THE AUTHORS REPLY: The studies cited by Ashwood and Palomaki regarding cfDNA noninvasive prenatal testing techniques certainly were necessary to demonstrate the sensitivity and specificity of the various techniques for the detection of the studied fetal trisomies, and the studies were conducted efficiently. We maintain, however, that these exploratory studies alone do not provide information on all the performance characteristics (positive and negative predictive values) of the testing that is sufficient for providers to make informed decisions about whether to offer the testing or for patients to make decisions about whether to accept it. Mathematical modeling of these predictive values from preliminary studies in an artificially composed population with a disease frequency on the order of 1 in 8 is very different from a demonstration of the true performance of the testing in a validation study of actual use in a large population with a disease frequency of 1 in 200. We did not suggest that the testing was unreliable because it is not FDA-approved. We did point out that current regulations permit marketing of laboratory-developed tests without the need to demonstrate their clinical validity.

The study by Futch et al. cited by Sehnert et al. had not yet been published when we finalized our article. This is the type of large study that will provide the data needed to calculate all the performance characteristics that we called for in our article. These published data are reassuring, but we note that the delivery dates for only half of the investigators’ tested cohort had passed by the time of publication. Thus, ascertainment of outcomes is incomplete, and positive and negative predictive values are not yet calculable.
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The Great East Japan Earthquake and Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest

TO THE EDITOR: The most powerful earthquake ever recorded in Japan, with a magnitude of 9.0 on the Richter scale, struck the northeast region of Japan on March 11, 2011 (Fig. 1A). Earthquakes are known to increase the risk of sudden cardiac arrest. Using a nationwide population-based database, we assessed the association between this earthquake and the occurrence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest of presumed cardiac origin.

Our study involved adult patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest of presumed cardiac origin who were transported to medical institutions in Iwate, Miyagi, and Fukushima — the three prefectures that were most damaged by the earthquake (Fig. 1A). The study included data from 4 weeks before to 8 weeks after March 11 in each year from 2005 to 2011. Weekly changes in the occurrence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest after the earthquake were assessed with the use of Poisson regression models to calculate risk ratios comparing the observed numbers of patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrests in each week with the expected numbers of such events. Expected numbers of events were estimated with the use of data from 2005 to 2010 on the number of events, according to the weekly average ambient temperature, the week, and the year during that period. Risk ratios were also calculated according to sex and age group.
A total of 6733 adults were confirmed to have out-of-hospital cardiac arrest of presumed cardiac origin in the three prefectures. The risk of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest was significantly increased in the first week (risk ratio, 1.70), second week (risk ratio, 1.48), third week (risk ratio, 1.26), fourth week (risk ratio, 1.25), fifth week (risk ratio, 1.24), sixth week (risk ratio, 0.93), and seventh week (risk ratio, 0.78).
The risk of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest increased during the first 2 weeks after the earthquake (Fig. 1B). The risk ratios with corresponding 95% confidence intervals for the occurrence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest after the earthquake, according to week, are shown. Asterisks denote P<0.05 for changes in the occurrence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest of presumed cardiac origin after the earthquake, according to week. CI denotes confidence interval.
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Figure 1 (facing page). Characteristics of the Great East Japan Earthquake and the Number of Adults with Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrests of Presumed Cardiac Origin in the Three Most Affected Prefectures.

Panel A shows a map of Japan that highlights the areas most affected by the earthquake. The epicenter (indicated with the letter X), the three prefectures included in this study (red), and the city of Tokyo (blue) are shown. The data in the inset show the extent of disaster damage in each of the three prefectures. Population data are from the 2010 Japan census. The numbers of persons who died or were missing and the numbers of fully or partially destroyed houses were reported as of March 2013. The numbers of refugees and evacuation centers were the peak numbers reported as of March 2013. Panel B shows the day of the earthquake (black arrow) and the days of maximum aftershocks (magnitude of 7.2 on April 7 and 7.0 on April 11) (white arrows). The risk ratios with corresponding 95% confidence intervals for the occurrence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest after the earthquake, according to week, are shown. Asterisks denote P<0.05 for changes in the occurrence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest of presumed cardiac origin after the earthquake, according to week.
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