Rare distribution of green fluorescent protein (GFP) in hydroids from Porto Cesareo, Lecce, Italy, with reference to biological meaning of this rarity

Shin Kubota^{1*} and Cinzia Gravili²

¹ Seto Marine Biological Laboratory, Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University, Shirahama 459, Nishimuro, Wakayama 649-2211, Japan;

² DiSTeBA, Universita del Salento, Lecce, Italy

Abstract. A reliable taxonomic character, distributional pattern of green fluorescent protein (GFP), that is contributable to species demarcation by observing living materials under the fluorescent microscope, has not been much done in hydroids in contrast to hydromedusae. We carried out such a fundamental study in diverse hydroids collected from Porto Cesareo, Lecce, Italy. In contrast to diversified distribution of GFP in the hydromedusae, GFP distribution in hydroids are unexpectedly very rare, and its biological meaning is deduced.

Key words: GFP, hydroid, hydrocaulus, campanulariid, developmental stage

Introduction

Distinct distribution pattern of green fluorescent protein (GFP) in small hydromedusae is contributable to species demarcation as is demonstrated in congeneric species of Eugymnanthea that is morphologically very similar due to parallel evolution (Kubota et al., 2008), whereas it may be convergently evolved among various taxonomic groups of small hydromedusae in higher classification levels as is demonstrated in Eirenidae (Eugymnanthea vs Eutima) or other families and in also much more higher levels (Kubota et al., 2010; Kubota, 2010). However, such a fundamental study has not been done in hydroids except for campanulariids that had been well-known to have obelin and clytin (Shimomura, 1985; Tsuji et al., 1995; Inouve and Sahara, 2007). Therefore, the present study is focused to carry out

to detect GFP distribution and its contribution to taxonomy in hydroids with or without medusa stage. In this study, difference of fluorescent pattern according to developmental stages in metagenetic species is paid attention to analyze in order to deduce biological meaning of GFP distribution pattern in the life cycle.

Materials and Methods

Distribution pattern of GFP was observed in fresh and living specimens collected from Porto Cesareo, Lecce, Italy in in middle period of September, 2010. Nine species of colonial hydroids belonging to Athecata (5 species: Pennaria disticha, Coryne sp., Turritopsis sp., Eudendrium sp., Perigonemus sp.) and Thecata (4 species: Halecium sp., Dynamena disticha, a hebellid, a campanulariid) of different taxonomic group (9 genera in 9 families in total) were examined. The number of colonies obtained were up to several in each species and every different colony was examined: hydranths and hydrocaulus of

shkubota@medusanpolyp.mbox.media.kyoto-u.ac.jp

^{*}Corresponding author:

several zooids in each colony of every species were observed under fluorescent microscope (OPTIKA N-400LD) soon after collection and checked their GFP distribution on their body parts and photographed if present. In *Coryne* sp. a male gonophore could be examined, though other species are infertile colonies and found only one colony except *Pennaria disticha* and *Turritopsis* sp. In *Turritospis* sp. only one of several colonies bore only one medusa bud, but it soon degenerated and GFP of medusa bud could not be examined. In *Pennaria disticha* many released eumedusoids from several colonies and their unfertilized eggs spawned were also examined to clarify distributional difference among developmental stages.

Results

GFP was not found on hydranths, hydrocaulus and a gonophore of any hydroid species here examined such as *Pennaria disticha*, *Coryne* sp., *Turritopsis* sp., *Eudendrium* sp., *Perigonemus* sp., *Halecium* sp., *Dynamena disticha*, and a hebellid (totally 8 genera in 8 families). However, a few oblong shaped spots of GFP were detected on middle part of the hydrocaulus of a campanulariid hydroid, possibly *Clytia* sp. (Fig. 1, a, b). GFP was not detected in eumedusoids and eggs of *Pennaria disticha* as is the case of its hydroid stage, showing no GFP distribution in its entire life cycle.

Discussion

In contrast to wide distribution of GFP in small hydromedusae (Kubota et al., 2008, 2010; Kubota, 2010, 2011), it is very rare in hydroids as revealed in this study. It is possible that this difference greatly related to developmental stages, particularly between sexual and asexual one. Distribution pattern of GFP and its relative substances may be evolved independently even in sexual stage of medusa if present, then convergence occurred in various taxonomic groups in coupling to divergence observed in small hydromedusae (Kubota et al., 2010; Kubota, 2010). GFP distribution and bioluminescence will be also coupled to play its biological role, mainly sexual mating, judging from the fact that GFP and its relative substances are usually found in sexual stage of medusa (16 patterns are so far demarcated: Kubota, 2010, 2011) in contrast to presently clarified very rare distribution in asexual stage of hydroid except for campanulariids that had obelin and clytin as reported before (Shimomura, 1985; Tsuji et al., 1995; Inouye and Sahara, 2007). The biological role of these substances (Prasher et al., 1985, 1992; Shimomura, 2005) and luminous bacteria on the surface of the hydroids (Stabili et al., 2011) might defensive or warning purposes coupled to bioluminescence besides capture of prey known to a siphonophora species (Haddock et al., 2005; Haddock et al., 2010).



Fig. 1. Photomicrographs of a campanulariid hydroid, possibly *Clytia* sp. (a): a living material of a zooid of colony at transmitted light; (b): a portion of the same hydrocaulus at epifluorescence, showing GFP.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to offer sincere thanks to Dr. Akio Murakami, Kobe University Research Center for Inland Seas, for his critical reviews of the manuscript. We thank you for kind collection of some hydroid materials to many participants of the 7th workshop of the Hydrozoan Society held in Porto Cesareo, Lecce, Italy in September, 2010. Sincere thanks are due to all the organizers of the workshop, particularly Dr Stefano Piraino to arrange for us to use the facilities including fluorescent microscope.

References

- Kubota, S., Pagliara, P. & Gravili, C. 2008. Fluorescence distribution pattern allows to distinguish two species of *Eugymnanthea* (Leptomedusae: Eirenidae). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 88(8): 1743-1746.
- Kubota, S., Nomaru, E., Uchida, H. & Murakami, A.
 2010. Distribution pattern of GFP (green fluorescent protein) in a bivalve-inhabiting hydrozoan,
 Eutima japonica (Leptomedusae: Eirenidae).
 Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 90(7): 1371-1374.
- Kubota, S. 2010. Various distribution patterns of green fluorescene in small hydromedusae. Kuroshio Biosphere, 6: 11-14, 3 pls.
- Kubota, S. 2011. New distribution patterns of green fluorescene in small hydromedusae. Kuroshio Biosphere, 7: 59-62, 1 pl.
- Shimomura, O. & Shimomura, A. 1985. Halistaurin, phialidin and modified forms of aequorin as Ca²⁺ indicator in biological systems. Biochemical Journal, 228(3): 745-749.
- Shimomura, O. 2005. Aequorin and GFP: an historical account. In: Tsuji Akio, Matsumoto

- Masakatsu, Maeda Masako, Kricka Larry J. & Stanley, Philip E. [Eds]. Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on bioluminescence and chemiluminescence: progress and perspectives. Held at Pacifico Yokohama, Yokohama, Japan, 2-6 August, 2004. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, Singapore. 2005: 27-34.
- Tsuji, F. I., Y. Ohmiya, T. F. Fagan, H. Toh & Inouye, S. 1995. Molecular evolution of the Ca-²⁺-binding photoproteins of the hydrozoa. Photochemistry and Photobiology, 62(4): 657-661.
- Inouye, S. & Sahara, Y. 2007. Expression, purification and characterization of a photoprotein, clytin, from *Clytia gregarium*. Protein Expression and Purification, 53(2): 384-389.
- Prasher, D., McCann, R. O. & Cormier, M. J. 1985. Cloning and expression of the complementary DNA coding for aequorin, a bioluminescent calcium-binding protein. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 126(3): 1259-1268.
- Prasher, D. C., Eckenrode, V. K., Ward, W. W., Prendergast, F. G. & Cormier, M. J. 1992. Primary structure of the *Aequorea victoria* green-fluorescent protein. Gene, 111(2): 229-233.
- Stabili, L., Gravili, C., Tredici, S. M., Boero, F. & Alifano, P. 2011. Association of a luminous *Vibrio* sp., taxonomically related to *Vibrio harveyi*, with *Clytia linearis* (Thornely, 1900) (Hydrozoa, Cnidaria). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 396: 77-82.
- Haddock, S. H. D., Dunn, C. W., Pugh, P. R. & Schnitzler, C. E. 2005. Bioluminescent and redfluorescent lures in a deep-sea siphonophore. Science (Wash.), 309(5732): 263.
- Haddock, S. H. D., Moline, M. A. & Case, J. F. 2010. Bioluminescence in the Sea. Annual Review of Marine Science 2: 293-343.

(Received January 30, 2011; Accepted March 26, 2011)