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1. Introduction

[1] Slip on the shallow part of a megasplay fault that is an out-of-sequence thrust and branch of the main subduction plate boundary can cause devastating tsunamis after earthquakes. We analyzed the three-dimensional geometry, including dip amount and azimuths, roughness distributions, and thickness variations, of the shallow part of a megasplay fault in the Nankai Trough using a three-dimensional seismic data set. The fault is divided into three zones based on its geometry: thick, smooth, and simply convex in the east; complexly curved in the middle; and thin and kinked in the west. Results of scientific drilling indicate that the eastern region of the fault is most active, and local heterogeneities in fault geometry, including roughness and thickness, may control the slip on this part of the fault. The present findings can be used to evaluate the risk of future tsunamis arising from movement on shallow thrust faults at subduction margins. Citation: Yamada, Y., R. Masui, and T. Tsuji (2013), Characteristics of a tsunamigenic megasplay fault in the Nankai Trough, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 4594–4598, doi:10.1002/grl.50888.

2. Methods

[6] We used Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) data from sites C0004 and C0010 with a three-dimensional reflection seismic data set (three-dimensional prestack depth migration; see Moore et al. [2009] for details of the data set) to examine the detailed geometry of the megasplay fault in the Kumanano area of the Nankai Trough, including thickness variations of the fault zone (the perpendicular distance between the top and bottom surfaces of the zone) (Figure 1). We also examined the roughness distribution of the fault surface.
Figure 1. Location map of the study area. (a) Blue contours around the Kumano Basin show the coseismic slip distribution of the 1944 Tonankai earthquake [Kikuchi et al., 2003]. (b) C0001–C0009 are the IODP wells of the Nankai Trough Seismogenic Zone Experiment project. The seamount location is based on Kimura et al. [2011].

Figure 2. Seismic profile through C0004. The shaded zone bounded by the top and bottom surfaces corresponds to the megasplay fault zone traced from the IODP holes at site C0004. The accretionary prism contains a variety of faults (red) and folds and is covered by slope sediments (blue). The black line is the ocean floor. The geological interpretation of the slope sediments was modified after Kimura et al. [2011].
The shallow part of the fault has been penetrated several times during IODP drilling, and geophysical logging data and core samples have been recovered from the fault zone. We first examined data from hole C0004B of IODP Expedition 314 (Figures 1 and 2), which showed that the fault consists of inhomogeneous fracture zones ranging in thickness from 45 to 59 m [Kinoshita et al., 2009; Yamada et al., 2011]. Core samples at site C0004 from IODP Expedition 316 confirm the logging data from C0004B and show that the megasplay fault is characterized by a zone of fractured/brecciated rock with slickensides oriented in a variety of directions [Kinoshita et al., 2009]. Site C0010 of IODP Expedition 319 (Figure 1) also penetrated through the megasplay fault at a site 3.5 km along strike of site C0004, but here the fault characteristics are unclear due to the poor quality of the geophysical logging data and lack of core samples [Saffer et al., 2010]. We used the C0010 data to constrain the approximate depth of the megasplay fault at this site.

Our interpretation of the megasplay fault from the three-dimensional seismic data set is based on the fault depth at the IODP holes and the distinct reflections produced by the top and bottom surfaces of the fault zone. Where the reflections from these surfaces were weak, we also used truncations of reflections from the footwall and hanging wall. Minor thrusts that branch from the megasplay to the hanging wall were also examined to identify the top surface (e.g., Figure 2). Our study area of the megasplay is spatially defined by the edges of the three-dimensional seismic data set in the northeast and southwest, the tip line of the fault in the southeast, and the deeper limit of continuous reflections of the fault in the northwest.

Estimation of the fault zone thickness from reflection signals is not always possible due to the tuning effect, and fault zones that can be resolved as plural reflections in a seismic profile need to have a vertical scale greater than a quarter of the wavelength [Sheriff, 2002]. As shown below, the fault thickness, as defined by the perpendicular distance between the top and bottom surfaces of the fault zone, in the study area generally exceeds this theoretical limit of vertical resolution. This limit of resolution is 5–7 m for near-surface sediments and 10–20 m for the deepest sediments at the study site [Yamada et al., 2011]. We also used IODP C0004B well data to correlate reflection signals with the fault interval derived from the logging data [Yamada et al., 2011].

To extract further details of the megasplay fault geometry, we calculated the roughness distribution of the top surface of the fault zone based on the root-mean-square (RMS) method. This procedure requires three steps: (1) creation of a grid system at 50 m intervals (base grid) on the surface and extraction of coordinate data from all the grid points; (2) creation of another grid system of any size (target grid) that is larger than the base grid; (3) collation of all base grid points within each target grid and determination of a plane called the “average surface” using the least squares method; (4) calculation of the distance between the base grid points and the average surface using RMS, and using the results of this as the roughness of the target grid; and (5) repeating steps 3 and 4 for all the target grids. The unit of this roughness distribution is in meters, and large values correspond to regions where the fault surface anomalously deviates from the smooth averaged fault surface. The calculated roughness distribution depends on the size of the target grid; consequently, local and regional roughness can be evaluated with small and large grids, respectively.

3. Results

In general, the shallow part of the megasplay fault dips to the NW and has a gentle convex curvature in plan view.
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(Figure 3a). A contour map of the top surface of the fault shows its shape to be a gentle trough and ridge in the western region and a series of minor crenulations in the eastern region. All of these features trend NNW-SSE (Figure 3a). However, a detailed map of dip angle and azimuth patterns (Figures 3b and 3c) shows that the surface geometry is more complex and three zones can be recognized (Figure 3d). Zone E is characterized by a simple convex-upward geometry, gentle NNW dips, several lineation features in its shallow part, and steeper dips at depth. Zone W generally dips to the NW apart from at intermediate depths where the surface is nearly horizontal (Figure 3b). The boundaries between this horizontal area and the surrounding moderately dipping (30°–40°) areas can be clearly defined. Zone M is located between Zones E and W and has a dip azimuth that gradually changes from west in the eastern area to north in the western area. Given that the dip angle has a sinusoidal pattern, with steeper angles at intermediate depths, the fault surface in Zone M has a complexly curved three-dimensional geometry. In all zones, minor undulations with wavelengths of a few hundreds of meters can also be observed in the dip angle and azimuth patterns (Figures 3b and 3c). The dip azimuth map shows many anomalous dots (artifacts) in Zones E and W that are due to very low dip angles. The geometry of the bottom surface of the megasplay fault zone is almost the same as that of the top surface, apart from subtle differences due to thickness variations of the fault zone.

[15] The roughness distributions of the megasplay fault surface at a grid size of 1050 m (Figure 3e) show two systems of high-roughness anomalies, oriented NW-SE and NE-SW. The NW-SE anomaly corresponds to the boundaries of the three zones, whereas the NE-SW anomaly corresponds to the boundaries of the gentle dip regions. These results suggest that the fault surface in Zone W is kinked, but in Zones E and M, the fault surface is relatively smooth.

[11] The megasplay fault zone is generally 20–40 m thick (Figure 3f), except in regions close to the tip line (southeastern fault edge) where the thickness is zero. The roughness distribution also identifies several areas of the fault zone where it is thicker in Zones E and M, and in these places, the maximum thickness is approximately 120 m. Around the northwestern border of the study area, where the splay fault is deeply buried, the observed thickness variations may not be precise due to the poor quality of the seismic reflection data.

4. Lateral Variations in Fault Zone Characteristics and Their Relationship to Fault Activity

[14] Our analysis of the megasplay fault geometry shows that the fault has three zones with different structural styles. Thrust faults generally change geometry with evolution due to processes such as segment linkage [Cartwright et al., 1995; Walsh et al., 2003], propagation across barriers [Ellis and Dunlap, 1988], and subsequent deformation after fault formation [e.g., Yamada et al., 2006; Miyakawa et al., 2010]. Fault thickness can also be used to assess the long-term fault activity, since thickness generally increases with displacement [Hull, 1988; Evans, 1990]. Given that the general tectonic setting and sedimentary sequences are essentially the same throughout the study region, the geometric characteristics identified in our study may be due to different evolutionary histories in the three zones. We now consider the activity of these three zones based on their geometry and deformation processes as constrained by the thickness distributions.

[15] The simple convex geometry of Zone E is commonly observed in natural and model accretionary wedges where a thrust propagates to the wedge surface and displaces the hanging wall over a footwall consisting of younger and porous sediments [e.g., Yamada et al., 2006]. As our study focused on the shallow part of the megasplay fault and its footwall comprises younger slope basin deposits [Strasser et al., 2009], the identified fault geometry can be assumed to have the shape of a thrust that has not experienced severe subsequent deformation after thrust formation. The simply curved and thickened geometry suggests that this zone has, until recently, been active for a long time. The distribution of the surface slope failure deposits also indicates that Zone E has recently been active.

[16] The kinked geometry of Zone W is indicative of a passive deformation due to the effects of surrounding deformation structures. Such passive deformation of inactive thrusts is commonly observed in modeled accretionary wedges [e.g., Yamada et al., 2006; Miyakawa et al., 2010]. As such, Zone W may presently be inactive, as also indicated by the scarcity of slope failure deposits associated with this zone. Zone W is thinner than the other zones, which implies that here the fault may have been less active and the displacement smaller than for the other zones. The kinked geometry in Zone W is unclear in the area adjacent to Zone M, where the dip azimuth is rotated slightly to the west (Figure 3c). This suggests that the eastern margin of Zone W has acquired additional external strain, probably from Zone M, and that this strain has deformed this part of Zone W.

[17] Zone M has a complexly curved geometry but is rather smooth and has no kinked features, suggesting that the fault might be active in this zone, as also indicated by submarine slope failures identified from ocean floor topography [Kimura et al., 2011]. The obscure boundary with Zone E in the fault roughness map suggests that the megasplay fault displacement in Zone M may be similar to that in Zone E. In contrast, the distinctive boundary between Zones W and M in the fault roughness map (Figure 3e) and their thickness differences (Figure 3f) indicate a possible major gap in fault displacement that should have generated associated deformation structures around the boundary between the zones. The complexly folded geometry of Zone M and the change in dip azimuth in the eastern part of Zone W may have been induced by effects associated with the displacement gap. As proposed by Ellis and Dunlap [1988], a thrust fault may bend during its development (segment linkage) where a barrier exists. The kinked geometry of Zone W may have acted as such a barrier and prevented propagation of fault displacement from Zone M. The slight change in the dip azimuth of the megasplay fault from Zone W (NW) to Zone E (NNW) (Figure 3c) and its associated strain may also have contributed to the deformation geometry of Zone M.

[18] Given that the megasplay fault consists of three intensely or moderately fractured zones in Zone E (e.g., C004B [Yamada et al., 2011], thickening may have proceeded in several stages rather than as a continuous process. Gulick et al. [2010] examined lateral thickness variations in the slope sediments (Figure 2) and argued that the megasplay fault may be a transient and short-lived structure and that parts of the fault may have ceased activity. These observations indicate that the geometrical characteristics of the megasplay described here may also develop intermittently and be transient in nature.

[19] Our study has identified that Zone E of the megasplay fault has a thickened geometry in its shallow regions (i.e., immediately below the ocean floor), which suggests that the
eastern part of the megasplay fault may have experienced long-term activity. The smooth and simply curved geometry of this zone indicates that current activity on this part of the fault may more readily transfer coseismic slip from the deep and ruptured zone to the shallowest part of the fault than occurs to other zones with deformed geometries. In turn, this highlights that thrusts with a smooth and thickened geometry at shallow depths may pose a higher risk for generating future tsunamis if the stress environment and material properties along the fault are maintained. Faults with such three-dimensional geometries at subduction margins should thus be identified and monitored in order to mitigate coastal damage that might result from future tsunamis.
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