# Nitrogen and phosphorus effluent loads from a paddy-field district adopting collective crop rotation

T. Hama\*, T. Aoki\*\*, K. Osuga\*, S. Sugiyama\*, and D. Iwasaki\*

\* Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa Oiwake-cho, Sakyo Ward, Kyoto 606-8502, JAPAN

(E-mail: hama@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp; kosugasuga@gmail.com; sho.sugiyama@ax5.ecs.kyoto-u.ac.jp; sig@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp; nakamura@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

\*\* Tokyo Gas Co., Ltd., 1-5-20 Kaigan, Minato Ward, Tokyo 105-8527, JAPAN (E-mail: *t.aoki@tokyo-gas.co.jp*)

#### Abstract

Japanese paddy rice systems commonly adopt the rotation of vegetables, wheat and soybeans with paddy rice. Crop rotation may, however, increase the nutrient load in effluent discharged from the district because more fertilizer is applied to the rotation crops than is applied to paddy crops. We investigated a paddy-field district subject to collective crop rotation and quantified the annual nutrient load of effluent from the district in three consecutive years. The total annual exports of nitrogen and phosphorus over the investigation period ranged from 30.3 to 40.6 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.62 to 3.13 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>. The results suggest that rotation cropping increases the effluent nutrient load because applied fertilizer is converted to nitrate and surface runoff is increased due to the absence of shuttering boards at the field outlets.

#### Keywords

Nutrient loads; crop rotation; paddy-field district; wheat; non-irrigation period

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In Japan, the rotation of paddy rice, vegetables, wheat and soybeans is promoted to improve national food self-sufficiency and stabilize food supply. But paddy-field districts are usually located in low-lying areas unsuitable for cultivation of crops. To enable the rotation of such crops, an enhanced drainage system with underground drains is installed in each paddy field, and the rotation crops are timed to occur simultaneously across a contiguous area of adjoining fields in the district (collective crop rotation) to prevent waterlogging damage by lateral inflow of water from neighboring fields. Implementation of a crop rotation system may, however, increase the effluent nutrient load from the district because more fertilizer is applied to the rotation crops than to the paddy fields. The reduction of nutrients, suspended solids and organic matter load in drainage water from non-point sources is an important issue in water quality management (Gunes, 2008). Various environmental measures to reduce effluent load, such as the reduction of chemical fertilizer and reuse of drainage water (cyclic irrigation), are applied in paddy-field districts. Cyclic irrigation is particularly effective at reducing effluent load (Takeda et al., 1997; Kaneki et al., 2003; Feng et al., 2004, 2005; Hitomi et al., 2006; Takeda and Fukushima, 2006; Hama et al., 2010) and is one of the few district-scale environmental mitigation measures available in low-lying areas. Cyclic irrigation is only possible during the rice-growing season (the irrigation period), and the effluent loads from districts implementing collective crop rotation are unclear. We therefore investigated a paddy-field district implementing collective crop rotation for 3 years and quantified the annual effluent and nutrient loads from the district.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study site

The study site was a low-lying paddy-field district located on the southeastern edge of Lake Biwa  $(35^{\circ}05' \text{ N}, 135^{\circ}56' \text{ E}, 86-88\text{m} \text{ above sea level}; Figure 1)$ , the largest lake in Japan and the most

important water resource for the Kinki region. The mean annual temperature and rainfall are about 15 °C and 1550 mm (Japan Meteorological Agency, 2010). The district covers an area of about 1.5 km<sup>2</sup>, of which more than 90% is used as paddy fields.

The drainage and irrigation canals in the district are separated (Figure 2). There is no inflow of industrial or domestic wastewater from outside the study area into the drainage and irrigation canals. The drainage system contains lateral drainage canals, a main drainage canal, which passes through the district from north to south, and floodgates at both ends of the main drainage canal (Figure 1). Rainfall runoff from the paddy fields and surplus irrigation water from the irrigation canals flow into the main drainage canal via the lateral drainage canals. The floodgate at the northern end of the drainage canal was closed during the period of our investigation so that all outflow of drainage water from the district was controlled by operation of the southern floodgate.

Two types of irrigation are practiced in the district: lake-water irrigation and cyclic irrigation. In lake-water irrigation, Rainfall water is pumped from Lake Biwa into the irrigation canals. Under cyclic irrigation, drainage water in the main drainage canal is pumped into the irrigation canals and reused as irrigation water and water flows from the lake to the drainage canal through the floodgate when the water level of drainage water decreases by evapotranspiration. There are two pump stations, one at the



Water sampling point

Fields used for rotation crops in 2007

Fields used for rotation crops in 2008

Fields used for rotation crops in 2009

Flow direction of drainage water

**Figure 1.** Layout of paddy fields, water source, pump stations and irrigation and drainage canals in the study area, an irrigation district used to investigate water balance and nutrient flows from 2007–2009.



**Figure 2.** Flow diagram of water in the paddy-field district. CI, cyclic irrigation; LWI, lake-water irrigation.

northern end and one at the southern end of the main drainage canal. The fields are not irrigated during the growing of rotation crops (i.e., crops other than paddy rice). The irrigation period is about 4 months, including a mid-summer drainage season of about 10 days. Cyclic irrigation is used from the beginning of the irrigation period to the mid-summer drainage season (referred to as the cyclic irrigation period), then lake-water irrigation is used until the end of the irrigation period (the lake-water irrigation period) because farmers wants to use cooler water for rice quality. The period from the end of the irrigation period to the beginning of the next irrigation period is referred to as the non-irrigation period.

	Paddy rice		Rotation crops (wheat and soybeans)			
Farming activity	Timing	Remarks <sup>a</sup>	Farming activity	Timing	Remarks	
Base fertilization	late April	N = 30, P = 30	Base fertilization	November	N = 60, P = 80	
Start of irrigation	late April		Sowing of wheat	November		
Puddling, Sowing	late April – May		Additional fertilization	late December	N = 30, P = 30	
Additional fertilization	late June	N = 10, P = 0	Additional fertilization	late February	N = 30, P = 30	
Mid-summer drainage	late June – July	about 10 days	Additional fertilization	late April	N = 20, P = 0	
Additional fertilizaion	mid July	N = 50, P = 0	Harvesting	June		
End of irrigation	late August		Sowing of soybeans	June	after harvesting of wheat	
Harvesting	September		Harvesting	November	-	

**Table 1**. The sequence of farming activities in the paddy-field district during the cultivation of paddy rice and cultivation of rotation crops.

<sup>a</sup> N, the amouts of ferilizer of nitrogen (kgN ha<sup>-1</sup>); P, the amouts of ferilizer of phosphorus (kgP ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Rotation crops are grown in about one-third of the paddy area each year on a 3-year cycle (Figure 1). In rotation years, two rotation crops are grown, wheat and soybeans. The sequence of farming activities in paddy cultivation and rotation crop cultivation are summarized in Table 1. The rotation cropping cycle extends for 1 year, beginning in November with the sowing of wheat, which follows the harvesting of rice in September. The wheat is harvested in the middle of the next June. A crop of soybeans is sown soon after the harvesting of wheat and harvested in late November. The area is then left fallow and re-planted to paddy rice the following April. Fertilizer was not applied to soybeans. Base fertilizer was not applied to paddy fields after crop rotation.

### Water quality and hydrological measurements

We measured water quality within the district at weekly intervals from 2007 to 2009 by taking samples of drainage water at the southern end of the main drainage canal (St. 1; Figure 1), irrigation water at the outlet of the pump (St. 2), and inner lake water (St. 3). In addition, an automatic water sampler (3700 Full-Size Portable Sampler, Teledyne Isco Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) was installed at St. 1 and used to sample drainage water daily at noon. A small plastic tank was set near the northern pump station to collect rainfall, which was sampled during the weekly field visits. The manually sampled water was analyzed for total nitrogen (TN), dissolved total nitrogen (DTN), ammonium nitrogen (NH<sub>4</sub>-N), nitrate nitrogen (NO<sub>3</sub>-N), nitrite nitrogen (NO<sub>2</sub>-N), total phosphorous (TP) and phosphate phosphorus (PO<sub>4</sub>-P). Drainage water samples from the automatic sampler and rainfall water samples from the rainwater collection tank were analyzed for TN and TP. The following analytical methods were used: TN and DTN were measured using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (UV-1200, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) after alkaline potassium-peroxydisulfate digestion; NH<sub>4</sub>-N was measured by the indo-phenol blue method; NO<sub>3</sub>-N was measured by ion chromatography (LC-10A, Shimadzu Corp.); NO<sub>2</sub>-N was measured by the N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine method; TP was measured by the molybdenum blue method after potassium-peroxydisulfate digestion; and PO<sub>4</sub>-P was measured by the molybdenum blue method. Particulate-state and dissolved-state nutrients were distinguished by filtering the sample with a 1-µm filter prior to analysis.

Meteorological instruments for measuring rainfall (RT-5E, Ikeda-Keiki, Tokyo, Japan), air temperature (CS215L, Campbell Scientific, Inc., Logan, UT, USA), wind velocity (014A-L, Campbell Scientific, Inc.), relative humidity (CS215L, Campbell Scientific, Inc.) and solar radiation (LP02-L, Campbell Scientific, Inc.) were installed in an open area at the southern pump station. Evapotranspiration during the irrigation period was estimated by the Penman method (Penman, 1948) using data measured at the southern pump station and the crop coefficient value for rice (Sakuratani and Horie, 1985). Evapotranspiration during the non-irrigation period was estimated from the water balance for the district. The flow rates of discharged drainage water during lake-water irrigation or on rainy days and inflowing lake water during cyclic irrigation were measured

using flow meters (2150 Area Velocity Flow Module, Teledyne Isco Inc.) installed at both ends of the main drainage canal. The volume of pumped water (= irrigation water for the district) was estimated by multiplying the operating duration of the pumps by their capacity. We did not measure subsurface percolation from the district, but assumed it to be negligible because the district is low-lying and close to the lake and the groundwater level is high.

The water balances were calculated for a fixed portion of the irrigation period in each year for comparability. The irrigation period and non-irrigation period were respectively set from 20 April to 30 September and from 1 October to 19 April of the next year. The two periods of different irrigation type were marked as occurring before and after 1 July: cyclic irrigation was from 20 April to 30 June and lake-water irrigation was from 1 July to 30 September. Daily inputs and exports of nutrients were estimated by multiplying water nutrient concentrations by flow volumes.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Water balance

Figure 3 shows daily variations in rainfall and outflow of water from the district. During the cyclic irrigation period, drainage water was mainly discharged in association with rainfall events, whereas during the lake-water irrigation periods drainage water of  $10-15 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$  was released even on fine days (rainfall = 0 mm d<sup>-1</sup>). During the lake-water irrigation periods, drainage water on fine days was the sum of surplus irrigation water (i.e., intake water not used to irrigate paddy fields) and lateral water flow from the paddy fields to the drainage canals (Figure 2). The amount of lateral water flow was estimated at  $3-5 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$  from previous research on the paddy fields (Hama *et al.*, 2011). This low contribution from lateral flow indicated that surplus irrigation. During the non-irrigation period, water was only discharged during rainfall events because no irrigation water was taken in.

Water balances for the district in each investigation period are shown in Table 2. Annual rainfall ranged from 1332 mm in 2009 to 1633 mm in 2007. Weather conditions in the investigation years were considered normal because the amounts of annual rainfall were within the range of the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (1549  $\pm$  291 mm) from three decades of data (1980–2009; Japan Meteorological Agency, 2010).

The cyclic irrigation ratio is the proportion of irrigation water that is reused and is an indicator of the intensity of the cycling of irrigation water. The difference between the total amount of pumped water (irrigation water) and lake water intake represents the amount of water reused in cyclic irrigation. The amounts of irrigation water during the cyclic irrigation periods were 965 mm in 2007, 964 mm in 2008 and 1046 mm in 2009. and the amounts of reused



**Figure 3.** Daily variation in rainfall and discharged water in (a) 2007–2008, (b) 2008–2009 and (c) 2009–2010.

			Inflow	Outflow		
Year	Period <sup>a</sup>	Rainfall	Lake water intake	Evapotranspiration	Discharged water	
		(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	
2007	Cyclic irrigation	405	173	277	277	
	Lake-water irrigation	565	677	376	919	
	Non-irrigation	663	0	59	558	
	Total	1633	850	712	1754	
2008	Cyclic irrigation	481	100	277	285	
	Lake-water irrigation	347	648	442	610	
	Non-irrigation	600	0	65	527	
	Total	1428	748	784	1422	
2009	Cyclic irrigation	280	168	287	124	
	Lake-water irrigation	331	605	365	583	
	Non-irrigation	721	0	61	622	
	Total	1332	773	713	1329	

**Table 2**. Water balances for the district in each year and the irrigation management phase of the investigation period.

<sup>a</sup> Cyclic irrigation = 20 April – 30 June; Lake-water irrigation = 1 July – 30 September; Non-irrigation = 1 October – 19 April.

water were therefore 792 mm in 2007, 864 mm in 2008 and 878 mm in 2009. The cyclic irrigation ratio in the district was 82% in 2007, 90% in 2008 and 84% in 2009. Under the lake-water irrigation system, the amount of lake water intake approximately equals to that of irrigation water.

The amount of water discharged was low during the cyclic irrigation periods, because of the reuse of drainage water, and high during the lake-water irrigation and non-irrigation periods (Figure 3). The amount of water discharged during the non-irrigation period was proportional to rainfall. The water storage capacity of the paddy fields is likely to be lowest during the non-irrigation period because the shutter boards at the outlets of the paddy fields are removed and the outlets of the underground drainpipes are open.

# **Temporal variation in nutrient concentrations**

Figure 4 shows the daily variation in TN and TP concentrations in drainage water. The nutrient concentrations were higher during the puddling season and on days on which rain fell. Nutrient concentrations on fine days during the irrigation period ranged from 1.0 to 2.0 mg N  $L^{-1}$  for TN and from 0.10 to 0.20 mg P  $L^{-1}$  for TP. The nutrient concentrations in the drainage water were higher



Figure 4. Daily variations in TN and TP in drainage water in 2007–2009.

**Table 3**. Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of the concentration of total nitrogen (TN), dissolved TN (DTN), N as ammonia (NH<sub>4</sub>-N), N as nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>-N), N as nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>-N), total phosphorus (TP) and P as phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub>-P) in drainage water during the different irrigation management phases in 2009.

D i l <sup>a</sup>	TN	DTN	NH <sub>4</sub> -N	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	NO <sub>2</sub> -N	TP	PO <sub>4</sub> -P	b
Period	$(mg N L^{-1})$	$(\text{mg N L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg N L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg N L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg N L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg P L}^{-1})$	$(\text{mg P L}^{-1})$	n
CI	$2.07 \pm 0.84$	$1.41 \pm 0.77$	$0.20{\pm}0.09$	$0.71 \pm 0.62$	$0.04{\pm}0.01$	$0.24{\pm}0.10$	$0.05 \pm 0.04$	11
LWI	$1.48 \pm 0.44$	$0.98 \pm 0.49$	$0.20{\pm}0.18$	$0.35 \pm 0.25$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.14 \pm 0.05$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	10
Non-I	$2.94{\pm}1.55$	$2.48 \pm 1.58$	$0.10{\pm}0.13$	$2.02{\pm}1.71$	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.15 \pm 0.10$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	31

<sup>a</sup> CI, cyclic irrigation; LWI, lake water irrigation; Non-I, non-irrigation. <sup>b</sup> The number of samples.

during the cyclic irrigation period than during the lake-water irrigation period. These trends in nutrient concentrations in the drainage water were similar in all three years of the investigation. The nitrogen concentration in drainage water was high even on fine days during the non-irrigation period, presumably because of nitrogen derived from fertilizer applied to wheat crops.

Table 3 shows the mean concentration of nitrogen and phosphorus and each of their measured forms in drainage water in 2009. DTN was about 60–70% of TN during the irrigation periods, but increased to >80% of TN during the non-irrigation period. Similarly, the concentration of NO<sub>3</sub>-N and its percentage of DTN were higher during the non-irrigation period. The higher NO<sub>3</sub>-N in runoff presumably was derived from the nitrification of NH<sub>4</sub>-N in fertilizer or soil organic matter when the soil became aerobic during the cultivation of rotation crops.

# **Effluent nutrient loads**

The inputs of TN and TP in rainfall and lake water and exports of TN and TP in discharged water during the different phases of irrigation management are shown in Table 4. The total annual exports of nitrogen and phosphorus ranged from 30.3 to 40.6 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.62 to 3.13 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The export of nutrients was least during the cyclic irrigation periods, in line with the

		Total Nitrogen			Total Phosphorus			
Voor	Period <sup>a</sup>	Inputs <sup>b</sup>		Exports <sup>c</sup>	Inputs		Exports	
i cai		R	L	D	R	L	D	
		$(\text{kg N ha}^{-1})$	$(\text{kg N ha}^{-1})$	$(\text{kg N ha}^{-1})$	$(\text{kg P ha}^{-1})$	$(\text{kg P ha}^{-1})$	$(\text{kg P ha}^{-1})$	
2007	Cyclic irrigation	3.2	2.8	5.9	0.08	0.20	0.63	
	Lake-water irrigation	4.5	4.6	16.1	0.10	0.39	1.66	
	Non-irrigation	5.2	0.0	18.6	0.12	0.00	0.84	
	Total	12.9	7.4	40.6	0.30	0.59	3.13	
2008	Cyclic irrigation	2.8	1.2	4.6	0.09	0.07	0.58	
	Lake-water irrigation	2.0	2.1	8.8	0.06	0.15	0.82	
	Non-irrigation	3.5	0.0	16.9	0.11	0.00	1.28	
	Total	8.3	3.3	30.3	0.26	0.22	2.68	
2009	Cyclic irrigation	1.9	1.4	2.6	0.05	0.09	0.27	
	Lake-water irrigation	2.2	3.3	8.7	0.06	0.17	0.93	
	Non-irrigation	4.9	0.0	22.1	0.13	0.00	1.42	
	Total	9.0	4.7	33.4	0.24	0.26	2.62	

**Table 4**. Inputs and outputs of nutrients by rainfall, extracted lake water and discharged water and nutrients exported during each irrigation management phase in the three years of the investigation.

<sup>a</sup> Cyclic irrigation = 20 April – 30 June; Lake-water irrigation = 1 July – 30 September; Non-irrigation = 1 October –

19 April. <sup>b</sup> R, input of nutrients in rainfall; L, input of nutrients in lake water. <sup>c</sup> D, export of nutrients in discharged water.

small amounts of water discharged (Table 2). Some of the nutrient exports during periods of lakewater irrigation were caused by the discharge of surplus irrigation water on fine days (Figure 3), although nutrient concentrations in the drainage water were lower than during cyclic irrigation (Table 3).

Figure 5 shows the relationships between daily flow rate of discharged water (m<sup>3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and the nutrients load in effluent (kg d<sup>-1</sup>). The mean concentrations of nitrogen in the discharged water during the irrigation periods were significantly different (p < 0.05, Turkey's test), though the mean concentrations of phosphorus during the irrigation periods were not significantly different, except between the cyclic irrigation period and lake-water irrigation period (p < 0.05). The equations for the linear regression lines for both nitrogen and phosphorus in Figure 5 are shown in Table 5. The regression coefficients have units of concentration, kg m<sup>-3</sup> (×10<sup>3</sup> mg L<sup>-1</sup>), and in each equation may be considered representative of the concentration of the nutrient discharged during the period. Nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations were lowest during the lake-water irrigation period, higher during the cyclic irrigation period and highest during the non-irrigation period. The high nitrogen concentration during the non-irrigation period was caused by NO<sub>3</sub>-N, presumably because it is less



**Figure 5.** Scatter plots and regression relationships between daily discharged water and (a) nitrogen and (b) phosphorus load in effluent during cyclic irrigation (CI), lake-water irrigation (LWI) and non-irrigation (Non-I). Thin line = CI period; dash line = LWI period; thick line = Non-I period.

Table 5.	Regression re	lationships bet	ween daily nu	trient load in	discharged effl	uent and daily
effluent d	lischarge rate	for each phase	of irrigation 1	management.	All significant	levels are 0.1%.

	Nitrogen	Phosphorus			
Period <sup>a</sup>	Regression equation <sup>b</sup>	<b>D</b> <sup>2</sup>	Regression equation	$R^2$	
	$L (\text{kg N d}^{-1}), Q (\text{m}^3 \text{d}^{-1})$	K	$L (\text{kg P d}^{-1}), Q (\text{m}^{3} \text{d}^{-1})$		
Cyclic irrigation	$L = 1.72 \times 10^{-3} Q$	0.88	$L = 2.06 \times 10^{-4} Q$	0.81	
Lake-water irrigation	$L = 1.61 \times 10^{-3} Q$	0.71	$L = 1.81 \times 10^{-4} Q$	0.60	
Non-irrigation	$L = 3.43 \times 10^{-3} Q$	0.76	$L = 2.36 \times 10^{-4} Q$	0.66	

<sup>a</sup> Cyclic irrigation = 20 April – 30 June; Lake-water irrigation = 1 July – 30 September; Non-irrigation

= 1 October – 19 April.<sup>b</sup> L, daily nutrient lood in effluent; Q, daily flow rate of discharged water.

strongly adsorbed to the soil than NH<sub>4</sub>-N and thus easily removed from the paddy fields in runoff from rainfall events. Nutrient loads per unit of discharged water during rainfall events are therefore likely to be higher during non-irrigation periods than irrigation periods.

# CONCLUSIONS

We found that high effluent nutrient loads were associated with rainfall during the non-irrigation period. Our findings suggest that the cultivation of rotation crops over one-third of the paddy-field district contributes to an increase in effluent nutrient loads from the district. Fertilizer applied to rotation crops is easily transported in surface runoff, and more runoff occurs in the non-irrigation period than during the irrigation period because all drainage outlets from the field are left open. These results suggest that rotation farming in low-lying paddy-field districts is a significant non-point pollution source that should be mitigated through the development of best management practices for rotation crops.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Konohama Agricultural Union and the Shiga Prefecture Office for providing access to the paddy plots for investigation and for providing daily reports on water management and farming activity in the paddy fields. The research described in this paper was partly funded by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

# REFERENCES

- Feng, Y. W., Yoshinaga, I., Shiratani, E., Hitomi, T., & Hasebe H. 2004. Characteristics and behavior of nutrients in a paddy field area equipped with a recycling irrigation system. *Agric. Water Manage.* 68, 47–60.
- Feng, Y. W., Yoshinaga, I., Shiratani, E., Hitomi, T., & Hasebe H. 2005. Nutrient balance in a paddy field with a recycling irrigation system, *Water Sci. Technol.* **51**(3), 151–157.
- Gunes, K. 2008. Point and nonpoint sources of nutrients to lakes ecotechnological measures and mitigation methodologies case study, *Ecol. Eng.* **34**, 116–126.
- Hama, T., Nakamura, K., & Kawashima, S. 2010. Effectiveness of cyclic irrigation in reducing suspended solids load from a paddy-field district, *Agric. Water Manage*. **97**, 483–489.
- Hama, T., Nakamura, K., Kawashima, S., Kaneki, R., & Mitsuno, T. 2011. Effects of cyclic irrigation on water and nitrogen mass balances in a paddy field. *Ecol. Eng.* **37**, 1563-1566.
- Hitomi, T., Yoshinaga, I., Feng, Y. W., & Shiratani, E. 2006. Nitrogen removal function of recycling irrigation system. *Water Sci. Technol.* **53**(2), 101–109.
- Japan Meteorological Agency. 2010. Automated Meteorological Data Acquisition System (AMeDAS), URL http://www.jma.go.jp, (accessed 1 July 2010).
- Kaneki, R. 2003. Reduction of effluent nitrogen and phosphorus from paddy fields. *Paddy Water Environ.* **1**, 133–138.
- Penman, H. L. 1948. Natural evaporation from open water, bare soil and grass. *Proc. Royal Soc. Lond. A*, **193**, 120–145.
- Sakuratani, T. & Horie, T. 1985. Studies on evapotranspiration from crops, 1: On seasonal changes, vertical differences and the simplified methods of estimate in evapotranspiration of paddy rice. *J. Agric. Meteorol.* **41**(1), 45–55 (in Japanese).
- Takeda, I., Fukushima, A. & Tanaka, R. 1997. Non-point pollutant reduction in a paddy-field watershed using a cyclic irrigation system. *Water Res.* **31**, 2685–2692.
- Takeda, I. & Fukushima, A. 2006. Long-term changes in pollutant load outflows and purification function in a paddy field watershed using a cyclic irrigation system. *Water Res.* **40**, 569–578.