TITLE:

Electrospun SrTiO₃ nanofibers for photocatalytic hydrogen generation

AUTHOR(S):

Macaraig, Lea; Chuangchote, Surawut; Sagawa, Takashi

CITATION:

Macaraig, Lea ...[et al]. Electrospun SrTiO₃ nanofibers for photocatalytic hydrogen generation. Journal of Materials Research 2014, 29(01): 123-130

ISSUE DATE:

2014-01-14

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/187122>

RIGHT:

© Materials Research Society 2013; This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version.; この論文は出版社版ではありません。引用の際には出版社版をご確認ご利用ください。

Electrospun SrTiO₃ Nanofibers for Photocatalytic Hydrogen Generation

Lea Macaraig[‡], Surawut Chuangchote[†], and Takashi Sagawa^{‡,}*

[‡] Graduate School of Energy Science, Kyoto University, Yoshida-honmachi, Sakyo-ku, 606-8501 Kyoto, Japan

[†] The Joint Graduate School of Energy and Environment, King Mongkut University of Technology Thonburi, 126 Prachauthit Rd., Bangmod, Tungkru, 10140 Bangkok, Thailand

* E-mail: sagawa.takashi.6n@kyoto-u.ac.jp Tel: +81 75 7535624 Fax: +81 75 7535627

KEYWORDS nanostructure, catalytic, H

ABSTRACT. Homogenous strontium titanate (SrTiO₃) nanofibers were prepared via the electrospinning of precursor solutions with both strontium and titanium salts. Photocatalytic activities of these SrTiO₃ nanofibers for hydrogen generation from water were examined and compared to that of SrTiO₃ nanoparticles. The nanofibers calcined at 700 °C showed the highest photocatalytic activity of 167 μmol h⁻¹g⁻¹ among the SrTiO₃ samples tested. The high activity was attributed to the ideal stoichiometric ratio of Ti/Sr, small crystallite size, high crystallinity,

mesoporous structure, large surface area, and appropriate energy gap. These were confirmed through field emission scanning electron microscopic with energy dispersive spectroscopic observations, X-ray diffraction patterns, N₂ gas absorption-desorption isotherm measurements, photo-electron yield spectroscopy in air, and UV-visible spectrophotometry.

INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen is recognized as an alternative to fossil fuel since it has a high heat of combustion and its by-product is water instead of carbon dioxide. However, there are no significant natural deposits of hydrogen gas; thus the currently viable technologies for its production, like the reforming of natural gas or the use of electricity from renewable energy in the electrolysis of water must be improved since these methods are still inefficient in terms of carbon and energy usage.¹ Among the two methods mentioned, water-splitting via electrolysis has the potential for a low carbon emission energy cycle. Interest in the development of semiconductor photocatalysts which can absorb light and convert it to an electron-hole pair in the right energy level to catalyze the direct splitting of water has increased since hydrogen was first produced from water over titanium dioxide (TiO₂) electrodes without the application of electric power.² Strontium titanate (SrTiO₃), like anatase TiO₂, has band levels suitable for photocatalytic water splitting since its valence band and conduction band is lower and higher than the oxidation-reduction levels of water respectively. But unlike TiO₂, the perovskite structure of SrTiO₃ facilitates for easier doping for electronic modification.^{3,4}

The use of inorganic semiconductor materials in the powder form instead of bulk photoanodes have been considered due to difficulties in construction, issues of stability under light, and lower surface areas of bulk photoanodes.⁵ Pure SrTiO₃ powders have been shown to

evolve hydrogen in the presence of sacrificial reagents that act as hole scavengers like methanol,⁶ with higher evolution rates or visible light photocatalysis achieved upon metal doping.^{7, 8} Photocatalytic decomposition of water without the use of sacrificial agents had also been reported using nickel oxide-^{9, 10} and platinum-¹¹ loaded SrTiO₃ powders. Among nanostructures, the use of a nanofiber morphology is of interest since we had previously found that anatase TiO₂ nanofibers have higher hydrogen production over nanoparticles.¹² However, the preparation of homogenous SrTiO₃ nanofibers has been difficult. SrTiO₃ nanofibers prepared via the hydrothermal reaction of electrospun TiO₂ nanofiber with strontium salts have led to heterogenous structures.^{13, 14} In this report we improved and modified the methods for preparation and photophysical characterization of pure and homogenous SrTiO₃ nanofibers via the electrospinning of precursor solutions containing both the titanium and strontium salts. We likewise examined the photocatalytic performance in terms of hydrogen production rates of SrTiO₃ nanofibers prepared through the electrospinning of precursor solutions with both strontium and titanium salts.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Preparation of Spinning Solutions

SrTiO₃ nanofibers were prepared with a modification from the previously reported procedure.¹⁵ Instead of strontium acetylacetonate (SrAcAc), strontium acetate (SrAc, 1.3 g, Wako) was used to prepare inorganic load solutions by mixing with equimolar amounts of titanium butoxide (2.0 g; Aldrich) in acetylactone (0.6 g; Aldrich). These solutions were then separately mixed with a solution of polyvinylpyrrolidone (0.80 g; $M_w = 1,300,000$; Aldrich; PVP) in acetic acid (10.0 mL; Wako) and stirred for 1 h to obtain precursor spinning solutions.

Preparation of SrTiO₃ Nanofibers

The prepared precursor solutions were then loaded into a plastic syringe with a stainless steel needle (1 cm long; 22-gauge). This needle is connected to the positive electrode of a high voltage power supply (15 V; Gamma High Voltage Research). An aluminum sheet was used as the collector plate and was set 15 cm away from the needle and was connected to the grounding electrode of the high voltage power supply. A syringe pump (KDScientific 100) was used to control the flow rate at 1 mL h⁻¹. The nanofibers that were collected were exposed to atmospheric moisture for 5 h for complete hydrolysis. Organic content were then removed from the electrospun fibers via calcination at 500, 600, 700, and 800 °C for 3 h. The ramping time for all calcination regimes were set at 30 minutes.

Characterization of SrTiO₃ Nanofibers

The prepared SrTiO₃ nanofibers and a sample of commercially available SrTiO₃ nanoparticles (NPs; Wako) were characterized for various properties related to hydrogen production activity. Thermogravimetry-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA; Rigaku TG812 Thermoplus 2) was performed to determine the contents of the electrospun nanofibers after calcination. The nanofiber samples were measured against an Al₂O₃ sample under argon atmosphere. The temperature profiles for the TG-DTA analysis followed the calcination regimes used. The morphology and ratio of titanium to strontium (Ti/Sr) were characterized by field-emission scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive spectroscopy (FE-SEM/EDS; JEOL JSM-6500FE). X-ray diffraction (XRD; Rigaku RINT 2100) spectroscopy was used to measure crystalline properties such as relative perovskite content and crystallite size. The surface areas were estimated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) technique and the average pore size

was estimated by the Non-localized Density Functional Theory (NLDFT) method from the adsorption-desorption plots obtained using an automatic water vapor adsorption apparatus (BEL Japan; BELSORP 18). The optical energy gap (E_g) was estimated from the absorbance spectra obtained using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu; UV-2450) fitted with an IRS-2200 integrating sphere attachment following a modified version of the Tauc model.¹⁶ The valence band levels of the nanofibers were measured via photo-electron yield spectroscopy in air (Riken Keiki; AC-3) with the irradiating intensity of the light source set at 100 nW.

Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production

The hydrogen production activity of the nanofibers and NP samples were measured by dispersing 1 g of a sample in an 800 mL solution (40% v/v methanol:water) inside an inner-irradiation type Pyrex photoreactor with a headspace of 700 mL. A 450 W high-pressure mercury lamp (Ushio; UM 452) was contained inside the inner cylindrical water-jacket cooled at 30°C. The Pyrex water-jacket cut-off most of the ultraviolet and infrared radiation of the light source. The reactor was purged with argon gas before the start of the photoreaction and the light was stabilized for 10 min before sampling. The concentration of hydrogen and oxygen gas was measured simultaneously with the photoreaction using a gas chromatograph fitted with a thermal conductivity detector (Shimadzu; GC-8A; molecular sieve 5A; argon carrier gas).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the previously reported optimization of the spinning solution, SrAcAc was used as the strontium salt since it is soluble in a wider range of inorganic solvents. In the same optimization, acetic acid was found to be the ideal spinning solution solvent for solutions containing 20 (w/v)% titanium salt load, equimolar amounts to titanium of strontium salt, and 8 (w/v) % of

PVP polymer guide.¹⁵ In this report, SrAc was used instead as it is more soluble in acetic acid compared to SrAcAc. Through the SEM observations as shown in Figure 1, it was confirmed that the electrospun fibers from SrAc solutions were also homogenous and were without rods and beads. The average diameters of the nanofibers significantly decreased when the calcination temperature was raised from 500 °C to 600 °C (243 nm to 142 nm). The average diameter did not change significantly with the further rise of calcination temperature to 700 °C or 800 °C (138 and 136 nm, respectively). This may be largely attributed to the degradation of the organic contents; specifically the PVP polymer guide. This is supported by the TG plots obtained for the different calcination regimes (Figure 2). The nanofibers obtained after 500 °C calcination were 44.2 wt% of the original while the nanofibers obtained after 600 °C were 40.7 wt% of the original. The nanofibers obtained after 700 and 800 °C calcination were 38.8 wt% and 38.6 wt% of the original. This indicates that the majority of the organic matter is eliminated upon calcination at 600 °C and the nanofibers calcined at 700 °C and 800 °C had most of the carbonates removed as confirmed by the prominent endothermic curves during the temperature maintaining region in the TGA plots (Figure 2). The electrospun fibers were confirmed to be of the perovskite crystalline structure of SrTiO₃ via their XRD patterns (Figure 3). Samples for all calcination temperatures tested have a prominent (110) perovskite peak at 32° of 2 θ . However, the nanofibers calcined at 500 °C have SrCO₃ peaks also present in the XRD spectra with the most prominent peak at 25° of 2 θ . As the calcination temperature is further raised, the carbonate contents were removed such that the SrCO₃ peaks gradually subsided in the XRD patterns of the electrospun fibers calcined at 700 °C and 800 °C. The XRD patterns of the nanofibers calcined at 700 °C and 800 °C were similar to the XRD pattern of the NP sample and the SrTiO₃ diffraction data of JCPDS 35-0734. The relative amount of the perovskite phase from the XRD patterns were determined from the

peak intensities of the major characteristic peak for the perovskite ($2\theta = 32^\circ$, I_p) and the impurity ($2\theta = 25^\circ$, I_i) following the equation:¹⁷

$$\text{Perovskite phase (wt\%)} = 100 * I_p / (I_p + I_i) \quad (1)$$

The peak at $2\theta = 25^\circ$ was selected as the impurity peak since anatase TiO_2 is also manifested at the peak. The nanofibers calcined at 500°C contain 49.8 wt% perovskite. At a higher calcination temperature of 600°C , most of the organic contents were removed from the nanofibers such that the weight percent of the perovskite phase reached 98.8 wt%. In the cases of calcination at 700°C and 800°C , all the samples were mostly of the perovskite phase (100 wt%) indicating that the crystalline phase of nanofibers were almost pure SrTiO_3 . The obtained patterns for the NP sample also shows almost an exact match of the standard diffraction data of SrTiO_3 , indicating that the perovskite phase of the NPs is of 100 wt%. EDS analysis also supported the confirmation of the presence of both strontium and titanium in the nanofibers and that the atom ratio of titanium to strontium (Ti/Sr) is near to the stoichiometric ratio of 1 for the perovskite structure of SrTiO_3 . Figure 4b shows that the fabricated nanofibers have Ti/Sr ratios that are 0.01 to 0.13 away from the ideal stoichiometric ratio, confirming that indeed SrTiO_3 nanofibers were fabricated.

The photocatalytic activities in terms of hydrogen production rate for the electrospun nanofibers calcined at 500°C , 600°C , 700°C and 800°C were considerably higher ($81 - 167 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$) than that of the NP sample ($32 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$) (Figure 4a). The photocatalytic hydrogen production rate of the fibers calcined at 500°C is $85 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$. The rate slightly decreased for the fibers calcined at 600°C ($81 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$). The nanofibers calcined at 700°C have the highest hydrogen production rate of $167 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$, and those calcined at 800°C have a slightly lower activity of

141 $\mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$. Electrospun nanofibers from SrAcAc were also tested for photocatalytic activity for comparison (Supporting Information 3). The best performing fibers from SrAcAc solutions were those calcined at 800 °C with a significantly lower hydrogen production rate of 14 $\mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$.

The photocatalytic activity of the SrTiO₃ catalysts depends on the interplay of a number of factors that are affected by the calcination temperature. One of these factors is the stoichiometric ratio of Ti to Sr. Reported SrTiO₃ films were found to be more active for the photodegradation of Victoria Blue dye if the Ti/Sr ratio were more equimolar and not Ti- or Sr-rich.¹⁸ As can be seen in Figure 4a and 4b, the photocatalytic activity follows the inverse of the trend of the difference of Ti/Sr ratio with the equimolar value of 1. The NP sample with Ti/Sr ratio of 1.35, which was the farthest away from the stoichiometric ratio of 1, showed the lowest activity. The electrospun nanofibers, which had closer to stoichiometric Ti/Sr ratio of 1 have higher activities. The calcined sample at 700 °C with Ti/Sr ratio of 0.99 had the highest activity, followed by the one calcined at 800 °C with Ti/Sr ratio of 1.03. While the photocatalytic activities of the calcined sample at 500 °C with Ti/Sr ratio of 1.08, and the calcined one at 600 °C with Ti/Sr ratio of 1.13 were relatively lower. These Ti/Sr ratios of nanofibers from SrAc solutions are improvements from the Ti/Sr ratios of SrAcAc fibers (1.36, 1.37, 1.33, and 1.26 for fibers calcined at 500 to 800 °C respectively)¹⁵. The improvement of the Ti/Sr ratios of the nanofibers from SrAc solutions maybe due to less steric hindrance of the acetate salt compared to that of the acetylacetonate salt in the interaction with PVP and the higher solvent interaction of SrAc compared to SrAcAc.

Another factor in determining the photocatalytic activity of inorganic photocatalysts is the crystallite size. Generally, inorganic photocatalysts with smaller crystallite sizes have higher

activities because smaller crystallite sizes can lead to quantum size effects in semiconductors.¹⁹ The trend in photocatalytic activity of the nanofibers followed the inverse of the trend of crystallite sizes (Figure 4a and 4c). The crystallite sizes of the nanofiber samples at each calcination temperature and that of NPs were estimated from the (110) peak (Figure 2) according to the Scherrer equation. The NPs had the largest crystallite size of 49.2 nm and the lowest activity. The electrospun nanofibers have considerably smaller crystallite sizes than that of NPs and considerably exhibited higher activities. The crystallite size of the nanofibers generally increased with the rise in calcination temperature, from 23.6 nm (calcined at 500 °C) to 26.8 nm (800 °C). A relatively large increase is observed when the temperature is raised from 500 °C to 600 °C. This increase is expected as a larger amount of organic content was removed from the fibers, leading to the increase in the weight percent of perovskite as confirmed by TG-DTA curves and XRD patterns. The size increase plateaued as the temperature was raised from 600 °C to 700 °C then continued to increase when the temperature was further raised to 800 °C. The plateau may be due to the small difference of the perovskite phase weight percent between the nanofibers obtained after the calcination at 600 °C and 700 °C. The increase of crystallite size of the nanofibers obtained after the calcination at 800 °C as compared to the case of the calcination at 700 °C may be due to the partial sintering and the growth of the fine SrTiO₃ crystallites, as confirmed by the DTA curves, since all of the crystalline material is already of the perovskite phase. The largest crystallite size of the electrospun fibers from SrAc solutions is similar to the crystallite size of the best performing nanofibers from SrAcAc solutions (26.6 nm).

The trend in crystallite sizes is closely related to that in pore sizes. Inorganic materials can be macroporous/non-porous, microporous, or mesoporous. The nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of the nanofibers and NPs show that the nanofibers maybe of a mesoporous

structure since they have the characteristics of a Type IV isotherm and that NP is of a macroporous/non-porous structure (Type II) (Figure 5).²⁰ Mesoporous materials have been shown to have higher activity due to higher surface interaction.¹¹ The hysteresis loop of the isotherms for all electrospun nanofibers are of the type H3. H3 loops are usually observed for materials in aggregates of plate-like particles resulting to slit-shaped pores²⁰. The slit widths, W , and pore volume, V_p , of the nanofibers are obtained using NLDFT analysis. W for all nanofiber samples is lower than the respective crystallite size. W decreased from 2.36 nm to 1.18 nm, while V_p remained relatively constant ($0.094 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $0.098 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$, respectively) when the calcination temperature was raised from 500 °C to 600 °C. The decrease in pore width may be due to the crystal growth as can be seen in the trend in crystallite size, while the organic content still present in both the 500 °C and the 600 °C samples may be the reason why the pore volume is stable. W then increased from 1.18 nm to 1.95 nm while V_p decreased from $0.098 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ to $0.039 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ when the calcination temperature was raised from 600 °C to 700 °C. This may be due to the removal of organic content while the crystallite size remains relatively constant. A significant decrease in W from 1.95 nm to 0.46 nm accompanied by a large increase in V_p from $0.039 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ to $0.214 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ can be seen when the calcination temperature was raised from 700 °C to 800 °C. This is largely attributed to the sintering and growth of the crystallites.²¹ Although the V_p of the 800 °C nanofibers is relatively larger than that of the 700 °C calcined nanofibers, a W of 0.46 nm can only accommodate less than four water molecules passing together, which may be the reason why the activity for the sample calcined at 700 °C is higher than the activity for the one calcined at 800 °C.

Another important parameter that can be measured from the adsorption-desorption isotherms is the BET surface area. Materials with high surface areas are expected to have higher

activity because of the better contact between the electron-hole pair in the photocatalyst and the adsorbate (*viz.* water molecule) at the interface.²² The BET surface area of the calcined nanofiber samples decreased with rise of the calcination temperature. The decrease in BET surface area when the calcination temperature was raised from 500 °C to 700 °C is linear and is of a larger slope (from 122.0 m²g⁻¹ to 75.0 m²g⁻¹ to 31.3 m²g⁻¹) than the decrease from 700 °C to 800 °C (from 31.3 m²g⁻¹ to 23.5 m²g⁻¹). The larger slope of the decrease from 500 °C to 700 °C may be due to the removal of the organic contents, which have a larger surface area than the crystalline material. The decrease of the BET surface area from 700 °C to 800 °C may be due to the growth of the SrTiO₃ crystallites, which reduces the pore volume in the material. For all the calcination temperatures tested (500, 600, 700 and 800 °C) the electrospun nanofibers from SrAc solutions have higher BET surface areas than nanofibers from SrAcAc solutions (34.8 m²g⁻¹, 23.1 m²g⁻¹, 18.1 m²g⁻¹, and 16.0 m²g⁻¹ respectively)¹⁵ and NPs (16.3 m²g⁻¹). Although the trend in BET surface area is decreasing with the rise of calcination temperature, nanofibers calcined at a higher temperature have a higher activity. This variance may be explained by the interplay between the trends of crystallinity and BET surface area in relation to the calcination temperature. The crystallinity generally increases with the increase in calcination temperature as some order is achieved in the initially amorphous materials. High photocatalytic activities are expected for materials with high crystallinities rather than materials with amorphous structure, since amorphous materials have been shown to lead to the recombination of the photo-generated electron-hole pair.²¹ The higher relative perovskite content of the samples calcined at higher temperatures may help explain the increase in photocatalytic activity with the decrease in BET surface area. This can be clearly seen in the increasing trend of hydrogen production rate per surface area unit as compared to the hydrogen production rate per mass unit (Figure 4a). The

interplay of the decrease in BET surface area and the increase in crystallinity can help explain the decrease of the hydrogen production rate per mass unit of the 800 °C from that of the 700 °C sample.

Aside from these physical properties, the electronic band levels of the photocatalysts also affect the hydrogen production activity. Figure 6 shows the valence and conduction band levels of the calcined nanofibers and NP. The valence band is determined from the photo-electron yield spectra (Figure 7). The valence band levels for the electrospun nanofibers and the NPs are similar (500 °C: 6.82 ± 0.26 eV; 600 °C: 6.85 ± 0.46 eV; 700 °C: 6.84 ± 0.28 eV; 800 °C: 6.85 ± 0.35 eV; NPs: 6.75 ± 0.30 eV), and below the oxygen oxidation level of 5.32 eV at pH 7. The conduction band levels are determined from the difference of the valence band levels and the estimated E_g . The E_g of the nanofiber samples were determined from a modified version¹⁶ of the Tauc plot technique wherein the total absorbance A was plotted instead of the extinction coefficient α following the Tauc equation for direct transitions: $(h\nu\alpha)^2 = a(h\nu - E_g)$. α was replaced by A since from the Lambert-Beer-Bouguer law, $\alpha = \ln(10) A/d$ where d is the thickness of the film which was kept constant during the measurements, thus the equation can be rewritten as $(h\nu A)^2 = a'(h\nu - E_g)$ where a' represents another constant different from a . The estimated E_g for the nanofiber samples from the modified Tauc plots (Figure 7) are 3.11 ± 0.08 eV for those that are calcined at 500 °C, 3.12 ± 0.05 eV for those that are calcined at 600 °C, 3.06 3.19 ± 0.08 eV for those that are calcined at 700 °C, and 3.06 ± 0.06 eV for those that are calcined at 800 °C. Therefore the conduction band levels of the nanofibers were determined to be above the hydrogen reduction level of 4.08 eV at pH 7. Since the valence and conduction bands for all samples are below and above the oxygen oxidation and hydrogen reduction level at pH 7,

respectively, the samples with narrower E_g are expected to have higher activity. The nanofibers calcined at a higher temperature have a slightly narrower E_g than the nanofibers calcined at a lower temperature. This is consistent with the trend in photocatalytic activity. This narrower E_g may be due to the presence of SrCO_3 peaks in the samples calcined at a lower temperature and the higher amount of amorphous phase.²³ All the E_g of the electrospun nanofibers though are slightly larger than that of NPs (3.03 ± 0.06 eV). Since other physical properties discussed above for NPs are inferior compared to that of the nanofibers, the photocatalytic activity of NPs is significantly lower than that of the nanofibers.

CONCLUSIONS

Homogenous SrTiO_3 nanofibers were reproducibly prepared via the electrospinning of clear precursor solutions, which contained both the strontium and the titanium salts. Photocatalytic properties in terms of BET surface area, Ti/Sr ratio, and crystallinity were improved when the strontium salt was changed from SrAcAc to SrAc . This improvement may be largely attributed to better interaction of the strontium salt with PVP and the acetic acid solvent. The electrospun nanofibers from SrAc solutions calcined at 700 °C gave the best photocatalytic performance of $167 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$ among all the calcination temperatures tested. This value is significantly higher than that of the best performing nanofibers from SrAcAc solutions with an activity of $14 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$ which is calcined at 800 °C. More importantly, it is significantly higher than that of NPs with $32 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}$. This is because the nanofibers electrospun nanofibers from SrAc solutions calcined at 700 °C have better if not comparable photocatalytic properties as compared to NPs. Although the electrospun nanofibers have a slightly wider E_g (3.06 eV for nanofibers calcined at 700 °C vs. 3.03 eV for NPs), the Ti/Sr ratio is closer to the ideal

stoichiometric ratio (0.99 for nanofibers calcined at 700 °C vs. 1.35 for NPs) and the crystallite size is smaller (23.6 nm for nanofibers calcined at 700 °C vs. 49.2 nm for NPs). The electrospun nanofibers are mesoporous ($W = 1.95$ nm for nanofibers calcined at 700 °C) as compared to the macroporous/non-porous NPs. In addition they have a larger BET surface area ($31.3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for nanofibers calcined at 700 °C vs. $11.6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for NPs) and comparable crystallinity. The photocatalytic activity for hydrogen production for nanofibers calcined at 700 °C ($167 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$) is even higher than the reported hydrogen production of TiO_2 nanoparticles (ST-01, Ishihara) and anatase nanofibers, which is attained as $18 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $54 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{ g}^{-1}$ respectively.¹²

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are indebted to Prof. Keiichi N. Ishihara and Dr. Eiji Yamasue of Graduate School of Energy Science, Kyoto University for the use of TG-DTA. This work was partly supported by the “Energy Science in the Age of Global Warming” of Global Center of Excellence (G-COE) program (J-051) of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

REFERENCES

1. G.W. Crabtree, M.S. Dresselhaus and M.V. Buchanan: The Hydrogen Economy *Physics Today*. **57**(12), (2004).
2. A. Fujishima and K. Honda: Electrochemical Photolysis of Water at a Semiconductor Electrode *Nature*. **238**(5358), 37 (1972).
3. R.U.E. t Lam, L.G.J. de Haart, A.W. Wiersma, G. Blasse, A.H.A. Tinnemans and A. Mackor: The sensitization of SrTiO_3 photoanodes by doping with various transition metal ions *Materials Research Bulletin*. **16**(12), 1593 (1981).
4. X. Zhou, J. Shi and C. Li: Effect of Metal Doping on Electronic Structure and Visible Light Absorption of SrTiO_3 and NaTaO_3 (Metal = Mn, Fe, and Co) *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. **115**(16), 8305 (2011).
5. M. Ashokkumar: An overview on semiconductor particulate systems for photoproduction of hydrogen *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **23**(6), 427 (1998).
6. A. Kudo, A. Tanaka, K. Domen and T. Onishi: The effects of the calcination temperature of SrTiO_3 powder on photocatalytic activities *Journal of Catalysis*. **111**(2), 296 (1988).

7. T. Puangpetch, T. Sreethawong and S. Chavadej: Hydrogen production over metal-loaded mesoporous-assembled SrTiO_3 nanocrystal photocatalysts: Effects of metal type and loading *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. **35**(13), 6531 (2010).
8. J.W. Liu, G. Chen, Z.H. Li and Z.G. Zhang: Electronic structure and visible light photocatalysis water splitting property of chromium-doped SrTiO_3 *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*. **179**(12), 3704 (2006).
9. K. Domen, S. Naito, T. Onishi and K. Tamaru: Photocatalytic decomposition of liquid water on a NiO-SrTiO_3 catalyst *Chemical Physics Letters*. **92**(4), 433 (1982).
10. K. Domen, A. Kudo, T. Onishi, N. Kosugi and H. Kuroda: Photocatalytic decomposition of water into hydrogen and oxygen over nickel(II) oxide-strontium titanate (SrTiO_3) powder. 1. Structure of the catalysts *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*. **90**(2), 292 (1986).
11. T. Puangpetch, T. Sreethawong, S. Yoshikawa and S. Chavadej: Hydrogen production from photocatalytic water splitting over mesoporous-assembled SrTiO_3 nanocrystal-based photocatalysts *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*. **312**(1–2), 97 (2009).
12. S. Chuangchote, J. Jitputti, T. Sagawa and S. Yoshikawa: Photocatalytic Activity for Hydrogen Evolution of Electrospun TiO_2 Nanofibers *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*. **1**(5), 1140 (2009).
13. L. Macaraig, S. Chuangchote and T. Sagawa: Fabrication of SrTiO_3 Nanofibers for Hydrogen Production *MRS Proceedings*. **1408**, (2012).
14. T. Cao, Y. Li, C. Wang, C. Shao and Y. Liu: A Facile in Situ Hydrothermal Method to $\text{SrTiO}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ Nanofiber Heterostructures with High Photocatalytic Activity *Langmuir*. **27**(6), 2946 (2011).
15. L. Macaraig, S. Chuangchote and T. Sagawa: Fabrication of Strontium Titanate Nanofibers via Electrospinning, in *Zero-Carbon Energy Kyoto 2012*, edited by T. Yao (Springer Verlag, Japan, Tokyo, 2013), pp. 141.
16. A. Guchhait and A.J. Pal: Copper-Diffused AgInS_2 Ternary Nanocrystals in Hybrid Bulk-Heterojunction Solar Cells: Near-Infrared Active Nanophotovoltaics *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*. **5**(10), 4181 (2013).
17. R. Wongmaneeung, R. Yimnirun and S. Ananta: Effect of vibro-milling time on phase formation and particle size of lead titanate nanopowders *Materials Letters*. **60**(12), 1447 (2006).
18. V. Subramanian, R.K. Roeder and E.E. Wolf: Synthesis and UV–Visible-Light Photoactivity of Noble-Metal– SrTiO_3 Composites *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. **45**(7), 2187 (2006).
19. E. Roduner: Size matters: why nanomaterials are different *Chemical Society Reviews*. **35**(7), 583 (2006).
20. K.S.W. Sing: Reporting physisorption data for gas/solid systems with special reference to the determination of surface area and porosity (Recommendations 1984) *Pure and Applied Chemistry*. **57**(4), 603 (1985).
21. Y. Sakatani, D. Grosso, L. Nicole, C. Boissiere, G.J. de A. A. Soler-Illia and C. Sanchez: Optimised photocatalytic activity of grid-like mesoporous TiO_2 films: effect of crystallinity, pore size distribution, and pore accessibility *Journal of Materials Chemistry*. **16**(1), 77 (2006).
22. M.R. Hoffmann, S.T. Martin, W. Choi and D.W. Bahnemann: Environmental Applications of Semiconductor Photocatalysis *Chemical Reviews*. **95**(1), 69 (1995).

23. D. Bao, X. Yao, N. Wakiya, K. Shinozaki and N. Mizutani: Band-gap energies of sol-gel-derived SrTiO_3 thin films *Applied Physics Letters*. **79**(23), 3767 (2001).

FIG.1. SEM images of the electrospun nanofibers calcined at (a) 500, (b) 600, (c) 700, and (d) 800 °C and (e) of the NP sample. The average diameters are indicated.

FIG. 2. TG-DTA plots of the electrospun fibers following the temperature regime used for calcination at (a) 500, (b) 600, (c) 700, and (d) 800 °C.

FIG. 3. XRD patterns for the electrospun nanofibers calcined at 500, 600, 700, and 800 °C and for the NP sample. Standard diffraction data of JCPDS 35-0734 for SrTiO_3 , JCPDS 05-0418 for SrCO_3 , JCPDS 12-1276 for rutile TiO_2 , and JCPDS 6-0510 for SrO are also marked.

FIG. 4. (a) Hydrogen production rate, (b) titanium to strontium ratio, (c) crystallite size, and (d) BET surface area of the electrospun nanofibers calcined at 500, 600, 700, and 800 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, and of the NP sample. Error bars are indicated.

FIG. 5. Nitrogen adsorption (solid black line) - desorption (dashed black line) isotherms of the electrospun nanofibers calcined at (a) 500, (b) 600, (c) 700, and (d) 800 °C, and (e) of the NP sample. The computed pore volume V_p and the slit widths W for the mesoporous nanofibers are shown in (f) using NLDFT analysis (inset).

FIG. 6. Band diagrams of the electrospun nanofibers calcined at 500, 600, 700, and 800 °C, and of the NP sample. The reduction-oxidation potential levels of hydrogen (blue dashed line) and oxygen (red dashed line) at pH = 7 are also indicated. The valence band levels are estimated from photo-electron yield spectra in air, errors are indicated as black dotted lines. The conduction band levels are determined from the valence band levels and the estimated E_g , errors (black dotted line) are also indicated.

FIG. 7. (a) Plots of $(h\nu A)^2$ versus energy derived from the absorbance spectra and (b) photoelectron yield spectra obtained under air atmosphere for the nanofibers calcined at 500, 600, 700, and 800 °C, and for the NP sample. The estimated E_g from the Tauc plot and the estimated valence band level estimated from the photo-electron yield spectra are also indicated.