Long-term Potentiation of Inhibitory Synaptic Transmission onto Cerebellar Purkinje Neurons Contributes to Adaptation of Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex.
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Synaptic plasticity in the cerebellum is thought to contribute to motor learning. In particular, long-term depression (LTD) at parallel fiber (PF) to Purkinje neuron (PN) excitatory synapses has attracted much attention of neuroscientists as a primary cellular mechanism for motor learning. In contrast, roles of plasticity at cerebellar inhibitory synapses in vivo remain unknown. Here, we have investigated the roles of long-lasting enhancement of transmission at GABAergic synapses on a PN that is known as rebound potentiation (RP). Previous studies demonstrated that binding of GABA$_A$ receptor with GABA$_A$ receptor-associated protein (GABARAP) is required for RP, and that a peptide that blocks this binding suppresses RP induction. To address the functional roles of RP, we generated transgenic mice that express this peptide fused to a fluorescent protein selectively in PNs using the PN-specific L7 promoter. These mice failed to show RP, although they showed no changes in the basal amplitude or frequency of miniature IPSCs. The transgenic mice also showed no abnormality in gross cerebellar morphology, LTD, or other excitatory synaptic properties, or intrinsic excitability of PNs. Next, we attempted to evaluate their motor control and learning ability by examining reflex eye movements. The basal dynamic properties of the vestibulo-ocular reflex and optokinetic response, and adaptation of the latter, were normal in the transgenic mice. In contrast, the transgenic mice showed defects in the adaptation of vestibulo-ocular reflex, a model paradigm of cerebellum-dependent motor learning. These results together suggest that RP contributes to a certain type of motor learning.

**Introduction**

The cerebellum is necessary for fine motor control and synaptic plasticity, which has been considered to contribute to motor learning (Hansel et al., 2001; Boyden et al., 2004; Dean et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2012; Ito, 2012; Hirano, 2013). In particular, long-term depression (LTD) at glutamatergic excitatory synapses has been regarded as a critical cellular mechanism of motor learning. However, normal motor learning was observed in some LTD-deficient animals (Welsh et al., 2005; Schonewille et al., 2011), which has made the roles of LTD in motor learning puzzling. Other cerebellar synaptic or intrinsic plasticity mechanisms can also contribute to motor learning (Boyden et al., 2004; Dean et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2012; Hirano, 2013), and one candidate mechanism is rebound potentiation (RP) (Kano et al., 1992; Kawaguchi and Hirano, 2000; Yamamoto et al., 2002).

RP is a long-lasting enhancement of transmission efficiency at GABAergic inhibitory synapses on PNs and is induced by postsynaptic depolarization caused by strong excitatory synaptic inputs, such as those from a climbing fiber (CF). Thus, RP decreases the excitability of a PN depending on the CF activity similarly to LTD, suggesting that RP might contribute to motor learning in concert with LTD. Intensive studies on the molecular mechanisms of RP induction have been performed (Kawaguchi and Hirano, 2000, 2002, 2007; Kitagawa et al., 2009; Kawaguchi et al., 2011), and it was reported that binding of GABA$_A$ receptor with GABA$_A$ receptor-associated protein (GABARAP) is necessary for the induction and maintenance of RP. GABARAP is a protein that binds to GABA$_A$ receptor and tubulin (Wang et al., 1999) and that regulates the number and/or function of cell-surface GABA$_A$ receptor in both neurons and heterologous expression systems (Chen et al., 2000; Leil et al., 2004). RP induction is suppressed by a peptide (γ2 peptide) corresponding to an intracellular region of GABA$_A$ receptor γ2 subunit that binds to GABARAP (Kawaguchi and Hirano, 2007). Here, to study the roles of RP in motor control and learning, we generated transgenic mice in which γ2 peptide fused to a fluorescent protein is selectively expressed in cerebellar PNs using a PN-specific L7 promoter (Smye et al., 1995).

We then examined the ability of the transgenic mice regarding motor control and learning, focusing on two reflex eye movements,
vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) and optokinetic response (OKR). Both of these reflexes work to stabilize the visual image during head motion (Robinson, 1981). VOR and OKR undergo adaptive modifications in direction so as to reduce the image slip on the retina in various experimental conditions, and these adaptations have been studied as model paradigms of cerebellum-dependent motor learning (Ito, 1982, 2012; du Lac et al., 1995; Boyden et al., 2004). We report here that the transgenic mice showed impaired RP and defects in VOR adaptation.

Materials and Methods

Generation of transgenic mice. The PN-specific expression construct using the L7 promoter was designed as described previously (Smeyne et al., 1995). The L7 gene was a gift from Dr. A. Kakizuka (Ikeda et al., 1996). The 2pepV expression vector reported in a previous study (Kawaguchi and Hirano, 2007) was digested with EcoRI and XhoI, and the 2pepV coding region was blunted with T4 polymerase, followed by insertion into the blunt BamHI site of pL7ΔAUG (Smeyne et al., 1995). Then, the DNA fragment for L7-2pepV was isolated by digestion with HindIII and EcoRI. This DNA fragment was purified and used for injection into fertilized eggs of C57BL/6N strain mice to generate 2pepV transgenic mice (Accession no. CDB0485T: http://www.cdb.riken.jp/arg/transgene.html). The transgene was designed as described previously (Smeyne et al., 1995). We expressed the GABARAP mutant mice list.html. The transgene was detected by PCR using the following primers: 5′-GGGACCTTCTGACTTGCTTC-3′ and 5′-ATGGCGGGACTTGAAGAAGTCGTGCTGCTTC-3′.

Immunohistochemistry. A male mouse (8–10 weeks old) anesthetized with Somnopentyl (50 mg/kg, Kyoritsu Pharmacy), was perfused transcardially with PBS and then kept in 30% sucrose in PBS fixed overnight at 4°C in paraformaldehyde (Kyoritsu Pharmacy), was perfused transcardially with PBS and then kept in 30% sucrose in PBS.

Coimmunoprecipitation. Coimmunoprecipitation experiments were performed as described previously (Mizokami et al., 2007). The cerebellum of control or 2pepV mouse (8–10-week-old, male) was homogenized in buffer A containing 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.2% BSA, and 0.5% Triton X-100, 1% protease inhibitor mixture (Nacalai) and 20 μM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4. After homogenization, cross-linker solution containing 2.5 mM 3,3′-dithiobis[sulfosuccinimidylpropionate] and 2.5 mM diethanolamine [sucinimidylpropionate] (Pierce) was added, and the membrane proteins were extracted in 1% Na-deoxycholate for 30 min at 4°C. The cross-linking reaction was performed for 30 min at room temperature and then stopped by adding 50 μM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5.

Then, the protein extract obtained by centrifugation was subjected to immunoprecipitation with ~10 μg of rabbit anti-2 antibody (Millipore), and 20 μl of a 50% slurry of protein A-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) was added. The beads were washed twice with PBS, resuspended in 20 μl of glycine buffer containing 50 mM glycine, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100 titrated to pH 2.5 with HCl. Then, 0.8 μl of 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.0, and sample buffer for SDSPAGE containing 4% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0.001% bromophenol blue, 10 μl dithiothreitol were added, and Western blotting was performed. The antibodies used for immunoblotting were as follows: anti-GABARAP (MBL), anti-β-actin (Sigma), and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents). Signals were detected using SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Pierce) and LAS-3000 plus gel documentation system (Fujifilm).
Electrophysiology. The methods of whole-cell recording in slice preparations were similar to those described previously (Kashiwabuchi et al., 1995). A mouse of either sex was killed by decapitation, and sagittal slices (250 μm) were prepared from the cerebellum. Most slices were prepared from P14–P18 mice, although P22–P24 or 8- to 10-week-old mice were used in some experiments. Slices from P14–P18 or P22–P24 mice were prepared in a solution containing the following (in mM): 130 NaCl, 4.5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 5 HEPES, 33 glucose titrated to pH 7.4 with NaOH. Slices from 8- to 10-week-old mice were prepared in a solution containing the following (in mM): 93 N-methyl-D-glucamine, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 NaH₂PO₄, 30 NaHCO₃, 2.0 thiourea, 5.1 Na-ascorbate, 3.1 Na-pyruvate, 0.5 CaCl₂, 10 MgCl₂, 25 glucose, 20 HEPES, titrated to pH 7.4 with HCl. Then, the slices were transferred to Krebs’ solution containing the following (in mM): 124 NaCl, 1.8 KCl, 1.2 KH₂PO₄, 1.3 MgCl₂, 2.5 CaCl₂, 26 NaHCO₃, and 10 glucose saturated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂, and 37°C heat shock was applied for 45–60 min. After that, slices were maintained at room temperature (22–24°C).

A PN was whole-cell voltage-clamped at −70 or −80 mV with a glass pipette filled with an internal solution containing the following (in mM): 150 CsCl, 0.5 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 2 Mg-ATP (Sigma), and 0.2 Na-GTP (Sigma) titrated to pH 7.3 with CsOH at room temperature, unless otherwise stated. For current-clamp recording, an internal solution containing the following (in mM), 140 D-glucuronic acid, 5 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 155 KOH, 7 KCl, 2 Mg-ATP, and 0.2 Na-GTP, was used. The electrode resistance was 1–4 MΩ, and the pipette was coated with silicon to minimize the stray capacitance. The junction potential between the Krebs’ solution and the K glucuronate-based internal solution was 14 mV, which was cancelled in recordings. Input resistance (>150 MΩ) and series resistance (10–25 MΩ) were monitored throughout the experiments by applying an 80 ms −10 mV voltage pulse every 1 min, and the experiment was terminated when either input or series resistance changed by > 20%. Inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) were recorded in the presence of 10 μM NBQX (Tocris Bioscience), an AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist. Miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs) were recorded under action potential suppression by 1 μM TTX (Wako). In mIPSC analyses, events >7 pA with appropriate time courses were selected and analyzed with Mini Analysis software (Synaptosoft). The mean mIPSC amplitude was calculated from >200 events in a PN. RP was induced by 5 depolarization pulses (500 ms, 0 mV) at 0.5 Hz. Evoked IPSCs were recorded at

Figure 2. Morphology of the cerebellar cortex. Cerebellar slices stained with antibodies against calbindin (PN marker, green) and NeuN (granule neuron marker, magenta) (A); calbindin (green) and parvalbumin (a marker for molecular layer interneurons and PNs, magenta) (B); or parvalbumin (green) and neurogranin (Golgi neuron marker, magenta) (C). Arrows and arrowheads indicate molecular layer interneurons and Golgi neurons, respectively. Scale bars, 25 μm. D–F. The width of each layer (B) and the cell densities (cell number/mm²) of molecular layer interneurons (E) or Golgi neurons (F) are presented.
a holding potential of ~20 mV by applying a 50 μs voltage pulse through a glass electrode, which was filled with Krebs’ solution and was placed in the molecular layer in a horizontal cerebellar slice (250 μm).

EPSCs were recorded in the presence of 20 μM bicuculline (Sigma), a GABAAR antagonist. PF-EPSCs were evoked by applying a 50 or 200 μs voltage pulse through a glass electrode placed in the molecular layer. To induce LTD, a 50 ms voltage pulse to 0 mV coupled with a PF stimulation 15 ms after the onset of depolarization was applied 10 times at 1 Hz. PF-EPSCs were monitored at 0.05 Hz. To stimulate CFs, a glass electrode was placed in the granular layer ~50 μm away from the recorded PN cell body in a slice prepared from a P22–P24 mouse. Most PNs are innervated by a single CF at P22–P24 (Kano and Hashimoto, 2012). CF-EPSCs were evoked by applying a 200 μs voltage pulse and recorded at the holding potential of ~20 mV. They were identified by their large amplitude, and all-or-none or stepwise amplitude increase.

The basal firing rate of PN was measured in a current-clamp condition without any current injection for 5 s. A 500 ms constant current injection was applied to obtain the relation between the amplitude of current and the frequency of action potentials. We also performed cell-attached recording of basal firing rate using a glass pipette filled with Krebs’ solution.

Eye movement recording. The methods for reflex eye movement recording were similar to those described previously (Iwashita et al., 2001; Yoshida et al., 2004). A male mouse (8–10 weeks old) was anesthetized with a mixture of 0.9% ketamine and 0.2% xylazine, and a head holder was attached to the skull with small screws using dental cement. The recording was performed 2 d after the surgery. We confirmed that the dynamic properties of eye movements did not change between 2 and 7 d after the surgery. A head-fixed mouse was placed on a turntable surrounded by a rotatable cylindrical screen (60 cm diameter) with vertical black and white stripes (14 degrees interval). The mouse’s body was supported with a rubber sheet so that the feet did not reach the turntable. Both the turntable and screen were rotated independently with DC servomotors (RH-14-3002-T, Harmonic Drive) controlled by a personal computer. Sinusoidal oscillations of 10 degrees/s at 0.2–1 Hz were applied to the turntable or screen. To monitor eye movement, the right eye was illuminated by an infrared LED (TLN201, Toshiba), and the frontal image reflected by a hot mirror (DMR, Kenko) was monitored using an infrared-sensitive CCD camera (XC-HR50, Sony). Because the mirror reflects infrared light but transmits visible light, the eye position was monitored without disrupting the mouse’s view. The eye image was captured at 200 Hz, and the eye position was analyzed using Geteye software that calculated the pupil centroid (Morita). For VOR recording in the dark, a drop of pilocarpine hydrochloride (Santen) was used to decrease the pupil size. The gain and phase of VOR were measured at 0.8 Hz, 10 degrees/s for 50 s, 60 times with 10 s intervals, was coupled with that of the screen in-phase (gain-decrease training) at the same amplitude, or out-of-phase (gain-increase training) at half of the amplitude (5 degrees/s). The gain and phase of VOR in the dark were measured 10 min after cessation of the training session and compared with the pretrained values. To induce OKR adaptation, only sinusoidal rotation of the surrounding screen at 0.8 Hz, 10 degrees/s for 50 s, 60 times with 10 s intervals, was applied.

All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines regarding the care and use of animals for experimental proce-

Figure 3. IPSCs and suppression of RP in γ2pepV mice. A, B, Averaged baseline amplitudes (A) and frequencies (B) of mIPSCs recorded from PNs in control (n = 5) and γ2pepV (n = 6) mice. C, Amplitudes of evoked IPSCs versus the stimulus intensity (n = 8 for each). D–F, Representative traces (D), time courses of amplitudes (E), and frequencies (F) of mIPSCs in control (n = 5) and γ2pepV (n = 6) mice before and after the conditioning depolarization (0 min). RP was significantly impaired in γ2pepV line A mice. G, H, Cumulative probabilities of mIPSC amplitudes in control (G, before, 1372 mIPSCs; after, 1401 mIPSCs; after, 1051 mIPSCs, 5 cells) and γ2pepV (H, before, 1372 mIPSCs; after, 1401 mIPSCs, 6 cells) mice. In control mice, a significant difference was detected between amplitudes before and 33 min after the RP induction. I. The time courses of amplitudes of mIPSCs in control (n = 4) and γ2pepV (n = 5) mature mice (P8- to P10-week-old) before and after the conditioning depolarization (0 min). Data are mean ± SEM.
dures of the National Institutes of Health and Kyoto University and approved by the local committee for handling experimental animals in the Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University.

**Results**

**Generation of transgenic mice deficient in RP**

Our previous study showed that binding of GABARAP to GABA\(_{\alpha}\) receptor \(\gamma_2\) subunit is necessary for the induction and maintenance of RP (Kawaguchi and Hirano, 2007). In that study, the expression of a fusion protein (\(\gamma_2\) peptide-Venus; composed of Venus and \(\gamma_2\) peptide) that competitively inhibits the binding of GABA\(_{\alpha}\) receptor \(\gamma_2\) subunit to GABARAP impaired RP without affecting the basal inhibitory synaptic transmission to PNs. We generated transgenic mice (\(\gamma_2\)pepV mice) in which the \(\gamma_2\) peptide-Venus transgene was selectively expressed in PNs by using the PN-specific L7 promoter. Three transgenic mice were obtained (Fig. 1A, 2, 8, and 16), although mouse 8 did not generate any offspring. Here, we mainly examined offspring of mouse 2 (line A), but those of mouse 16 (line B) were also studied.

We examined binding of GABA\(_{\alpha}\) receptor and GABARAP in wild-type (control) and \(\gamma_2\)pepV line A transgenic mice by coimmunoprecipitation using an antibody against GABA\(_{\alpha}\) receptor \(\gamma_2\) subunit. In \(\gamma_2\)pepV mice, less GABARAP signal was detected in the immunoprecipitate than in control mice (Fig. 1B; 53 ± 10\%, \(n = 5\) for each, \(p = 0.001\), unpaired \(t\) test). These results suggest that GABARAP binding to GABA\(_{\alpha}\) receptor was suppressed in \(\gamma_2\)pepV line A mice.

In line A heterozygous transgenic mice, Venus signal was detected only in PNs (Fig. 1C), and the transgenic mice did not show any gross anatomical abnormality in the cerebellar cortical structure (Fig. 2). The width of granular or molecular layer, densities of neurons, and morphology of PNs and inhibitory interneurons visualized with antibodies against calbindin, NeuN, parvalbumin, and neurogranin were similar in the transgenic and control mice. Calbindin is a marker protein of PNs, and NeuN is a marker of gran-
Parvalbumin is expressed in molecular layer interneurons and PNs, whereas neurogranin is expressed in Golgi neurons in the granular layer.

Next, we examined IPSCs and RP in γ2pepV line A mice. First, we recorded mIPSCs and evoked IPSCs from a PN in an acute slice prepared from control or γ2pepV line A mice. The baseline amplitude (Fig. 3A; control, 33 ± 8 pA, n = 5; γ2pepV, 28 ± 3 pA, n = 6, p = 0.54, unpaired t test) and the frequency (Fig. 3B; control, 6.0 ± 2.4 Hz, n = 5; γ2pepV, 5.8 ± 1.5 Hz, n = 6, p = 0.99, unpaired t test) of mIPSCs were not different between the genotypes. We also found that the amplitude of evoked IPSCs became larger with the increased stimulation intensity similarly in the two genotypes (Fig. 3C; p = 0.36, ANOVA). Thus, the basal inhibitory synaptic transmission to a PN was not significantly altered in line A mice.

Then, we applied conditioning depolarization pulses to induce RP (Fig. 3D–F). The depolarization induced long-lasting increases in mIPSC amplitudes in control mice, but not in γ2pepV mice (Fig. 3D,E,G,H). In control mice, mIPSC amplitudes increased to 175 ± 22% (n = 5, p = 0.042, paired t test) at 33 min after the depolarization. In contrast, mIPSC amplitudes did not significantly increase in γ2pepV mice (90 ± 7%, n = 6, p = 0.11, paired t test). The amplitudes of mIPSCs after the depolarization were significantly different between the genotypes (p < 0.01, unpaired t test). RP was also suppressed in more mature (8- to 10-week-old) line A mice (Fig. 3F; control, 143 ± 9% at 33 min after the depolarization, n = 4, p = 0.026; γ2pepV, 90 ± 9%, n = 5, p = 0.44, paired t test). Thus, RP was suppressed in juvenile and mature γ2pepV line A mice.

A transient increase of mIPSC frequency, corresponding to depolarization-induced potentiation of inhibition (Duguid and Smart, 2004) (DPI), occurred in both genotypes in juvenile mice (Fig. 3F; control, 167 ± 30% at 5 min after the depolarization, n = 5, p = 0.047; γ2pepV, 191 ± 26%, n = 6, p = 0.015, paired t test). The amplitude of DPI was not different between the genotypes (p = 0.55, unpaired t test). Significant DPI was not recorded in 8- to 10-week-old mice of either genotypes (control, 98 ± 8% at 5 min after the depolarization, n = 4, p = 0.25; γ2pepV, 96 ± 4%, n = 5, p = 0.45, paired t test). These data suggest that RP was suppressed without any changes in the basal inhibitory synaptic transmission or DPI in γ2pepV line A mice.

**Figure 5.** Intrinsic excitability of PNs. A, Representative traces of simple spikes. B–D, Firing rate (B), interspike interval (ISI, C), and coefficient of variation of ISI (CV, D) in current-clamp recordings (n = 20 for each). E, Representative voltage responses to 500 ms constant current injection (140 pA), and the firing rate against the intensity of injected current (n = 10). F–I, Representative traces (F), amplitudes (G), 10–90% rise times (H), and half-height widths (I) of action potential (n = 7 for each). J, Each action potential trace is an average of 10 events. K, Amplitudes (J) and half-height widths (K) of after-hyperpolarization (n = 7 for each). L, M, Resting membrane potential (L) and input resistance (M) of PNs. Data are mean ± SEM. There was no significant difference in any of the values between the genotypes (unpaired t test).
Excitatory synaptic transmission and intrinsic excitability

GABAergic inhibition in PNs was reported to regulate the developmental CF synapse elimination process (Nakayama et al., 2012). Thus, we next examined whether the number of CF innervations to a PN was changed in γ2pepV transgenic mice at P22–P24. A PN in wild-type mouse is innervated by a single CF at this age (Kano and Hashimoto, 2012). Recording of EPSCs induced by CF stimulation showed no significant difference between the two genotypes in the threshold stimulus intensity evoking the EPSCs (control, 15.9 ± 3.6 V, n = 10; γ2pepV, 14.4 ± 3.0 V, n = 10, p = 0.75, unpaired t test), in the number of amplitude steps (Fig. 4A,B; p = 1.0, Fisher’s exact probability test), in the maximum EPSC amplitude (Fig. 4C), or in the paired-pulse ratio of EPSC amplitudes (Fig. 4D; p = 0.65, ANOVA). CF-EPSCs showed paired-pulse depression similarly in the two genotypes.

We also observed similar dependence of PF-induced EPSC amplitude on the stimulus intensity (Fig. 4E; p = 0.65, ANOVA). PF-EPSCs showed paired-pulse facilitation similarly in the two genotypes (Fig. 4F; p = 0.45, ANOVA). Furthermore, LTD at PF-PN synapses occurred similarly in the two genotypes (Fig. 4G; control, 52 ± 9% at 33 min, n = 5, p < 0.001; γ2pepV, 52 ± 8%, n = 5; p = 0.022, paired t test). The amplitude of EPSCs 33 min after the LTD induction was not significantly different between the two genotypes (p = 0.98, unpaired t test). Thus, no significant difference was detected in the properties of excitatory synaptic transmissions to a PN in the two genotypes.

We next examined the intrinsic excitability of PNs, and we did not detect a significant difference between the two genotypes (Fig. 5). Firing rate (Fig. 5A,B), firing patterns (Fig. 5C,D), and the relationship between the intensity of injected current and the firing frequency (Fig. 5E; p = 0.27, ANOVA) were similar in the two genotypes. Spontaneous action potential firing was also recorded in a cell-attached condition. The firing rate and pattern were similar in the two recording conditions (data not shown). The height, the 10–90% rise time, and the half-height width of an action potential (Fig. 5). Thus, no significant difference was detected in the intrinsic excitability of PNs between the two genotypes.

Basal dynamics of reflex eye movements

To evaluate how RP deficiency affects the motor control and learning ability, two reflex eye movements, VOR and OKR, were examined. VOR and OKR work to stabilize the visual image on the retina by rotating the eyeballs during head motion. Here, VOR or OKR was induced by sinusoidal horizontal rotation of a head-fixed mouse or by rotating a screen showing vertical black and white stripes surrounding a mouse, respectively. The basal dynamics of VOR and OKR were evaluated using two parameters, gain and phase (Robinson, 1981; Iwashita et al., 2001; Boyden et al., 2004). The gain was calculated by dividing the maximum speed of eyeball rotation by that of the head or screen rotation, and the phase was defined as the timing difference between the eyeball rotation and the head or screen rotation. The

Figure 6. Baseline dynamic properties of VOR and OKR in γ2pepV mice. VOR in the dark (A, B), VOR in the light (C, D), and OKR (E, F) in γ2pepV (n = 5) and control (n = 5) mice. Gain (A, C, E) and phase (B, D, F) values of the eye movement relative to head or screen rotation are plotted versus the stimulus frequency. Data are mean ± SEM.
gain and phase of VOR in the dark, of VOR in the light, or of OKR were measured at various frequencies of the sinusoidal rotation of the turntable or that of the screen, whereas the maximum velocity of the rotation was kept constant (10 degrees/s).

With an increase of rotation frequency, the gain of VOR in the dark increased similarly in both genotypes (Fig. 6A; \( p/H11005 0.28 \), ANOVA), whereas that of VOR in the light showed little change (Fig. 6C; \( p/H11005 0.32 \), ANOVA) and that of OKR decreased similarly in the two genotypes (Fig. 6B, D, G, I; \( p/H11005 0.82 \), ANOVA). We also found that the dependence of phase on the stimulus frequency in VOR in the dark or in the light and that in OKR were similar between the genotypes (Fig. 6B, D, G, I; VOR in the dark, \( p/H11005 0.76 \); VOR in the light, \( p/H11005 0.30 \); OKR, \( p/H11005 0.28 \), ANOVA). Thus, the basal dynamics of VOR and OKR were not significantly different between the two genotypes.

### Defects of VOR adaptation in \( \gamma 2pepV \) mice

VOR is known to show adaptive modification that is dependent on the cerebellum (Robinson, 1981; du Lac et al., 1995; Boyden et al., 2004; Ito, 2012). When sinusoidal head rotation of a mouse is coupled to rotation of the external screen in the opposite or in the same direction, the gain of VOR increases or decreases, respectively. These changes are in the direction to reduce the motion of the image on the retina during head motion and thus are adaptive. These VOR adaptations have been studied as paradigms of cerebellum-dependent motor learning. Here, we examined whether these VOR adaptations were affected in \( \gamma 2pepV \) line A mice.

After training with the sinusoidal turntable rotation combined with the screen rotation in anti-phase (VOR gain-increase training), the gain of VOR in the dark increased from 0.62 ± 0.03 to...
to 0.80 ± 0.04 (Fig. 7A, B; n = 5, p = 0.023, paired t test), and the phase of VOR in the dark slightly but significantly decreased from 27 ± 2 degrees to 23 ± 2 degrees in control mice (Fig. 7C; n = 5, p < 0.01, paired t test). On the other hand, in γ2pepV mice, the gain of VOR in the dark before and after the training was 0.64 ± 0.05 and 0.63 ± 0.05, respectively (Fig. 7A, B; n = 5, p = 0.90, paired t test), and the phase of VOR in the dark before and after the training was 25 ± 1 degrees and 25 ± 3 degrees, respectively (Fig. 7C; p = 0.78, paired t test). The extent of change of VOR gain by the training was significantly different between the two genotypes (Fig. 7D; control, −0.5 ± 1 degrees; γ2pepV, −1 ± 2 degrees; p = 0.19, unpaired t test). In general, an increase or decrease in the VOR gain tends to be associated with a decrease or increase in the phase lead, respectively, although the gain and the phase can be regulated separately (Katoh et al., 2008).

Next, we examined VOR gain-decrease training using a sinusoidal stimulus achieved by combining turntable rotation with screen rotation in the same phase. In control mice, the gain of VOR in the dark decreased from 0.59 ± 0.02 to 0.25 ± 0.03 (Fig. 7F, G; n = 5, p < 0.001, paired t test), and the phase of VOR in the dark increased from 24 ± 2 degrees to 44 ± 2 degrees after the training (Fig. 7H; p < 0.01, paired t test). In γ2pepV mice, the gain of VOR decreased from 0.60 ± 0.03 to 0.38 ± 0.02 (Fig. 7F, G; n = 5, p < 0.001, paired t test), and the phase of VOR in the dark increased from 28 ± 2 degrees to 42 ± 4 degrees (Fig. 7H; p < 0.01, paired t test). Thus, the extent of change of VOR gain by the training in γ2pepV mice was significantly smaller than that in control mice (Fig. 7I; control, −0.34 ± 0.03; γ2pepV, −0.22 ± 0.02, p < 0.01, unpaired t test), whereas that of phase was not significantly different (Fig. 7J; control, 20 ± 3 degrees; γ2pepV, 14 ± 2 degrees, p = 0.17, unpaired t test). Thus, both the gain-increase and the gain-decrease VOR adaptations of gain were suppressed in the γ2pepV mice. In contrast, we did not detect a significant difference in the phase change between the two genotypes.

**Normal OKR adaptation in γ2pepV mice**

OKR also undergoes adaptive modification that is dependent on the cerebellum. When the sinusoidal rotation of the surrounding screen continues, the gain increases and the phase delay decreases to better follow the image motion (Nagao, 1988; Katoh et al., 2000; Takeuchi et al., 2008). As a result of the 60 min training, the gain of OKR increased from 0.26 ± 0.03 to 0.52 ± 0.06 (Fig. 7K, L; n = 7, p < 0.01, paired t test), and the phase of OKR changed from −27 ± 2 degrees to −18 ± 7 degrees in control mice (Fig. 7M; p < 0.01, paired t test). In γ2pepV mice, the gain of OKR increased from 0.28 ± 0.02 to 0.53 ± 0.02 (Fig. 7K, L; p < 0.001, paired t test), and the phase of OKR changed from −27 ± 2 degrees to −19 ± 1 degrees after the training (Fig. 7M; p < 0.01, paired t test). There was no significant difference between the two genotypes in either the gain increase (Fig. 7N; control, 0.26 ± 0.06; γ2pepV, 0.25 ± 0.03, p = 0.86, unpaired t test) or the decrease in phase delay (Fig. 7O; control, 9 ± 2 degrees; γ2pepV, 8 ± 2 degrees, p = 0.67, unpaired t test) after the training. Thus, the VOR adaptations were significantly

---

**Figure 8.** Phenotypes of γ2pepV line B mice. A. Cerebellar slices stained with an antibody against Venus (green). Scale bar, 25 μm. B, C. The time courses of averaged mIPSC amplitudes (B) and frequencies (C) before and after the conditioning depolarization (n = 5 for each). The conditioning depolarization was applied at 0 min. D–F. The differences of gain between before and after the gain-increase VOR training (n = 10 for each) (D), the gain-decrease VOR training (n = 8 for each) (E), or the OKR training (n = 10 for each) (F). Data are mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05.
affected in the RP-deficient γ2pepV line B mice, but the OKR adaptation was not.

Another transgenic mouse line

Transgenic mouse lines might show different phenotypes depending on the insertion sites of the transgene. Thus, we examined the phenotype of another line (line B) of γ2pepV mice. Line B mice showed much weaker expression of γ2pepV (Fig. 8A). Thus, we used the homozygous transgenic mice of this line for the following analyses.

The amplitude of mIPSCs became 144 ± 7% (Fig. 8B; n = 5, p < 0.01, paired t test) at 33 min after the conditioning depolarization to induce RP in control mice, whereas in γ2pepV line B mice it became 103 ± 5% (Fig. 8B; n = 5, p = 0.14, paired t test). Thus, RP was suppressed in γ2pepV line B mice as in line A. The basal amplitudes and frequencies of mIPSCs were not significantly different between the two genotypes (Table 1), and DPI occurred normally in both control and γ2pepV line B juvenile mice (data not shown).

We also examined reflex eye movements of γ2pepV line B mice. Similarly to the results using line A mice, the baseline dynamics were comparable between the control and line B mice (Table 1). The VOR gain-increase training changed the gain of VOR in the dark from 0.67 ± 0.03 to 0.84 ± 0.06 in control mice (n = 10, p < 0.01, paired t test) and from 0.68 ± 0.03 to 0.69 ± 0.04 in γ2pepV line B mice (n = 10, p = 0.90, paired t test). Thus, γ2pepV line B mice, like line A mice, failed to show the adaptive VOR gain-increase. The extent of the gain change after the VOR gain-increase training was significantly different between the two genotypes (Fig. 8D; Table 1). The VOR gain-decrease training significantly changed the gain of VOR in the dark from 0.77 ± 0.03 to 0.39 ± 0.04 in control mice (n = 8, p < 0.001, paired t test) and from 0.68 ± 0.03 to 0.47 ± 0.04 in γ2pepV line B mice (n = 8, p < 0.001, paired t test). Thus, the VOR gain-decrease adaptation occurred in both genotypes. However, importantly, the extent of gain decrease after the training was significantly different between the two genotypes (Fig. 8E; Table 1). The phase changes in both the gain-increase and gain-decrease trainings were not significantly different between the genotypes. We also examined OKR adaptation and found that it was not significantly impaired in the line B mice (Fig. 8F; Table 1).

Discussion

To study the roles of RP in vivo, we generated transgenic mice in which γ2pepV fused to Venus was selectively expressed in cerebellar PNs. In these γ2pepV transgenic mice, we first confirmed that RP was suppressed. No abnormality except for that in RP was detected in the electrophysiological properties of PNs or in the cerebellar morphology. The γ2pepV mice showed normal basal dynamics in VOR and OKR and also underwent normal adaptation of OKR. In contrast, both the gain-increase and the gain-decrease adaptations of VOR gain were suppressed in γ2pepV mice. These results indicate that RP, a type of inhibitory synaptic plasticity in the cerebellum, plays essential roles in a certain type of motor learning.

Cerebellar synaptic plasticity and motor learning

Synaptic plasticity in the cerebellar cortex, the cerebellar, and/or vestibular nuclei has been considered to play important roles in motor learning (Hansel et al., 2001; Boyden et al., 2004; Dean et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2012; Ito, 2012; Hirano, 2013). In particular, LTD at PF-PN excitatory glutamatergic synapses has attracted much attention of neuroscientists as a primary candidate mechanism for motor learning. A number of previous studies on mutant mice with defective or facilitated LTD induction showed good correlations between LTD and motor learning ability (Aiba et al., 1994; De Zeeuw et al., 1998; Hansel et al., 2006; Kina et al., 2007; Takeuchi et al., 2008). However, normal motor learning with impaired LTD was also reported (Welsh et al., 2005; Schonewille et al., 2011), which has made the roles of LTD in motor learning puzzling. It has been suggested that some types of synaptic plasticity other than LTD in the cerebellar cortex, such as LTP at PF-PN synapses, LTP and LTD at PF-molecular layer interneuron synapses, and synaptic plasticity at inhibitory synapses on a PN, might also contribute to motor learning (Boyden et al., 2004; Dean et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2012; Hirano, 2013). RP is a candidate synaptic plasticity mechanism that could contribute to motor learning together with other types of synaptic plasticity, in particular with LTD, because the CF activity contributes to the induction of both RP and LTD, and both of them work to suppress the activity of a PN. Synaptic plasticity in the cerebellar or vestibular nuclei, to which a PN sends its inhibitory output, has also been suggested to contribute to motor learning (du Lac et al., 1995; Pugh and Raman, 2006).

Inhibitory synaptic transmission on PNs

A PN receives inhibitory synaptic inputs from molecular layer interneurons (stellate and basket neurons), which are innervated by PNs. Thus, stellate and basket neurons form feedback inhibitory pathways to PNS. Selective suppression of these inhibitory synaptic transmissions by knock-out of the γ2 subunit of GABA_A receptor in PNs impairs certain aspects of VOR adaptation (Wulff et al., 2009). Therefore, these inhibitory synaptic transmissions are necessary for normal motor learning.

At these inhibitory synapses on a PN, three types of plasticity have been reported: depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition, DPI, and RP. Depolarization-induced suppression of inhibition is the short-lasting suppression of presynaptic GABA release mediated by endocannabinoid (Yoshida et al., 2002),

---

Table 1. Statistics of γ2pepV line B mice compared with those of control mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>γ2pepV</th>
<th>p (unpaired t test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mIPSC amplitude</td>
<td>32 ± 9 pA</td>
<td>24 ± 4 pA</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mIPSC frequency</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.7 Hz</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.9 Hz</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-increase training (gain, before)</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.03 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.03 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-increase training (gain, after)</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.06 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.07 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-increase training (Δgain)</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.04 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.06 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-increase training (phase, after)</td>
<td>18 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>18 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-increase training (phase, after)</td>
<td>15 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>17 ± 2° (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-increase training (Δphase)</td>
<td>-2 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>-1 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-decrease training (gain, before)</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.03 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.03 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-decrease training (gain, after)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.04 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.04 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-decrease training (Δgain)</td>
<td>-0.58 ± 0.05 (n = 8)</td>
<td>-0.21 ± 0.04 (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-decrease training (phase, before)</td>
<td>16 ± 3° (n = 8)</td>
<td>17 ± 2° (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-decrease training (phase, after)</td>
<td>24 ± 3° (n = 8)</td>
<td>27 ± 3° (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR gain-decrease training (Δphase)</td>
<td>8 ± 2° (n = 8)</td>
<td>10 ± 1° (n = 8)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKR training (gain, before)</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.02 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.02 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKR training (gain, after)</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.03 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.03 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKR training (Δgain)</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.03 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.03 (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKR training (phase, before)</td>
<td>-28 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>-28 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKR training (phase, after)</td>
<td>-19 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>-18 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OKR training (Δphase)</td>
<td>4 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>10 ± 1° (n = 10)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are expressed as mean ± SEM.
whereas DPI is longer-lasting potentiation of GABA release mediated by presynaptic NMDA-type glutamate receptor (Duguid and Smart, 2004). DPI enhances the inhibitory synaptic transmission together with RP, although DPI is shorter-lasting than RP. RP was included in a theoretical model of the cerebellum constructed to analyze the neuronal circuit behavior related to the adaptation of the ocular following response (Yamamoto et al., 2004; Ito, 2012). However, there have hitherto been no direct experimental data indicating the involvement of any inhibitory synaptic plasticity in motor control or learning.

Here, we showed that the suppression of RP by selective expression of a modified γ2 peptide in PNs of γ2pepV mice affected the gain adaptation of VOR. Thus far, we have detected no abnormality in the morphology of the cerebellum or in electrophysiological properties other than RP in the transgenic mice. We confirmed that DPI and LTD occurred normally in the juvenile transgenic mice. Together, our findings suggest that RP is selectively impaired in the transgenic mice and that it is possible to investigate specific roles of RP in vivo using these transgenic mice.

Differential effects of RP impairment on VOR and OKR adaptations

Adaptive modification of VOR has been extensively studied as a paradigm of motor learning in several animal species (Robinson, 1981; Ito, 1982; du Lac et al., 1995; Boyden et al., 2004; Ito, 2012). The involvement of LTD in VOR adaptation has been suggested, although contributions of other types of synaptic plasticity, such as LTD at PF-PN synapses and synaptic plasticity in the vestibular nuclei, have also been suggested (Miles and Lisberger, 1981; Boyden and Raymond, 2003; Schoneville et al., 2010). We report here that RP-impaired γ2pepV mice showed defects in the adaptive gain-changes of VOR after both gain-increase and gain-decrease trainings, suggesting that RP contributes to certain aspects of VOR adaptation. However, it should be noted that VOR gain-decrease adaptation did occur to a certain extent in the γ2pepV mice, indicating other plasticity mechanisms also contribute to this adaptation. How RP changes the activities of PNs during the VOR adaptation trainings is an important problem that should be addressed in the future.

OKR adaptation has been studied mainly in rabbits and rodents (Collewijn and Grootendorst, 1979; Nagao, 1988; Katoh et al., 2000; Shutoh et al., 2002; Hansel et al., 2006; Endo et al., 2009). It has been reported that knock-out mice of proteins involved in LTD induction, such as nitric oxide synthase, metabotropic glutamate receptor mGlur1, Ca^2+ and calmodulin-dependent kinase IIα, or G-substrate, show suppressed OKR adaptation. It is also known that delphilin knock-out mice, in which LTD induction is facilitated, show enhanced OKR adaptation (Takeuchi et al., 2008). Thus, OKR adaptation has been correlated with LTD. In this study, we failed to detect defects in OKR adaptation in γ2pepV mice. This result suggests that RP might be specifically involved in VOR adaptation but not in OKR adaptation. These differential effects of RP impairment on VOR adaptation and OKR adaptation suggest that these adaptations might be differently regulated by various forms of synaptic plasticity. Previous studies suggested differential contributions of multiple plasticity mechanisms to adaptations of VOR and OKR (Faulstich et al., 2004). It was also suggested that cerebellar cortical plasticity, such as LTD is more important in short-term adaptation of VOR or OKR than in long-term adaptation (van Alphen and De Zeeuw, 2002; Okamoto et al., 2011). Here, only short-term adaptations of VOR and OKR have been studied. Thus, it remains possible that RP-deficient mice might not show significant defects in long-term adaptation. A contribution of plasticity in the vestibular nuclei to long-term adaptation of OKR has been suggested (Okamoto et al., 2011).

Roles of inhibitory synaptic plasticity in mammalian CNS

The roles of synaptic plasticity at excitatory synapses in the mammalian CNS have been intensively studied, whereas those at inhibitory synapses have been relatively unexplored. It has been suggested that inhibitory synaptic plasticity contributes to the maintenance of the stability, the wide dynamic range of neuronal circuit activities, and the increases in the computational flexibility of neuronal circuits (Castillo et al., 2011; Maffei, 2011; Kullmann et al., 2012). In the developing visual cortex, enhancement of inhibitory synaptic transmission contributes to the formation of ocular dominance columns (Hensch, 2005). The involvement of inhibitory synaptic plasticity in neuropsychiatric disorders and in the development of addictive behavior has also been suggested (Nugent and Kauer, 2008). Our present study demonstrates that a type of inhibitory plasticity in the cerebellar cortex contributes to a certain form of motor learning.
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