TITLE:

Developmental changes in melanophores and their asymmetrical responsiveness to melanin-concentrating hormone during metamorphosis in barfin flounder (*Verasper moseri*).

AUTHOR(S):

Yoshikawa, Naoki; Matsuda, Taihei; Takahashi, Akiyoshi; Tagawa, Masatomo

CITATION:

Yoshikawa, Naoki ...[et al]. Developmental changes in melanophores and their asymmetrical responsiveness to melanin-concentrating hormone during metamorphosis in barfin flounder (*Verasper moseri*).. General and comparative endocrinology 2013, 194: 118-123

ISSUE DATE:

2013-09-21

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/179306>

RIGHT:

© 2013 Elsevier Inc.; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。

Developmental changes in melanophores and their asymmetrical responsiveness to melanin-concentrating hormone during metamorphosis in barfin flounder (*Verasper moseri*)

Naoki Yoshikawa^a, Taihei Matsuda^b, Akiyoshi Takahashi^c, and Masatomo Tagawa^{a,d*}

^a*Division of Applied Biosciences, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

^b*Mariculture Fisheries Research Institute, Hokkaido Research Organization, 1-156-3 Funami-cho, Muroran, Hokkaido 051-0013, Japan*

^c*School of Marine Biosciences, Kitasato University, 1-15-1 Kitasato, Minami-ku, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 252-0373, Japan*

^d*Field Science Education and Research Center, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa, Sakyo, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

*Corresponding author, Fax: +81 75 753 6229,
E-mail address: tagawa@kais.kyoto-u.ac.jp (M. Tagawa)

Research Highlights

- (1) MCH response in vitro was assessed in barfin flounder during metamorphosis.
- (2) MCH responsiveness was first confirmed in larval-type melanophores in teleosts.
- (3) On ocular side, MCH target cells changed from larval- to adult-type melanophores.
- (4) On blind side, larval-type melanophores retained MCH responsiveness in juveniles.
- (5) Inhibited development was proposed as the key mechanism for blind side formation.

Abstract: Barfin flounder larvae exhibit unique black coloration, as well as left-right asymmetry in juvenile stage as in other flatfish. In this study, we first assessed the changes in melanophores with development and then investigated their responsiveness to melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH) during metamorphosis. Larval-type melanophores appeared on both sides of the body before metamorphosis, whereas adult-type melanophores appeared only on the ocular side after metamorphosis. Even in the individuals of this species displaying black coloration, the density of larval-type melanophores was similar to that in transparent larvae of other species. However, unlike in transparent larvae, larval-type melanophores completely dispersed in the black larvae of this species. Therefore, the black coloration during larval stages was mainly due to dispersion, and not the density, of larval-type melanophores. In vitro MCH treatment revealed, for the first time, the responsiveness of melanophores in larval stages. On the ocular side, larval-type melanophores aggregated against MCH during larval stages, while, in the larvae at later metamorphic stages and in juveniles, larval-type melanophores did not aggregate, although aggregation of adult-type melanophores was noted. In contrast, on the blind side, the responsiveness of larval-type melanophores to MCH was consistently present from larval to juvenile stages. The metamorphic transition of MCH responsiveness from larval- to adult-type melanophores only on the ocular side suggests the larval (therefore, immature) nature of the blind side skin. We propose that the inhibited development, and thus the retention of the larval-type skin leads to the formation of the blind side characteristics and is the central mechanism for the flatfish asymmetry.

Keywords: Melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH); Flatfish; Metamorphosis; *Verasper moseri*; Melanophore; Asymmetrical responsiveness

1. Introduction

Flatfish are known to display cryptic changes in coloration on the ocular side. These changes are caused by the rapid aggregation or dispersion of pigments within the chromatophores, which is controlled by the neuroendocrine or sympathetic nervous system (Fujii and Oshima, 1986; Fujii, 2000). Flatfish represent a phylogenetically derived order of Teleostei; their exceptional ability to change color is an important evolutionary adaptation among the diversity of teleost coloration (Burton, 2010). Flatfish exhibit left-right asymmetry in coloration during metamorphosis from symmetrical larvae to asymmetrical juveniles. The evolutionary origin of flatfish is a symmetrical ancestor (Norman, 1934). Thus, their ability to asymmetrically change coloration might have been acquired during the course of evolution.

Barfin flounder, *Verasper moseri*, is a large flatfish inhabiting the cold sea basin around northeastern Japan. During development, the larvae at stages A–F (from yolk sac to flexion larvae) are in the pelagic phase, and the eye migration begins at stage G. During stages H–I, they settle to the bottom (Aritaki et al., 2000). Hence, for settled larvae and juveniles, changing their coloration to match the ground color is reasonable. However, our preliminary observation revealed that they actually cease to change coloration for a few weeks. Furthermore, most larvae at stage G exhibit black coloration as described previously (Aritaki et al., 2000), whereas some were transparent in our observation. These developmental changes suggest that some physiological changes occur in the neuroendocrine and sympathetic nervous systems during metamorphosis.

Elucidation of these changes requires the basic information on pigmentation development in barfin flounder. Barfin flounder larvae exhibit black coloration, although larvae of most other fish are transparent. Therefore, investigating the pigmentation mechanisms in barfin flounder is interesting since it might provide some insights into larval coloration and their pigment-controlling systems. Previous studies on flatfish chromatophores only focused on species that have transparent larvae, such as Japanese flounder (Seikai et al., 1987), stone flounder (Matsumoto and Seikai, 1992), and summer flounder (Bolker and Hill, 2000). Body coloration develops on the basis of the number,

status, and distribution of 3 types of chromatophores: melanophore, xanthophore, and iridophore (Bolker and Hill, 2000). In addition, melanophores are classified into 2 types: the large larval-type and the small adult-type (Seikai et al., 1987). Larval-type melanophores are present on both the sides during the larval stage, whereas adult-type melanophores appear only on the ocular side after metamorphosis.

In neuroendocrine systems, aggregation or dispersion of pigments is controlled by 2 different peptides having opposite activities, melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH) and melanocyte-stimulating hormone (MSH; Burton, 2002; Fujii, 2000). MCH, a 17-amino acid peptide, was first identified in fish and is known to regulate changes in coloration (Kawauchi et al., 1983). In various teleosts, including barfin flounder, MCH aggregates melanophores in adults or juveniles (Kawauchi, 2006; Mizusawa et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2004; Yamanome et al., 2007). However, information on larval responsiveness to MCH is very limited except for the study on rainbow trout (Suzuki et al., 1997). In barfin flounder, MCH neuronal somata and fibers were first detected in the pituitary 7 days after hatching (DAH, stage B; Amano et al., 2003). Therefore, MCH possibly aggregates larval-type melanophores in this species. In contrast, although MSH is also known to disperse melanin granules (Fujii, 2000; Fujii and Oshima, 1986), their activity might not be detected under the possible predominance of MCH and the sympathetic nervous system in flatfish (Burton, 2002; Mizusawa et al., 2011).

In the present study, we determined the changes in melanophores during development and investigated their responsiveness to MCH during metamorphosis. Our results showed that changes in MCH responsiveness of larval-type melanophores occurred only on the ocular side, suggesting that the blind side skin retained larval (therefore, immature) characteristics. This finding is discussed in relation to the formation of the blind side.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Fish

Artificially fertilized eggs of barfin flounder (*V. moseri*) were obtained from Date Station of Hokkaido Aquaculture Promotion Corporation. The eggs were incubated in a 100-L polycarbonate tank at 8°C. After hatching, larvae were stocked in 1000-L polycarbonate tanks, initially at 8°C and gradually the temperature was increased to 14°C at a rate of 1°C/day; they were fed rotifers 10–25 DAH and *Artemia* nauplii 26–55 DAH. Side and bottom walls of the tanks were transparent, but covered with a white heat-insulating plate. Rearing tanks were set indoor under natural photoperiod of the rearing location (about 15L: 9D). The classification of the developmental stages was as suggested by Aritaki et al. (2000). Larvae (stages E–I) and juveniles (75 DAH) were sampled for each 5–10 fish. However, since the number of available larvae of definitive stage H was not enough, due to the relatively short duration of the stage and available timing of the experiment, and since morphological difference between stages H and I is small, these 2 stages were pooled in some experiments.

2.2 *Melanophore density and status*

Chromatophores were photographed without anesthetizing the fish by using a dissecting light microscope (M216; Leica, Germany) equipped with a digital camera (DFC300FX; Leica). Melanophores and xanthophores were distinguished by the black and yellow coloration. Melanophores were classified into 2 groups: large larval-type melanophores and small adult-type melanophores (Seikai et al., 1987). The number of larval- and adult-type melanophores in five 1-mm² areas near the base of the caudal fin was counted for each individual. The degree of pigment aggregation or dispersion in melanophores was evaluated using melanophore index (MI; Hogben and Slome, 1931). In brief, MI = 1.0 corresponded to the most aggregated status; and MI = 5.0, to the most dispersed state. About 30 or more melanophores of the larval type, without or with considerably more number of adult-type melanophores were focused in each photograph obtained using our microscope system; therefore, 30 melanophores per individual were examined for

determining the MI for both the types of melanophores. Since melanophores at stage G rapidly dispersed by handling stress, the MI was evaluated when the larvae turned black and had completed the color change.

2.3 *In vitro* responsiveness to MCH

Barfin flounder MCH (Takahashi et al., 2004) was synthesized and purchased from GL Biochem (Shanghai) Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Fish were immobilized in ice-cold seawater, and then the posterior half of the body (tail) was severed by using a sharp razor blade as described by Suzuki et al. (1997). Immediately after the tail was removed, the fish were killed by cutting off their heads. The tails were washed in balanced salt solution (BSS; Burton and Vokey, 2000) containing HEPES (pH 7.5), and then incubated in 1 mL of BSS containing MCH (0–1000 nM) for 30 min at 20°C in a well of a 24-well culture plate. Aggregation or dispersion of melanophores was investigated as described above.

2.4 *Statistical analysis*

The values are shown as means $\pm$ standard error. Melanophore density and MI were analyzed using Mann–Whitney *U* test to compare the differences between ocular and blind sides. The variance in the MI was analyzed using Kruskal–Wallis test by using XLSTAT 2012 (<http://www.mindware-jp.com/xlstat/>). When significant differences were detected, post hoc Steel–Dwass test was applied to compare among the groups ($p < 0.05$). Non-parametric tests were used for MI, because it is based on a ranking scale.

3. Results

3.1 *Melanophore density on the ocular and blind sides during development*

Larval-type melanophores were found at a density of 80 cells/mm² on both sides at stage E, and the density decreased consistently with development to a level of 25 cells/mm² (Fig. 1A). At each stage, no significant differences were observed between the ocular and blind sides. In contrast, adult-type melanophores appeared only on the ocular side from stage I at a level of 300 cells/mm² (Fig. 1B).

3.2 Melanophore aggregation or dispersion status during development and its response to handling

During development, melanophore aggregation or dispersion status markedly changed. When the larvae were black (stages E–G), larval-type melanophores completely dispersed (MI = 5.0) on both the sides (Fig. 2A and B). However, when the black color became pale and expressed patterns on the ocular side (stages H–I and juveniles), larval-type melanophore aggregation was noted (MI = 3.0–4.0; Fig. 2A and B). Only at stage G, color variation among individuals was observed. About 70% of larvae exhibited black coloration similar to that noted during stages E–F, but about 30% were transparent.

In the transparent larvae of stage G, aggregation of larval-type melanophores was noted (MI = 1.0; Fig. 3A). After handling, the transparent larvae dramatically changed coloration to black within 45 s, due to the dispersion of the larval-type melanophores (Figs. 3A–C, MI = 1.0 and 5.0 before and after the handling, respectively). However, at stage I, no such drastic changes in coloration were observed after handling (Fig. 3D–F, MI = about 3–4, both before and after the handling). Similarly, no changes in coloration were observed in the larvae of stages E and F (data not shown).

3.3 In vitro responsiveness to MCH during development

The experimental system for larval responses to MCH was validated by investigating time- and dose-dependent responses by using the tails of stage F larvae (Figs. 4 and 5). Since blood

circulation was absent and melanophores were not exposed on the skin surface, delivery of MCH from the incubation medium to melanophores required diffusion. The time lag between the addition of MCH and arrival of MCH to melanophores was reduced by using a high dose of MCH (1,000 nM). The color began to change from black to pale within 5 min (Fig. 4B), and the entire area of the tail became pale after 30 min (Fig. 4C). No further changes were observed after 90 min (Fig. 4D). In the dose-dependent response experiment, tails of stage F larvae were incubated in MCH (0–1,000 nM) for 30 min. No significant aggregations were observed in the control larvae and larvae treated with 1 nM MCH. However, larvae treated with 10–1,000 nM MCH showed significant aggregation of larval-type melanophores (Fig. 5).

Next, the tails of larvae from each stage were incubated in MCH (1,000 nM) for 30 min. For G stage, black larvae were used. Development-related changes in response of larval-type melanophores to MCH, as well as left-right asymmetry, were noted in larvae of stages H–I and juveniles (Fig. 6). On the ocular side, larval-type melanophores aggregated significantly only at stages E–G, but not in larvae at stages H–I and juveniles (Fig. 6A). In contrast, on the blind side, significant aggregation of larval-type melanophores was noted at all stages (Fig. 6B). On the other hand, aggregation of adult-type melanophores was noted in stage I larvae and juveniles, although their MI values were not estimated because the magnification of the photographs was extremely low (Fig. 7).

4. Discussion

4.1. Coloration control during larval and juvenile stages in barfin flounder

Barfin flounder larvae exhibit unique pigmentation development within the diversity of teleost coloration. Interestingly, the larvae exhibit black as well as asymmetrical coloration. Our results provide strong evidence that the aggregation or dispersion of larval-type melanophores plays a major role in this unique coloration. Thus far, pigmentation development in fish has been intensively studied using zebrafish (Quigley and Parichy, 2002); flatfish may serve as a model because their

pigmentation undergoes a repatterning at metamorphosis.

The black coloration is represented by quantitative differences in the density or status of melanophores. In barfin flounder, both melanophores and xanthophores appeared on the skin (data not shown), similar to the findings of a previous study conducted on the transparent larval flatfish (Bolker and Hill, 2000). In addition, transparent larvae of both Japanese flounder (Nakamura et al., 2010) and summer flounder (Bolker et al., 2005) exhibited almost identical density of larval-type melanophores as those found in black larvae of barfin flounder (Fig. 1A). However, at stages E–G, dispersion and aggregation status of larval-type melanophores was significantly different between the 2 species: completely dispersed melanophores ($MI = 5.0$) in barfin flounder (Fig. 2), whereas $MI = 2.0$ – 3.0 (Yoshikawa, unpublished) in Japanese flounder. Therefore, the black coloration in dark larvae might be due to the dispersion of larval-type melanophores and not their density. Although xanthophores might also contribute to the dark larval coloration in barfin flounder, their density and status were not investigated due to the interference by the extensive dispersion of larval-type melanophores in this study.

Our results suggested that MCH significantly aggregated larval-type melanophores. To our best knowledge, this is the first report showing MCH responsiveness of larval-type melanophores in the fish that have both larval- and adult-type melanophores. Early responsiveness (as early as 3 DAH) of melanophores to MCH has been shown in rainbow trout (Suzuki et al., 1997); however, the presence of 2 types of melanophores has not been confirmed in salmonids. Larval-type melanophores significantly aggregated in the presence of as low as 10 nM MCH (Fig. 5). Such responses are consistent with a study on peppered catfish and Nile tilapia, which showed melanophore aggregation at 10 nM MCH as the lowest concentration (Kawauchi and Baker, 2004). Although larval-type melanophores remained dispersed at stages E–F (Fig. 2) in nature, they are expected to have MCH receptors at those stages, because they aggregated by MCH treatment.

Our results suggested that the black coloration could be related to the MCH concentration in the circulation. In barfin flounder, MCH neuronal somata and fibers were first detected in the hypothalamus and pituitary, respectively, at 7 DAH (stage B; Amano et al., 2003). The early

projection of MCH fibers might indicate active secretion of MCH into the circulation. However, in normal development, larval-type melanophores first aggregated at stage G (Fig. 3A). Further, the distribution of MCH neuronal somata and fibers first displayed a pattern similar to that in the adult fish 35–42 DAH (stages G–H; Amano et al., 2003), which could be the beginning of active secretion of MCH. Thus, MCH in the circulation might be low at stages E–F. In addition, in cichlid fish, MCH immunoreactivity was detected in the neuromast of larval heads, and the possibility of paracrine control of melanophores was suggested (Pandolfi et al., 2003). For the larval coloration and its possible control, MCH level in circulation and possible production of MCH in peripheral tissues, as well as the interactions of MSH or sympathetic nervous systems, needs to be investigated.

The responsiveness of larval-type melanophores completely disappeared from the ocular side at the latter half of metamorphosis, irrespective of the influence of the neuroendocrine or sympathetic nervous system. Our in vitro investigation of MCH responsiveness revealed that larval-type melanophores aggregated only at stages E–G, and no aggregations were observed at stages H–I and in juveniles (Fig. 6A). This result indicated that the responsiveness of larval-type melanophores to MCH on the ocular side disappears at stages H–I. Under the control of the sympathetic nervous system, larval-type melanophores did not disperse after handling stress at stages H–I (Fig. 3D and E), whereas they showed remarkable dispersion in transparent larvae of stage G (Fig. 3A–C). Since this dispersion was considerably faster than that noted after exposure to neuroendocrine hormones such as MCH (Fig. 4), the lack of rapid dispersion after handling stress probably indicates the lack of responsiveness to sympathetic nervous system activity. After the responsiveness of larval-type melanophores on the ocular side disappeared, adult-type melanophores appeared only on the ocular side (Fig. 1B) and exhibited MCH responsiveness (Fig. 7). Internal tissues such as skeletal muscles and erythrocytes are known to undergo morphological changes from larval- to adult-type during metamorphosis (Inui et al., 1995). Similarly, our results might indicate the transition of MCH responsiveness on the ocular side from larval- to adult-type during metamorphosis.

4.2. Larval (immature) nature of the blind side skin in flatfishes

The left-right asymmetry of flatfish has attracted considerable interest; however, the central mechanisms underlying the metamorphic asymmetry have not been elucidated. During melanophore development in symmetrical fish such as zebrafish, the second wave of melanophore differentiation occurs at the time of metamorphosis (Johnson et al., 1995). This is similar to the differentiation that occurs on the ocular side of flatfish, where adult-type melanophores differentiate during metamorphosis following larval-type melanophores (Fig. 1; Seikai et al., 1987). In other words, the blind-side skin has a unique pattern of development. Although the thyroid hormone is known to generally stimulate flatfish metamorphosis and create an asymmetrical body (Inui et al., 1995), the timing of thyroid hormone action determines the success or failure of the blind side formation (Tagawa and Aritaki, 2005), again suggesting the uniqueness of the blind side development. Taken together, these findings suggest that flatfish have acquired a unique mechanism to create the blind side characteristics in the course of evolution.

The asymmetrical response to MCH was similar to the developmental transition pattern of other asymmetrical tissues and organs of flatfish. As described above, MCH responsiveness changed from larval- to adult-type on the ocular side (Figs. 6 and 7), but was unchanged and remained larval-type on the blind side even after metamorphosis (Fig. 6). There are several other examples similar to MCH responsiveness: differentiation of adult-type melanophores (Seikai et al., 1987), formation of ctenoid scales (Kikuchi and Makino, 1990), increase of mucus cells (Seikai, 1992), increase of epidermal filament-containing cells (Suzuki, 1994), degeneration of intraepithelial blood vessels (Suzuki, 1994), and degeneration of chloride cells (Suzuki, 1994). All these adult characteristics of the ocular side appear after metamorphosis, and larval (immature) characteristics remain on the blind side. This corroborates the idea presented by Suzuki (1994): “The asymmetrical formation process is thought to be due the cell differentiation on the obverse side and pseudomorphism on the reverse side through the adaptive characteristics in relation to a bottom life style.”

Even in normally metamorphosed juveniles of flatfish, some portion of the blind side skin sometimes becomes dark, especially in those fish that are reared in tanks without bottom sand. This phenomenon is called “staining” (Norman, 1934). Since the stained area has ocular side characteristics for scale types and pigment cell populations (Isojima et al., 2013), it is clear that the blind side skin of larval-type has a potential to develop into ocular side skin of adult-type even after metamorphosis. Therefore, the ocular side skin (having adult-type skin commonly found in various teleosts) might be considered to be in the terminal phase, whereas the blind side skin (having larval-type skin) is in the transient phase. Thus, a possible inhibition mechanism that stops the development of adult-type characteristics occurring on either side (future blind side) of the body is strongly suggested as the central mechanism for the formation of flatfish asymmetry.

5. Conclusions

Our study showed the population and status changes in melanophores during development and their responsiveness to MCH. Melanophore dispersion was found to be the possible reason for the black coloration found in some teleost larvae. In addition, this is the first report suggesting the presence of responsiveness to MCH in definitive larval-type melanophores, along with the disappearance of this responsiveness only on the ocular side. The metamorphic transition of MCH responsiveness from larval- to adult-type melanophores only on the ocular side suggests the larval (immature) nature of the blind side skin. We propose that the inhibited development, and thus the retention of larval-type skin leads to the formation of the blind side characteristics and is the central mechanism for the establishment of flatfish asymmetry.

Acknowledgments

We express our gratitude toward Dr. Kanta Mizusawa, School of Marine Biosciences, Kitasato University, for providing detailed information on MCH of barfin flounder. We are also grateful to

322 Prof. Tohru Suzuki and Mr. Yohei Washio, Graduate School of Agriculture, Tohoku University, for
323 the invaluable discussion on the ontogeny of MCHs in flatfishes. Thanks are also due to the
324 members of Mariculture Fisheries Research Institute, Fisheries Research Department, Hokkaido
325 Research Organization, and to Dr. Kouji Nakayama, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto
326 University, for their useful discussion and encouragements during the course of the study. This study
327 was supported in part by The Kyoto University Research Funds to Back-up Scientists at Core Stage
328 FY2011, and by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24580266 to M.T.
329

References

- Amano, M., Takahashi, A., Oka, Y., Yamanome, T., Kawauchi, H., Yamamori, K., 2003. Immunocytochemical localization and ontogenic development of melanin-concentrating hormone in the brain of a pleuronectiform fish, the barfin flounder. *Cell Tissue Res.* 311, 71–77.
- Aritaki, M., Suzuki, S., Watanabe, K., 2000. Morphological development and growth of laboratory-reared barfin flounder *Verasper moseri*. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi* 66, 446–453 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- Bolker, J.A., Hakala, T.F., Quist, J.E., 2005. Pigmentation development, defects, and patterning in summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*). *Zoology* 108, 183–193.
- Bolker, J.A., Hill, C.R., 2000. Pigmentation development in hatchery-reared flatfishes. *J. Fish Biol.* 56, 1029–1052.
- Burton, D., 2002. The physiology of flatfish chromatophores. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 58, 481–487.
- Burton, D., 2010. Flatfish (Pleuronectiformes) chromatic biology. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fisheries* 20, 31–46.
- Burton, D., Vokey, J.E., 2000. The relative *in vitro* responsiveness of melanophores of winter flounder to α -MSH and MCH. *J. Fish Biol.* 56, 1192–1200.
- Fujii, R., 2000. The regulation of motile activity in fish chromatophores. *Pigm. Cell Res.* 13, 300–319.
- Fujii, R., Oshima, N., 1986. Control of chromatophore movements in teleost fishes. *Zool. Sci.* 3, 13–47.
- Hogben, L., Slome, D., 1931. The pigmentary system. vi. The dual character of the endocrine co-ordination in amphibian colour change. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 108, 10–53.

- 354 Inui, Y., Yamano, K., Miwa, S., 1995. The role of thyroid hormone in tissue development in
355 metamorphosing flounder. *Aquaculture* 135, 87–98.
- 356 Isojima, T., Tsuji, H., Masuda, R., Tagawa, M., 2013. Formation process of staining-type
357 hypermelanosis in Japanese flounder juveniles revealed by examination of chromatophores
358 and scales. *Fish. Sci.* (in press).
- 359 Johnson, S.L., Africa, D., Walker, C., Weston, J.A., 1995. Genetic control of adult pigment stripe
360 development in zebrafish. *Dev. Biol.* 167, 27–33.
- 361 Kawauchi, H., 2006. Functions of melanin-concentrating hormone in fish. *J. Exp. Zool.* 305A,
362 751–760.
- 363 Kawauchi, H., Baker, B.I., 2004. Melanin-concentrating hormone signaling systems in fish. *Peptides*
364 25, 1577–1584.
- 365 Kawauchi, H., Kawazoe, I., Tsubokawa, M., Kishida, M., Baker, B.I., 1983. Characterization of
366 melanin-concentrating hormone in chum salmon pituitaries. *Nature* 305, 321–323.
- 367 Kikuchi, S., Makino, N., 1990. Characteristics of the progression of squamation and the formation of
368 ctenii in the Japanese flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *J. Exp. Zool.* 254, 177–185.
- 369 Matsumoto, J., Seikai, T., 1992. Asymmetric pigmentation and pigment disorders in
370 *Pleuronectiformes* (flounders). *Pigm. Cell Res. Suppl.* 2, 275–282.
- 371 Mizusawa, K., Kobayashi, Y., Sunuma, T., Asahida, T., Saito, Y., Takahashi, A., 2011. Inhibiting
372 roles of melanin-concentrating hormone for skin pigment dispersion in barfin flounder,
373 *Verasper moseri*. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 171, 75–81.
- 374 Nakamura, M., Seikai, T., Aritaki, M., Masuda, R., Tanaka, M., Tagawa, M., 2010. Dual appearance
375 of xanthophores, and ontogenetic changes in other pigment cells during early development of
376 Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Fish. Sci.* 76, 243–250.
- 377 Norman, J.R., 1934. A systematic monograph of the flatfishes (Heterosomata). Vol. 1. Psettodidae,

- 378 Bothidae, Pleuronectidae. British Museum, London. 1–29.
- 379 Pandolfi, M., Cánepa, M.M., Ravaglia, M.A., Maggese, M.C., Paz, D.A., Vissio, P.G., 2003.
- 380 Melanin-concentrating hormone system in the brain and skin of the cichlid fish *Cichlasoma*
- 381 *dimerus*: anatomical localization, ontogeny and distribution in comparison to
- 382 α -melanocyte-stimulating hormone-expressing cells. Cell Tissue Res. 311, 61–69.
- 383 Quigley, I.K., Parichy, D.M., 2002. Pigmentation pattern formation in zebrafish: A model for
- 384 developmental genetics and the evolution of form. Microsc. Res. Tech. 58, 442–455.
- 385 Seikai, T., 1992. Process of pigment cell differentiation in skin on the left and right sides of the
- 386 Japanese flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*, during metamorphosis. Jpn. J. Ichthyol. 39, 85–92.
- 387 Seikai, T., Matsumoto, J., Shimozaki, M., Oikawa, A., Akiyama, T., 1987. An association of
- 388 melanophores appearing at metamorphosis as vehicles of asymmetric skin color formation
- 389 with pigment anomalies developed under hatchery conditions in the Japanese flounder,
- 390 *Paralichthys olivaceus*. Pigm. Cell Res. 1, 143–151.
- 391 Suzuki, M., Bennett, P., Levy, A., Baker, B.I., 1997. Expression of MCH and POMC genes in
- 392 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) during ontogeny and in response to early physiological
- 393 challenges. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 107, 341–350.
- 394 Suzuki, N., 1994. Ultrastructure of the skin on reverse side of hatchery-reared Japanese flounder,
- 395 *Paralichthys olivaceus* with reference to the pigmentation. Bull. Nansei Natl. Fish. Res. Inst.
- 396 27, 113–128 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- 397 Tagawa, M., Aritaki, M., 2005. Production of symmetrical flatfish by controlling the timing of
- 398 thyroid hormone treatment in spotted halibut *Verasper variegatus*. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.
- 399 141, 184–189.
- 400 Takahashi, A., Tsuchiya, K., Yamanome, T., Amano, M., Yasuda, A., Yamamori, K., Kawauchi, H.,
- 401 2004. Possible involvement of melanin-concentrating hormone in food intake in a teleost fish,

- 402 barfin flounder. *Peptides* 25, 1613–1622.
- 403 Yamada, T., Donai, H., Okauchi, M., Tagawa, M., Araki, K., 2011. Induction of ambicoloration by
- 404 exogenous cortisol during metamorphosis of spotted halibut *Verasper variegatus*. *Comp.*
- 405 *Biochem. Physiol. Part B* 160, 174–180.
- 406 Yamanome, T., Chiba, H., Takahashi, A., 2007. Melanocyte-stimulating hormone facilitates
- 407 hypermelanosis on the non-eyed side of the barfin flounder, a pleuronectiform fish.
- 408 *Aquaculture* 270, 505–511.
- 409

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Developmental changes in melanophore density. Larval-type melanophores (A) and adult-type melanophores (B). Black and white circles show the ocular and blind sides, respectively. The values are shown as means of 5 fish $\pm$ standard error. The asterisk shows statistical significance between ocular and blind sides; $p < 0.05$, Mann–Whitney U test. Inserted photographs show larval-type (A, blind side of stage I individual) and adult-type (B, ocular side of stage I individual) melanophores, respectively. Scale bars indicate 50 μm .

Fig. 2. Developmental changes in the aggregation/dispersion of larval-type melanophores. Ocular side (A) and blind side (B). The values are shown as means of 5 fish $\pm$ standard error. Different letters show statistical significance; $p < 0.05$, Kruskal–Wallis test with a post hoc Steel–Dwass test.

Fig. 3. Changes of body coloration and larval-type melanophores after handling stress. The larva at stages G and I, before (A, D), 45 s (B, E), and 180 s (C, F) after the handling stress. Black arrowheads indicate larval-type melanophores. Scale bars indicate 5 mm (upper) and 500 μm (lower).

Fig. 4. Time course response to MCH (1,000 nM). The coloration of larval tails of stage F before (A) and 5 min (B), 30 min (C), and 90 min after (D) incubation. Scale bar indicates 1 mm.

Fig. 5. Dose response to MCH in larval-type melanophores at stage F. Black and white bars show MCH and control groups, respectively. The values are shown as means of 3–5 fish $\pm$ standard error. Letters show statistical significance; $p < 0.05$, Kruskal–Wallis test with a post hoc Steel–Dwass test.

Fig. 6. Developmental changes in larval-type melanophore response to MCH (1,000 nM). Ocular side (A) and blind side (B). Black and white bars show MCH and control groups, respectively. The values are shown as means of 5 fish $\pm$ standard error. Asterisks show statistical

435 significance between control and MCH groups; $p < 0.05$, Mann–Whitney U test.

436 Fig. 7. Adult-type melanophore response to MCH (1,000 nM) at stages H–I. Small black arrows and

437 large black arrowheads indicate adult- and larval-type melanophores, respectively. Scale bar, 1

438 mm.

Fig.1

Fig.2

Stage G

Stage I

Fig.3

Fig.4

Fig.5

Fig.6

Fig.7