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Cold-inducible RNA-binding protein (Cirp) interacts with Dyrk1b/Mirk and promotes proliferation of immature male germ cells in mice
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Results

Number of Undifferentiated Spermatogonia Is Decreased in Cirp-Deficient Mice. We generated Cirp-deficient mice by homologous recombination in ES cells (Fig. 1A). Intercrossing of heterozygous mutant mice generated progeny at nearly Mendelian ratios (cirp+/− × cirp+/−; cirp−/− = 58:110:47, n = 215), and cirp−/− mice were indistinguishable from wild-type littermates in appearance. When we examined fertility of cirp−/− male mice by mating them with wild-type females, the litter size (average = 5.1 mice, n = 18) was not different from that of wild-type mating (average = 4.9 mice, n = 15). We observed no reproductive defect in cirp−/− females, either. Although the tests of cirp−/− mice looked histologically normal and the numbers of total testicular cells and differentiating spermatogonia as well as the size and weight of the tests were comparable to wild type, the percentage and number of c-Kit+ and α6-integrin+ undifferentiated spermatogonia in the male mice by mating them with wild-type females, the litter size (average = 5.1 mice, n = 18) was not different from that of wild-type mating (average = 4.9 mice, n = 15). We observed no reproductive defect in cirp−/− females, either. Although the tests of cirp−/− mice looked histologically normal and the numbers of total testicular cells and differentiating spermatogonia as well as the size and weight of the tests were comparable to wild type, the percentage and number of c-Kit+ and α6-integrin+ undifferentiated spermatogonia in the
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Differentiated spermatogonia in cirp-knockout mice. (A) Targeted disruption of the cirp gene. Structures of the wild-type allele, targeting vector, and mutant allele are shown together with the relevant restriction sites. The filled rectangles indicate the exons of the cirp gene. Neo, TK, and arrows (a and b) indicate a pgk-neo selection cassette, a thymidine kinase cassette, and the locations of primers for genomic PCR, respectively. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of undifferentiated spermatogonia. The fractions of undifferentiated spermatogonia (boxed) were compared between wild-type (+/+) and cirp-knockout (−/−) mice. *Significantly different from +/+ mice (P < 0.05). Data are from four +/+ and three −/− 12-wk-old mice. (C) Numbers of undifferentiated spermatogonia in +/+, −/−, and heterozygous knockout (+/−) mice. Significant decrease (P < 0.05) was seen in −/− mice but not in +/− mice (P = 0.89). Values represent mean ± SD. (D) Percentage of reconstituted seminiferous tubules after busulfan treatment. *Significantly different (P < 0.05). **Significantly different (P < 0.01). Values are mean ± SD (n = 3 each). (E) Histological sections of the testes at 7 wk after busulfan treatment. Sections are stained with hematoxylin and eosin. (Scale bar: 50 μm.) (F) Numbers of undifferentiated spermatogonia after busulfan treatment. ● and ○ indicate the numbers in +/+ and −/− mice, respectively. Values represent mean ± SD (3–7). **Significantly different between +/+ and −/− mice (P < 0.01).

We next analyzed the effect of Cirp on the cell cycle by BrdU incorporation and propidium iodide staining. When exogenous Cirp was expressed in cirp−/− MEFs at 32 °C, the percentage of cells in G0/G1 phase was reduced and that in S phase increased significantly (Fig. 2C). Conversely, when expression of Cirp was suppressed in cirp+/+ MEFs at 32 °C, the percentage of cells in G0/G1 phase was increased and that in S phase reduced significantly (Fig. 2C). The changes in the Cirp protein levels did not affect the percentage of the sub-G1 population (Fig. S3A). These results indicate that expression of Cirp accelerates the G0/G1-to-S phase transition without affecting apoptosis.

The cells with 2N DNA content and that are not positively stained with anti-Ki67 antibody are considered to be in the G0 phase of the cell cycle (25). The G0 state is characterized by significantly decreased ribosomal RNA synthesis and lowered total cellular protein content. When Cirp was expressed in cirp+/− MEFs, the percentage of cells in the fraction of Ki67low or Ki67− was significantly decreased, and the percentage of cells highly positive for pyronin Y staining, which stains polysomal RNA, was increased (Fig. 2D). Conversely, the percentage of G0 cells was positively increased when Cirp expression was suppressed in cirp+/− MEFs at 32 °C (Fig. 2E). These results demonstrate that Cirp accelerates cell-cycle progression from G0 to G1 as well as from G1 to S phase in cultured MEFs.

Cirp Binds to Dyrk1b and Inhibits Its Kinase Activity. Yeast two-hybrid screening of a mouse testis cDNA library using Cirp as bait suggested that the C-terminal glycine-rich domain of Cirp binds to Dyrk1b (Fig. S4A).

When exogenously expressed as GFP-fusion proteins in HEK293T cells, full-length Cirp and its glycine-rich domain, but not its N-terminal RNA-binding domain, were coimmunoprecipitated with Dyrk1b as expected (Fig. S4B and C). The region of Dyrk1b that interacted with Cirp was the kinase domain (Fig. S4D). Binding of endogenous Cirp and Dyrk1b was detected in MEFs of the cirp+/− genotype cultured at 32 °C (Fig. S4E). The recombinant Cirp protein bound to recombinant Dyrk1b protein in vitro, indicating a direct interaction.
and DNA content were analyzed in indicated cells by

**Fig. 2.** Accelerated proliferation of MEFs expressing Cirp. (A and B) Effects of Cirp on cell growth. Cells from Cirp(+/−)tet-on-Cirp clones 2, 5, and 6 (Cl. 2, Cl. 5, and Cl. 6, respectively) were derived from cirp−/− MEFs that express Cirp in the presence of Dox. Cirp(+/−)tet-on-shCirp cells were derived from cirp−/− MEFs that Dox-inducibly express shRNAs that knockdown Cirp expression (shCirp-1 and shCirp-2) or scrambled RNAs (shSCR). Cells were cultured in the presence (+) or absence (−) of Dox at the indicated temperatures. Cell numbers were assessed by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assays. Values are mean ± SD (n = 3 or 4). *P < 0.05. **P < 0.01. (A) Analysis of the cell cycle by flow cytometry. BrdU incorporation and DNA content were analyzed in indicated cells cultured at 32 °C in the presence (+) or absence (−) of Dox. Percentages of cells in indicated phases of cell cycle are shown. Values are mean ± SD (n = 3). *P < 0.05. **P < 0.01. (B and E) Percentages of cells in G0 phase in the presence (+) or absence (−) of Dox. Ki67 expression, stainability with pyronin Y, and DNA content were analyzed in indicated cells by flow cytometry. Values are mean ± SD (n = 3). *P < 0.05.

Dyk1b phosphorylates p27 and cyclin D1 to affect cell-cycle progression (19). When increasing amounts of Cirp were expressed in HEK293T cells, decreasing amounts of p27 were coimmunoprecipitated with Dyk1b (Fig. 3A). In contrast, the amount of cyclin D1 coimmunoprecipitated with Dyk1b was not decreased in the presence of Cirp and its binding to Dyk1b (Fig. S5A). The presence of cyclin D1 did not affect the binding of Cirp to Dyk1b either, and Cirp was coimmunoprecipitated with cyclin D1 as well (Fig. S5B and C). These results indicate that Cirp forms a complex together with Dyk1b and cyclin D1.

Dyk1b immunoprecipitated from HEK293T cells could phosphorylate recombinant p27 in vitro (Fig. 3B). The amount of phosphorylated p27 was dose-dependently decreased in the presence of Cirp. When we incubated recombinant cyclin D1 with recombinant Dyk1b, phosphorylation of cyclin D1 was observed (Fig. 3C). The phosphorylation was dose-dependently suppressed by Cirp. Interestingly, Cirp was also phosphorylated by Dyk1b.

These data demonstrate that Cirp binds to and inhibits the kinase activity of Dyk1b toward both p27 and cyclin D1, although Cirp competitively blocks the binding of Dyk1b to p27 but not to cyclin D1.

**Fig. 3.** Inhibition of Dyk1b kinase activity by Cirp. (A) Effects of Cirp on binding of p27 to Dyk1b. Plasmids were cotransfected into HEK293T cells as indicated. Proteins immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-FLAG antibody and inputs were analyzed by Western blotting (IB) using the indicated antibodies. +, Present; −, absent. (B) Effects of Cirp on in vitro kinase activity of Dyk1b toward p27. Immunoprecipitants (IP) were prepared from HEK293T cells expressing FLAG-Dyk1b by using anti-FLAG antibody. Their kinase activity was assayed in the presence of [γ-32P]ATP, GST-p27, and increasing amounts of GST-Cirp or GST. Reaction mixtures were separated by SDS/PAGE and analyzed by autoradiography. Proteins coprecipitated with protein G Sepharose beads (*) from the reaction mixtures without 32P and inputs (2%) were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. (C) Effects of Cirp on in vitro kinase activity of Dyk1b toward cyclin D1. Recombinant GST-Dyk1b was incubated with [γ-32P]ATP, GST-cyclin D1, and increasing amounts of His-tagged Cirp and analyzed as in B. The lower images show Coomassie blue staining (CBB) of the indicated proteins used in the assay and IB of proteins coprecipitated with glutathione Sepharose beads from the reaction mixtures without 32P (affinity-purified). *1/4 of input. Experiments were repeated three (A) and four (B and C) times, with similar results.
and increased that of cyclin D1 in cirp−/− MEFs expressing scrambled shRNAs (Fig. 4B and Fig. S5E). When expression of Dyrk1b was suppressed, however, Cirp did not show these effects in cirp−/− MEFs. The growth rate of these cells was not affected by Cirp either (Fig. 4C). These data demonstrate that expression of Cirp accelerates cell-cycle progression by inhibiting the kinase activity of Dyrk1b toward p27 and cyclin D1 in MEFs.

**Down-Regulation of Cirp Suppresses Cell-Cycle Progression in a Spermatogonial Cell Line in Vitro and Undifferentiated Spermatogonia in Vivo.** To analyze the effect of Cirp on male germ cells, we first used the mouse spermatogonial cell line GC-1spg (28). When GC-1spg cells were transduced with retrovirus expressing scrambled shRNAs, expression of Cirp was induced at 32 °C like parental cells (Fig. S6A). In GC-1spg cells transduced with retrovirus expressing shRNAs to cirp mRNAs, the induction of Cirp was partly suppressed, the level of p27 protein was increased, and the level of cyclin D1 protein was decreased (Fig. S6B). These cells proliferated more slowly than the control cells (Fig. S4A). To confirm that growth-suppressive effect of Cirp down-regulation depends on Dyrk1b, we further down-regulated Dyrk1b expression (Fig. S6C). As shown in Fig. 5B, the impaired growth was rescued by suppressing the expression of Dyrk1b.

Next, we examined the effects of Cirp deficiency on the cell cycle in undifferentiated spermatogonia in vivo. At 4 wk after injection of busulfan, the percentage of Ki67+ cells was significantly smaller and the percentage of pyronin Ylow cells was significantly larger in undifferentiated spermatogonia isolated from cirp−/− mice than those from cirp+/+ wild-type mice (Fig. 5C). RT-PCR analysis demonstrated that undifferentiated spermatogonia expressed mRNAs for p27 and cyclin D1 (Fig. 5D) as well as for Cirp and Dyrk1b. Furthermore, the protein level of p27 was higher and that of cyclin D1 was lower in undifferentiated spermatogonia of cirp−/− mice compared with those of wild-type mice, although the mRNA level for p27 was not different and that for cyclin D1 was higher in cirp−/− mice (Fig. 5E and Fig. S7A and B). Altogether, these data indicate that Cirp destabilizes p27 and stabilizes cyclin D1 at the protein level in undifferentiated spermatogonia, resulting in an accelerated cell-cycle progression in wild-type mice and decreased proliferation in Cirp-deficient mice.

![Fig. 5. Impaired cell-cycle progression in Cirp-deficient spermatogonia. (A) Effects of Cirp on growth of cultured GC-1spg cells. Cells were transduced with retrovirus [murine stem cell virus-LTRmiR30-PIG (MSCV-LMP)] expressing RNAi sequences targeted to Cirp (Cirp-i-1 and Cirp-i-2) or scrambled sequence (Cirp-SCR). Cells were cultured at 32 °C, and cell numbers were assessed by MTT assays. wt, untransduced cells. Values are mean ± SD (n = 3). **P < 0.01. (B) Dyrk1b-dependent effects of Cirp on growth of GC-1spg cells. Cirp-i-1 cells were further transduced with retrovirus [Friend spleen focus-forming virus-based hybrid vector 2 (SF2)] expressing RNAi sequences targeted to Dyrk1b (Dyrk-i-1 and Dyrk-i-2) or scrambled sequence (Dyrk-SCR) and analyzed as in A. (C-E) Undifferentiated spermatogonia of cirp−/− and cirp+/+ genotypes were sorted and analyzed at 4 wk after busulfan treatment. (C) The percentages of Ki67+ (Left) and pyronin Y− or pyronin Ylow (Right) cells were assessed by flow cytometry. Values are mean ± SD [n = 3 (Left) or 4 (Right)]. **P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.01, respectively, different between wild-type (+/+ ) and cirp-knockout (−/-) mice. (D) Detection of p27 and cyclin D1 transcripts in spermatogonia isolated from cirp−/− mice. cDNAs were analyzed by RT-PCR. (E) Protein levels of p27 and cyclin D1. Cell lysates were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. Experiments were repeated three times (D and E), with similar results.**
Discussion

Numbers and Proliferative Activity of Undifferentiated Spermatogonia Are Decreased in cirp-Knockout Mice. In mammals, there have been only two established cold-shock proteins reported to date, Cirp and RNA-binding motif protein 3 (Rbm3), which are structurally quite similar (5). In the mouse testis, Cirp is constitutively expressed in the germ cells and the level varies depending on the stage of differentiation, whereas Rbm3 is suggested to be expressed mainly in the Sertoli cells (3, 29). When mouse testis is exposed to heat stress, expression of Cirp and Rbm3 is decreased, suggesting that the decreased expression might be related to the heat-induced testicular damage. Indeed, overexpression of Cirp has recently been reported to reduce the damage by cryptorchidism (13). In the present study, we found that the Cirp-deficient mice have reduced numbers of undifferentiated spermatogonia, although the total numbers of testicular germ cells and fertility were not significantly decreased. When they were exposed to the cytotoxic agent busulfan, the recovery of spermatogenesis was affected slightly but significantly. In addition to Cirp, Rbm3 was expressed in spermatogonia (Fig. S7 C and D). Because its expression level was not increased in spermatogonia of the cirp−/− genotype compared with those of wild type, it seems unlikely that the relatively mild defects observed in the knockout mice are a consequence of redundancy/compensation by Rbm3. Analysis of the cirp and rbm3 double-knockout mice would clarify this possibility.

A vast majority of stem cell functions reside in the undifferentiated spermatogonia population (30). The findings that the undifferentiated spermatogonia expressed Cirp and that proliferation of the spermatogonial cell line was suppressed when Cirp expression was decreased suggest that the observed defect in cirp−/− mouse testis is mainly intrinsic to the spermatogonia rather than their environment. Consistent with this notion, no effect of Cirp deficiency was observed in hematopoietic stem cell populations that normally do not express Cirp.

Cells overexpressing Cirp are more resistant to various stresses, and down-regulation of Cirp inhibits cell growth in some cancer cells (31). We found that cell-cycle progression is inhibited at both G1-to-S and G0-to-G1 phase transitions with no change in the sub-G1 population in Cirp-deficient MEFs. Consistently, the proportion of undifferentiated spermatogonia in G0 phase was increased in cirp−/− mice compared with wild-type mice after treatment with busulfan. The numbers of apoptotic testicular cells were not apparently different between cirp−/− and wild-type mice after busulfan treatment, and the sensitivity of cultured MEFs of the cirp−/− genotype to busulfan was not affected by expression of Cirp (Fig. S5 B and C). In addition, expression of Cirp stimulated proliferation of the spermatogonial cell line. Thus, the decrease in the number of undifferentiated spermatogonia in cirp−/− mice could be considered mainly attributable to decreased cell proliferation rather than increased cell death.

The growth-stimulatory effects of Cirp on spermatogonia and MEFs seem contradictory to our original finding in CHO cells (4). However, there have been observed growth-suppressive effects of Cirp in NIH/3T3 and U-2 OS cells (Fig. S6A). Growth-stimulatory effects have been observed by others in PC3 and LNCaP human prostate cancer cells and MEFs, but not in NIH/3T3, HeLa, IMR90, or human mammary epithelial cells (10, 12). These two classes of cell lines that differently respond to Cirp expression were not distinguished by the protein levels of cyclin D1, CDK inhibitors such as p16 and p27, Dyrk1b, or Cirp (Fig. S8 B and C). Further studies are thus necessary to clarify this issue. Cirp probably fine-tunes the proliferation of cells by promoting and suppressing growth signals through distinct protein–protein and protein–RNA interactions, which depend on various factors, including the cell types, stresses, and conditions of cells.

Cirp Binds to and Inhibits the Kinase Activity of Dyrk1b Toward p27 and Cyclin D1. Dyrk1b autophosphorylates the second tyrosine in the Tyr-X-Tyr motif, an event that is essential for full kinase activity (33). Once phosphorylated, Dyrk1b functions as a serine/threonine kinase. Ran-binding protein M (RanBPM) directly binds to Dyrk1b and inhibits its kinase activity toward hepatocyte nuclear factor 1α (HNF1α) (34). In contrast, direct binding to dimerization cofactor of HNF1 from muscle (DcoHm) potentiates Dyrk1b’s activity as an activator of HNF1α (35). Although phosphorylation of RanBPM or DcoHm by Dyrk1b has not been detected (34), we found that Cirp directly binds to and is phosphorylated by Dyrk1b, resulting in inhibition of its kinase activity toward p27 and cyclin D1.

p27 opposes cell-cycle progression by inhibiting cyclin E/Cdk2 (27). The expression level of p27 is high during G0 phase but decreases rapidly on reentry of the cells into G1 phase. Ser10 is the major site of p27 phosphorylation by Dyrk1b in resting cells that leads to protein stability (20). Previous studies have suggested a role of p27 in male germ-cell development. Mice deficient in 5′-phase kinase-associated protein 2 (Skp2), which mediates ubiquitin-dependent degradation of p27, exhibit a progressive loss in spermatogenic cells (36). Conversely, testes from p27-knockout mice are significantly larger (37) and contain increased numbers of type A spermatogonia (38), and EpCAM+ spermatogonia from the knockout mice proliferate more actively than those from wild-type mice do (39). We found that Cirp decreases the protein level of p27 and increases cell proliferation via Dyrk1b in MEFs and the spermatogonial cell line and that the p27 protein level and cells in G0 phase were increased in Cirp-deficient undifferentiated spermatogonia. These results strongly suggest that the absence of Cirp increases phosphorylation of p27 by Dyrk1b, resulting in its stabilization and reduced cell-cycle progression in undifferentiated spermatogonia of the cirp−/− genotype.

D-type cyclins are G1–specific cyclins that associate with Cdk4 or Cdk6 and promote restriction point progression during G1 phase (40). In accordance with the immunohistochemical study by Beumer et al. (41), we detected expression of cyclin D1 in undifferentiated spermatogonia. The protein level of cyclin D1 was decreased in undifferentiated spermatogonia of cirp−/− mice compared with wild-type mice. Although binding to Cirp decreases the activity of Dyrk1b to phosphorylate cyclin D1 as well as to p27, the binding inhibits the binding of Dyrk1b to p27 but not to cyclin D1. After phosphorylation by Dyrk1b, p27 remains a functional CDK inhibitor, does not translocate from the nucleus, and colocalizes with Dyrk1b in G0 (20). Mitogen stimulation then causes cells to enter G1, reduces Dyrk1b levels (42), and initiates the translocation of p27 to the cytoplasm to be degraded. Thus, induction at moderately low temperatures, colocalization in the nucleus with Dyrk1b, and inhibition of Dyrk1b’s binding to p27 would contribute to the ability of Cirp to enhance degradation of p27. Cyclin D1 is translocated into the cytoplasm during S phase, where it is destroyed by the proteasome after phosphorylation at Thr358 by glycogen synthase kinase 3. Dyrk1b phosphorylates cyclin D1 at Thr358, which also enhances its rapid turnover (20). The nuclear complex formation of Dyrk1b, Cirp, and cyclin D1 would thus inhibit the nuclear export and degradation of cyclin D1. Although Cirp itself is a substrate and seems to compete with cyclin D1 for phosphorylation (Fig. 3C), it is also possible that Cirp has an independent mechanism for inhibiting Dyrk1b activity. The precise molecular mechanism by which Cirp inhibits the kinase activity of Dyrk1b remains to be elucidated.

In summary, we have demonstrated unique physiological function of the mammalian cold-shock protein Cirp that might...
partly explain why testis should be kept cool. Cirp fine-tunes the cell-cycle progression of undifferentiated spermatogonia as well as fibroblasts and cancer cells by interacting with and suppressing kinase activity of Dyrk1b to modulate the protein levels of p27 and cyclin D1 (Fig. S9). Because Cirp affects proliferation of immature male germ cells and could act as an oncoprotein in some tumors, these findings may pave the way for development of new diagnoses and treatments for male infertility and cancers.

**Materials and Methods**

**Generation of the Targeting Vector.** The 6.4-kb Xbal/EcoRI fragment of the genomic DNA clones of the cirp locus (Fig. 1A) was inserted between the neomycin-resistance cassette and the HSV thymidine kinase gene, both of which are driven by the phosphoglycerate kinase 1 (PGK1) promoter, in the pPNT vector. The 1.4-kb EcoRI/Sacl fragment was inserted upstream of the neomycin-resistance gene (Fig. 1A).

**Isolation of Undifferentiated Spermatogonia.** Isolation of undifferentiated spermatogonia was performed as described by Takubo et al. (18) with minor modifications. Additional materials and experimental procedures are provided in SI Materials and Methods.
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SI Materials and Methods

Generation of Cold-Inducible RNA-Binding Protein (Cirp)-Deficient Mice. The targeting vector, pKO-Cirp, was electroporated into D3 ES cells and selected with G418 and gancyclovir. The targeting events were screened by PCR and confirmed by Southern blot analysis using several restriction endonucleases and both 3’ and 5’ end probes. The recombinant cells were karyotyped to ensure that 2N chromosomes were present in the majority of the metaphase spreads. Chimeric mice, which were derived from correctly targeted ES cells, were mated to C57BL/6 mice to obtain F1 cirp−/− mice. Germ-line transmission of the mutant allele was achieved from male chimeras. The mutant mice were backcrossed to C57BL/6 mice at least seven generations before being used in the experiments. All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Animal Committee of Kyoto University.

Antibodies. Rabbit polyclonal anti-dual-specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation–regulated kinase 1B (Dyrk1b) antibody was raised against peptides corresponding to the C-terminal 10 aa of mouse Dyrk1b and affinity-purified before use. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies recognizing the C terminus of mouse Cirp and mouse RNA-binding motif protein 3 (Rbm3) were prepared as described (1, 2). For biotinylation of the antibodies, antisera were purified by absorption to protein G, biotinylated, and then affinity-purified.

The sources of commercial antibodies were as follows: Phycocerythrin-conjugated anti-α6-integrin (GOH3) and anti-epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) (G08.8) antibodies as well as FITC-conjugated anti-Ki67 (B56) antibody and phycocerythrin-conjugated anti-CD34 (RAM34) monoclonal antibodies were from BD Pharmingen; alkalophycocyanin-conjugated anti-Sca-1 (D7) and phycocerythrin/Cy7-conjugated anti-c-Ki67 (ACK2) monoclonal antibodies were from eBioscience; anti-Brdu monoclonal antibody (Bu20) was from DAKO; anti-FLAG M2 and biotinylated anti-HA (12CAS) monoclonal antibodies were from Sigma; anti-HA monoclonal antibody (F-7) and polyclonal antibodies to actin, p27kip1, cyclin D1, and p16 were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; Dyrk1b was from Cell Signaling Technology; Dyrk1b was from Cell Signaling Technology; p16 was from Abcam; and cyclin D1, and p16 were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; Dyrk1b was from Cell Signaling Technology; p16 was from Abcam. Anti-human IgG was from Dako; and Cytox Green was from Molecular Probes.

Anti-lineage monoclonal antibodies Gr-1, Mac-1, CD4, CD8, B220, and TER-119 as well as antibodies to CD45.1 and CD45.2 were purified from supernatants of cultured hybridomas RB6-8C5, M1/70, GK1.5, 53-6.72, RA3-6B2, TER-119, A20.1.2, and ALH4A2, respectively, by absorption to protein G and were biotinylated or conjugated with FITC or Alexa Fluor 647 (Molecular Probes). FITC-conjugated anti-rat IgG (K45F; Coulter), HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit, or rabbit anti-goat IgG polyclonal antibodies (DAKO) were used as secondary antibodies.

Plasmids. pIRESpno2-EF1 and pIRESpuro2-EF1 plasmids were constructed by replacing the CMV promoter of pIRESpno2 and pIRESpuro2 (Clontech) with EF1α promoter, respectively. pIRESpno2-EF1 was constructed by replacing the neomycin-resistance gene of pIRESpno2-EF1 with the blasticidin-resistance gene. The reverse tetracycline-responsive transcriptional activator (tTA) expression vector pBs2-EF1/tTA was constructed by cloning a fragment containing tTA, IRES, and the tetracycline-regulated repressor tTS(kid) from BsIRESM2 (1) into pIRESpno2-EF1. The tetracycline-repressor (TR) expression vectors pIRESneo2-EF1/TR and pIRESpuro2-EF1/TR were constructed by cloning the TR from pcDNA6/TR (Invitrogen) into pIRESpno2-EF1 and pIRESpuro2-EF1, respectively.

pFRT/TRE/mCirp was constructed by replacing the CMV promoter of pcDNA/FRT (Invitrogen) with tandem repeats of tetracycline-responsive elements and CMV minimal promoter followed by insertion of mouse cirp cDNA into the multicloning site. For constructing pFRT/shCirp-1 and pFRT/shCirp-2 plasmids, the CMV promoter and poly(A) signal region of pcDNA/FRT were replaced with tandem repeats of mutated H1 promoter and oligonucleotides expected to generate siRNAs of the following sequences: shCirp-1, 5′-uugugguagcaaucugucag-3′; and shCirp-2, 5′-uugugguagcaaucugucag-3′. To stably down-regulate Dyrk1b expression, pPuro/shDyrk1b and pPuro/shDyrk2 plasmids were constructed that contained the puromycin-resistance gene driven by the SV40 promoter and tandem repeats of mutated H1 promoter and oligonucleotides expected to generate siRNAs of the following sequences: shDyrk1-1, 5′-gcgucaagugguagguc-3′; and shDyrk2-1, 5′-uugugguagcaaucugucag-3′. To stably down-regulate Cirp or Dyrk1b expression, pSSHAC105/shCirp and pSSHAC105/shDyrk1b were constructed that generated the following RNA interfering sequences: Cirp-i1, 5′-ucucaggaugugucuugu-3′; and Cirp-i2, 5′-ucucaggaugugucuugu-3′. For constructing pSSHAC105/shDyrk1b, 5′-agctagattagatcttca-3′; and Dyrk1b-i2, 5′-agccgattacgatataga-3′, respectively.

Cells. Cirp(+/−)/teton-Cirp clones 2.5, and 6 (Cl.2, Cl.5, and Cl.6, respectively) were generated by cotransfecting mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) of the cirp+/- genotype first with pFRT/LacZeo (Invitrogen) and pBsr2-EF1/trTA and then with pFRT/TRE/mCirp and pOG44 (Invitrogen). Cirp(+/-)/teton-shCirp-1, Cirp(+/-)/teton-shCirp-2, and Cirp(+/-)/teton-shSCR cells were generated by cotransfecting MEFs of the cirp+/- genotype first with pFRT/LacZeo and pIRESpno2-EF1/TR and then with pFRT/shCirp and pOG44. These cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) calf serum, 100 μg/mL hygromycin, and 2 μg/mL blasticidin or 400 μg/mL G418. Cirp (+/-)/teton-shCirp-1 cells were further transfected with plasmids expressing shRNAs to Dyrk1b or scrambled sequences, or none (vector alone), and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% calf serum, 100 μg/mL hygromycin, 2.0 μg/mL puromycin, and 2 μg/mL blasticidin. The cells were cultured at 32 °C in a 5% CO2, 95% air atmosphere unless indicated. HEK293T, U-2 OS, and HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FCS. PC3 cells were cultured in RPMI medium 1640 supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FCS. MEF, NIH/3T3, and BALB/3T3 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FCS. All cells were cultured at 37 °C or 32 °C in a 5% CO2, 95% air atmosphere.

GC-Isgp cells were transduced with retroviral vectors MSCV-TMP/Cirp-i1-2 or MSCV-TMPCirp-i2-2 to down-regulate expression of Cirp and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) calf serum and 2.0 μg/mL puromycin. To down-regulate expression of Dyrk1b, these cells were further transduced by retroviral vectors SF2/Dyrk1b-i1-2 and SF2/Dyrk1b-i2-2 and cultured with 2.0 μg/mL puromycin and 5 μg/mL blasticidin.

To estimate the protein degradation rate, cycloheximide was added to the culture media (final concentration of 100 μg/mL), and cells were harvested at different time points, pelleted by centrifugation, and analyzed by Western blotting (IB). Intensities
Immunoprecipitants were prepared with 5’-ctcagggactctctg TTC-3’ and 5’-cccagagaacctatactatt-3’. For Dyrk1b, 5’-gtcagctcgagctgcagcagctgagc-3’; for cyclin D1, 5’-gtcagctcgagctgcagcagctgagc-3’. Analysis of Spermatogonia. Immuno-precipitation and Competitive Binding Assays. For coimmunoprecipitation of endogenous proteins, MEFs cultured at 32 °C were lysed with the Nuclear Co-IP kit (Active Motif), and immunoprecipitants prepared with anti-Dyrk1b antibody or Ig (control) and protein G Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) were analyzed by IB.

To assess the effect of Cirp on the binding of Dyrk1b to p27 or cyclin D1, pME18S/3Flag-Dyrk1b was cotransfected with pIRESpuro2-EF1/Cirp, pIRESpuro2-EF1 (control vector), pCMV4-3HA2/cyclin D1, and pCMV4-3HA2/p27 in various combinations into HEK293T cell lines. Cell lysates were immuno-precipitated with anti-FLAG antibody and analyzed by IB.

Histological and Immunocytochemical Analyses. Histological and immunocytochemical analyses were performed with paraffin sections. For immunocytochemical analysis, cells were stained with antibodies and analyzed by a laser-scanning confocal microscope (FluoView; Olympus).

Competitive Reconstitution Assay for Hematopoiesis. Sublethally irradiated C57BL/6-CD45.1 mice were i.v. transplanted with 5 × 10^7 bone marrow cells from Cirp-deficient or littermate wild-type mice (CD45.2) together with 5 × 10^7 cells from C57BL/6-CD45.1 mice as a competitor (Fig. S1C). Percentage of CD45.2+ cells in the peripheral blood was analyzed at 16 wk after transplantation. These recipient mice were irradiated (4.5 Gy) again, and the percentage of CD45.2+ cells were analyzed at 4 and 16 wk later.

Data Analysis. All of the statistical analyses were done by Student’s t test with the JMP statistical software package (SAS Institute).
**Fig. S1.** Hematopoietic stem cells in cirp-knockout mice. (A) Percentage of c-Kit+Sca-1^Lin^− (KSL) and CD34^−^Lin^−^ bone marrow cells of cirp^+/+^ (+/+) and cirp^-/-^ (−/−) mice analyzed by flow cytometry. No significant difference was found between +/+ and −/− mice in the percentage of KSL (P = 0.64) or CD34^−^KSL (P = 0.48) fraction. Representative data are of four +/+ and five −/− mice. (B) Numbers of KSL and CD34^−^KSL cells. No significant difference was found between +/+ and −/− mice in the numbers of cells in KSL (P = 0.45) or CD34^−^KSL (P = 0.49) fraction. Values represent mean ± SD (n = 4). (C) Schematic illustration of the competitive reconstitution assay of hematopoiesis. BM, bone marrow; PB, peripheral blood. (D) Percentage of CD45.2 donor cells in peripheral blood of CD45.1 recipient mice. No significant difference was found between +/+ and −/− mice at 16 wk after transplantation (P = 0.68) or at 4 or 16 wk after second irradiation (P = 1.0 and 0.90, respectively). Values represent mean ± SD (n = 3). (E) Detection of cirp expression in spermatogonia but not in the KSL fraction. RNAs prepared from indicated sources were analyzed by RT-PCR.

**Fig. S2.** Modulation of Cirp protein level and cell growth in MEFs. (A) Cells from Cirp(-/-)/teton-Cirp Cl. 2, Cl. 5, and Cl. 6 were derived from cirp^-/-^ MEFs. They were cultured at 37 °C in the presence or absence of doxycycline (Dox), and the cell lysates were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. (B and C) Cirp(+/+)/teton-shCirp cells are derived from cirp^-/-^ MEFs that inducibly express shRNAs that target cirp RNAs (shCirp-1 and shCirp-2) or scrambled RNAs (shSCR). OT Cl. 1 cells are derived from Cirp(+/+)/teton-shCirp-1 cells that stably express cirp mRNA that have a mutation within the shRNA target sequence but encode wild-type Cirp protein. Cells were cultured at the indicated temperatures (8) or at 32 °C (C) and analyzed as in A. (D) Proliferation of Cirp(+/+)/teton-shCirp-1 cells and OT Cl. 1 cells in the presence (+) or absence (−) of Dox at 32 °C. Cell numbers were assessed by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assays. Values are mean ± SD (n = 3). *P < 0.05. **P < 0.01.
Fig. S3. Effects of Cirp on apoptosis. (A) Percentage of cells in sub-G1 phase. DNA content was analyzed in the indicated cells cultured at 32 °C in the presence (+) or absence (−) of Dox as in Fig. 2C. Values are mean ± SD (n = 3). (B) Identification of apoptotic cells in the testes. Testes of cirp+/+ and cirp−/− mice were fixed in phosphate-buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin at 2 wk after injection of busulfan. Mounted sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated, and apoptotic cells were identified by the TUNEL method. (C) Cytotoxic effects of busulfan. Cirp(−/−)/teton-Cirp Cl. 5 cells were cultured for 3 d with busulfan at the indicated concentrations in the presence (+) or absence (−) of Dox at 37 °C. Cell numbers were assessed by MTT assays and expressed as relative to those at the start of the treatment. Values are mean ± SD (n = 3).
Fig. S4. Binding of Cirp to Dyrk1b and its effects on degradation of p27 and cyclin D1. (A) Binding of the glycine-rich domain of Cirp to Dyrk1b in yeasts. (Left) Schema of constructs expressing wild-type Cirp (full) or mutant Cirp (RNA and Gly). (Right) Yeasts were transformed with combinations of plasmids as indicated, cultured in the selection media, and photographed. (B) Schema of constructs expressing GFP-fused Cirp, wild-type (full), RNA-binding domain alone (RNA), or glycine-rich domain alone (Gly) and FLAG-tagged Dyrk1b, wild-type (full), kinase domain alone (KD) or kinase domain deleted (ΔKD). (C and D) Necessity of the glycine-rich domain of Cirp and the kinase domain of Dyrk1b for interaction. HEK293T cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing FLAG-Dyrk1b and GFP-fused Cirp mutants (C) or plasmids expressing GFP-Cirp and FLAG-tagged Dyrk1b mutants (D) as indicated. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated (IP), and precipitants and inputs were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. Experiments were repeated twice, with similar results. (E) Coimmunoprecipitation (IP) of endogenous Dyrk1b protein with Cirp, p27, and cyclin D1. Cell lysates prepared from MEFs derived from cirp+/+ and cirp−/− mice cultured at 32 °C were precipitated with anti-Dyrk1b antibody or normal rabbit IgG and analyzed along with inputs (5%) by IB using the indicated antibodies. *Light chain of IgG. (F) In vitro-translated 35S-labeled Dyrk1b was mixed with nickel–nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) resin in the presence (+) or absence (−) of recombinant His-tagged Cirp, and precipitants were analyzed by fluorography after separation by SDS/PAGE. CBB, Coomassie blue staining. (G) Immunocytochemical analysis of Cirp and Dyrk1b in cirp+/+ and cirp−/− MEFs. Cells cultured at the indicated temperatures were stained with anti-Cirp (green) and anti-Dyrk1b (red) antibodies and observed under the confocal microscope. Nuclei were stained with Cytotox Green. (H) Immunocytochemical analysis of Cirp and Dyrk1b expression in undifferentiated spermatogonia. The cells were isolated from cirp+/+ mice and analyzed as in G. (I) Expression of Dyrk1b mRNA in undifferentiated spermatogonia from cirp−/− mice. RNAs prepared from the indicated sources were analyzed by RT-PCR using the indicated primers. (J and K) Effects of Cirp on stability of p27 and cyclin D1 proteins. Cirp(+/−)/tetom-Cirp Cl. 5 cells that express Cirp in the presence of Dox were cultured in the presence (+) or absence (−) of Dox. At the indicated times after addition of cycloheximide to the culture medium, cells were harvested and cell lysates were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. To quantify the amount, blot images (representative data; upper blots) were scanned by a densitometer and normalized to actin levels (lower blots). Values represent mean ± SD (n = 4). *P < 0.05. **P < 0.01.
**Fig. S5.** Coimmunoprecipitation of Cirp with cyclin D1 and Dyrk1b and Dyrk1b dependency of Cirp function. (A) Effects of Cirp on binding of Dyrk1b to cyclin D1. HEK293T cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing the indicated proteins (+) or a control vector (−). Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-FLAG antibody. Precipitants and inputs were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. (B) Effects of cyclin D1 on binding of Dyrk1b to Cirp. HEK293T cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing the indicated proteins (+) or a control vector (−). Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated (IP) with anti-FLAG antibody. Precipitants and inputs were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. (C) Coimmunoprecipitation (IP) of cyclin D1 and Cirp. HEK293T cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing the indicated proteins. Cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody, anti-HA antibody, or normal IgG and analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. (D) Effects of shRNAs. Cirp(−/−)/teton-Cirp Cl.5 cells stably expressing shRNAs to Dyrk1b (shDyrk1b-1 and shDyrk1b-2), scrambled sequences (shSCR), or vector alone (none) were cultured at 37 °C. Cell lysates were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. (E) Dyrk1b-dependent effects of Cirp on protein levels of p27 and cyclin D1. shSCR and shDyrk1b-1 cells were cultured at 37 °C in the presence (+) or absence (−) of Dox. Cell lysates were analyzed by IB using anti-p27, anti-cyclin D1, anti-Cirp, and anti–β-actin antibodies. A representative result is shown in Fig. 4B. To quantify the amount, blot images were scanned by a densitometer and normalized to actin levels. The normalized protein level was expressed as relative to that in cells cultured in the absence of Dox. Values represent mean ± SD (n = 4). *P < 0.01. NS, not significant.

**Fig. S6.** Down-regulation of Cirp and Dyrk1b expression in the mouse spermatogonial cell line GC-1spg. (A) Suppression of Cirp expression. GC-1spg cells were transduced with retroviruses (MSCV-TMP) expressing RNAi sequences targeted to Cirp (Cirp-i-1 and Cirp-i-2), scrambled RNAs (Cirp-SCR), or none (wt) and cultured in the presence of 2.0 μg/mL puromycin at the indicated temperatures. Cell lysates were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. (B) Lysates from indicated cells cultured at 32 °C were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. (C) Suppression of Dyrk1b expression. Cirp-i-1 cells were further transduced with retroviruses (SF2) expressing RNAi against Dyrk1b (Dyrk-i-1 and Dyrk-i-2) or scrambled RNAs (Dyrk-SCR). After transduction, cells were cultured in the presence of 2.0 μg/mL puromycin and 5 μg/mL blasticidin, and their lysates were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies.
Fig. S7. RNA levels of p27, cyclin D1, and Rbm3 in spermatogonia. (A–C) Undifferentiated spermatogonia of cirp−/− and cirp+/+ genotypes were sorted and analyzed at 4 wk after busulfan treatment. cDNAs were prepared from sorted spermatogonia and cirp+/+ MEFs and analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR using specific primers for the indicated genes. Values are mean ± SD of triplicates (n = 3). (D) Protein levels of Rbm3. EpCAM+ testicular cells were purified by flow cytometry from two mice each of the cirp−/− and cirp+/+ genotypes. Cell lysates were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. Experiments were repeated twice, with similar results.

Fig. S8. Effects of Cirp expression on proliferation and gene expression in various cell lines. (A) Suppressive effects of Cirp on proliferation of cells. NIH/3T3 cells and U-2 OS cells were engineered to express Cirp in the presence of Dox. They were cultured in the presence (+) or absence (−) of Dox at 37 °C. Cell numbers were assessed by MTT assays. Values are mean ± SD (n = 3). (B) Gene expression in the two classes of cell lines cultured to 80–90% confluent at 37 °C. Cell lysates were analyzed by IB using the indicated antibodies. Names of cell line whose proliferation is stimulated by Cirp are underlined, and those of mouse origin are italicized. (C) Effects of Cirp on gene expression. Indicated cells were transduced with lentivirus (pLVSIN-EF1α Pur Vector) expressing human Cirp or none (mock), cultured in selection media for 1 wk, and then analyzed as in B. Experiments were repeated twice (B and C), with similar results.

Fig. S9. Proposed mechanism by which Cirp stimulates cell-cycle progression. (A) In the absence of Cirp, Dyrk1b phosphorylates and blocks degradation of p27/kip1, which helps to maintain the quiescent G0 state. Dyrk1b also blocks cells from traversing the G1 phase of the cell cycle by phosphorylating and destabilizing cyclin D1. (B) Cirp binds to Dyrk1b and inhibits its kinase activity toward p27/kip1 and cyclin D1, resulting in cell-cycle progression.