Characterization of the IL-15 niche in primary and secondary lymphoid organs in vivo.

Cui, Guangwei; Hara, Takahiro; Simmons, Szandor; Wagatsuma, Keisuke; Abe, Akihumi; Miyachi, Hitoshi; Kitano, Satsuki; Ishii, Masaru; Tani-Ichi, Shizue; Ikuta, Koichi

CITATION:

ISSUE DATE:
2014-01-21

URL:
http://hdl.handle.net/2433/180642

RIGHT:
© 2014 National Academy of Sciences.; この論文は出版社版でありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.
Classification: Immunology

Characterization of the Interleukin-15 Niche in Primary and Secondary Lymphoid Organs In Vivo

Guangwei Cui\textsuperscript{a,b}, Takahiro Hara\textsuperscript{a}, Szandor Simmons\textsuperscript{c}, Keisuke Wagatsuma\textsuperscript{a,b}, Akifumi Abe\textsuperscript{a}, Hitoshi Miyachi\textsuperscript{d}, Satsuki Kitano\textsuperscript{d}, Masaru Ishii\textsuperscript{c,e}, Shizue Tani-ichi\textsuperscript{a}, and Koichi Ikuta\textsuperscript{a,1}

\textsuperscript{a}Laboratory of Biological Protection, Department of Biological Responses, Institute for Virus Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan; \textsuperscript{b}Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan; \textsuperscript{c}Department of Immunology and Cell Biology, Graduate School of Medicine and Laboratory of Cellular Dynamics, WPI-Immunology Frontier Research Center, Osaka University, Suita 565-0871, Japan; \textsuperscript{d}Reproductive Engineering Team, Institute for Virus Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan; \textsuperscript{1}JST, CREST, Tokyo 102-0075, Japan

\textsuperscript{1}Corresponding author:
E-mail address: ikuta@virus.kyoto-u.ac.jp
Laboratory of Biological Protection, Institute for Virus Research, Kyoto University, 53 Shogoin-Kawaharacho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan
Tel, +81-75-751-4012; Fax, +81-75-751-4810
Short title: Visualizing interleukin-15-producing cells
Key words: cytokine, IL-15, lymphoid organ, microenvironment, stromal cell

A Self-archived copy in Kyoto University Research Information Repository
https://repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp
Abstract

Interleukin (IL)-15 is a cytokine critical for development, maintenance, and response of T cells, natural killer cells, natural killer T cells, and dendritic cells. However, the identity and distribution of IL-15-expressing cells in lymphoid organs are not well understood. To address these questions, we established and analyzed IL-15-cyan fluorescent protein knock-in mice. We found that IL-15 was highly expressed in thymic medulla, and major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-II\textsuperscript{high} medullary thymic epithelial cells were the major source of IL-15. In bone marrow, IL-15 was detected primarily in VCAM-1\textsuperscript{+}PDGFR\textbeta\textsuperscript{+}CD31\textsuperscript{−}Sca-1\textsuperscript{−} stromal cells, which corresponded to previously described CXCL12-abundant reticular cells. In lymph nodes, IL-15-expressing cells were mainly distributed in the T-cell zone and medulla. IL-15 was expressed in some fibroblastic reticular cells and gp38\textsuperscript{−}CD31\textsuperscript{−} double-negative stromal cells in the T-cell zone. Blood endothelial cells, including all high endothelial venules, also expressed high IL-15 levels in lymph nodes, whereas lymphatic endothelial cells lacked IL-15 expression. In spleen, IL-15 was expressed in VCAM-1\textsuperscript{+} stromal cells, where its expression increased as mice aged. Lastly, IL-15 expression in blood and lymphatic endothelial cells of peripheral lymphoid organs significantly increased in lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation. Overall, we have identified and characterized several IL-15-expressing cells in primary and secondary lymphoid organs, providing a unique perspective of IL-15 niche in immune microenvironment. This study also suggests that some stromal cells express IL-7 and IL-15 differentially and suggests a way to functionally classify different stromal cell subsets.
Significance

Interleukin (IL)-15 is a cytokine critical for development and maintenance of T lymphoid cells. However, the identity and distribution of IL-15-expressing cells in lymphoid organs are not well understood. The current study reveals by using IL-15-cyan fluorescent protein knock-in mice that IL-15 was expressed in subsets of thymic epithelial cells, bone marrow stromal cells, lymph node stromal cells, and blood endothelial cells, a unique perspective of IL-15 niche in immune microenvironment. Taken together with our previous observation on IL-7-producing cells, this study suggests that some stromal cells express IL-7 and IL-15 differentially. Thus, the immune microenvironment appears to be consisted of functionally distinct subsets of stromal cells, expressing different cytokines.
Introduction

Coordinated cytokine expression by stromal cells in lymphoid organs is essential for immune system development and activity. Interleukin (IL)-15 is a cytokine important for differentiation, maintenance, and function of T cells, natural killer (NK) cells, NK T (NKT) cells, and dendritic cells (DCs) (1, 2). The IL-15 receptor (IL-15R) consists of a unique IL-15R α-chain (IL-15Rα), a β-chain (IL-2/IL-15Rβ) and a common cytokine receptor γ-chain (γc). IL-15 may function through trans-presentation of an IL-15/IL-15Rα complex to recipient cells expressing IL-2/15Rβ and γc (2, 3). Previous studies in mice lacking IL-15 or IL-15Rα demonstrated that IL-15 plays a critical role in development and homeostasis of naive CD8 T cells, memory T cells, NK cells, NKT cells, and epidermal and intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) (3-5). Nevertheless, the source of IL-15 in different lymphoid organs is largely unknown.

IL-15 mRNA is constitutively expressed in numerous organs such as placenta, skeletal muscle, and kidney (6). Furthermore, the expression of IL-15 is induced in DCs upon lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or interferon (IFN)-γ stimulation and is elevated in epithelial cells by infection (7, 8). Transcription factors such as nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) and interferon regulatory factor 1 (IRF-1) reportedly function in its expression (9-11). IRF-1-deficient mice show impaired NK cell development due to reduced IL-15 expression in bone marrow stromal cells (12). Furthermore, IL-15 expression is regulated at the translational and posttranslational levels (1). Because IL-15 protein is secreted at low levels, it is barely detectable by antibodies, even after stimulation.

To overcome these difficulties, two independent laboratories constructed IL-15 reporter mice by introducing bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) transgenes harboring genes encoding fluorescent reporters controlled by the IL-15 promoter (13, 14). Using these mice, they detected significant fluorescence signals in CD8+ conventional DCs (cDCs) and macrophages, expression augmented by vesicular stomatitis virus stimulation. However, reporter-expressing cells have not been reported in stromal cell populations. Such an inability to detect IL-15-expressing cells could be explained if BAC transgenes lacked regulatory elements required for IL-15 expression in stromal cells. Therefore, the distribution of IL-15-expressing cells is not completely characterized.

Here, to characterize the IL-15-expressing cells in vivo, we established a novel reporter mouse line, in which an enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) cDNA was inserted.
into the endogenous IL-15 locus. Using the IL-15-CFP knock-in mice, we revealed IL-15-expressing stromal cells showing a distinct distribution in primary and secondary lymphoid organs. Moreover, we demonstrated age-dependent augmentation and LPS-induced enhancement of IL-15 expression in some stromal cells. Thus, we have identified a new category of IL-15-expressing cells using an IL-15-CFP knock-in mouse that serves as a sensitive tool to dissect IL-15 niche in vivo. Taken together with previous observations of IL-7-green fluorescent protein (GFP) knock-in mice, we suggest that IL-7 and IL-15 are expressed in the immune microenvironment in distinct but occasionally overlapping patterns.
Results

Generation of IL-15-CFP Knock-in Mice
To determine the distribution of IL-15-producing cells in vivo, we generated IL-15-CFP knock-in mice. CFP cDNA and a neomycin resistance cassette were inserted at the ATG codon of the third IL-15 exon by homologous recombination (Fig. S1). The neomycin cassette was then removed by Cre recombinase. We analyzed IL-15-CFP heterozygotes in all experiments. IL-15-CFP mice showed normal development of lymphoid organs.

IL-15 Is Highly Expressed in Thymic Medulla
Previous reports demonstrated significantly reduced numbers of thymic NK and NKT cells in IL-15 knockout mice, although the source of IL-15 had not been identified (5). To detect an IL-15 signal directly, we performed multi-photon microscopy using thymus freshly excised from IL-15-CFP mice and littermate controls. CFP signals were clearly detected in thymus, and many were clustered in distinct areas (Fig. S2A).

To identify IL-15-expressing cells in thymus, we performed immunohistochemistry using an anti-GFP antibody combined with staining of cortical and medullary thymic epithelial cells (cTECs and mTECs) with anti-Ly51 and anti-EpCAM antibodies, respectively. Surprisingly, IL-15-expressing cells were predominantly localized in the thymic medulla and displayed EpCAM staining, while a few were scattered in corticomedullary junction and co-expressed Ly51 marker (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, many mTECs expressing IL-15 also expressed high levels of major histocompatibility complex (MHC)-II in thymic medulla (Fig. 1B). We also stained blood endothelial cells (BECs) and pericytes with anti-CD31 and anti-PDGFRβ antibodies, respectively, and found that most BECs and some pericytes expressed IL-15, primarily in the thymic medulla (Fig. 1C). To discriminate between hematopoietic and non-hematopoietic cells, we stained DCs and macrophages with anti-CD11c and anti-CD11b antibodies as well as anti-CD31 and anti-GFP antibodies. In contrast to IL-15-expressing CD31+ BECs, DCs and macrophages in thymus lacked IL-15 expression (Fig. S2B). These results suggest that MHC-IIhigh mTECs were the major source of IL-15 in the thymus.

IL-15 Expression in Bone Marrow Stromal Cells
IL-15 transpresentation functions in development and homeostasis of NK, NKT, and memory CD8+ T cells in bone marrow (15, 16). To monitor IL-15 signals, we carried out multi-photon
microscopy with calvaria of IL-15-CFP mice and littermate controls in vivo. We found that some IL-15-expressing cells were distributed around small blood vessels in bone marrow (Fig. S2C). To further characterize IL-15-expressing cells, we stained cryosections of bone marrow with anti-VCAM-1 (for bone marrow stromal cells) and anti-GFP antibodies. IL-15-expressing cells were scattered throughout the entire bone marrow (Fig. 1D). Most IL-15 signals colocalized with VCAM-1+ stromal cells. Furthermore, numerous VCAM-1+ stromal cells exhibiting an extended triangular morphology and located adjacent to blood vessels expressed high levels of IL-15 (Fig. 1D, Left). We also performed multi-photon microscopy with calvaria of IL-15-CFP/IL-7-GFP double knock-in mice in vivo. We found that most IL-15-expressing cells overlapped with IL-7-expressing cells, while some IL-7-expressing cells lacked IL-15 expression in bone marrow (Fig. S2D).

**Differential IL-15 Expression in Thymic Stromal Populations**

We next characterized the nature of IL-15-expressing cells in thymus by flow cytometry using anti-GFP antibody. We carried out flow cytometry of CD45−Ter119− thymic stromal cells. TECs were separated into three subsets with anti-Ly51, anti-EpCAM, and anti-MHC-II antibodies (Fig. 2A). MHC-IIhigh mTECs expressed high IL-15 levels, whereas a small fraction of MHC-IIlow mTECs and cTECs expressed low levels of IL-15 (Fig. 2B). Consistent with data derived from immunohistochemistry and flow cytometry, endogenous IL-15 transcript levels were significantly higher in MHC-IIhigh mTECs than in MHC-IIlow mTECs and cTECs sorted from wild-type mice (Fig. 2C). We also separated EpCAM− non-epithelial stromal cells into CD31+BECs and EpCAM−CD31−PDGFRβ+ stromal cells, the last of which contained pericytes (Fig. 2D). Approximately 60-70% BECs and a subset of EpCAM−CD31−PDGFRβ+ stromal cells expressed IL-15 (Fig. 2E). Overall, these results demonstrate that MHC-IIhigh mTECs are the major source of IL-15, while other TECs, BECs, and some pericytes express lower IL-15 levels.

**IL-15 Is Highly Expressed in a Fraction of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells in Bone Marrow**

The major source of IL-15 in bone marrow is reportedly a distinctive stromal cell population known as CXCL12-abundant reticular (CAR) cells (17). We separated CD45−Ter119− bone marrow stromal cells into CD31+Sca-1+ BECs, CD31+Sca-1+ cells, and VCAM-1−PDGFRβlowCD31−Sca-1+ and VCAM-1−PDGFRβhighCD31−Sca-1− stromal cells (Fig. 2F), and assessed CFP expression. More than 60% of
VCAM-1⁺PDGFRβ<sup>high</sup>CD31⁻Sca-1⁻ CAR cells expressed high IL-15 levels, whereas a subset of CAR cells lacked IL-15 expression. In addition, less than 10% of VCAM-1⁺PDGFRβ<sup>low</sup>CD31⁻Sca-1⁻ stromal cells expressed low levels of IL-15, and BECs and CD31⁻Sca-1⁻ stromal cells in bone marrow completely lacked IL-15 expression (Fig. 2G). IL-15 and CXCL12 transcripts were analyzed in BECs and in CFP⁺ and CFP⁻ CAR cells sorted from IL-15-CFP mice using quantitative RT-PCR. IL-15 transcript levels were significantly greater in the CFP⁺ fraction of CAR cells than in CFP⁻ CAR cells and BECs (Fig. 2H). Strikingly, CXCL12 transcripts were highly expressed in CFP⁺ and CFP⁻ CAR cells compared to BECs (Fig. 2I). These results indicate that IL-15 is expressed primarily in a subset of CAR cells.

**IL-15 Is Expressed in the T-cell Zone and Medulla of Lymph Nodes**

Apart from its role in primary lymphoid organs, IL-15 is also critical for homeostasis of T, NK, and NKT cells in the periphery (4, 5). Thus, we examined peripheral lymph nodes by immunohistochemistry with anti-gp38 (for fibroblastic reticular cells: FRCs), anti-CD11c (for DCs), and anti-CD3 (for T-cells) antibodies, as well as using an anti-GFP antibody. Interestingly, IL-15-expressing cells were predominant in the T-cell zone and medulla but absent from B cell-rich follicles in axillary, cervical, and inguinal lymph nodes (Fig. 3A and B). In contrast, only a few IL-15-expressing cells were present in the T-cell zone of mesenteric lymph nodes (Fig. 3B).

As stromal cells of the lymph node can be divided into four fractions with anti-gp38 and anti-CD31 antibodies (18, 19), we carried out flow cytometry of CD45⁻Ter119⁻ lymph node stromal cells and divided them into gp38⁺CD31⁻ FRCs, gp38⁻CD31⁺ lymphatic endothelial cells (LECs), gp38⁻CD31⁺ BECs, and double-negative stromal cells (DNSCs) (Fig. 3C). IL-15 was expressed in 40-50% of FRCs but completely absent from LECs. Importantly, more than 80% of BECs expressed significantly high levels of IL-15 in peripheral lymph nodes (Fig. 3D, Upper). In contrast, whereas BECs expressed high levels of IL-15, only a limited number of FRCs expressed very low levels of IL-15 in mesenteric lymph nodes (Fig. 3D, Lower). Moreover, some DNSCs in the T-cell zone expressed IL-15 in peripheral lymph nodes (Fig. 3D, Upper).

We next performed immunohistochemistry with sections of lymph node tissue using anti-gp38 and anti-CD31 antibodies. Consistent with the flow cytometry results, BECs and FRCs but not LECs expressed IL-15 in lymph nodes (Fig. 3E). All high endothelial venules (HEVs) also expressed high IL-15 levels, whereas only about a half of non-HEV BECs
expressed IL-15 (Fig. 3F). Some DNSCs in T-cell zones expressed high IL-15 levels (Fig. 3G). We also confirmed IL-15 expression in some hematopoietic subsets such as DCs and macrophages by staining with anti-CD31 and anti-CD11c or anti-CD11b antibodies as well as anti-GFP antibody. We found some DCs and macrophages adjacent to IL-15-expressing stromal cells were CFP+ in lymph nodes (Fig. S3 A and B). Additionally, the frequency of DCs and macrophages in all IL-15-expressing cells in T-cell zone was less than 10%. Overall, these results demonstrate that a variety of stromal cells in the T-cell zone and medulla express IL-15 in lymph nodes and that all HEVs show significant IL-15 expression.

Age-dependent IL-15 Expression in Splenic Stromal Cells

To investigate IL-15-expressing cells in spleen, we analyzed sections of spleen tissue using anti-VCAM-1 (for splenic stromal cells) and anti-CD3 antibodies as well as anti-GFP antibody. CFP signals were very weak in young (1 month old) mice but nonetheless detectable in VCAM-1+ stromal cells within the T-cell zone and red pulp (Fig. 4A). Consistent with previous reports that IL-15 is expressed by DCs in spleen, we found that CD8+ cDCs were the major source of IL-15 in the DC fraction, whereas plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) completely lacked IL-15 expression (Fig. S3 C and D).

Because the number of NKT and CD8 T cells increases as mice age (20-22), we asked whether IL-15 expression in spleen also changes with aging. We found that IL-15 expression increased in aging (10-month-old) mice compared to young mice (Fig. 4A). To characterize IL-15-expressing cells in aging spleen, we carried out flow cytometry of CD45−Ter119− spleen stromal cells with anti-VCAM-1 and anti-CD31 antibodies (Fig. 4B). We observed IL-15 expression in VCAM-1+CD31− stromal cells, and the number of CFP+ stromal cells as well as CFP levels increased with age, whereas BECs did not express IL-15 at any time point (Fig. 4 C and D). In contrast, IL-15 expression remained unchanged in stromal cell populations of aging lymph nodes (Fig. S4). These results suggest that IL-15 expression in splenic stromal cells is up-regulated in an age-dependent manner.

Endothelial Cells in the Lamina Propria of the Small Intestine Strongly Express IL-15

Several studies indicate that IL-15 produced by intestinal epithelial cells (IECs) supports maintenance of IELs in the small intestine (3, 23). Therefore, we analyzed small intestine tissue sections by immunohistochemistry with anti-EpCAM and anti-CD31 antibodies. Although detection of IL-15 mRNA in IECs has been reported, we observed extremely weak CFP signals only in a few epithelial cells. In contrast, we found some CFP+ cells in lamina
propria, and many were co-stained with anti-CD31 antibody (Fig. 4E). Thus, endothelial cells in the lamina propria may express higher IL-15 than IECs in small intestine.

**IL-15 Expression Increases Following LPS-induced Inflammation**

IL-15 plays a regulatory role in DCs in inflammation induced by LPS stimulation (7, 24). Thus, we analyzed IL-15 expression in DCs after LPS stimulation. IL-15 expression was slightly up-regulated in cDCs after stimulation (Fig. S5). To analyze IL-15-expressing stromal cells under inflammatory conditions, we injected IL-15-CFP knock-in mice with LPS and assessed potential changes in IL-15 expression 3 days later. In lymph nodes, the number of IL-15-expressing cells significantly increased (Figure 5A). Surprisingly, induction of IL-15 expression was seen in gp38⁺CD31⁺ LECs after LPS stimulation (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, we gated out hematopoietic cells with anti-CD45, anti-CD11c, and anti-CD11b antibodies by flow cytometry (Fig. S6), and evaluated the alteration of IL-15 expression in stromal cell subsets. In lymph nodes, the level of IL-15 expression by BECs significantly increased, and the proportion of IL-15<sup>high</sup> BECs also increased by 2-fold. IL-15 expression in FRCs and DNSCs was unchanged after LPS administration (Fig. 5 B and C). In spleen, VCAM-1⁺CD31⁻ stromal cells expressed slightly higher IL-15 after stimulation. Notably, IL-15 was slightly induced in BECs by LPS stimulation (Fig. 5 D and E). Overall, these results demonstrate that IL-15 expression in BECs and LECs of peripheral lymphoid organs significantly increases in the presence of LPS-induced inflammation.

**IL-15 Expression in Other Organs**

As IL-15 mRNA was detected in various organs such as lung, liver, kidney, heart, and skeletal muscle (1, 6), we performed immunohistochemistry of these organs in IL-15-CFP knock-in mice. We did not detect CFP signals in lung, liver, kidney, and skeletal muscle at steady state. However, we found that endocardium of heart expressed IL-15 (Fig. S7A). Furthermore, we observed that liver macrophages expressed high levels of IL-15 after LPS stimulation (Fig. S7 B and C).
Discussion

The cytokine IL-15 plays critical roles in development, maintenance, and response of naive CD8 T cells, memory T cells, NK cells, NKT cells, and IELs. Although IL-15 expression by DCs has been reported, the distribution of IL-15-expressing cells in the stromal cell fraction remains relatively uncharacterized in part due to low protein expression. Recently, others constructed two BAC transgenic mouse lines to track IL-15-expressing cells (13, 14). However, in those mice, detection of IL-15-expressing cells was limited to hematopoietic subsets, possibly because the BAC constructs lack regulatory elements necessary for stromal cell IL-15 expression. We constructed an IL-15-CFP knock-in mouse and observed a variety of IL-15-expressing stromal cells in lymphoid organs, suggesting that IL-15 expression is regulated differently between lymphoid and stromal cells.

MHC-II$^{\text{high}}$ mTECs may be the site for expansion of CD8 T cells and NKT cells in the thymus. IL-7 and other γc cytokines reportedly play a role in their expansion (25, 26). We found that MHC-II$^{\text{high}}$ mTECs produce high levels of IL-15. As IL-15 is a key regulator of development and homeostasis of CD8 T and NKT cells in thymus, the difference in IL-15 expression that we observed between medulla and cortex suggests that a distinct IL-15 niche for CD8 T and NKT cells exists in thymic medulla. In that tissue, MHC-II$^{\text{high}}$ mTECs may provide a site for negative selection and maintenance of CD8 T and NKT cells.

CAR cells are the major source of IL-15 in bone marrow. We observed IL-15-expressing cells scattered in the entire bone marrow, many of them VCAM-1$^{\text{+}}$PDGFRβ$^{\text{+}}$CD31$^{\text{−}}$Sca-1$^{\text{−}}$ CAR cells. These results are consistent with a report that CAR cells express high levels of IL-15 mRNA (27) and suggest that IL-15-expressing cells support development and homeostasis of memory T, NK, and NKT cells. Interestingly, we identified IL-15$^{\text{+}}$ and IL-15$^{\text{−}}$ subsets of CAR cells.

IL-15 is critical for proliferation and maintenance of memory T cells, NK cells, NKT cells, and IELs. In lymph nodes, IL-15-expressing cells were localized in the T-cell zone and medulla. We found that some FRCs and DNSCs express IL-15. These stromal cells may function in homeostasis of memory T and NKT cells. One question to be addressed in future studies is whether IL-15-expressing FRCs and DNSCs have equivalent functions.

In spleen, we identified IL-15-expressing VCAM-1$^{\text{+}}$CD31$^{\text{−}}$ stromal cells in the T-cell zone and red pulp. Interestingly, IL-15 expression by splenic stromal cells increased with age. The numbers of memory phenotype CD8 T and NKT cells reportedly increase in the aging spleen (20, 21). Therefore, IL-15-expressing stromal cells may serve as a splenic
microenvironment supporting expansion of memory CD8 T and NKT cells.

BECs express IL-15 at high levels. We showed that BECs in thymus, lymph nodes, and Peyer’s patches express IL-15 at high levels. Remarkably, HEVs in lymph nodes express high IL-15 levels. IL-15 is reportedly important for interaction of T-cells with BECs in vitro (28, 29). Our results suggest that IL-15 produced by BECs may function in adhesion and migration of lymphocytes in lymphoid organs.

Inflammation induces IL-15 in multiple cell types. LPS and inflammatory cytokines induce IL-15 in DCs (7). In this study, we showed that LPS also induces IL-15 expression in the stromal cell fraction. LPS-induced inflammation greatly increased IL-15 expression in BECs and LECs, whereas expression in other stromal cells was only slightly increased or unchanged. These results are consistent with reports that TLR4 is expressed in BECs and LECs (30, 31). IL-15 reportedly induces hyaluronate, which functions in lymphocyte rolling in blood vessels (28). Thus, autocrine secretion of IL-15 by BECs might induce hyaluronate and enhance extravasation of T-cells and DCs in inflammation. Moreover, because DCs express IL-15Rα and help lymphocytes enter into lymph nodes through HEVs (32, 33), IL-15 produced by BECs and LECs might activate DCs in inflammation. Thus, IL-15 induction by BECs and LECs may alter lymphocyte migration in inflammation.

IL-7 and IL-15 are differentially expressed in some lymphoid organs (Table S1). We previously reported the distribution of IL-7-producing cells using IL-7-GFP knock-in mice (34). In thymus, IL-15 is highly expressed in MHC-IIhi mTECs, whereas IL-7 is broadly expressed in both cTECs and mTECs. Additionally, BECs in thymus expressed IL-15 but not IL-7. In bone marrow, IL-15 and IL-7 are expressed by VCAM-1−CD31− stromal cells, and especially IL-15 is mainly expressed in a subset of VCAM-1−PDGFRβ−CD31−Sca-1− CAR cells. However, IL-15 is not expressed in VCAM-1−CD31+ stromal cells and PDGFRα+Sca-1+ stromal cells including mesenchymal stem cells and endosteal cells (35, 36), which express high levels of IL-7. Because most IL-15-expressing cells were contained in IL-7-expressing cell population in bone marrow of IL-15-CFP/IL-7-GFP double knock-in mice, some CAR cells seem to express IL-7. IL-15 is expressed in BECs, whereas IL-7 is expressed in LECs of lymph nodes and the intestinal lamina propria. Although FRCs express both IL-7 and IL-15 in lymph nodes, it is not clear whether IL-7- and IL-15-producing cells overlap or constitute different populations, due to undetectable levels of direct CFP and GFP fluorescence in secondary lymphoid organs of IL-15-CFP/IL-7-GFP double knock-in mice. Differential expression of IL-7 and IL-15 suggests that the immune microenvironment is organized, at
least in part, as a mosaic of different cytokine-producing stromal populations, an arrangement that may facilitate efficient maintenance and responsiveness of lymphoid cells.

In conclusion, we successfully identified and characterized IL-15-expressing cells in primary and secondary lymphoid organs using IL-15-CFP knock-in mice. Our study provides a perspective of the IL-15 niche in the immune microenvironment and will encourage analysis of the local functions of IL-15 produced by stromal cells in various lymphoid organs. Our study also indicates that IL-7 and IL-15 are differentially expressed by stromal cells and suggests a classification of functionally different stromal cell subsets.
Materials and Methods

Mice.
Generation of IL-15-CFP knock-in mice is described in SI Materials and Methods. IL-7-GFP knock-in mice were reported previously (34). All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions in the Experimental Research Center for Infectious Diseases in the Institute for Virus Research, Kyoto University. All mouse protocols were approved by the Animal Research Committee at the Institute for Virus Research, Kyoto University.

Isolation of Stromal Cells.
Tissues were dissected into small pieces and incubated in 1 ml of RPMI 1640 medium mixture containing 1.25 mg/ml collagenase D (Roche), 0.8 mg/ml Dispase (Roche), and 0.1 mg/ml DNase (Roche) at 37°C for 45 min with constant shaking. In the case of lymph nodes and spleen, the mixture also contained 0.2 mg/ml collagenase P (Roche). The cell suspension was gently passed twice through a 24-gauge needle and washed with PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 2 mM EDTA (MACS buffer). Hematopoietic cells were depleted with anti-CD45 and anti-Ter119 microbeads and an LD column (Miltenyi Biotec).

Flow Cytometry.
Cells were stained with fluorescent dye- or biotin-conjugated antibodies described in SI Materials and Methods. Hematopoietic cells were gated out with anti-CD45 and Ter119 antibodies. Intracellular staining was performed using FIX & PERM Cell Fixation and Permeabilization reagents (Invitrogen). An anti-GFP antibody (Invitrogen) with cross-reactivity to CFP was used to detect CFP. Stained cells were acquired with a FACS Canto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star). Cell sorting was performed using a FACS Aria II cell sorter (BD Biosciences).

Immunohistochemistry.
Tissue sections were prepared and analyzed as described (34). After blocking, samples were incubated with the fluorescent dye- or biotin-conjugated antibodies described in SI Materials and Methods and then mounted with PermaFluor (Shandon). Bone marrow sections were prepared using the film method (37). Confocal microscopy was performed with TSC-SP5 and TSC-SP8 microscopes (Leica Microsystems).
Real-time RT-PCR.
Total RNA was extracted from sorted cells using Sepasol reagent (Nacalai) and from fixed samples using an RNeasy FFPE kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized with random primers and amplified in duplicate by QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen) with ROX (Invitrogen) using an ABI 7500 sequence detector (Applied Biosystems). PCR efficiency was normalized using cDNA of whole thymus or bone marrow from wild-type mice. Primer sequences were as follows: IL-15 forward, 5’-GTGACTTTCATCCCAGTTGC-3’ and 5’-TTCCTTGCAGCCAGATTCTG-3’; CXCL12, 5’-GAGCCAACGTCAAGCATCTG-3’ and 5’-CGGGTCAATGCACACTTGTC-3’.

LPS Treatment In Vivo.
Mice were injected intravenously with 30 µg of LPS from *Escherichia coli* (Sigma) in 200 µl PBS 3 days before the analysis as described previously (7, 38).

Statistics.
An unpaired two-tailed Student’s *t*-test was used for all statistical analysis. Asterisks in all figures are as follows: *P <0.05; **P <0.01. NS, not significant. ND, not detected.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Distribution of IL-15-expressing cells in primary lymphoid organs.
Immunohistochemical analysis of thymus (A–C) and bone marrow (D) of 6- to 10-week-old IL-15-CFP knock-in mice (IL-15\textsuperscript{CFP\textsuperscript{+}}) and control wild-type littermates (WT). CFP signals were detected with anti-GFP antibody. (A and B) mTECs and cTECs were stained with anti-EpCAM (red) and anti-Ly51 (blue) antibodies, respectively. Most CFP\textsuperscript{+} cells (green) in medulla display the mTEC marker and these double stained (red and green overlap) cells appear yellow, while a few cTECs at the cortico-medullary junction also express IL-15 (A, arrowheads). CFP\textsuperscript{+} mTECs were co-stained with anti-MHC-II antibody and these triple stained (red, green and blue overlap) cells appear white (B, arrowheads). M, medulla; C, cortex. (C) BECs and pericytes were stained with anti-CD31 (green) and anti-PDGFR\textbeta (light blue) antibodies, respectively. BECs and pericytes (arrows) colocalized with CFP\textsuperscript{+} cells (red). These double stained cells appear yellow and white, respectively. (D) VCAM-1\textsuperscript{+} stromal cells (blue), which are primarily adjacent to blood vessels (arrows), express high levels of CFP (red). Data represent three independent experiments with similar results. Scale bar, 100 µm.

Figure 2. Characterization of IL-15-expressing cells in thymus and bone marrow.
Flow cytometric analysis of IL-15-expressing cells in thymus (A, B, D, and E) and bone marrow (F and G). Stromal cells were isolated from 6- to 10-week-old IL-15-CFP knock-in mice (IL-15\textsuperscript{CFP\textsuperscript{+}}) and control wild-type littermates (WT). CFP signals were detected by cytoplasmic staining with anti-GFP antibody. (A and B) Isolation and CFP expression of TEC subsets. (C) IL-15 mRNA levels were measured by real-time RT-PCR in TEC subsets isolated as shown in (A). cTECs (C), MHC-II\textsuperscript{low} mTECs (M\textsuperscript{lo}), MHC-II\textsuperscript{high} mTECs (M\textsuperscript{hi}), and EpCAM\textsuperscript{+} non-TECs (N). T-cells (T) from IL-15-CFP knock-in mice served as a negative control. Values are the mean ± SEM of duplicate data points. Data represent three independent experiments with similar results. (D and E) Isolation and CFP expression of thymic stromal cells. (F and G) Isolation and CFP expression of bone marrow stromal cells. BEC (CD31\textsuperscript{+}Sca-1\textsuperscript{+}). (H and I) IL-15 and CXCL12 mRNA levels were measured by real-time RT-PCR in bone marrow stromal cells isolated as shown in (G). CFP\textsuperscript{+} and CFP\textsuperscript{−} fractions of VCAM-1\textsuperscript{+}PDGFR\textbeta\textsuperscript{high}CD31\textsuperscript{−}Sca-1\textsuperscript{−} stromal cells (CFP\textsuperscript{+} and CFP\textsuperscript{−}, respectively). Values are the mean ± SEM of duplicate data points. Data represent three independent experiments with similar results.
Figure 3. Characterization of IL-15-expressing cells in lymph nodes.
CFP expression in lymph nodes of 8- to 10-week-old IL-15-CFP knock-in mice (IL-15<sup>CFP/+</sup>) and control wild-type littermates (WT). CFP signals were detected with an anti-GFP antibody. (A and B) CFP<sup>+</sup> cells in axillary or inguinal lymph nodes (pLN, A and upper panels in B) and mesenteric lymph nodes (mLN, lower panels in B). T, T-cell zone; B, B-cell zone; M, medulla. (C and D) Isolation and CFP expression of lymph node stromal cell fractions. CD45<sup>−</sup>Ter119<sup>−</sup> stromal cells were isolated from axillary, cervical, or inguinal lymph nodes (pLN, upper panel) and mesenteric lymph nodes (mLN, lower panel) and divided into FRC (gp38<sup>+</sup>CD31<sup>−</sup>), DNSC (gp38<sup>−</sup>CD31<sup>−</sup>), LEC (gp38<sup>−</sup>CD31<sup>−</sup>), and BEC (gp38<sup>−</sup>CD31<sup>−</sup>) fractions with anti-gp38 and anti-CD31 antibodies. (E) CFP expression in stromal cells of the T-cell zone. CFP<sup>+</sup> FRCs (red and green overlap) and BECs (red and blue overlap) appear yellow and magenta, respectively. LECs (green and blue overlap) appear turquoise blue. (F) CFP expression in CD31<sup>−</sup> BECs, including HEVs. CFP<sup>+</sup> BECs (red and green overlap) appear yellow. (G) CFP expression in some DNSCs (arrowheads, single red). Data represent three independent experiments with similar results. Scale bar, 100 µm.

Figure 4. Characterization of IL-15-expressing cells in spleen and small intestine.
CFP expression in spleen and small intestine of IL-15-CFP knock-in mice (IL-15<sup>CFP/+</sup>) and control wild-type littermates (WT). CFP signals were detected with an anti-CFP antibody. (A) CFP<sup>+</sup> cells in spleen. Stromal cells and T-cells were stained with anti-VCAM-1 and anti-CD3 antibodies, respectively. CFP<sup>+</sup> stromal cells (red and green overlap) appear yellow. (B and C) Age-dependent IL-15 expression in splenic stromal cells. Spleen cells from IL-15-CFP knock-in mice and control wild-type littermates were stained with anti-CD45, anti-VCAM-1, and anti-CD31 antibodies. BEC (CD31<sup>−</sup>VCAM-1<sup>−</sup>). (D) Percentages of CFP<sup>+</sup> cells in stromal cells and BECs of IL-15-CFP knock-in spleen. Values are the mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. (E) IECs and BECs were stained with anti-EpCAM and anti-CD31 antibodies. CFP<sup>+</sup> IECs (red and green overlap) and BECs (red and blue overlap) appear yellow and magenta, respectively. BECs express high levels of IL-15 (arrowheads), whereas IECs express low levels of IL-15. V, villi; P, Peyer’s patch. Scale bar, 100 µm.

Figure 5. LPS stimulation induces IL-15 expression in BECs and LECs of peripheral lymphoid organs.
Ten-week-old IL-15-CFP knock-in mice (IL-15<sup>CFP/+</sup>) and control wild-type (WT) littermates
were injected with LPS. CFP signals were detected with an anti-GFP antibody. (A) Immunohistochemical analysis of lymph nodes 3 days after injection of PBS (control, upper panels) or LPS (lower panels). FRCs and LECs (arrowheads) were stained with anti-gp38 and anti-CD31 antibodies, respectively. CFP⁺ FRCs (red and green overlap) and BECs (red and blue overlap) appear yellow and magenta, respectively. CFP⁺ and CFP⁻ LECs appear white and turquoise blue, respectively. T, T-cell zone. Scale bar, 40 µm. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of lymph nodes 3 days after injection of PBS (control, upper panels) or LPS (lower panels). Hematopoietic cells were gated out with anti-CD45, anti-CD11c, and anti-CD11b antibodies. The percentages of CFP⁺ high BECs are shown. (C) The difference in mean fluorescence intensity (ΔMFI) values of CFP between LPS-stimulated and non-stimulated mice, as shown in (B). Values are the mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. (D) Flow cytometric analysis of spleen 3 days after injection of PBS (control, upper panels) or LPS (lower panels). (E) The difference in mean fluorescence intensity (ΔMFI) values of CFP between LPS-stimulated and non-stimulated mice as shown in (D). Values are the mean ± SEM from three independent experiments.
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SI Materials and Methods

**Generation of IL-15-CFP Knock-in Mice**

The targeting vector for IL-15-CFP knock-in mice was generated as follows. A diphtheria toxin A cassette was subcloned in the pBluescript KS(+) vector (pBS-DTA). A 1977-bp genomic DNA fragment of 3’ IL-15 region after third IL-15 exon was amplified by PCR and subcloned in the pBS-DTA vector (pBS-3’IL15-DTA). The enhanced CFP cassette and neomycin resistance gene cassette flanked by two loxP sites (LNL) were amplified by PCR and inserted into the pBS-3’IL15-DTA vector (pBS-CFP-LNL-3’IL15-DTA). A 7999-bp genomic DNA fragment of the 5’IL-15 region upstream of the initiation codon in the third IL-15 exon was amplified by PCR and subcloned into the pBS-CFP-LNL-3’IL15-DTA vector (pBS-5’IL15-CFP-LNL-3’IL15-DTA) (Fig. S1A). The linearized targeting vector was introduced into the KY1.1 embryonic stem cell line derived from a C57BL/6J x 129S6/SvEvTac mouse embryo (a gift of Dr. Junji Takeda of Osaka University). Homologous recombinants were screened by PCR and confirmed by Southern blot analysis with a 3’ probe (Fig. S1B). Targeted ES clones were microinjected into ICR 8-cell embryos. Chimeric mice were bred with CAG-Cre Tg mice to delete the neomycin cassette. Resultant mice containing the enhanced CFP gene within the endogenous IL-15 locus were backcrossed to C57BL/6 mice at least for six generations.

**Multi-photon Tissue Imaging**

Intravital imaging of mouse calvaria bone was realized as described previously (1, 2). In brief, the frontoparietal regions of the calvaria of anaesthetized mice were exposed and fixed on a customized microscope stage. The bone marrow cavity was observed using a multi-photon imaging system composed of a multi-photon upright microscope (A1-MP; Nikon) equipped with a 25× water immersion objective (APO, N.A. 1.1; Nikon). Sample excitation was provided by a Chameleon Vision II Ti:Sapphire laser (Coherent) tuned at 820 nm and emitted fluorescence was collected using a GaAsP multi-detector unit (Nikon). eCFP- and eGFP-expressing cells were detected through a bandpass emission filter at 483/32 nm and 525/50 nm, respectively, and discriminated from second harmonic generation (SHG) signals (representing bone tissue) by a bandpass emission filter at 414/46 nm. Blood vessels were visualized by injecting non-functionalized eFluor 650 nanocrystals (detected using a 593/40 nm bandpass filter; eBioscience) i.v. immediately before imaging. Image stacks were collected at 2 µm vertical step size at a depth of 100–150 µm below the skull bone surface.
Multi-photon imaging of thymus was performed on explanted tissues with the multi-photon inverted microscope as described for calvaria. Raw imaging data was processed with NIS-Elements software (Nikon) and analyzed using Imaris software (Bitplane) with a Gaussian filter for noise reduction.

**Antibodies**

The following fluorescent dye- or biotin-conjugated antibodies were used: anti-GFP, Alexa Fluor 488-anti-GFP, Alexa Fluor 546-anti-rabbit IgG, and streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 488 (BioLegend); allophycocyanin (APC)-Cy7-anti-CD45, APC-Cy7-anti-CD11c, APC-Cy7-anti-CD11b, Alexa Fluor 647-anti-Ly51, phycoerythrin (PE)-anti-EpCAM, Pacific Blue-anti-MHC-II, APC-anti-CD31, Brilliant Violet 421-anti-CD31, APC-anti-CD140b (PDGFRβ), PE-Cy7-anti-Sca-1, biotin-anti-Podoplanin (gp38), and APC-anti-CD3 (Invitrogen); eFluor 450-anti-CD8, APC-Cy7-anti-B220, PE-anti-CD11c, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-anti-F4/80, biotin-anti-rat IgG, and PE- or APC-streptavidin (eBioscience); and FITC-anti-CD31, APC-anti-CD11c, and APC-anti-CD11b (BD Pharmingen). Biotin-anti-VCAM-1 was a gift of Dr. Tatsuo Kina at Kyoto University.
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Supplemental Figure Legends

**Figure S1. Generation of IL-15-CFP knock-in mice.**

(A) Targeting strategy for the IL-15-CFP knock-in allele. Boxes indicate exons and cDNAs. ATG indicates the translation initiation codon. Triangles indicate loxP sequences. Horizontal bars indicate the probe. The neomycin resistance gene cassette was excised by Cre recombination. (B) Genomic DNA from targeted ES cells was digested with EcoRI and analyzed by Southern blot analysis with the 3′ probe shown in A. Wild-type (WT) and targeted allele (KI) samples gave rise to 9.3- and 7.0-kb EcoRI fragments, respectively.

**Figure S2. IL-15 expression in thymus and bone marrow.**

(A) Multi-photon fluorescence in vivo images of the IL-15-CFP knock-in thymus with CFP-labeled cells (left, turquoise) and collagen-fibers visualized by second harmonic generation (SHG) signals (right, dark blue). (B) Immunohistochemical analysis to detect IL-15 expression in DCs and macrophages of IL-15-CFP knock-in thymus (arrowheads). DCs and macrophages were stained with anti-CD11c (blue) and anti-CD11b (blue) antibodies. Scale bar, 50 µm. (C) Multi-photon fluorescence in vivo images of the bone marrow with CFP-labeled cells (left, turquoise blue) and the bone-matrix visualized by SHG-signals (right, dark blue) and blood vessels stained with nanocrystals (right, purple). Data represent two independent experiments with similar results. Scale bar, 40 µm. (D) Multi-photon fluorescence in vivo images of the IL-15-CFP/IL-7-GFP double knock-in bone marrow with CFP-labeled cells (left, turquoise blue), GFP-labeled cells (middle, green), and the bone-matrix visualized by SHG-signals (right, dark blue) and blood vessels stained with nanocrystals (right, purple). Data represent two independent experiments with similar results. Scale bar, 40 µm.

**Figure S3. IL-15 expression in hematopoietic subsets of lymph nodes and spleen.**

IL-15 expression in lymph nodes and spleen of 6- to 10-week-old IL-15-CFP knock-in mice (IL-15$^{CFP+}$) and control wild-type littermates (WT). CFP signals were detected with anti-GFP antibody. (A) Immunohistochemical analysis of DCs in lymph nodes (arrowheads). DCs were stained with anti-CD11c (blue) antibody. Scale bar, 50 µm. (B) Immunohistochemical analysis of macrophages in lymph nodes (arrowheads). Macrophages were stained with anti-CD11b (blue) antibody. Triple stained (red, green and blue overlap) cells appear white.
Scale bar, 50 µm. (C) Separation of DC subsets. (D) IL-15 expression in CD8⁺ and CD8⁻ conventional DCs (cDCs, CD11c⁺B220⁻) and plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs, CD11c⁺B220⁺). Data represent three independent experiments with similar results.

**Figure S4. IL-15 expression in lymph node stromal cells during aging.**
Single cells were isolated from axillary, cervical, and inguinal lymph nodes of 1-, 3- and 10-month-old IL-15-CFP knock-in mice (IL-15(CFP/⁺)) and control wild-type littermates (WT). Percentages of CFP⁺⁺ stromal cells are shown. Values are the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.

**Figure S5. IL-15 induction in DC subsets after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) administration.**
(A) Separation of DC subsets. (B) IL-15 expression in DC subsets from 6- and 10-week-old IL-15-CFP knock-in mice and control wild-type littermates (WT) 3 days after injection of PBS (control, upper panels) or LPS (lower panels). (C) The difference in mean fluorescence intensity (ΔMFI) values of CFP between LPS-stimulated and non-stimulated mice as shown in (B). Values are the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.

**Figure S6. Elimination of hematopoietic cells in flow cytometric analysis.**
(A) CD45 expression in hematopoietic subsets. Flow cytometric analysis of spleen cells 3 days after injection of PBS or LPS. (B) CD45 ρCD11b⁻CD11c⁻ gated stromal cell fractions in lymph nodes and spleen. Data represent at least three independent experiments with similar results.

**Figure S7. IL-15 expression in heart and liver.**
IL-15 expression in heart and liver of 6- to 12-week-old IL-15-CFP knock-in mice (IL-15(CFP/⁺)) and control wild-type littermates (WT). CFP signals were detected with anti-GFP antibody. (A) Immunohistochemical analysis of heart. Endothelial cells were stained with anti-CD31 antibody. CFP⁺CD31⁺ cells (blue and green overlap) appear turquoise blue. (B) Immunohistochemical analysis of liver. Macrophages and endothelial cells were stained with anti-F4/80 and anti-CD31 antibodies, respectively. (C) Immunohistochemical analysis of liver 3 days after LPS injection. CFP⁺ macrophages (red and green overlap) appear yellow color. Data represent two independent experiments with similar results. Scale bar, 50 µm.
### Supplemental Table

#### Table S1. IL-15- and IL-7-expressing stromal cells in primary and secondary lymphoid organs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organs</th>
<th>IL-15-expressing cell</th>
<th>IL-7-expressing cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thymus</td>
<td>MHC-II(^{\text{high}}) mTEC, BEC, Pericyte*</td>
<td>mTEC, cTEC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>VCAM-1(^{+})PDGFR(^{\text{high}})CD31(^{-})Sca-1(^{-})cell (CAR cell)*</td>
<td>VCAM-1(^{+})CD31(^{-}) cell*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VCAM-1(^{+})PDGFR(^{\text{low}})CD31(^{-})Sca-1(^{-}) cell*</td>
<td>PDGFR(\alpha)^(^{+})Sca-1(^{-}) and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PDGFR(\alpha)^(^{+})Sca-1(^{-}) cell*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node</td>
<td>BEC, FRC*, DNSC*</td>
<td>LEC, FRC*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>VCAM-1(^{+}) stromal cell*</td>
<td>FRC*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>BEC, IEC*</td>
<td>LEC, IEC*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, some cells are positive.
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