigma_n^{m+1} + b_n(\epsilon - a_m) \tau_n^{m+1} \sigma_{n+1}^m = 0,$$

where $\epsilon$ is a constant. Then

$$\Psi_n^m = \frac{\sigma_n^m}{\tau_n^m}, \quad v_n^m = \frac{\tau_{n+1}^m \sigma_n^{m+1}}{\tau_n^m \tau_{n+1}^{m+1}},$$

satisfy

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{a_m} \right) \frac{1}{v_n^m} \Psi_{n+1}^{m+1} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_m} \right) \Psi_n^{m+1} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_m} \right) \Psi_{n+1}^m = 0,$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{b_n} \right) \frac{1}{\Psi_n^m} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_n} \right) \Psi_{n+1}^m + \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_n} \right) \Psi_{n+1}^{m+1} = 0.$$
and the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation (3.1). In particular, eq. (3.2) and

\[
\sigma^m_n = \left| \begin{array}{c}
\varphi_1^{(s)}(m,n) \varphi_1^{(s+1)}(m,n) \cdots \varphi_1^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \\
\varphi_2^{(s)}(m,n) \varphi_2^{(s+1)}(m,n) \cdots \varphi_2^{(s+N-1)}(m,n) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi_N^{(s)}(m,n) \varphi_N^{(s+1)}(m,n) \cdots \varphi_N^{(s+N-1)}(m,n)
\end{array} \right|,
\]

where

\[
\varphi_r^{(s)}(m,n) = \alpha_r p_r^s (1 + \epsilon p_r) \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 + a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + b_j p_r) \\
+ \beta_r (-p_r)^s (1 - \epsilon p_r) \prod_{i=m_0}^{m-1} (1 - a_i p_r) \prod_{j=n_0}^{n-1} (1 - b_j p_r),
\]

solve the bilinear equations (3.5) and (3.6).

The bilinearization described in Proposition 3.2 is derived from the discrete KP hierarchy. The key idea is to introduce auxiliary “autonomous” independent variables (corresponding lattice intervals are constants) simultaneously, and to apply the reduction procedure through those autonomous variables. Let us take \( k = l_1, l = l_2, m = l_3 \) and \( n = l_4 \), and choose the corresponding lattice intervals as \( a_1(l_1) = \delta, a_2(l_2) = \epsilon, a_3(l_3) = a_m, a_4(l_4) = b_n \), where \( \delta \) and \( \epsilon \) are constants. The variables \( k \) and \( l \) are the autonomous variables mentioned above.

We now consider the discrete KP equation (2.9) with respect to the variables \( (k, m, n) \)

\[
\delta(a_m - b_n) \tau(k+1, l, m, n)\tau(k,l,m+1,n+1) \\
+ a_m(b_n - \delta) \tau(k,l,m+1,n)\tau(k+1,l,m,n+1) \\
+ b_n(\delta - a_m) \tau(k,l,m,n+1)\tau(k+1,l,m+1,n) = 0,
\]

and the same equation with respect to the variables \( (l, m, n) \)

\[
\epsilon(a_m - b_n) \tau(k,l+1,m,n)\tau(k,l,m+1,n+1) \\
+ a_m(b_n - \epsilon) \tau(k,l,m+1,n)\tau(k,l+1,m,n+1) \\
+ b_n(\epsilon - a_m) \tau(k,l,m,n+1)\tau(k,l+1,m+1,n) = 0.
\]

Under this setting, the \( \tau \) function (2.1) is written as

\[
\tau(k, l, m, n) = \left| \begin{array}{c}
\varphi_1^{(s)} \varphi_1^{(s+1)} \cdots \varphi_1^{(s+N-1)} \\
\varphi_2^{(s)} \varphi_2^{(s+1)} \cdots \varphi_2^{(s+N-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi_N^{(s)} \varphi_N^{(s+1)} \cdots \varphi_N^{(s+N-1)}
\end{array} \right|,
\]
\[ \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(k, l, m, n) = \alpha_{r} p_{r}^{s} (1 + \delta p_{r})^{k}(1 + \epsilon p_{r})^{l} \prod_{i=m_{0}}^{m-1}(1+a_{i}p_{r}) \prod_{j=n_{0}}^{n-1}(1+b_{j}p_{r}) \]
+ \[ \beta_{r} q_{r}^{s} (1 + \delta q_{r})^{k}(1 + \epsilon q_{r})^{l} \prod_{i=m_{0}}^{m-1}(1+a_{i}q_{r}) \prod_{j=n_{0}}^{n-1}(1+b_{j}q_{r}) \].

We next impose the reduction condition on the autonomous independent variables \( k, l \) as
\[
\tau(k+1, l+1, m, n) \rightarrow \wedge \tau(k, l, m, n).
\]
This is achieved by imposing the condition on \( \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(r=1, \ldots, N) \) as
\[
\varphi_{r}^{(s)}(k+1, l+1, m, n) \approx \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(k, l, m, n).
\]
In order to realize eq.(3.17), one may take
\[
q_{r} = -p_{r}, \quad \delta = -\epsilon,
\]
so that
\[
\varphi_{r}^{(s)}(k+1, l+1, m, n) = (1-\epsilon^{2}p_{r}^{2}) \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(k, l, m, n),
\]
\[
\tau(k+1, l+1, m, n) = \prod_{r=1}^{N} (1-\epsilon^{2}p_{r}^{2}) \tau(k, l, m, n).
\]
Then, suppressing the \( k \)-dependence by using eq.(3.16), the bilinear equations (3.12) and (3.13) are reduced to
\[- \epsilon(a_{m} - b_{n}) \tau(l, m, n) \tau(l+1, m+1, n+1) + a_{m}(b_{n} + \epsilon) \tau(l+1, m+1, n+1) \]
\[- b_{n}(\epsilon + a_{m}) \tau(l+1, m, n+1) \tau(l, m, n+1) = 0,
\]
\[\epsilon(a_{m} - b_{n}) \tau(l+1, m, n) \tau(l, m+1, n+1) + a_{m}(b_{n} - \epsilon) \tau(l, m+1, n) \tau(l+1, m, n+1)
\]
\[+ b_{n}(\epsilon - a_{m}) \tau(l, m, n+1) \tau(l+1, m+1, n) = 0,
\]
respectively. By putting
\[
\tau_{n}^{m} = \tau(l, m, n), \quad \sigma_{n}^{m} = \tau(l+1, m, n),
\]
we obtain the bilinear equations (3.5) and (3.6). Then an easy calculation shows that \( \Psi_{n}^{m} \) and \( v_{n}^{m} \) satisfy eqs.(3.8) and (3.9).

We finally show that \( v_{n}^{m} \) satisfies eq.(3.1). Eq.(3.1) is derived from the cubic equation in terms of \( \tau_{n}^{m} \) which is obtained by eliminating \( \sigma_{n}^{m} \) from the bilinear equations (3.5) and (3.6). However, this procedure can be done more systematically in the following manner. Introducing a vector
\[
\Phi_{n}^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{n}^{m+1} \\ \Psi_{n}^{m} \end{pmatrix},
\]
eqs. (3.8) and (3.9) can be rewritten as the following linear system:

\[
\Phi_{n+1}^m = L_n^m \Phi_n^m, \quad \Phi_{n}^{m+1} = M_n^m \Phi_n^m,
\]

(3.22)

\[
L_n^m = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{b_n} + \frac{1}{a_m} & -\left(\frac{1}{a_m} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) \\
\frac{1}{a_m} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} & \frac{1}{b_n} - \frac{1}{a_m} \frac{1}{v_n^m}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(3.23)

\[
M_n^m = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{b_n + \frac{1}{a_m}} v_n^m - \left(\frac{1}{b_n} - \frac{1}{a_m + 1}\right) v_{n+1}^m + \left(\frac{1}{a_m} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(3.24)

Then the compatibility condition of the linear system

\[
L_n^{m+1} M_n^m = M_n^m L_n^m,
\]

(3.25)

gives eq. (3.1). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2.

Remark.

1. The linear system (3.22)–(3.24) is the auxiliary linear system of eq. (3.1) and the matrices $L_n^m$, $M_n^m$ are the Lax pair, where the lattice interval $\epsilon$ plays a role of the spectral parameter. In this sense, the bilinearization in this section can be regarded as that for the auxiliary linear system.

2. If we eliminate $v_n^m$ from eqs. (3.8) and (3.9), and put $w_n^m = \Psi_n^m$, we obtain the non-autonomous potential modified KdV equation

\[
w_{n+1}^m = w_n^m \frac{\gamma_n^m w_{n+1}^m - w_{n+1}^m}{-w_n^m + \gamma_n^m w_{n+1}^m}, \quad \gamma_n^m = \frac{b_n}{a_m},
\]

(3.26)

by taking $\epsilon \to \infty$. The solution of eq. (3.26) admits several expressions. For example, let us use the internal variable $s$ in eq. (3.2) explicitly and write $\tau_n^m = \tau_n^m(s)$. Then it is shown that $w_n^m = \frac{\tau_n^m(s+1)}{\tau_n^m(s)}$ satisfies eq. (3.26). Similarly, writing $\tau_n^m$ with determinant size $N$ as $\tau_n^m = \tau_n^m(N)$, then it is also shown that $w_n^m = \frac{\tau_n^m(N+1)}{\tau_n^m(N)}$ satisfies eq. (3.26).

§ 3.3. Bilinearization (II)

The non-autonomous discrete KdV equation (3.1) admits an alternate bilinearization involving an auxiliary $\tau$ function which does not appear in the expression of the solution.
Proposition 3.3. Let $\tau_n^m$ and $\kappa_n^m$ be functions satisfying the bilinear equations

\begin{align}
(3.27) & \quad b_n(a_{m-1} + a_m)\tau_{n+1}^m - a_m - (a_m + b_n)\tau_{n+1}^{m+1} + a_m(a_{m-1} - b_n)\tau_{n+1}^{m+1} - a_m = 0, \\
(3.28) & \quad b_n(a_{m-1} - a_m)\tau_{n+1}^{m+1} - a_m(a_{m-1} - b_n)\tau_{n+1}^m + a_m(a_{m-1} - b_n)\tau_{n+1}^{m+1} = 0.
\end{align}

Then $v_n^m$ defined in eq.(3.4) satisfies eq.(3.1). In particular, $\tau_n^m$ in eq.(3.2) and

\begin{equation}
(3.29) \quad \kappa_n^m = \begin{vmatrix}
\psi_1^{(s)}(m, n) & \psi_1^{(s+1)}(m, n) & \cdots & \psi_1^{(s+N-1)}(m, n) \\
\psi_2^{(s)}(m, n) & \psi_2^{(s+1)}(m, n) & \cdots & \psi_2^{(s+N-1)}(m, n) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\psi_N^{(s)}(m, n) & \psi_N^{(s+1)}(m, n) & \cdots & \psi_N^{(s+N-1)}(m, n)
\end{vmatrix},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(3.30) \quad \psi_r^{(s)}(m, n) = \alpha_r p_r^s (1 + a_m p_r) \prod_{j=m_0}^{m-2} (1 + a_j p_r) \prod_{k=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + b_k p_r) + \beta_r (-p_r)^s (1 - a_m p_r) \prod_{j=l_0}^{m-2} (1 - a_j q_r) \prod_{k=n_0}^{n-1} (1 - b_k p_r),
\end{equation}

solve eqs. (3.27) and (3.28).

Remark. In the autonomous case, namely if $a_m$ and $b_n$ are constants, the auxiliary $\tau$ function $\kappa_n^m$ reduces to $\tau_n^m$, the bilinear equation (3.27) yields the equation which is equivalent to eq.(1.6), and eq.(3.28) becomes trivial, respectively.

Proposition 3.3 is proved by applying the Casoratian technique based on the linear relations among the entries of the determinants

\begin{align}
(3.31) & \quad \varphi_r^{(s)}(m + 1, n) - \varphi_r^{(s)}(m, n) = a_m \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(m, n), \\
(3.32) & \quad \varphi_r^{(s)}(m - 1, n) + a_m \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(m - 1, n) = \psi_r^{(s)}(m, n), \\
(3.33) & \quad \psi_r^{(s)}(m, n) - a_m \psi_r^{(s+1)}(m, n) = (1 - a_m^2 p_r^2) \varphi_r^{(s)}(m - 1, n), \\
(3.34) & \quad \varphi_r^{(s)}(m, n + 1) - \varphi_r^{(s)}(m, n) = b_n \varphi_r^{(s+1)}(m, n).
\end{align}

We refer to [9] for further details of the proof.

§ 3.4. Bilinearization (III)

The non-autonomous discrete KdV equation (3.1) admits the third bilinearization through the non-autonomous version of the potential discrete KdV equation[15]

\begin{equation}
(3.35) \quad u_{n+1}^{m+1} - u_n^m = \left( \frac{1}{a_m^2} - \frac{1}{b_n^2} \right) \frac{1}{u_n^{m+1} - u_{n+1}^m},
\end{equation}
or

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{u}_{n+1}^{m+1} - \tilde{u}_{n}^{m} - \left(\frac{1}{b_{m}} + \frac{1}{c_{n}}\right)\tilde{u}_{n}^{m+1} - \tilde{u}_{n+1}^{m} - \left(\frac{1}{b_{m}} - \frac{1}{c_{n}}\right) &= \frac{1}{b_{m}^{2}} - \frac{1}{c_{n}^{2}},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( u_{n}^{m} \) and \( \tilde{u}_{n}^{m} \) are related as

\[
\tilde{u}_{n}^{m} = u_{n}^{m} + \sum_{i=m_{0}}^{m-1} \frac{1}{a_{i}} + \sum_{j=n_{0}}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_{j}}.
\]

We note that \( u_{n}^{m} \) is related to \( v_{n}^{m} \) in eq.(3.1) as

\[
\left(\frac{1}{a_{m}} - \frac{1}{b_{n}}\right) \frac{1}{v_{n}^{m}} = u_{n+1}^{m} - u_{n}^{m+1}.
\]

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( \tau_{n}^{m} \) and \( \rho_{n}^{m} \) be functions satisfying the bilinear equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^{m} - \rho_{n}^{m} \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} &= \left(\frac{1}{a_{m}} - \frac{1}{b_{n}}\right) \left(\tau_{n}^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^{m} - \tau_{n+1}^{m} \tau_{n}^{m}\right), \\
\rho_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_{n}^{m} - \rho_{n}^{m} \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} &= \left(\frac{1}{a_{m}} + \frac{1}{b_{n}}\right) \left(\tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_{n}^{m} - \tau_{n}^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^{m}\right),
\end{align*}
\]

Then \( v_{n}^{m} \) defined by eq.(3.4) and

\[
\begin{align*}
u_{n}^{m} = \frac{\rho_{n}^{m}}{\tau_{n}^{m}} - \sum_{i=m_{0}}^{m-1} \frac{1}{a_{i}} - \sum_{j=n_{0}}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_{j}},
\end{align*}
\]

satisfy eq.(3.1) and eq.(3.35), respectively. In particular, \( \tau_{n}^{m} \) defined by eq.(3.2) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{n}^{m} = \begin{vmatrix}
\varphi_{1}^{(s)}(m, n) & \varphi_{1}^{(s+N-2)}(m, n) & \varphi_{1}^{(s+N)}(m, n) \\
\varphi_{2}^{(s)}(m, n) & \varphi_{2}^{(s+N-2)}(m, n) & \varphi_{2}^{(s+N)}(m, n) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\varphi_{N}^{(s)}(m, n) & \varphi_{N}^{(s+N-2)}(m, n) & \varphi_{N}^{(s+N)}(m, n)
\end{vmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \varphi_{r}^{(s)}(m, n) \) (\( r = 1, \ldots, N \)) are given by eq.(3.3) solve eqs.(3.39) and (3.40).

Proof of Proposition 3.4 is given by the Casoratian technique by using the linear relations (3.31) and (3.34)[9].

**Remark.**

1. Eq.(3.38) follows immediately from eq.(3.39) by dividing the both sides by \( \tau_{n+1}^{m+1} \tau_{n}^{m+1} \).
2. If we introduce the continuous independent variables $x_1, x_3, \cdots$ through $\varphi^{(s)}_r(m, n)$ as

$$\varphi^{(s)}_r(m, n) = \alpha_r p_r^s \prod_{j=m_0}^{m-1} (1 + b_j p_r) \prod_{k=n_0}^{n-1} (1 + c_k p_r) e^{p_r x_1 + p_r^3 x_3 + \cdots}$$

(3.43)

$$+ \beta_r (-p_r)^s \prod_{j=m_0}^{m-1} (1 - b_j p_r) \prod_{k=n_0}^{n-1} (1 - c_k p_r) e^{-p_r x_1 - p_r^3 x_3 + \cdots},$$

then $\tau^m_n$ becomes the $\tau$ function of the KdV hierarchy. In this case, $\rho^m_n$ and $\tilde{u}^m_n$ can be expressed as

$$\rho^m_n = \frac{\partial \tau^m_n}{\partial x_1}, \quad \tilde{u}^m_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \log \tau^m_n,$$

(3.44)

respectively. Accordingly, $\tilde{u}^m_n$ satisfies (3.36) and the potential KdV equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}^m_n}{\partial x_3} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{u}^m_n}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^3 \tilde{u}^m_n}{\partial x_1^3} = 0,$$

(3.45)

simultaneously. This is consistent with the fact that the potential discrete KdV equation is derived as the Bäcklund transformation of the potential KdV equation[15].

§ 4. Non-autonomous Discrete Toda Lattice Equation

§ 4.1. Casorati Determinant Solution

The non-autonomous discrete 1DTL equation is given by[4, 8, 12, 13, 22]

$$A_{n+1}^t + B_{n+1}^t + \lambda_{t+1} = A_n^t + B_{n+1}^t + \lambda_t,$$

(4.1)

$$A_{n+1}^t B_{n+1}^t = A_n^t B_{n+1}^t,$$

where $\lambda_t$ is an arbitrary function in $t$. The $N$-soliton solution is expressed by Casorati determinants as follows[8, 22]:

**Theorem 4.1.** For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define an $N \times N$ determinant $\tau^t_n$ by

$$\tau^t_n = \begin{vmatrix}
\varphi_1^{(n)}(t) & \varphi_1^{(n+1)}(t) & \cdots & \varphi_1^{(n+N-1)}(t) \\
\varphi_2^{(n)}(t) & \varphi_2^{(n+1)}(t) & \cdots & \varphi_2^{(n+N-1)}(t) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi_N^{(n)}(t) & \varphi_N^{(n+1)}(t) & \cdots & \varphi_N^{(n+N-1)}(t)
\end{vmatrix},$$

(4.2)
(4.3) \( \varphi_r^{(n)}(n, t) = \alpha_r p_r^n \prod_{j=t_0}^{t-1} (1 - p_r \mu_j) + \beta_r p_r^{-n} \prod_{j=t_0}^{t-1} (1 - p_r^{-1} \mu_j) \),

where \( \lambda_t \) is an arbitrary function in \( t \), \( \alpha_r, \beta_r \) are arbitrary constants, and \( p_r \) are parameters \((r = 1, \ldots, N)\). Then

(4.4) \( A_n^t = -\mu_t^{-1} \frac{\tau_n^t \tau_{n+1}^{t+1}}{\tau_{n+1}^t \tau_n^{t+1}} ; \quad B_n^t = -\mu_t \frac{\tau_{n-1}^t \tau_{n+1}^{t}}{\tau_n^t \tau_n^{t+1}} ; \quad \lambda_t = \mu_t + \mu_t^{-1} \),

satisfy (4.1).

§ 4.2. Bilinearization (I)

Proposition 4.2. Let \( \tau_n^t \) and \( \theta_n^t \) be functions satisfying bilinear equations

(4.5) \( (1 - \delta \mu_t) \tau_n^{t+1} \theta_n^t - \tau_n^t \theta_n^{t+1} + \delta \mu_t \tau_{n+1}^t \theta_{n-1}^{t+1} = 0 \),
(4.6) \( \mu_t \tau_n^{t+1} \theta_{n+1}^t - \delta \tau_{n+1}^{t+1} \theta_n^t = (\mu_t - \delta) \tau_{n+1}^t \theta_{n+1}^{t+1} \).

Then

(4.7) \( \Psi_n^t = \frac{\theta_n^t}{\tau_n^t} ; \quad A_n^t = -\mu_t^{-1} \frac{\tau_n^t \tau_{n+1}^{t+1}}{\tau_{n+1}^t \tau_n^{t+1}} ; \quad B_n^t = -\mu_t \frac{\tau_{n-1}^t \tau_{n+1}^{t}}{\tau_n^t \tau_n^{t+1}} \),

satisfy

(4.8) \( (1 - \delta \mu_t) \Psi_n^t - \Psi_n^{t+1} - \delta B_n^t \Psi_{n-1}^{t+1} = 0 \),
(4.9) \( \mu_t \Psi_{n+1}^t + \delta \mu_t A_n^t \Psi_n^t = (\mu_t - \delta) \Psi_n^{t+1} \),

and the non-autonomous discrete 1DTL equation (4.1). In particular, eq.(4.2) and

(4.10) \( \theta_n^t = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1^{(n)}(t) & \varphi_1^{(n+1)}(t) & \cdots & \varphi_1^{(n+N-1)}(t) \\ \varphi_2^{(n)}(t) & \varphi_2^{(n+1)}(t) & \cdots & \varphi_2^{(n+N-1)}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \varphi_N^{(n)}(t) & \varphi_N^{(n+1)}(t) & \cdots & \varphi_N^{(n+N-1)}(t) \end{vmatrix} \),

where

(4.11) \( \varphi_r^{(n)}(n, t) = \alpha_r p_r^n (1 - \delta p_r) \prod_{j=t_0}^{t-1} (1 - p_r \mu_j) + \beta_r p_r^{-n} (1 - \delta p_r^{-1}) \prod_{j=t_0}^{t-1} (1 - p_r^{-1} \mu_j) \).

solve the bilinear equations (4.5) and (4.6).
Proposition 4.2 can be proved by the similar technique to that in Section 3.2. Let us take \( k = l_1, t = l_2, l = m_1 \) and \( n = s \), and choose the corresponding lattice intervals as \( a_1(l_1) = -\delta, \ a_2(l_2) = -\mu_t, \ b_1(m_1) = \epsilon \), where \( \delta \) and \( \epsilon \) are constants. The variables \( k \) and \( l \) are the autonomous variables. We consider the discrete 2DTL equation (2.10) with respect to the variables \((n, l, t)\)

(4.12) \[
(1 + \epsilon \mu_t)\tau_n(k, l + 1, t + 1)\tau_n(k, l, t) - \tau_n(k, l, t + 1)\tau_n(k, l + 1, t) - \epsilon \mu_t\tau_{n+1}(k, l + 1, t)\tau_{n-1}(k, l, t + 1) = 0,
\]

and its Bäcklund transformation (2.12) with respect to the variable \((n, k, t)\)

(4.13) \[
\mu_t\tau_{n+1}(k + 1, l, t)\tau_n(k, l, t + 1) - \delta \tau_{n+1}(k, l, t + 1)\tau_n(k + 1, l, t) - (\mu_t - \delta)\tau_{n+1}(k, l, t)\tau_n(k + 1, l, t + 1) = 0.
\]

Under this setting, \( \tau \) function (2.1) is now written as

\[
\tau_n(k, l, t) = \begin{vmatrix}
\varphi_r^{(n)}(k, l, t) & \varphi_r^{(n+1)}(k, l, t) & \ldots & \varphi_r^{(n+N-1)}(k, l, t) \\
\varphi_r^{(n)}(k, l, t+1) & \varphi_r^{(n+1)}(k, l, t+1) & \ldots & \varphi_r^{(n+N-1)}(k, l, t+1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\
\varphi_r^{(n)}(k, l, t-1) & \varphi_r^{(n+1)}(k, l, t-1) & \ldots & \varphi_r^{(n+N-1)}(k, l, t-1)
\end{vmatrix}_t
\]

(4.14) \[
\varphi_r^{(n)}(k, l, t) = \alpha_r p_r^n (1 - \delta p_r)^k (1 + \epsilon p_r^{-1})^l \prod_{i=i_0}^{t-1} (1 - \mu_t p_r) \\
\quad + \beta_r q_r^n (1 - \delta q_r)^k (1 + \epsilon q_r^{-1})^l \prod_{i=i_0}^{t-1} (1 - \mu_t q_r).
\]

(4.15)

We impose the reduction condition on \( k, l \) as

(4.16) \[
\tau_n(k + 1, l + 1, t) \sim \tau_n(k, l, t).
\]

This is realized by choosing the parameters of the solutions as

(4.17) \[
\epsilon = -\delta, \quad q_r = \frac{1}{p_r}
\]

so that

(4.18) \[
\varphi_r^{(n)}(k + 1, l + 1, t) = (1 - \delta p_r)(1 - \delta p_r^{-1}) \varphi_r^{(n)}(k, l, t),
\]

(4.19) \[
\tau_n(k + 1, l + 1, t) = \prod_{r=1}^{N} (1 - \delta p_r)(1 - \delta p_r^{-1}) \tau_n(k, l, t).
\]
Suppressing $l$-dependence by using eq.(4.16), and putting
\begin{equation}
\tau_n(k, l, t) = \tau_n^t, \quad \tau_n(k + 1, l, t) = \theta_n^t,
\end{equation}
the bilinear equations (4.12) and (4.13) are reduced to eqs.(4.5) and (4.6), respectively.

It is clear that the linear equations (4.8) and (4.9) follow from the bilinear equations (4.5) and (4.6), respectively, through the dependent variable transformation (4.7). In order to obtain eq.(4.1), we introduce
\begin{equation}
\Phi_n^t = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{n+1}^t \\ \Psi_n^t \end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}

After some manipulation, the linear equations (4.8) and (4.9) can be rewritten as
\begin{equation}
\Phi_{n+1}^t = L_n^t \Phi_n^t, \quad \Phi_{n}^{t+1} = M_n^t \Phi_n^t,
\end{equation}
where
\begin{align}
L_n^t &= \begin{pmatrix} -\delta \left[ A_n^t + B_n^t + (1 - \delta \mu_t) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_t} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right] - \delta^2 A_n^t B_{n+1}^t \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
M_n^t &= \frac{1}{\mu_t - \frac{1}{\delta}} \begin{pmatrix} B_n^t + (1 - \delta \mu_t) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_t} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \delta A_n^t B_{n+1}^t - A_n^t \\ -\frac{1}{\delta} & -A_n^t \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align}

Then the compatibility condition $L_n^{t+1} M_n^t = M_{n+1}^t L_{n+1}^t$ gives eq.(4.1). This completes the proof of Proposition 4.2.

§ 4.3. Bilinearization (II)

There is an alternate bilinearization for eq.(4.1), which is similar to the second bilinearization of the non-autonomous discrete KdV equation discussed in Section 3.3.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let $\tau_n^t$ and $\eta_n^t$ be functions satisfying the bilinear equations
\begin{align}
\tau_n^{t+1} \tau_{n-1}^t \tau_n^t - \tau_n^t \eta_n^t &= \mu_t \mu_{t-1} \left( \tau_{n+1}^{t+1} \tau_n^{t-1} - \tau_n^t \eta_n^t \right), \\
\mu_t \eta_n^t \tau_{n+1}^t - \mu_{t-1} \tau_n^t \eta_n^{t+1} &= (\mu_t - \mu_{t-1}) \tau_n^{t+1} \tau_n^{t-1}.
\end{align}

Then $A_n^t$ and $B_n^t$ defined in eq.(4.7) satisfy eq.(4.1). In particular, eq.(4.2) and
\begin{equation}
\eta_n^t = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1^{(n)}(t) \psi_1^{(n+1)}(t) \cdots \psi_1^{(n+N-1)}(t) \\ \psi_2^{(n)}(t) \psi_2^{(n+1)}(t) \cdots \psi_2^{(n+N-1)}(t) \\ \vdots \vdots \cdots \vdots \\ \psi_N^{(n)}(t) \psi_N^{(n+1)}(t) \cdots \psi_N^{(n+N-1)}(t) \end{vmatrix},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\psi_r^{(n)}(t) = \alpha_r p_r^n (1 - p_i \mu_t) \prod_{j=t_0}^{t-2} (1 - p_r \mu_j) + \beta_r p_r^{-n} (1 - p_i^{-1} \mu_t) \prod_{j=t_0}^{t-2} (1 - p_r^{-1} \mu_j),
\end{equation}
solve eqs.(4.24) and (4.25).
Eq. (4.1) is derived from the bilinear equations (4.24) and (4.25) as follows; multiplying $(1 - \frac{1}{\mu_{t-1}\mu_{t}})\tau_{n}^{t}\tau_{n+1}^{t}$ to eq.(4.25) and using eq.(4.24) we have

$$
(\tau_{n+1}^{t})^2(\mu_{t}\tau_{n-1}^{t+1}\tau_{n+1}^{t-1} - \mu_{t-1}^{-1}\tau_{n}^{t-1}\tau_{n}^{t+1}) - (\tau_{n}^{t})^2(\mu_{t-1}\tau_{n-1}^{t+1}\tau_{n+1}^{t-1} - \mu_{t}^{-1}\tau_{n}^{t-1}\tau_{n}^{t+1})
$$

$$
= (\lambda_{t} - \lambda_{t-1})\tau_{n}^{t}\tau_{n}^{t+1}\tau_{n+1}^{t-1}\tau_{n+1}^{t}.
$$

Dividing equation (4.28) by $\tau_{n}^{t}\tau_{n}^{t+1}\tau_{n+1}^{t-1}\tau_{n+1}^{t}$, we obtain the first equation of eq. (4.1). The second equation is an identity under the variable transformation (4.7).

Proposition 4.3 is proved by applying the Casoratian technique based on the linear relations among the entries of the determinant

$$
(\varphi_{r}^{(n+1)}(t) = \varphi_{r}^{(n)}(t) - \mu_{t}\varphi_{r}^{(n+1)}(t),
$$

$$
(\psi_{r}^{(n)}(t) = \varphi_{r}^{(n)}(t-1) - \mu_{t}\varphi_{r}^{(n+1)}(t-1),
$$

$$
(1 - p_{i}\mu_{t})(1 - p_{i}^{-1}\mu_{t})\varphi_{r}^{(n)}(t-1) = \psi_{r}^{(n)}(t) - \mu_{t}\psi_{r}^{(n-1)}(t).
$$

We refer to [8] for further details of the proof.

Remark. Recently Tsujimoto has presented a theoretical background of appearance of the auxiliary $\tau$ function $\eta$ in this section by considering the two-dimensional chain of the Darboux transformations[22].

§ 5. Non-autonomous discrete Lotka-Volterra Equation

§ 5.1. Lotka-Volterra Equation

The Lotka-Volterra equation

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\log u_{n} = u_{n+1} - u_{n-1},
$$

can be transformed to the bilinear equation

$$
(D_{t} + 1)\tau_{n+1} \cdot \tau_{n} = \tau_{n-1}\tau_{n+2},
$$

through the dependent variable transformation

$$
\frac{\tau_{n-1}\tau_{n+2}}{\tau_{n+1}\tau_{n}} = \frac{d}{dt}\log\frac{\tau_{n+1}}{\tau_{n}} + 1.
$$

The $N$–soliton solution to eq.(5.1) is given by

$$
\tau_{n} = \begin{vmatrix}
\varphi_{1}^{(n)} & \varphi_{1}^{(n+1)} & \cdots & \varphi_{1}^{(n+N-1)} \\
\varphi_{2}^{(n)} & \varphi_{2}^{(n+1)} & \cdots & \varphi_{2}^{(n+N-1)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varphi_{N}^{(n)} & \varphi_{N}^{(n+1)} & \cdots & \varphi_{N}^{(n+N-1)}
\end{vmatrix},
$$
Bilinearization

Discrete Soliton Equations

\[ \varphi_k^{(n)} = \alpha_k (1 + r_k)^n e^{(1 + r_k)t} + \beta_k \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r_k} \right)^n e^{(1 + \frac{1}{r_k})t}. \]

where \( \alpha_k, \beta_k \) are arbitrary constants and \( r_k \) are parameters \((k = 1, \ldots, N)\).

The Lotka-Volterra equation is reduced from the Bäcklund transformation of the 2DTL equation (2.11). Let us impose a reduction condition for \( \tau_n(m) \) and \( \varphi_k^{(n)}(m) \) given in eqs. (2.13) and (2.16), respectively:

\[ \tau_n(m + 1) \equiv \tau_{n+1}(m), \quad \varphi_k^{(n)}(m + 1) \equiv \varphi_k^{(n+1)}(m), \]

The condition (5.6) is achieved by putting

\[ b_m = -b, \quad q_k = -\frac{p_k}{1 - \frac{p_k}{b}}, \]

or

\[ p_k = b(1 + r_k), \quad q_k = b \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r_k} \right). \]

Then eq. (2.11) is rewritten as

\[ (D_x + b) \varphi_n \cdot \varphi_{n+1} = b \varphi_{n+2} \varphi_{n-1}. \]

Noticing that \( b \) can be normalized to be 1 without loss of generality, we obtain the bilinear equation (5.2) and its Casorati determinant solution (5.4) and (5.5).

§ 5.2. Non-autonomous Discrete Lotka-Volterra Equation

The discrete Lotka-Volterra equation[5, 6] can be derived by discretizing the independent variable \( x \) in the Bäcklund transformation of 2DTL equation, which implies that it can be formulated as the reduction from the discrete 2DTL equation itself. The reduction procedure works well also for the non-autonomous case without auxiliary \( \tau \) functions, as shown below.

We consider the non-autonomous discrete 2DTL equation (2.10) with \( l = l_1, m = m_1, n = s, a_l = a_1(l_1), b_m = -b_1(m_1): \)

\[ (1 + a_l b_m) \tau_n(l + 1, m + 1) \tau_n(l, m) - \tau_n(l + 1, m) \tau_n(l, m + 1) \]

\[ = a_l b_m \tau_{n+1}(l, m + 1) \tau_{n-1}(l + 1, m), \]

where the \( \tau \) function is given by

\[ \tau_n^m = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_1^{(n)} & \varphi_1^{(n+1)} & \cdots & \varphi_1^{(n+N-1)} \\ \varphi_2^{(n)} & \varphi_2^{(n+1)} & \cdots & \varphi_2^{(n+N-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_N^{(n)} & \varphi_N^{(n+1)} & \cdots & \varphi_N^{(n+N-1)} \end{vmatrix}. \]
\[ \varphi_k^{(n)}(l, m) = \alpha_k p_k^n \prod_{i=l_0}^{l-1} (1 + a_i p_k) \prod_{j=m_0}^{m-1} (1 - b_j p_k^{-1}) \]

\[ + \beta_k q_k^n \prod_{i=l_0}^{l-1} (1 + a_i q_k) \prod_{j=m_0}^{m-1} (1 - b_j q_k^{-1}). \]

We impose the reduction condition,

\[ \tau_n(l, m + 1) \rightarrow \wedge \tau_{n+1}(l, m), \quad \varphi_k^{(n)}(l, m + 1) \rightarrow \wedge \varphi_k^{(n+1)}(l, m), \]

which is achieved by putting

\[ b_m = 1, \quad p_k = 1 + r_k, \quad q_k = 1 + \frac{1}{r_k}. \]

Then \( \varphi_k^{(n)} \) is written as

\[ \varphi_k^{(n)} = \alpha_k (1 + r_k)^n \prod_{i=l_0}^{l-1} (1 + a_i + a_i r_k) + \beta_k \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r_k} \right)^n \prod_{i=l_0}^{l-1} \left( 1 + a_i + \frac{a_i}{r_k} \right). \]

Now suppressing \( m \)-dependence and writing \( \tau_n(l, m) = \tau_n^l \), the bilinear equation (5.10) is reduced to

\[ (1 + a_l) \tau_{n+1}^{l+1} \tau_n^l - \tau_n^{l+1} \tau_{n+1}^l = a_l \tau_{n+2}^{l+1} \tau_{n+1}^l, \]

from which we obtain the non-autonomous discrete Lotka-Volterra equation

\[ \frac{1 + a_l}{1 + a_{l+1}} \frac{v_n^{l+1}}{v_n^l} = \frac{1 + a_l v_n^{l+1}}{1 + a_{l+1} v_n^{l+1}}, \]

through the dependent variable transformation

\[ v_n^l = \frac{\tau_n^{l+2} \tau_{n-1}^{l+1}}{\tau_n^{l+1} \tau_{n+1}^l}. \]

Eq.(5.16) is equivalent to the generalization of the discrete Lotka-Volterra equation in [4, 18, 19, 20]. Further generalization of eq.(5.16) is proposed in [18] which corresponds to de-autonomization of \( n \). This equation is derived from the non-autonomous discrete potential KdV equation (3.35) through the change of coordinates and the Miura transformation.
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