TITLE:

Association between resuscitation time interval at the scene and neurological outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in two Asian cities.

AUTHOR(S):

Shin, Sang Do; Kitamura, Tetsuhisa; Hwang, Seung Sik; Kajino, Kentaro; Song, Kyoung Jun; Ro, Young Sun; Nishiuchi, Tatsuya; Iwami, Taku; for the Seoul–Osaka Resuscitation Study (SORS) Group

CITATION:

Shin, Sang Do ...[et al]. Association between resuscitation time interval at the scene and neurological outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in two Asian cities.. Resuscitation 2014, 85(2): 203-210

ISSUE DATE:

2014-02

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/182212>

RIGHT:

© 2013 Elsevier Ireland Ltd.

Association between resuscitation time interval at the scene and neurological outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in two Asian cities

Sang Do Shin^a, Tetsuhisa Kitamura^b, Seung Sik Hwang^c, Kentaro Kajino^d, Kyoung Jun Song^e, Young Sun Ro^f, Tatsuya Nishiuchi^g, Taku Iwami^{h*}, for Seoul-Osaka Resuscitation Study (SORS) Group

^aDepartment of Emergency Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, 101 Daehak-Ro, Jongno-Gu, Seoul 110-744, Korea

^bDivision of Environmental Medicine and Population Sciences, Department of Social and Environmental Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, 2-5 Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

^cDepartment of Social Medicine, Inha University, Shinheun-Dong 3 Ga, Jung-Gu, Incheon 400-712, Korea

^dDepartment of Traumatology and Acute Critical Medicine, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, 2-5 Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

^eDepartment of Emergency Medicine, Seoul National University Boramae Medical Center, 20 Boramae-Ro, Dongjak-Gu, Seoul 156-707, Korea

19 ^f*Department of Preventive Medicine, Seoul National University School of Public Health,*
20 *Seoul, 1 Kwanak-Ro Kwanak-Gu, Seoul 151-741, Korea*

21 ^g*Department of Critical Care & Emergency Medicine, Osaka City University Graduate*
22 *School of Medicine, 1-5-17 Asahimachi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan*

23 ^h*Kyoto University Health Service, Yoshida Honmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan*

24

25 **Total word count of the paper: 5396 words**

26 **Total word count of the abstract: 256words**

27 **Number of figures: 2**

28 **Number of tables: 5**

29

30 * Corresponding author. Phone: +81-75-753-2401; Fax:+81-75-753-2424.

31 TakuIwami MD PhD

32 Kyoto University Health Service

33 YoshidaHonmachi, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

34 E-mail address: iwamit@e-mail.jp (T. Iwami)

35

36 *Keywords:*

- 37 Cardiopulmonary resuscitation
- 38 Ambulance
- 39 Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest
- 40 Emergency medical service system
- 41 Scene Time Interval
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54

55 **ABSTRACT**

56 *Background:* It is unclear whether the scene time interval (STI) for cardiopulmonary
57 resuscitation (CPR) is associated with outcomes of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) or
58 not.

59 *Methods:* A retrospective analysis based on two large population-based cohortswas
60 performed forwitnessed adult OHCA with presumed cardiac etiology from Seoul (2008-2010)
61 and Osaka (2007-2009). The STI defined as time from wheel arrival at the scene to departure
62 to hospital was categorized by short (less than 8 minutes), intermediate (8 to less than 16
63 minute), and long (16 min or longer) STI on the basis of sensitivity analysis. Primary
64 outcome was good neurological outcome (cerebral performance category 1 or 2).Adjusted
65 odds ratios (AORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to determine the
66 association between STIs and outcomes comparing with the short STI group adjusting for
67 potential risk factorsand interaction products.

68 *Results:* Total 7,757 patients;3,594 from Seoul and 4,163 from Osaka were finally analyzed.
69 There were significant differences among STI groups for most potential risk variables.
70 Survival to admission was higher in the intermediate STI group (35.7%) than in the short
71 (31.8%) or long STI group (32.6%), respectively ($p=0.004$). Survival to discharge was not
72 different among groups (13.7%, 13.1%, 11.5%), respectively ($p=0.094$).The intermediate STI

73 group had a significantly better neurological outcome compared with the short STI group

74 (7.7% vs. 4.6%; AOR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.03-1.71), while the long STI (6.6%) did not.

75 *Conclusion:* Data from two metropolitan cities demonstrated a positive association between

76 intermediate STI from 8 to 16 minutes and good neurological outcome after OHCA.

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

1. Introduction

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) is a major publichealth problem around the world.¹Emergency medical service (EMS) factors have been known to be one of the major determinants for outcomes after OHCA as well as bystander performance and hospital post-resuscitation care.²⁻⁵Among EMS factors, response time interval (RTI) from call to arrival at the scene isknown to be associated with outcomes,whereas the effect ofscene time interval (STI) for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR),from ambulance arrival at the scene to departure to hospital, isunclear.

Although some EMS systems like Osaka allow longer STI than others, in many Asian countries including Korea and Japan, EMS protocols do not basically allow them to stop CPR at the scene unless there is a return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and require scoop and run to the hospital emergency department (ED) while giving CPR during transport (Scoop and Run model).⁶⁻⁹In addition, advanced life support (ALS) procedures provided by EMS personnel on the scene are very limited. These protocols are very different from those in North America, Europe, and Australia,where EMS providers continue to perform CPR until getting the ROSC or stopping CPR for death declaration on the scene (Stayand Treat model).^{10,11}The 2010 American Heart Association guideline has no comments regarding how long the EMS personnel stay at the scene to provide CPR or how many CPR cycles

109 are essential for scene CPR.^{10,11}

110 We hypothesized that the STI staying at the scene to provide CPR is a key determinant for
111 outcomes in OHCA in EMS systems because it is a very important treatment time interval
112 after cardiac arrests. During this period, EMS personnel would provide various treatments at
113 the scene including CPR, defibrillation, airway management, and fluid resuscitation.¹² The
114 longer STI for CPR has a benefit of providing a likelihood of more stable and higher-quality
115 CPR, while the shorter STI has a benefit of faster, more comprehensive and earlier advance
116 care in ED. In contrast, there would be a disadvantage of delayed advance care by ED in the
117 longer STI protocol, but more unstable CPR during ambulance transport in the shorter
118 STI system. By comparing the outcomes according to STI for CPR, we can develop a more
119 effective scene protocol for the EMS system. The present study aimed to determine the
120 association between STI and neurological outcome after OHCA using two large population-
121 based cohorts covering two metropolitan cities in Asia.

122

123 **2. Methods**

124 *2.1. Study method and materials*

125 The Seoul-Osaka Resuscitation Study (SORS) group is a volunteer-based collaborating
126 study group between two communities' research scientists in Seoul Korea and Osaka Japan,

respectively. The study was approved by the institutional review boards of the study hospitals(Seoul National University and Osaka University).

2.2. Study setting

This study was done in two metropolitan cities, Seoulin Korea and Osaka in Japan. The population size was 9.9 million in Seoul (2009) and 8.8 million in Osaka (2010). Population density was 16,356 per km² in Seoul and 4,642 per km² in Osaka.

The EMS level is intermediate in both areas where the highest-qualified emergency medical technicians can give CPR with automatic external defibrillation (AED), perform advanced airway management, and insert intravenous fluid. EMS providers should not stop CPR unless there is a ROSCon the scene. In Seoul, EMTs are encouraged to “scoop and run” to a hospital emergency department while giving CPR during ambulance transport as soon as possible after giving one cycle of CPR followed by automatic external defibrillation. More than one cycle of CPR and rhythm analysis with/without shock at the scene, intravenous fluid resuscitation, advanced airway management, and drug infusion are not obligatory but optional in this system. EMS providers can choose their option according to families’ attitude, patient’s condition, self-confidence level for procedures, and expected transport time to ED. They give continuous CPR during ambulance transport.¹³ In Osaka, EMTs are usually encouraged to stay around 10 minutes for interventions including three to four cycles of CPR with rhythm analysis

every 2 minutes, intravenous fluid resuscitation, advanced airway management, and drug infusion.¹⁴ The emergency life-saving technician (ELST) systems in Osaka were started in 1991; specially-trained ELSTs began to use endotracheal intubation in July 2004, and administered adrenaline since July 2006, while the first-degree EMTs in Seoul can opt for the airway management from among Bag-Valve-Mask ventilation, supraglottic airway, and endotracheal intubation according to their preference. A system quality program was initiated in 1998 in the Osaka through Osaka Utstein Project, while the quality control was rare in Seoul until 2011.^{5,8,13-16}

The number of ambulance crew per ambulance is three in both areas; first-degree EMT (intermediate EMT), second-degree EMT (basic EMT), and driver (first responder level) in Seoul, and three EMSs with at least one ELST (intermediate EMT) in Osaka. The first-degree EMTs in Seoul are usually graduates of EMT colleges with three- to four-year courses. In Osaka, there are two processes to qualify as an ELST.¹⁴ The first is through the specialized education system in the fire department. The second way is through the education system in the EMT school and college.

There are 114 ambulance units available for service coverage of 24-hour/ 365 days in Seoul (2010) and 212 ambulance units in Osaka (2009). Hospital EDs for OHCA are categorized into four levels in Seoul including one regional ED (level 1) and 27 local EDs

(level 2). Level 1 and 2 EDs are covered by emergency physicians for 24/365 services and received approximately 80% of OHCA. In Osaka, there are 13 critical care medical centers (level 1) and 258 emergency centers (level 2). Level 1 ED can be used for very high criticality such as OHCA, severe trauma, and respiratory failure, and has received approximately 20% of OHCA, every year while level 2 EDs are used for moderate emergency conditions. The classification of ED levels differ by areas. The level 1 and some of level 2 hospitals in both cities usually provide therapeutic hypothermia and cardiac interventions (percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary bypass surgery).

2.3. Study population

Study population was EMS-treated and bystander-witnessed adult (18 years and older) OHCA with presumed cardiac etiology. The study period was from Jan. 2008 to Dec. 2010 for Seoul and from Jan. 2007 to Dec. 2009 for Osaka. OHCA with non-cardiac etiology, not treated cases, and patients less than 18 years were excluded.

2.4. Data sources

Data were collected from the EMS run sheet in Osaka and from the EMS run sheet and hospital medical record review in Seoul. Utstein factors including age, sex, location (public or private), and bystander CPR (yes or no), prehospital defibrillation, and primary ECG (ventricular fibrillation / tachycardia or not) were collected. Both data used the same

definition according to the Utstein data report form. In Osaka, first documented ECG was examined at the scene, while that in Seoul was examined for some patients at the scene and for most patients at the ED. Public access defibrillation was performed for several cases in Osaka while there was no case in Seoul because the PAD program started in 2010 in that city. Dataset details were reported in previous studies.^{5,8,13-16}

The elapsed time intervals such as response time interval (RTI) from call to wheel arrival at scene, scene time interval (STI) from wheel arrival to departure to ED, and transportation time interval (TTI) from departure at the scene to arrival at ED were standardized and measured in both areas.

2.5. Outcome measure

Primary outcome was good neurological outcome at hospital discharge (Seoul) and at one month after event (Osaka), which was defined as cerebral performance category 1 or 2. The secondary outcome was survival to discharge (Seoul) or one month survival (Osaka), and survival to admission.

Outcomes were collected by EMS providers one month after transporting patients to EDs in Osaka via telephone or fax contact to hospital. In Seoul, hospital medical record review was performed after hospital discharge. Medical record review was done by medical record experts employed at Korean Centers for Disease Controls and Prevention. Information on

199 neurological outcome was unavailable for six patients (0.2%) in Seoul and five cases (0.1%)
200 in Osaka.

201 2.6. *Main exposure variables*

202 We categorized the STI according to the sensitivity analysis. Restrictive cubic spline
203 logistic analysis with five nodes was used to determine the cut-off value for categorizing STI
204 subgroups. The analysis used two variables (scene time intervals and good neurological
205 outcome) and unadjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) of STI
206 group to calculate according to STIs (unit= one min.) on the outcome. We decided STIs
207 responding to the mean probability of survival as the two cut-off values in the curve. The STI
208 was categorized with short STI, intermediate STI, and long STI using two cut-values.

209 2.7. *Statistical analysis*

210 Demographic findings were described according to the two communities. Potential risk
211 factors and outcomes were compared by STI groups. Continuous variables are described by
212 mean with standard deviation or median with the interquartile range. Categorical variables are
213 measured by number and percent. Descriptive analysis used the Student *t*-test for continuous
214 variables and chi-square test for categorical variables. ANOVA test was used for the
215 comparison among three STI groups.

216 Adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals on outcomes were calculated for each

217 STI group (reference=short STI) using multivariable logistic regression models. Potential risk
218 factors were age, sex, RTI, TTI, place, bystander CPR, primary ECG, and prehospital
219 defibrillation by layperson or EMS providers. These factors have been known to be
220 associated with outcomes in many previous studies. Study city (Seoul and Osaka) would also
221 be a potential risk although we adjusted for many relevant variables in the model. First, we
222 tested interactions between STI and city on the outcomes because the two cities significantly
223 showed the different STI distribution. After testing for interactions, the city level was also
224 incorporated into the final model because there was no significant interaction.

225 We assessed the interaction between main exposure (STI) and other potential risk factors
226 for main outcome using the chunk test and followed by backward elimination process for full
227 model which included main exposure, potential risks, and all potential interaction products.
228 We dropped out the interaction products according to the order of size of p -value > 0.01 using
229 the analysis of maximum likelihood estimates in the model. The interaction term with the
230 biggest p -value was removed from the model and also assessed the remaining interaction
231 terms. This backward elimination processes were repeated to get final model where all
232 potential confounders and significant interactions remained. If there was any significant
233 interaction with p -value < 0.01 between main exposure and other potential risks, we calculate
234 adjusted ORs and 95% confidence intervals to estimate the summation of effect of the STI

plus effect modifiers on outcomes in each subgroup.

3. Results

Total 3,594 patients were enrolled from Seoul among 10,122 from 2008 to 2010 excluding EMS-not treated ($n=1,511$), less than 18 years ($n=232$), unwitnessed ($n=4,279$), and non-cardiac etiology ($n=506$). A total of 4,163 patients among 21,032 patients from 2007 to 2009 in Osaka were included, excluding no-treated EMS ($n=1,645$), less than 18 years old ($n=335$), not bystander-witnessed ($n=12,455$), and non-cardiac etiology ($n=2,434$) (Fig.1). Of these, the proportion of female, elderly older than 70 years, public sites, bystander CPR performed, bystander and EMS defibrillation, ventricular fibrillation/tachycardia was significantly higher in Osaka than Seoul. Pre-hospital ROSC, survival to admission and survival to discharge (or one-month survival), and good neurological outcome were significantly better in Osaka than Seoul (Table 1).

We found the three peaks in the probability of survival in the restricted cubic spline curve; the first peak was downward around 5 min between 0 to 7 min, when the portion of intact neurological outcome was the lowest, the second upward around 12 min between 8 to 15 min, when intact neurological outcome was highest, and the third downward around 18 min, in the interval of more than 16 min, when the outcomes were poor again. We selected two cut-off

values for categorizing the STI using 8 min and 16 min, respectively, responding to average value of good neurological outcome (6.4%) (Fig. 2). The STI was categorized with three groups; short STI for 0 to 7 min, intermediate STI for 8 to 15 min, long STI for 16 min, and longer.

There were significant differences among STI groups for city, gender, age group, place of arrest, bystander CPR, bystander defibrillation, defibrillation by EMS provider, primary ECG rhythms, RTI, but not TTI (Table 2). Survival to admission or to discharge and good neurological outcome was highest in the intermediate STI group (35.7%, 13.7%, 7.7%) compared to the short (31.8%, 13.1%, 4.6%) or the long STI group (32.6%, 11.5%, 6.6%), respectively (Table 3). The proportion of good neurological outcome in Seoul was the highest in the intermediate STI group (3.6%) while that in Osaka in the short STI group (11.4%). The proportion of prehospital ROSC in Seoul was the highest in intermediate STI group (4.2%) while that in Osaka in long STI group (17.6%). The outcomes by the STI groups were significantly different by city level. There was no significant interaction ($p < 0.01$) between city level and STI group for prehospital ROSC ($p = 0.711$), survival to admission ($p = 0.724$), survival to discharge ($p = 0.046$), and good neurological outcome ($p = 0.265$).

In the final model (Table 4), intermediate STI was significantly associated with good neurological outcome (AOR=1.32, 95% CI; 1.03-1.71), while long STI was not (AOR=0.92,

271 95% CI;0.68-1.26), compared with short STI. Survival to discharge was significantly lower in
272 long STI than in short STI (AOR=0.64, 95% CI;0.52-0.80), but not different in intermediate
273 STI (AOR=0.88, 95% CI=0.74-1.05). Prehospital ROSC was significantly greater both in the
274 intermediate STI group (AOR=2.78, 95% CI;2.18-3.54) and long STI group (AOR=4.23,
275 95% CI;3.26-5.49) compared to the short STI group. Survival to admission was not
276 significantly associated with the STI groups.

277 Table 5 shows the summation of effect of STI and interactive effect modifiers on
278 outcomes. Among OHCA patients who did not receive the bystander defibrillation, the a
279 djusted ORs with 95% CIs for good neurological outcome was significantly higher in
280 the intermediate STI group (AOR 1.40, 95% CI=1.08-1.82), but not different in long
281 STI (AOR 1.01, 95% CI=0.74-1.39). However, either intermediate or long STI group w
282 as associated with worse neurological outcome among patients who received bystander
283 defibrillation; AOR 0.20, 95% CI (0.05-0.92) in intermediate STI and AOR 0.09, 95%
284 CI (0.02-0.44) in long STI group. Both intermediate and long STI were significantly
285 associated with higher prehospital ROSC among patients who did not receive bystander
286 r defibrillation; AOR 2.94, 95% CI (2.29-3.78) in intermediate STI, AOR 4.58, 95%
287 CI (3.50-5.98) in long STI group, respectively. The prehospital ROSC was significantl
288 y lower in the long STI group (AOR 0.24, 95% CI 0.06-0.98), but not in the interm

mediate STI group (AOR 0.33, 95% CI 0.09-1.21) among patients who received bystander defibrillation. There was no effect modifier on survival to discharge and to admission.

4. Discussion

By use of data from two large population-based registries of OHCA in metropolitan cities, we successfully demonstrated the benefit of EMS activities during intermediate STI from 8 to 16 min for improving neurological outcome after OHCA.

In Osaka, the OHCA registry was started in 1998 based on the international guideline for reporting outcomes after OHCA (Utstein Osaka Project).^{14,15} In Seoul, a similar OHCA registry was started in 2006,^{13,16} and both registries remain on-going. Recently, in Asia, the attempt to develop an international registry of OHCA based on the Utstein style across countries is proceeding.¹⁷ This international collaboration makes it possible to gather the large number of standardized data from two large areas such as this with different EMS systems, in order to evaluate these important issues.

These data suggest that EMS personnel should perform CPR on the scene at least 8 to 16 minutes before transport to the ED even in the intermediate EMS level where the ALS measurements are limited. The best STI should depend on many factors including EMS levels, the ALS measures the EMS personnel can provide, hospital levels, and EMS response times.

307 There are many differences in the EMS systems between Osaka and Seoul. Less than 10% of
308 Seoul EMS providers inserted advanced airways, whereas Osaka providers usually selected
309 more than 70% for advanced airways. This discrepancy would arise from the different CPR
310 protocols employed in each area. Seoul EMS encourages EMS providers to give CPR
311 with/without shock delivery if indicated and to run as soon as possible, while Osaka EMSs
312 encourage providers to run after providing ALS measures including airway, fluid
313 resuscitation, and CPR with/without shock delivery. As a result, Seoul showed very short STI
314 (mean 6.8, standard deviation 4.2 min) while Osaka had a longer STI (mean 14.5, standard
315 deviation 6.5 min). The longer STI in Osaka might be one of the reasons for the better
316 outcome of Osaka than Seoul observed in this study. Improving neurological outcome in the
317 intermediate STI group shown in this study covering two different areas suggests that this
318 result shows the importance of EMS activities on the scene during early phase of cardiac
319 arrest is robust across different EMS systems.

320 We assume that the lower quality of CPR during ambulance transport might be one of the
321 possible explanations for the poorest outcome in the short STI group. It is well known that the
322 quality of CPR is the key to improve outcomes after cardiac arrests.^{18,19} CPR during
323 ambulance transport is difficult and tended to show incorrect hand position, insufficient depth,
324 and was interrupted.¹⁸⁻²⁰ Short STI and low-quality CPR during ambulance transport would

325 result in lower chance of ROSC in the early phase of cardiac arrest and worse neurologic
326 outcome. Longer stay gives a chance to perform higher quality CPR and critical procedures
327 during the very critical time window compared to earlier departure for ED.

328 In intermediate service level EMS, hospital level performance is strongly associated with
329 survival.^{21,22} Earlier intervention of advance life support measures would be beneficial in
330 those who do not respond to treatments by EMS providers. In this study, we found the
331 positive association between longer STI and prehospital ROSC as well as good neurological
332 outcome. Longer CPR at the scene would be beneficial for achieving ROSC at the scene as
333 well as final better neurological outcome. Although hospital care options were not adjusted in
334 this study, a significant association between longer STI and ROSC before hospital arrival
335 supports the idea that the longer stay and giving CPR are very important determinant in the
336 EMS “Scoop and Run model.”

337 We found that bystander defibrillation was a strong effect modifier of STI on good
338 neurological outcome and prehospital ROSC. If the patient did not receive bystander
339 defibrillation, intermediate STI was associated with good neurological outcome while both the
340 intermediate and long STI groups were associated with worse outcome among patients who
341 received bystander defibrillation. These findings would be supported by the three phase
342 model of CPR (1. electrical phase, from the time of cardiac arrest to approximately 4 minutes

343 following the arrest; 2. circulatory phase, from approximately 4 to 10 minutes after cardiac
344 arrest; 3. metabolic phase, extending beyond approximately 10 minutes after cardiac arrest).²³
345 When OHCA patient does not receive any defibrillation by bystanders, the intermediate STI
346 which means longer CPR time by the EMS personnel at the scene would have benefit
347 because the patient is not under the electrical phase. On the other hand, among patients who
348 has shosckable rhythm and consequently receive the bystander defibrillation in the early
349 electrical phase, the benefit of longer STI would be disappeared. These findings can be
350 considered for developing CPR protocol in scoop and run EMS model.

351 Different from our “Scoop and Run” model, which has been argued as better for safety as
352 well as quality of CPR^{24,25} and widely introduced in many Asian countries, most systems in
353 North America and European EMS allow EMS providers to go on CPR until archiving ROSC
354 or CPR termination under medical oversight unless there is a response. The best timing for
355 stopping CPR on the scene and starting transport to the ED should be different in a “Stay and
356 Treat Model” where EMS providers can provide more ALS measures. However, there still
357 should be additional advanced treatments that can only be provided after hospital
358 arrival; moreover, some studies showed the effectiveness of these treatments.^{26,27} In addition,
359 recently, a large observational study of in-hospital cardiac arrest reported that the duration of
360 resuscitation attempts varied between hospitals and suggested that the timing of termination

of resuscitation might be too short and that efforts to systematically increase the duration of resuscitation could improve survival after cardiac arrests.²⁸ The appropriate STI should be also discussed in this type of EMS system.

Although we found STI effective for good neurological outcome after OHCA in this study, the appropriate CPR protocol during this phase is unclear. Theoretically, the short STI from 0 to 7 min would give a chance for four cycles of CPR and rhythm analysis, and median STI from 8 to 15 min would give four more cycles of CPR and rhythm analysis based on the current CPR guideline.¹⁰ STI of 8 to 16 min is not too short to allow EMS resuscitation including CPR, shocks, and some advanced treatments at the scene for OHCA victims. In Arizona, more simplified and compression highlighted CPR protocol was encouraged for EMS providers to provide continuous high-quality CPR at least 8 min without any procedures to interrupt the CPR continuity, and its effectiveness was reported.^{29,30} A CPR protocol for EMS providers to provide high-quality CPR during resuscitation on the scene should also be established.

This study has some limitations. First, it is not a randomized controlled trial on the effects of scene time intervals on OHCA outcomes. Although we adjusted for potential risk confounders, it was limited. The second limitation derives from the study setting. This study was conducted at an intermediate service level at which EMS providers should run to ED

379 while giving CPR during ambulance transport unless there is any ROSC. The study results
380 have limitation to be generalized. The third drawback is that we did not directly measure the
381 treatment options during the scene stay. We just regarded the scene time interval as a
382 treatment time including giving CPR, airway management, shock delivery, and intravenous
383 fluid resuscitation. However, the scene time also include the time usage for failed intubation
384 and reattempts, technical errors, not to mention the time searching for the patient. Therefore,
385 the scene time interval does not always mean actual treatment time at the scene. Fourth, we
386 did not measure the CPR quality directly, for example, compression depth, CPR fraction,
387 CPR interruption for any procedure. These items are really of crucial importance to improve
388 the outcomes of OHCA. A final limitation should be pointed out. Post-resuscitation care
389 procedures including therapeutic hypothermia and cardiac intervention have been proved
390 very critical interventions for survived patients after OHCA for good neurologic outcome as
391 well as survival to discharge.³¹ The two cities had very different hospital care systems. Seoul
392 had one level-1 and 27 level-2 EDs and Osaka had 13 level-1 critical care centers. However,
393 service level, capacity, procedure, and protocol in two cities were neither standardized and
394 nor measured in this study. Therefore, we could not adjust for the hospital level, capacity, and
395 post-resuscitation care procedures. These incomplete adjustments might cause bias for study
396 results.

397

398 **5. Conclusion**

399 Based on a large population-based cohort covering two metropolitan cities, we found
400 a positive association between intermediate STI from 8 to 16 minutes and good neurological
401 outcome after OHCA. A better EMS resuscitation protocol should be developed considering
402 this important resuscitation time period on the scene.

403

404 **Conflict of interest**

405 There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

406

407 **Role of funding source**

408 The study was funded by the Pfizer Health Research Foundation and the Korean Centers for
409 Disease Control and Prevention.

410

411 **References**

- 412 1. Nichol G, Thomas E, Callaway CW, et al. Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium
413 Investigators. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and outcome.
414 JAMA 2008;300:1423-31.

- 415 2. Stiell IG, Wells GA, Field B, et al. Ontario Prehospital Advanced Life Support Study
416 Group. Advanced cardiac life support in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med
417 2004 ;351:647-56.
- 418 3. Spaite DW, Hanlon T, Criss EA, et al. Prehospital cardiac arrest: the impact of
419 witnessed collapse and bystander CPR in a metropolitan EMS system with short response
420 times. Ann Emerg Med 1990;19:1264-9.
- 421 4. Stiell IG, Wells GA, DeMaio VJ, et al. Modifiable factors associated with improved
422 cardiac arrest survival in a multicenter basic life support/defibrillation system: OPALS Study
423 Phase I results. Ontario Prehospital Advanced Life Support. Ann Emerg Med 1999;33:44-50.
- 424 5. Bernard SA, Gray TW, Buist MD, et al. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-
425 hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. N Engl J Med 2002;346:557-63.
- 426 6. Ahn KO, Shin SD, Suh GJ, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes from non-traumatic
427 out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Korea: A nationwide observational study. Resuscitation
428 2010;81:974-81.
- 429 7. Ma MH, Chiang WC, Ko PC, et al. Outcomes from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in
430 Metropolitan Taipei: does an advanced life support service make a difference? Resuscitation
431 2007;74:461-9.
- 432 8. Kitamura T, Iwami T, Kawamura T, Nagao K, Tanaka H, Hiraide A; Implementation

- 433 Working Group for the All-Japan Utstein Registry of the Fire and Disaster Management
434 Agency. Nationwide public-access defibrillation in Japan. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:994-1004.
- 435 9. Ong ME, Ng FS, Anushia P, et al. Comparison of chest compression only and
436 standard cardiopulmonary resuscitation for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Singapore.
437 *Resuscitation* 2008;78:119-26.
- 438 10. Hazinski MF, Nolan JP, Billi JE, et al. Part 1: Executive summary: 2010 International
439 Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science
440 With Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation* 2010;122:S250-75.
- 441 11. Sandroni C, Nolan J; European Resuscitation Council. ERC 2010 guidelines for adult
442 and pediatric resuscitation: summary of major changes. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2011;77:220-6.
- 443 12. Shin SD, Hock Ong ME, Tanaka H, et al. Comparison of Emergency Medical
444 Services Systems Across Pan-Asian Countries: A Web-based Survey. *Prehosp Emerg Care*
445 2012;16:477-496.
- 446 13. Shin SD, Ahn KO, Song KJ, Park CB, Lee EJ. Out-of-hospital airway management
447 and cardiac arrest outcomes: a propensity score matched analysis. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:313-
448 9.
- 449 14. Kajino K, Iwami T, Kitamura T, et al. Comparison of supraglottic airway versus
450 endotracheal intubation for the pre-hospital treatment of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

451 CritCare 2011;15:R236.

452 15. Iwami T, Kawamura T, Hiraide A, et al. Effectiveness of bystander-initiated cardiac-
453 only resuscitation for patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Circulation 2007;116:2900-
454 7.

455 16. Park CB, Shin SD, Suh GJ, et al. Pediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Korea: A
456 nationwide population-based study. Resuscitation 2010;81:512-7.

457 17. Ong ME, Shin SD, Tanaka H, et al. Pan-Asian Resuscitation Outcomes Study
458 (PAROS): rationale, methodology, and implementation. Acad Emerg Med 2011;18:890-7.

459 18. Olasveengen TM, Wik L, Steen PA. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation before
460 and during transport in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2008;76:185-90.

461 19. Krarup NH, Terkelsen CJ, Johnsen SP, et al. Quality of cardiopulmonary
462 resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is hampered by interruptions in chest
463 compressions—A nationwide prospective feasibility study. Resuscitation 2011;82:263-9.

464 20. Wang HC, Chiang WC, Chen SY, et al. Video-recording and time-motion analyses of
465 manual versus mechanical cardiopulmonary resuscitation during ambulance transport.
466 Resuscitation 2007;74:453-60.

467 21. Shin SD, Suh GJ, Ahn KO, Song KJ. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation outcome of out-
468 of-hospital cardiac arrest in low-volume versus high-volume emergency departments: An

- 469 observational study and propensity score matching analysis. *Resuscitation* 2011;82:32-9.
- 470 22. Kajino K, Iwami T, Daya M, et al. Impact of transport to critical care medical centers
471 on outcomes after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2010;81:549-54.
- 472 23. Weisfeldt ML, Becker LB. Resuscitation after cardiac arrest: a 3-phase time-sensitive
473 model. *JAMA* 2002;288:3035-8.
- 474 24. Kahn CA, Pirralo RG, Kuhn EM. Characteristics of fatal ambulance crashes in the
475 United States: an 11-year retrospective analysis. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2001;5:261-9.
- 476 25. Sasson C, Hegg AJ, Macy M, Park A, Kellermann A, McNally B; CARES
477 Surveillance Group. Prehospital Termination of Resuscitation in Cases of Refractory Out-of-
478 Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *JAMA* 2008;300:1432-8.
- 479 26. Nagao K, Kikushima K, Watanabe K, et al. Early induction of hypothermia during
480 cardiac arrest improves neurological outcomes in patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest
481 who undergo emergency cardiopulmonary bypass and percutaneous coronary intervention.
482 *Circ J* 2010;74:77-85.
- 483 27. Gaieski DF, Boller M, Becker LB. Emergency cardiopulmonary bypass: a promising
484 rescue strategy for refractory cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Clin* 2012;28:211-29.
- 485 28. Goldberger ZD, Chan PS, Berg RA, et al; American Heart Association Get With The
486 Guidelines—Resuscitation (formerly National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation)

487 Investigators. Duration of resuscitation efforts and survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest: an
488 observational study. *Lancet* 2012;380:1473-81.

489 29. Bobrow BJ, Spaite DW, Berg RA, et al. Chest compression-only CPR by lay rescuers
490 and survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2010;304:1447-54.

491 30. Bobrow BJ, Clark LL, Ewy GA, et al. Minimally interrupted cardiac resuscitation by
492 emergency medical services for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA* 2008;299:1158-65.

493 31. Peberdy MA, Callaway CW, Neumar RW, et al. Part 9: Post-cardiac arrest care: 2010
494 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency
495 cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2010;122:S768-86.

496

497

498

499

500 **Legend to Figures**

501 **Fig. 1.**Patient enrollment flow in both study sites.

502 Finally, 7,759 patients (3,594 from Seoul and 4,163 from Osaka) were enrolled in this study.

503 EMS, emergency medical service; OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

504 **Fig. 2.**Estimated probability and 95% confidence intervals of survival with good neurological
505 outcome as a function of the STI using 5-knots restricted cubic spline univariate logistic
506 regression model.

507 The dark solid line indicates the average probability of survival with good neurological
508 outcome. The red dot lines are cut-off values corresponding to average probability.

509 STI, scene time interval

Figure 1

1) 338 witnessed by EMS provider and 3,941 not witnessed by lay person

2) 1,482 witnessed by EMS provider and 10,973 not witnessed by lay person

Figure 2

Table 1

Seoul and Osaka demographic findings.

	Total		Seoul		Osaka		<i>p</i>
Number of cases, <i>n</i> , %	7,757	100.0	3,594	100.0	4,163	100.0	
Year, <i>n</i> , %							
2007	1,317	17.0	0	0.0	1,317	31.6	NA
2008	2,508	32.3	1,108	30.8	1,400	33.6	
2009	2,645	34.1	1,199	33.4	1,446	34.7	
2010	1,287	16.6	1,287	35.8	0	0.0	
Female, gender, <i>n</i> , %	2,713	35.0	1,156	32.2	1,557	37.4	<0.001
Age, mean, SD	68.7	16.0	64.8	15.6	72.5	15.3	<0.001
Age group, <i>n</i> , %							
10-19 years	17	0.2	10	0.3	7	0.2	<0.001
20-29 years	126	1.6	77	2.1	49	1.2	
30-39 years	263	3.4	173	4.8	90	2.2	
40-49 years	600	7.7	397	11.0	203	4.9	
50-59 years	1,041	13.4	636	17.7	405	9.7	
60-69 years	1,606	20.7	808	22.5	798	19.2	
70-79 years	1,932	24.9	860	23.9	1,072	25.8	
Older than 80 years	2,172	28.0	633	17.6	1,539	37.0	
Public place, <i>n</i> , %	2,001	25.8	677	18.8	1,324	31.8	<0.001
Bystander CPR, <i>n</i> , %	2,004	25.8	257	7.2	1,747	42.0	<0.001
Bystander defibrillation, <i>n</i> , %	89	1.1	0	0.0	89	2.1	<0.001
EMS defibrillation, <i>n</i> , %	1,703	22.0	515	14.3	1,188	28.5	<0.001
Primary ECG, <i>n</i> , %							
VF/pulseless VT	1,293	16.7	352	9.8	941	22.6	<0.001
PEA	1,742	22.5	358	10.0	1,384	33.2	
Asystole	4,704	60.6	2,878	80.1	1,826	43.9	
Unknown	18	0.2	6	0.2	12	0.3	
Elapsed time intervals, mean, SD							
Response time interval	7.3	3.1	7.0	3.4	7.5	2.8	<0.001
Scene time interval	10.9	6.8	6.8	4.2	14.5	6.5	<0.001
Transportation time interval	7.3	5.1	7.3	5.1	7.2	5.1	0.375
Prehospital time interval	25.4	9.8	21.2	7.9	29.0	9.8	<0.001
Outcomes, <i>n</i> , %							
Prehospital ROSC	755	9.7	110	3.1	645	15.5	<0.001
Survival to admission	2,608	33.6	1,033	28.7	1,575	37.8	<0.001
Survival to discharge	1,008	13.0	400	11.1	608	14.6	<0.001
Good neurological outcome	496	6.4	127	3.5	369	8.9	<0.001

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; EMS, emergency medical service; ECG, electrocardiography; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; SD, standard deviation; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia; PEA, pulseless electrical activity.

Table 2
Distribution of risk factors and outcomes by scene time intervals.

	Total		Short (0=<STI<8)		Intermediate (8=<STI<16)		Long (16=<STI)		<i>p</i>
Total, N, %	7,757	100.0	2,739	100.0	3,314	100.0	1,704	100.0	
Year, N, %									
2007	1,317	17.0	135	4.9	712	21.5	470	27.6	<0.001
2008	2,508	32.3	840	30.7	1,064	32.1	604	35.4	
2009	2,645	34.1	919	33.6	1,138	34.3	588	34.5	
2010	1,287	16.6	845	30.9	400	12.1	42	2.5	
City, N, %									<0.001
Seoul	3,594	46.3	2,353	85.9	1,119	33.8	122	7.2	
Osaka	4,163	53.7	386	14.1	2,195	66.2	1,582	92.8	
Gender, N, %									
Male	5,044	65.0	1,866	68.1	2,086	62.9	1,092	64.1	
Female	2,713	35.0	873	31.9	1,228	37.1	612	35.9	
Age group, mean, SD	68.7	16.0	65.1	16.0	70.0	15.6	72.1	15.5	<0.001
Age<65 years	2,741	35.3	1,217	44.4	1,075	32.4	449	26.3	
Age>=65 years	5,016	64.7	1,522	55.6	2,239	67.6	1,255	73.7	
Place of arrest, N, %									<0.001
Public	2,001	25.8	726	26.5	877	26.5	398	23.4	
Private	5,333	68.8	1,807	66.0	2,262	68.3	1,264	74.2	
Unknown	423	5.5	206	7.5	175	5.3	42	2.5	
Bystander CPR, N, %									<0.001
No	5,753	74.2	2,409	88.0	2,279	68.8	1,065	62.5	
Yes	2,004	25.8	330	12.0	1,035	31.2	639	37.5	
Bystander defibrillation, N, %									<0.001
No	7,668	98.9	2,726	99.5	3,265	98.5	1,677	98.4	
Yes	89	1.1	13	0.5	49	1.5	27	1.6	
EMS defibrillation, N, %									<0.001
No	6,054	78.0	2,301	84.0	2,520	76.0	1,233	72.4	
Yes	1,703	22.0	438	16.0	794	24.0	471	27.6	
Primary ECG, N, %									<0.001
VF/pulseless VT	1,293	16.7	342	12.5	601	18.1	350	20.5	
PEA	1,742	22.5	381	13.9	810	24.4	551	32.3	
Asystole	4,722	60.9	2,016	73.6	1,903	57.4	803	47.1	
Unknown	18	0.2	8	0.3	4	0.1	6	0.4	
Elapsed time intervals, N, %									
Response time, mean, SD	7.3	3.1	7.0	3.1	7.4	2.8	7.6	3.5	<0.001
Transportation time interval, mean, SD	7.3	5.1	7.1	5.1	7.2	4.9	7.9	5.4	<0.001
Prehospital time, mean, SD	25.4	9.8	18.7	6.5	25.7	6.5	35.5	10.5	<0.001

STI, scene time interval from ambulance wheel arrival to departure (unit: minute.); CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; EMS, emergency medical service; ECG, electrocardiography; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; SD, standard deviation; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia; PEA, pulseless electrical activity.

Table 3

Outcomes after OHCA by scene time interval group and city.

City	Outcome	Total		Short 0<=STI<8 min		Intermediate 8<=STI<16 min		Long 16<=STI min		<i>P</i>
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Total	Total	7,757		2,739		3,314		1,704		
	Preshospital ROSC	755	9.7	97	3.5	374	11.3	284	16.7	< 0.001
	Survival to admission	2,608	33.6	871	31.8	1,182	35.7	555	32.6	0.004
	Survival to discharge	1,008	13.0	359	13.1	453	13.7	196	11.5	0.094
	Good neurological outcome	496	6.4	127	4.6	256	7.7	113	6.6	< 0.001
Seoul	Total	3,594		2,353		1,119		122		
	Preshospital ROSC	110	3.1	58	2.5	47	4.2	5	4.1	0.017
	Survival to admission	1,033	28.7	704	29.9	303	27.1	26	21.3	0.041
	Survival to discharge	400	11.1	280	11.9	107	9.6	13	10.7	0.121
	Good neurological outcome	127	3.5	83	3.5	40	3.6	4	3.3	0.986
Osaka	Total	4,163		386		2,195		1,582		
	Preshospital ROSC	645	15.5	39	10.1	327	14.9	279	17.6	< 0.001
	Survival to admission	1,575	37.8	167	43.3	879	40.0	529	33.4	< 0.001
	Survival to discharge	608	14.6	79	20.5	346	15.8	183	11.6	< 0.001
	Good neurological outcome	369	8.9	44	11.4	216	9.8	109	6.9	0.001

OHCA, out-of-hospital cardiac arrest; STI, scene time interval; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation.

Table 4

Association between scene time intervals and outcomes after OHCA.

Outcomes Scene time interval	Total	Outcome		Crude OR			Adjusted OR		
	N	N	%	OR	95% CI		OR	95% CI	
Prehospital ROSC, total	7,757	755	9.7						
0=<STI<8 min	2,739	97	3.5	Reference			Reference		
8=<STI<16 min	3,314	374	11.3	3.47	2.76	4.36	2.78	2.18	3.54
16=< STI min	1,704	284	16.7	5.45	4.29	6.92	4.23	3.26	5.49
Survival to admission, total	7,757	2,608	33.6						
0=<STI<8 min	2,739	871	31.8	Reference			Reference		
8=<STI<16 min	3,314	1,182	35.7	1.19	1.07	1.32	1.10	0.98	1.24
16=< STI min	1,704	555	32.6	1.04	0.91	1.18	0.94	0.82	1.09
Survival to discharge, total	7,757	1,008	13						
0=<STI<8 min	2,739	359	13.1	Reference			Reference		
8=<STI<16 min	3,314	453	13.7	1.05	0.91	1.22	0.88	0.74	1.05
16=< STI min	1,704	196	11.5	0.86	0.72	1.04	0.64	0.52	0.80
Good neurological outcome, total	7,757	496	6.4						
0=<STI<8 min	2,739	127	4.6	Reference			Reference		
8=<STI<16 min	3,314	256	7.7	1.72	1.38	2.14	1.32	1.03	1.71
16=< STI min	1,704	113	6.6	1.46	1.13	1.90	0.92	0.68	1.26

ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; STI, scene time interval from wheel arrival to departure; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Adjusted ORs were calculated by adjusting for city, age, gender, response time interval, transportation time interval, place, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation, defibrillation by lay person, defibrillation by emergency medical service (EMS) providers, and primary ECG rhythm in the model.

Table 5

Association between scene time interval and outcomes considering the effect of interaction terms.

Outcomes	Effect modifier	Scene time interval						
		<8 min		8-16 min			>= 16 min	
Good neurological outcome			AOR	95% CI		AOR	95% CI	
	Bystander defibrillation							
	No	Reference	1.40	1.08	1.82	1.01	0.74	1.39
Prehospital ROSC	Yes	Reference	0.20	0.05	0.92	0.09	0.02	0.44
	Bystander defibrillation							
	No	Reference	2.94	2.29	3.78	4.58	3.50	5.98
	Yes	Reference	0.33	0.09	1.21	0.24	0.06	0.98

ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

AORs were calculated by contrast estimate for all corresponding interaction variables adjusting for potential confounders in the final model.