




Experimental study of submarine landslides -Motion mechanism and impact force to cable-


Y. Kuwada, F.W. Wang, M. Honda, T. Sonoyama
(Dep. of Geoscience, Shimane University, Japan)

Keywords: motion mechanism, friction, impact force


Trouble points of communication cable (1959-2006)



Submarine landslide apparatus



Submarine landslide apparatus






Experiment with some tracers and saturated sand

Video clip




Properties of tracers

			
Diameter [mm]	6.0	6.0	11.68
Weight [g]	0.25	0.2	0.838
Specific gravity	1.77	1.06	1.005
Movement	Soil	Turbidity current	Water flow

Change in behavior

Velocity I

Velocity II



Velocity at which the surface of soil mass become turbulent

Velocity at which yellow tracers float up and drift with the current


• Liquefaction occurs

• The layer denser than water appears on the surface of the mixture

Change in behavior

Velocity III

Velocity IV



Velocity at which white tracers disappear from the front part of soil mass

Velocity at which white tracers become to roll on the bottom of the apparatus


• The front part of the mixture becomes the turbidity current

• Soil mass becomes turbidity current entirely

Relationship between velocities and volume of soil mass


Mass	Velocity I [m/s]	Velocity II [m/s]	Velocity III [m/s]	Velocity IV [m/s]	Thickness [cm]
40kg	0.40	0.47	0.60	0.80	20.5
30kg	0.39	0.43	0.55	0.77	21.4
20kg	0.35	0.42	0.49	0.70	18.3
10kg	0.33	0.39	0.44	0.59	12.7

Relationship between velocities and volume of soil mass



Measurement of each stresses and pore water pressure


Result from the experiment



$$\tau = (\sigma - u) \tan \phi \quad \tau = \sigma' \tan \phi$$


In this test, silica sand no.8 was used, and the angular velocity was 0.58 rad/sec. The peak of pore water pressure means that the set of three sensors comes to the lowest points.

Friction of coefficient for each angular velocity



Friction coefficient became higher when angular velocity increased. When mass of sand is 10kg or 20kg, friction coefficient is not changed.

Relationship between each velocity and friction coefficient




Friction coefficient is related sand condition.

Conclusions

- As volume of soil mass increases, Velocity I, II, III, IV and thickness of turbidity current tends to increase.
- Friction coefficient became higher when angular velocity increased.
- When mass of sand is 10kg or 20kg, friction coefficient is almost same value.
- Friction coefficient is related sand condition.

Same apparatus study for measurements impact force to model of communication cable

Instrumented cable



The length of the model cable is 0.378 m. Two screw holes were made at both ends to fix the cable to the frame of the apparatus. To measure the impact force, strain gauges were pasted onto the model cable. Five strain gauges were located at equal intervals on the front and back sides of the model cable, forming three channels (ch).



The impact force to the communications cable by the landslide is measured by an instrumented cable

Purpose of study


Clarification of destructive force and damaging mechanism of cable

Especially, Influence by

landslide volume
 landslide motion velocity
 soil types of the landslide
 diameter of the cable
 setting height of the cable

The details of experiment patterns are listed below.

Landslide volume (kg) : 10, 20, 30, **40**, 50, 60
 Motion velocity (± 0.013 m/s) : 20, 25, 30, 35, **40**, 45, 50, 55, 60
 Setting height of the cable (mm) : 20, **40**, 80
 Diameter of the cable (mm) : 17, 19, **21**, 23
 Soil type : Silica sand no. 7, **Silica sand no. 8**
 (Red= basic type of the tests)



Results of the experiments

- At the same velocity, the impact force increased with the soil volume.
- Experiment with setting height **20mm** showed **largest** impact force. And experiments with higher setting (**40mm** and **80mm**) showed **lower** impact force. This may be due to the difference in the density for every height.
- Larger** cables are subjected to **larger** impact forces. When the diameter of the cable increased for **10%** (**2mm**), the impact force increased almost **50%**.