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Using Capacitance Sensors for the Continuous Measurement of the Water Content in the Litter Layer of Forest Soil
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Little is known about the wetting and drying processes of the litter layer (L layer), likely because of technical difficulties inherent in nondestructive water content (WC) monitoring. We developed a method for continuously measuring the WC of leaf litter (the “LWC method”) in situ using capacitance sensors. To test variants of this approach, five (for the LWC5) or ten (for the LWC10 method) Quercus serrata leaves were attached around capacitance sensors. The output voltage used for each LWC method was linearly correlated with the gravimetric WC (LWC5: $R^2 = 0.940$; LWC10: $R^2 = 0.942$), producing different slopes for each calibration line. For in situ continuous measurements of WC in the L layer, two sensors were used, one placed on top of the L layer and the other at the boundary between the L and mineral layers. The average continuous WC of the L layer was then calculated from the output voltage of the two sensors and the calibration function, and this value was linearly correlated with the gravimetric WC ($R^2 = 0.697$). However, because the L layer characteristics (e.g., thickness, water-holding capacity, and species composition) may differ among study sites, appropriate approaches for measuring this layer’s moisture properties may be needed.

1. Introduction

The litter layer (L layer) comprises the uppermost soil layer and plays an important role in the water dynamics of the forest floor. For example, this layer limits the amount of water that can infiltrate into and/or evaporate from the soil [1–3]. Despite the importance of the L layer in hydrological processes, water dynamics in this layer are often overlooked in studies of forest hydrology, mainly because of the technical difficulties in making accurate measurements.

The L layer, which contains annually refreshed litter, is also a significant source of carbon in soil for heterotrophic organisms. Owing to the input of labile organic matter, the microbial activity in this layer is typically higher than that of lower soil layers [4] and is mainly regulated by environmental factors (e.g., moisture and temperature) [5, 6]. In addition, the moisture status of this layer changes more rapidly than that of lower soil layers [7, 8] because the L layer is directly exposed to rain, solar radiation, and wind. The wetting and drying cycles in this layer lead to dynamic temporal variations in CO2 efflux [9], which strongly relates to the soil carbon budget. In addition, temporal variations in CO2 efflux from the L layer are associated with varied environmental factors, which were continuously measured at an interval of several tens of minutes in an improved automated chamber system [10, 11]. However, to evaluate CO2 efflux as a function of the L layer’s wetting and drying processes, continuous measurements of both the WC of this layer and the CO2 flux are required.

Some researchers have attempted to continuously measure water content (WC) of the L layer in situ [9, 12, 13]. Hanson et al. [13] used an approach based on the use of electrical-impedance grids for recording leaf wetness reported by Gillespie and Kidd [14]. This approach was used to monitor
the resistance or voltage between two clips attached to Quercus prinus leaves 3 cm apart along the midvein. The method was also modified with the use of wood pieces instead of intact leaves to avoid changes in the water-holding capacity of the material through decomposition over time [9]. Börner et al. [12] tested a different approach holding capacity of the material through decomposition instead of intact leaves to avoid changes in the water-voltage of the LWC method. To measure WC of leaf litter with capacitance sensors, some intact leaf litter was closely attached around the sensor with rubber bands. In the laboratory, the output voltage of the LWC method was calibrated against the gravimetric WC ($W_{\text{weight}}$) of the leaf litter attached to the sensor. Using this LWC method, we continuously measured the WC of the L layer in situ ($W_{\text{LWC method}}$) and compared the observed data with $W_{\text{weight}}$ obtained by destructive sampling of the L layer.

### 2. Site Description

We tested our approach for continuously measuring the L layer's WC in situ in the Yamashiro Experimental Forest (YMS) in the southern region of the Kyoto Prefecture, Japan (34°47′N, 135°50′E). The study site was a 1.7 ha watershed with an annual mean air temperature of 15.5°C (summer hourly maximum: 34.8°C; winter hourly minimum: –3.9°C) and an annual mean precipitation of 1449 mm. The rainy season generally occurs from early June to mid-July. Daily rates of evaporation from the forest floor are 0.4–0.8 mm day$^{-1}$ for 1-2 days after precipitation, declining to 0.2–0.3 mm day$^{-1}$ thereafter [15]. Here, the L layer's WC dynamically changes from wet to dry within a few days. The large changes of the L layer's WC strongly influence on the evaporation rate from the forest floor the L layer.

In this ecosystem, the soils are regosols of sandy loam or loamy sand and contain fine gravel (53% by mass) composed of residual quartz crystals from parent granite material [16]. The soil layer is generally thin and immature. In addition, deciduous broadleaved, evergreen broadleaved, and coniferous tree species account for 66%, 28%, and 6% of the living tree biomass, respectively [17]. The forest and the associated leaf litter are dominated by Quercus serrata Thunb, which accounted for 33% of the biomass at the time of the study. Thus, the L layer is mostly composed of Quercus serrata litter at a thickness of approximately 3-4 cm.

### 3. Materials and Methods

#### 3.1. The LWC Method

In this study, we used plate-type sensors (ECH2O EC-5, Decagon Devices Inc., Pullman, WA), which are suitable for closely attaching leaves around the sensors, for the continuous measurement of the L layer's WC. Each EC-5 sensor was operated at 70 MHz and consisted of a short two-prong (5.6 cm long) sensor, circuitry, and data cable. During the study, the supply voltage to the sensor was fixed at 3.5 V [18], and the corresponding output voltage was recorded with the data logger (Datamark LS-3000 PtV; Hakusan, Japan).

Leaf litter that had fallen in the previous year was collected from the forest floor in January 2013. The leaves were attached as homogeneously as possible on both sides of the sensors with rubber bands, and the leaf edges that extended beyond the sensors were tightly wrapped around the probes to prevent the creation of interstices between the sensor plate and leaves (Figure 1). As a result, the sensor plate was completely covered with leaves. Using this method, capacitance sensor could stably capture the WC of the attached leaves, which would be in equilibrium with WC of the surrounding L layer.

To consider the effect of the amount of leaves that were attached to sensor on output voltage of the EC-5 sensor, we tested two variants of the LWC method, where five (the LWC_5 method) or ten (the LWC_10 method) Quercus serrata leaves were attached to the sensors.

#### 3.2. Calibration

We assessed the relationship between the output voltage generated from the LWC method and the $W_{\text{weight}}$. To consider the effect of the amount of leaves attached to sensor on the output voltage from the EC-5 sensor, we measured the output voltage generated by the LWC_5 and LWC_10 methods and compared those voltages to the $W_{\text{weight}}$ of the leaves attached to the sensor at various moisture conditions ($n = 77$ or 66). To create samples that ranged from near zero to the maximum WC, leaf samples were soaked in water for 48 hours and then allowed to...
naturally dry to different WC levels. Water droplets on leaf samples were shaken off before attaching sensors. After each measurement, the leaves were removed from the sensor and oven-dried at 65°C for 48 h. WC was calculated according to the following equation:

\[ WC_{weight} = \frac{FW - DW}{DW}, \]

where FW and DW are the fresh and dry weights of the leaf litter sample (g), respectively.

4. In Situ Measurement of WC in the L Layer

The WC of the L layer was measured as WCweight and WCLWC method in the field. WCweight was measured on 12 sampling days from May to September 2013. Twelve PVC collars (area: 323 cm²) were placed in a 2 × 4 m area on January 2013, and 15 g (dry weight) of newly fallen leaf litter was placed in each collar. This weight corresponded to the approximate litter-fall mass at this site [17]. The thickness of the L layer was approximately 3-4 cm. On each sampling day, we selected four or five leaves to use in calculations of WCweight in the L layer of each collar and enclosed those samples in plastic bags. The fresh weight of the sample was measured in the laboratory within 24 h after sampling, and samples were oven-dried at 65°C for 48 h. The samples were returned to the collars within one week after collection.

In addition, the WCLWC method of the L layer was continuously measured in 30 min intervals from May to September 2013. To compare WCLWC method and WCweight one PVC collar equipped with a sensor for the measurement of the WCLWC method was placed adjacent to the sampling area, and 15 g of newly fallen leaf litter was supplied to the collar. Measurements of WCLWC method were made according to the LWC method because the ratio of the leaf area to the unit ground surface area in each collar was approximately 4-5. In this study, WCLWC method measurements were conducted at two levels, on top of the L layer and at the boundary between the L layer and the mineral soils (Figure 1), to completely capture the large vertical variation in the WC inside the L layer [19]. We estimated the WC of the L layer using the average WCLWC method calculated from the output voltage of the two sensors and the calibration line of the LWC_5 method.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. The LWC Method. The output voltage generated by the LWC method was calibrated by and linearly correlated with the WCweight of the leaves attached to the sensors (Figure 2: LWC_5: \( R^2 = 0.940 \); LWC_10: \( R^2 = 0.942 \)). The output voltages resulting from the LWC method ranged from 0.265 to 0.444 V for a range of WCweight from 0.026 to 2.637 g kg⁻¹, respectively. The output voltages resulting from the LWC_10 method ranged from 0.267 to 0.491 V for WCweight ranging from 0.000334 to 1.810 g kg⁻¹, respectively. However, different calibration functions were obtained for the LWC_5 and LWC_10 methods. This difference could be explained by differences in the thickness of the layer of leaves attached to the sensor plates. Imoto et al. [20] reported that EC-5 sensors could detect moisture to a maximum distance of 2-3 cm from the sensor plate. In this study, the total thicknesses of the sensor plates and attached leaves were 0.5 and 1 cm for the LWC_5 and LWC_10 methods, respectively.

Both the LWC_5 and LWC_10 methods allowed for the measurement of WCweight of the leaves attached to the sensors (Figure 2), and the amount of leaf litter attached to the sensor could affect the output voltage of the sensor. Thus, we need to obtain individual calibration function between output voltage of the LWC method and WCweight of the leaves attached to the sensors, depending on the amount of leaves attached to the sensor.

5.2. Continuous Measurement of WC in the L Layer with the LWC Method. WCLWC method was continuously measured in the field and compared to WCweight of litter samples collected over 12 sampling days (Figures 3 and 4). WCLWC method was corrected to WCweight (\( R^2 = 0.697 \)). However, WCLWC method was larger than WCweight (Figure 3) when WC was very low. In this case, temporal variation in WCLWC method was very low (Figure 4). This result suggests that the sensors placed at the boundary between the L layer and the mineral soil layers captured the moisture properties of the mineral soil, which remained wet even as the L layer dried.

On the other hand, estimates of WCLWC method tended to be lower than WCweight with larger standard deviations at
higher WC levels (Figure 3), especially on 6 July and 7 August, 2013 (Figure 4). These results suggest that the spatial variation in the WC of the L layer was large among the experimental collars, even though the 12 collars were closely organized across a limited area (2 × 4 m). We suspect that the wetting and drying processes in the L layer differed across even this limited area. In fact, there was a large spatial variation in solar radiation and rainfall on the forest floor after penetrating the canopy, which are factors associated with the wetting and drying of the L layer. Therefore, in future studies, it will be important for investigators to first determine the appropriate areas for sensor placement. For example, to evaluate CO₂ efflux from the L layer during the drying and wetting cycles, the sensor should be placed near the object of CO₂ flux measurement.

As seen in Figure 4(c), there were large fluctuations in WC$_{LWC\text{ method}}$ of the L layer, ranging from 0.235 to 8.434 g g$^{-1}$. Such frequent fluctuations resulted from the drying and wetting cycles of this L layer. The WC of the L layer increased immediately after rainfall (within a few hours) and then decreased within a few days after rainfall, ultimately reaching a steady state. Tamai and Hattori [15] also reported that daily evaporation from the L layer was larger, 1-2 days after rainfall than afterward. Furthermore, the wetting and drying cycles of the L layer differed from those of the soil [9, 13]. The soil WC decreased steadily until the next rainfall even over the extended dry period from 1 to 15 June (Figure 4(b)). Moreover, WC$_{LWC\text{ method}}$ increased following a small rainfall event between 28 and 30 May (Figure 4(c)), but that increase was not detected by the WC sensor in the soil. Thus, only the L layer became wet as a result of rain, dew, and fog formation, which can input significant amounts of water into the ecosystem [21]. The continuous measurement of the WC of the L layer therefore allowed for a better understanding of water movement and soil CO₂ efflux on the forest floor.

6. Conclusion

We developed the LWC method for the continuous in situ monitoring of the WC of the L layer. Output voltages resulting from both the LWC$_5$ and LWC$_{10}$ methods were strongly correlated with WC$_{weight}$, demonstrating that both methods could be used for the intended purpose. The LWC$_5$ method also captured the temporal variation in WC in our study site reasonably well. However, we suspect that the amount of leaf litter required for attachment to the sensors and the installation of the sensors should be specific to the L layer's properties which can differ between sites. Thus, future studies should examine site-specific aspects of the experimental design for the LWC method.

Tamai and Hattori [15] described a model for estimating WC in the L layer every 30 min as a function of solar radiation and rainfall. This model would be a suitable tool for estimating temporal variation in the L layer’s WC at the forest stand level. However, spatial variation in the WC of the L layer can be large on a forest floor. The LWC method allows for the measurement of the L layer’s WC in a small area. A comparison between estimates of WC as calculated from this model and from the LWC method is needed to better understand the role of the L layer in hydrological processes.

One disadvantage of the use of intact leaf litter as part of the LWC method is that the structure of litter changes with decomposition over time, altering the corresponding form of the calibration equation [9, 13]. Therefore, the use of synthetic fiber cloth, which has a similar water-holding capacity to leaf litter, may be required for long-term monitoring instead of natural leaf litter. This approach would also be applicable to the measurement of the WC of sticks and other hard objects. In general, the LWC method can provide estimates of the WC of the L layer, and the continuous in situ measurement of WC...
could contribute to models of CO$_2$ efflux from the L layer following rainfall.
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