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Kinematic characteristics of the scapula and clavicle during military press exercise and shoulder flexion

Abstract

Background

The military press is an exercise frequently prescribed for scapular and shoulder rehabilitation. Although this exercise has previously been analyzed electromyographically, its kinematic features remain poorly understood. In the present study, we aimed to clarify these features of the military press and suggest relevant clinical applications.

Methods

Sixteen healthy males participated in this study. The participants performed the military press while holding 2kg weights as well as shoulder flexion with and without 2-kg weights, and an electromagnetic motion capture system was used to analyze the kinematic features of the scapula, clavicle, and humerus during these exercises. The motions of the scapula and clavicle were analyzed at 10° increments of shoulder flexion from 30° to 120°.

Results

The military press involved less scapular internal rotation, greater upward rotation, and greater posterior tilt than shoulder flexion with or without weights, especially in the starting to middle range of shoulder flexion. Greater clavicular retraction and elevation were also seen during the military press.

Discussion
The movements of the scapula and clavicle during the military press differ significantly from those during shoulder flexion with and without weights. The kinematic features of the military press, which involved less scapular internal rotation, greater upward rotation, and greater posterior tilt than did shoulder flexion, may make it a useful re-education exercise (if pain allows) for patients with decreased scapular external rotation, upward rotation, and posterior tilting. The results of this study might provide a kinematic basis for the use of this widely performed shoulder exercise.

Keywords: scapular motion, biomechanics, rehabilitation, military press, shoulder flexion, multi-joint movement.

Level of evidence Basic Science Study; kinematics
**Introduction**

The military press is an exercise frequently used in scapular and shoulder rehabilitation.\(^2\,3\,7\,10\,21\,26\,29\) It is a variation of an overhead press, which elevates the humerus overhead from an initial position with the elbow flexed and positioned anterior to the shoulder. Most of the previous studies on this exercise have involved electromyographic (EMG) analysis. Townsend\(^29\) analyzed the activities of the rotator cuff, deltoid, pectoralis major, and latissimus dorsi muscles of the shoulder during shoulder exercises, including the military press. They suggested that the supraspinatus, subscapularis, and anterior and middle deltoid muscles are highly active during the military press. Moseley et al.\(^21\) studied the activities of the scapular muscles during the military press and other rehabilitation exercises and suggested that the military press is a useful exercise for the upper trapezius, middle serratus anterior, and lower serratus anterior muscles.

On the other hand, the kinematic features of this exercise are not well understood. Crenshaw et al. indicated that the overhead pressing motion involved in the military press can decrease the amount of space in the subacromial area and thereby increase the stress on the subacromial space in throwing athletes who have preexisting chronic changes in this space.\(^4\) However, it is also true that in clinical situations, there are many patients with shoulder complications (such as impingement, labral injury, and frozen shoulder) who can elevate their arms (or weights) more easily during military press than during shoulder flexion. Although many kinematic analyses have been performed on humeral elevation in various planes such as abduction,\(^16\) scaption,\(^1,19,28\) and flexion,\(^16\) all of these analyses were performed with the arms fully extended. To our knowledge, there is no kinematic study of the military press, i.e., humeral elevation accompanied by active elbow movement. In the present study, using an electromagnetic sensor, we aimed to investigate the
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three-dimensional kinetic features of the military press in comparison with those of shoulder flexion, performed with and without weights, in order to clarify the clinically relevant characteristics of this exercise. We hypothesize that the military press has kinematic features such as greater scapular upward rotation, posterior tilt, and external rotation that could make it a better humeral elevation exercise than normal shoulder flexion with the elbow extended.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Participants

Sixteen healthy males (age, 21.8 ± 1.1 (mean ± SD) years; height, 173.3 ± 5.3 cm; and weight, 62.9 ± 7.3 kg) participated in this study. Subjects with a previous history of upper limb surgery, a present or previous history of neuromuscular disease, or any complaint in the upper limb in the past year were excluded from the study. The participants’ dominant limbs were analyzed.

2.2 Instrumentation

Three-dimensional kinematic data for the scapula, clavicle, and humerus were recorded using a 6–degrees-of-freedom electromagnetic motion capture system (Liberty; Polhemus). The Polhemus Liberty system consists of a transmitter and sensors. Its System Electronics Unit generates and senses the magnetic fields and computes the position and orientation of each sensor. Previous studies have demonstrated the accuracy of this device for the measurement of upper limb motion. For angles of shoulder flexion less than 120°, the error of measurement of the scapula and clavicle (relative to measurements made using bone pins) is less than 5°. Therefore, only the data corresponding to shoulder flexion angles up to 120° were analyzed in this study.

The transmitter was fixed on a rigid wooden board and the global coordinate system (GCS) was established. The sensors were fixed to the skin overlying the flat surface of the superior acromion process, the sternum, and the humerus (via a molded thermoplastic cuff at the midpoint of the humerus). Next, the bony landmarks of the scapula, clavicle, and humerus were palpated and then digitized using the LIBERTY sensor STYLUS to establish the anatomically based local coordinate systems (LCS). These measurements were performed with the subjects standing still with their arms hanging
beside their bodies. Each LCS was defined according to the International Society of Biomechanics (ISB) standardization proposal for the upper extremity (Figure 1). The acromial angle (AA), trigonum spinae (TS), and inferior angle (IA) were used to define the LCS of the scapula. The scapular SX axis was directed from the TS to the AA. The SY axis was perpendicular to the plane defined by the TS, AA, and IA, and the SZ axis was defined as the cross product of the SX and SY.

The xiphoid process (XP), suprasternal notch (SN), spinous process of the seventh cervical vertebra (C7), and spinous process of the eighth thoracic vertebra (T8) were used to define the LCS of the thorax. The thoracic TZ (vertical axis) was directed from the midpoint of the T8 and XP to the midpoint of the SN and C7; the TX (transverse axis) was perpendicular to the plane defined by the SN, C7, T8, and the XP; and the TY (sagittal axis) was defined as the cross product of the TZ and TX. The medial epicondyle (ME), lateral epicondyle (LE), and glenohumeral joint center (GH) were digitized to define the humeral coordinate system. The humeral HZ (longitudinal axis) was directed from the midpoint of the ME and LE to the GH; the HY (anterior-directed axis) was perpendicular to the plane defined by the GH, ME, and LE; and the HX (laterally directed axis) was defined as the cross product of the HY and HZ. The acromioclavicular joint (AC) and sternoclavicular joint (SC) were used to define the LCS of the clavicle. The clavicular CX axis was directed from the AC to the SC. The thoracic TZ axis was also used as the CZ axis, and the CY axis was defined as the cross product of the CX and CZ.

2.3 Procedures

The kinematic features of the shoulder were analyzed during the following three exercises: shoulder flexion without weights, shoulder flexion while holding 2-kg weights in both hands, and the military press while holding 2-kg weights in both hands (Figure 2).
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All three exercises were performed with the subject sitting on a platform without any backrest or armrest. In all three motions, humeral elevation was performed in the sagittal plane. In the starting position, the arms were allowed to hang at the sides with the elbow extended for shoulder flexion, and with the elbow fully flexed for the military press. The subjects raised their arms to full elevation over the course of four seconds, using a metronome for speed control. Each exercise was performed five times consecutively, and the mean of the middle three elevations was analyzed. The patients were sufficiently rested before performing the next exercise, and the order in which the exercises were performed was randomized for each participant to avoid any effect of the testing order. For the military press, the subjects were instructed to keep the elbow in the plane of flexion.

In our previous study, the repeat-trial ICC values for the kinematic data for the scapula and clavicle ranged from 0.94 to 0.99, indicating almost-perfect reliability.

2.4 Data reduction

The raw kinematic data were filtered using a low-pass 4-Hz Butterworth filter. The rotations of the distal coordinate system were described with respect to the proximal coordinate system using Euler angles in accordance with the recommendations of the International Shoulder Group of the International Society of Biomechanics (ISB) (Figure 3). The rotational motion of the scapula relative to the thorax was defined as follows. The motion of the scapula around the SZ axis was defined as external rotation (negative)/internal (positive) rotation, the motion around the SY axis was defined as upward rotation (negative)/downward rotation (positive), and the motion around the SX axis was defined as anterior tilt (negative)/posterior tilt (positive). The rotation of the clavicle relative to the thorax was defined as follows. The motion of the clavicle around the CZ axis was defined as protraction (negative) or retraction (positive).
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the clavicle around the CY axis was defined as elevation (negative) or depression (positive).

The motion of the humerus relative to the thorax was defined as the elevation angle,

wherein a positive value represented elevation. The scapular angle (upward/downward
rotation, external/internal rotation, and posterior/anterior tilting) and clavicular angle
(protraction/retraction and elevation/depression) were measured at selected humeral
elevation angles during the ascending phase of each task using custom Matlab (Mathworks,
Inc., USA) code. For the descriptive portion of the study, humeral angles relative to the
thorax were selected at 10° intervals and ranged from 30° to 120° of humeral elevation.

2.5 Data analysis

The means of the middle three trials were analyzed. Two-way (exercise type
[flexion without weight/flexion with weight/military press] × humeral elevation angle)
repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze differences in the
scapular and clavicular angles. Differences were considered statistically significant at p <
0.05. When a significant main effect or any interaction of the exercise type was found,
post-hoc analysis with Holm adjustment was used to assess the significance of differences
between the individual types of exercise.
Results

3.1 Scapular Internal/External Rotation (Table 1, Figure 4A)

The exercise type had a significant main effect on the scapular internal/external rotation angle ($p < 0.01$), with a significant interaction noted between the exercise type and the humeral elevation angle ($p < 0.01$). Post-hoc analysis with Holm adjustment revealed significantly less scapular internal rotation during the military press than during shoulder flexion with or without weights at humeral elevation angles of 30° to 100° ($p < 0.05$).

3.2 Scapular Upward/Downward Rotation (Table 1, Figure 4B)

Both exercise type and humeral elevation angle ($p < 0.01$) had significant main effects on scapular upward rotation. No significant interaction between exercise type and humeral elevation angle was seen for scapular upward rotation. The scapular upward rotation was significantly greater during the military press than during shoulder flexion with or without weights throughout the entire range of the humeral elevation angles ($p < 0.05$).

3.3 Scapular Anterior/Posterior Tilt (Table 1, Figure 4C)

The type of exercise had a significant main effect ($p < 0.01$) on the scapular anterior/posterior tilt, with a significant interaction noted between the type of exercise and the humeral elevation angle ($p < 0.01$). Post-hoc analysis with Holm adjustment revealed that the scapular posterior tilt was significantly greater during the military press than during shoulder flexion at angles from 40° to 120° and from 60° to 100° for shoulder flexion with and without 2-kg weights, respectively.

3.4 Clavicular retraction/protrusion (Table 2, Figure 5A)

The type of exercise significantly affected clavicular retraction ($p < 0.01$), with a significant interaction noted between the type of exercise and the humeral elevation angle ($p < 0.01$). Clavicular retraction was significantly greater during the military press than
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during shoulder flexion with weights at humeral elevation angles from 30° to 100° and
significantly greater than during shoulder flexion without weights at humeral elevation
angles of 30° to 120° (p < 0.05).

3.5. Clavicular elevation/depression (Table 2, Figure 5B)

The type of exercise significantly affected the clavicular elevation angle (p < 0.01),
with a significant interaction noted between the type of exercise and the humeral elevation
angle (p < 0.01). Clavicular elevation was significantly greater during the military press
than during shoulder flexion at humeral elevation angles of 30° to 80° and 120° for
shoulder flexion without weights (p < 0.05) but only at humeral elevation angles of 40° to
70° and 120° for shoulder flexion with weights (p < 0.05).
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4. Discussion

The three-dimensional kinematic features of the military press were compared with those of shoulder flexion performed with and without weights to clarify the characteristics of this exercise. The military press involved greater scapular upward rotation, posterior tilt, and external rotation relative to normal shoulder flexion in at least part of the examined range, which supported our hypothesis.

Several previous studies have evaluated the kinematics of the scapula and clavicle during humeral elevation. The results of the present study agree with those of the previous studies.

However, detailed examination revealed that the scapular motions during the military press differed from those during shoulder flexion either with or without weights, and involved less scapular internal rotation, greater posterior tilt, and greater upward rotation which were noted mainly in the initial and middle range of shoulder elevation.

The military press also produced greater clavicular elevation and retraction. The differences between the military press and shoulder flexion became smaller as the angle of elevation increased, and no significant difference except in scapular upward rotation was noted for scapular and clavicular motion at angles >110°.

Previous studies using EMG have revealed that the trapezius, especially the upper trapezius, and serratus anterior muscles are highly activated during the military press. The serratus anterior muscle is known to be responsible for posterior tilt, external rotation, and upward rotation of the scapula, and these actions are consistent with the scapular motions seen during the military press in the present study. The upper trapezius is known to elevate the clavicle, and this action is also consistent with the greater clavicular elevation during the military press revealed in this study.

Clavicular motion is known to relate directly to scapular translation with respect to
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219 the thorax. Given the lack of significant motion at the acromioclavicular joint, clavicular
220 elevation and retraction will translate the scapula superiorly and posteriorly, i.e., elevation
221 and retraction. Together with the three motions of the scapula, these two scapular
222 translations account for the five degrees of freedom of scapular motion.

223 Another kinematic difference between shoulder flexion and the military press was
224 the inclusion of elbow extension and flexion during shoulder motion. The combined
225 shoulder and elbow motion used for the military press is probably more similar to motions
226 used in daily activities, such as reaching up or putting something on a shelf, and may
227 therefore be more “functional.”

228 In this study, the maximum angular differences in scapular position observed
229 between the military press and flexion with 2-kg weights were 7.5° less internal rotation,
230 4.1° greater upward rotation, and 7.6° greater posterior tilt in the military press. Ludewig
231 et al. have reported on the kinematic differences between subjects with impingement and
232 control subjects. They observed significant differences in all three rotations of the scapula,
233 with greater medial rotation, greater anterior tilt, and less upward rotation in the
234 impingement group. The maximum mean differences were 5.2° for medial rotation, 5.8° for
235 anterior tilt, and 4.1° for upward rotation. They concluded that a modest angular
236 difference of 4–6° was sufficient to produce clinically relevant changes in subacromial
237 space and impingement. As the maximum differences observed in our study were above
238 this threshold, we consider the differences in scapular position demonstrated herein to be
239 clinically meaningful.

240 Use of the military press as a coordination exercise
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The characteristics of scapular and clavicular motion observed during the military press might be useful as a shoulder coordination exercise as part of a rehabilitation program.

Many previous studies have reported decreased scapular external rotation, scapular upward rotation, and posterior scapular tilting in patients with shoulder impingement syndrome, and a similar pattern has also been seen in subjects with glenohumeral instability. A study by Oyama et al. measured the three-dimensional motion of the scapula and the clavicle while performing various exercises, in the prone position, that retract (externally rotate) the scapula; the results suggested that these exercises could be effective for restoring normal scapular and clavicular kinematics and might be indicated for patients with shoulder pathologies. The military press also involves external rotation accompanied by upward rotation and posterior tilting of the scapula. Moreover, because the military press employs the desired motion of the scapula as part of a more practical motion—i.e., humeral elevation involving the movement of multiple joints—it may be a useful re-education exercise for patients with pathologic conditions characterized by decreased scapular external rotation, decreased scapular upward rotation, and decreased posterior scapular tilting.

Some limitations of the present study should be considered. First, due to the requirements for accurate measurement using the electromagnetic sensor, the data corresponding to shoulder flexion angles of >120° were not analyzed. Second, the subjects in this study were healthy young men. Therefore, the results may not be directly applicable to patients with shoulder problems. Third, the military press in this study was performed in the sagittal plane. It cannot be assumed that the results of this study will be applicable to other forms of the military press. Finally, the efficiency of the exercise in improving scapular kinematics has not been determined. Further study is needed in subjects with shoulder pathology and to determine the effectiveness of the exercise.
Conclusions

The three-dimensional kinematic characteristics of the scapular and clavicular movements during the military press were investigated and compared with those during shoulder flexion. The military press produced greater upward rotation, external rotation, and posterior tilting of the scapula and more protraction and elevation of the clavicle. These kinematic features of the military press may make it a useful re-education exercise for patients with decreased scapular external rotation, upward rotation, and posterior tilting. This study may serve as a kinematic basis for prescribing this well-known exercise in clinical practice.
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Figure Legend

Figure 1

The locations of the anatomic landmarks used for digitization and to establish the coordinate axes

Thorax: C7, the spinous process of the seventh cervical vertebra; T8, the spinous process of the eighth cervical vertebra; SN, sternal notch; XP, xiphoid process. Scapula: AC, acromioclavicular joint; BS, base of spine; IA, inferior angle. Humerus: ME, medial epicondyle; LE, lateral epicondyle. Clavicle: AC1, acromioclavicular joint; SC, sternoclavicular joint.

Figure 2

Shoulder flexion with and without weights and the military press

Figure 3

Definitions of the motions of the scapula and clavicle

A: Upward-downward scapular rotation as seen in the posterior view of a right shoulder; B:
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internal-external scapular rotation as seen in the superior view of a right shoulder; C:

anterior-posterior scapular tilting as seen in the lateral view of a right shoulder; D:

clavicular retraction-protrraction as seen in the superior view of a right shoulder; E:

clavicular elevation-depression as seen in the anterior view of a right shoulder.

Figure 4

The motions of the scapula relative to the thorax during humeral elevation.

A: scapular internal/external rotation; B: scapular upward/downward rotation; C: scapular posterior/anterior tilting. Circles: military press; squares, flexion with 2-kg weights; triangles, flexion without weights

Figure 5

The motions of the clavicle relative to the thorax during humeral elevation.

A: clavicular retraction/protrraction; B: clavicular elevation/depression. Circles: military press; squares: flexion with 2-kg weights; triangles: flexion without weights

Table 1

Changes in the scapular position at each humeral elevation angle. The values are expressed as the mean angle ± standard deviation.

Table 2

Changes in the clavicular position at each humeral elevation angle. The values are expressed as the mean angle ± standard deviation.
Figure 1
Figure 3 A

*: significant difference between flexion without weights and with 2–kg weights (p < 0.05)
†: significant difference between flexion without weights and the military press (p < 0.05)
‡: significant difference between flexion with 2–kg weights and the military press (p < 0.05)
Post-hoc analysis of the main effect (exercise type)

- Flexion without weight - flexion with 2-kg weights: $p = 1.00$
- Flexion without weight - military press: $p < 0.05$
- Flexion with 2-kg weights - military press: $p < 0.05$

Figure 3 B
*: significant difference between flexion without weights and with 2-kg weights (p < 0.05)
†: significant difference between flexion without weights and the military press (p < 0.05)
‡: significant difference between flexion with 2-kg weights and the military press (p < 0.05)
*: significant difference between flexion without weights and with 2-kg weights (p < 0.05)
†: significant difference between flexion without weights and the military press (p < 0.05)
‡: significant difference between flexion with 2-kg weights and the military press (p < 0.05)

Figure 4 A
*: significant difference between flexion without weights and with 2-kg weights (p < 0.05)
†: significant difference between flexion without weights and the military press (p < 0.05)
‡: significant difference between flexion with 2-kg weights and the military press (p < 0.05)

Figure 4 B
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>30°</th>
<th>40°</th>
<th>50°</th>
<th>60°</th>
<th>70°</th>
<th>80°</th>
<th>90°</th>
<th>100°</th>
<th>110°</th>
<th>120°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>internal rotation</strong>&lt;br&gt;Flexion without weight</td>
<td>28.2±10.2</td>
<td>29.7±10.4</td>
<td>31.1±10.8</td>
<td>32.4±11.2</td>
<td>33.5±11.6</td>
<td>34.3±12.1</td>
<td>34.8±12.5</td>
<td>34.6±13.0</td>
<td>33.0±13.3</td>
<td>29.6±14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion with 2kg weight</td>
<td>29.2±10.1</td>
<td>30.8±10.3</td>
<td>32.4±10.6</td>
<td>33.8±11.0</td>
<td>34.7±11.4</td>
<td>35.2±11.9</td>
<td>34.9±12.6</td>
<td>33.7±13.2</td>
<td>31.2±14.0</td>
<td>28.0±15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military press</td>
<td>21.6±11.1</td>
<td>23.5±11.1</td>
<td>25.2±11.4</td>
<td>26.7±12.0</td>
<td>27.9±12.5</td>
<td>28.4±12.9</td>
<td>28.5±12.9</td>
<td>28.6±12.8</td>
<td>28.3±12.6</td>
<td>28.3±13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>upward rotation</strong>&lt;br&gt;Flexion without weight</td>
<td>8.4±7.0</td>
<td>11.6±7.0</td>
<td>15.5±7.2</td>
<td>19.8±7.4</td>
<td>24.5±7.6</td>
<td>29.1±7.7</td>
<td>33.4±7.7</td>
<td>37.1±7.5</td>
<td>39.8±7.2</td>
<td>41.4±7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion with 2kg weight</td>
<td>6.7±7.0</td>
<td>10.5±6.9</td>
<td>14.8±7.2</td>
<td>19.5±7.5</td>
<td>24.8±7.8</td>
<td>29.9±8.1</td>
<td>34.6±8.2</td>
<td>38.4±8.1</td>
<td>41.1±7.9</td>
<td>42.7±7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military press</td>
<td>10.8±8.2</td>
<td>14.1±8.0</td>
<td>17.7±8.3</td>
<td>22.0±8.7</td>
<td>26.9±8.9</td>
<td>32.1±9.1</td>
<td>37.1±9.2</td>
<td>41.2±8.8</td>
<td>43.8±8.3</td>
<td>45.7±7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>posterior tilt</strong>&lt;br&gt;Flexion without weight</td>
<td>-4.6±6.0</td>
<td>-3.3±6.5</td>
<td>-2.4±6.9</td>
<td>-1.9±7.5</td>
<td>-1.7±8.3</td>
<td>-1.4±9.3</td>
<td>-1.0±10.3</td>
<td>-0.1±11.2</td>
<td>1.6±12.2</td>
<td>4.8±13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion with 2kg weight</td>
<td>-6.5±6.3</td>
<td>-5.2±6.4</td>
<td>-4.2±6.7</td>
<td>-3.9±7.3</td>
<td>-3.9±8.2</td>
<td>-4.1±9.3</td>
<td>-4.1±10.1</td>
<td>-3.2±11.1</td>
<td>-0.8±12.5</td>
<td>2.9±14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military press</td>
<td>-4.1±7.7</td>
<td>-1.6±7.6</td>
<td>0.1±7.9</td>
<td>1.2±8.3</td>
<td>2.1±9.0</td>
<td>2.9±9.6</td>
<td>3.5±10.5</td>
<td>3.8±12.0</td>
<td>4.7±13.2</td>
<td>6.0±14.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Clavicular position changes in each humeral elevation angle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clavicular Retraction</th>
<th>30°</th>
<th>40°</th>
<th>50°</th>
<th>60°</th>
<th>70°</th>
<th>80°</th>
<th>90°</th>
<th>100°</th>
<th>110°</th>
<th>120°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexion without weight</td>
<td>28.3±7.4</td>
<td>28.1±7.6</td>
<td>28.1±7.9</td>
<td>28.3±8.1</td>
<td>28.9±8.3</td>
<td>29.9±8.4</td>
<td>31.3±8.4</td>
<td>33.2±8.2</td>
<td>36.2±7.8</td>
<td>39.8±7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion with 2kg weight</td>
<td>28.2±7.5</td>
<td>28.0±7.8</td>
<td>28.1±8.1</td>
<td>28.4±8.3</td>
<td>29.5±8.3</td>
<td>31.1±8.3</td>
<td>33.3±8.3</td>
<td>36.0±8.2</td>
<td>39.0±8.1</td>
<td>42.0±8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military press</td>
<td>33.0±8.2</td>
<td>33.0±8.5</td>
<td>33.0±8.8</td>
<td>33.3±9.0</td>
<td>33.8±9.1</td>
<td>35.0±9.0</td>
<td>36.7±8.8</td>
<td>38.5±8.6</td>
<td>40.5±8.3</td>
<td>42.8±8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clavicular Elevation</th>
<th>30°</th>
<th>40°</th>
<th>50°</th>
<th>60°</th>
<th>70°</th>
<th>80°</th>
<th>90°</th>
<th>100°</th>
<th>110°</th>
<th>120°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexion without weight</td>
<td>11.5±5.1</td>
<td>12.0±5.1</td>
<td>12.7±5.2</td>
<td>13.6±5.3</td>
<td>14.8±5.4</td>
<td>16.2±5.5</td>
<td>17.9±5.6</td>
<td>19.6±5.7</td>
<td>20.8±5.8</td>
<td>21.5±6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion with 2kg weight</td>
<td>11.0±5.1</td>
<td>11.5±5.2</td>
<td>12.3±5.4</td>
<td>13.5±5.8</td>
<td>15.2±6.1</td>
<td>17.1±6.4</td>
<td>19.1±6.7</td>
<td>20.8±7.0</td>
<td>21.8±7.2</td>
<td>22.2±7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military press</td>
<td>12.6±6.1</td>
<td>13.2±6.2</td>
<td>14.1±6.3</td>
<td>15.3±6.6</td>
<td>16.8±6.9</td>
<td>18.4±7.1</td>
<td>20.0±7.5</td>
<td>21.8±7.7</td>
<td>22.9±7.8</td>
<td>24.3±7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>