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Plant nucleocytoplasmic transport beyond the nuclear envelope is important not only for basic cellular functions but also for growth, development, hormonal signaling, and responses to environmental stimuli. Key components of this transport system include importin receptors and nucleoporins. The functional and physical interactions between receptors and the nuclear pore in the nuclear membrane are indispensable for nucleocytoplasmic transport. Recently, several groups have reported various plant mutants that are deficient in factors involved in nucleocytoplasmic transport. Here, we summarize the current state of knowledge about nucleocytoplasmic transport in plants, and we review the plant-specific regulation and roles of this process in plants.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The nucleus is the most prominent organelle in eukaryotes and is surrounded by the nuclear envelope, which provides a controlled barrier between the nucleoplasm and the cytoplasm. The nuclear envelope consists of a double membrane spanned by nuclear pore complexes (NPCs), which form channels, allowing the passive diffusion of small molecules. Macromolecules larger than ∼40 kDa are transported actively across the nuclear envelope through the NPC in a regulated manner (Grossman et al., 2012; Raices and D’Angelo, 2012). How the rapid, bidirectional trafficking of thousands of specific cargoes through the NPC is achieved has been the subject of intense study (Mosammaparast and Pemberton, 2004). In addition to bulk transport of constitutive nuclear proteins, changes in gene expression generally require the controlled import/export of key signaling molecules to/from the nucleus (Stewart, 2007; Merkle, 2011), indicating that nucleocytoplasmic transport is a highly dynamic process.

The first step in nucleocytoplasmic transport is the recognition of cargo molecules by specific transport receptors, including importins and exportins (Bednenko et al., 2003; Mosammaparast and Pemberton, 2004; Stewart, 2007). Following formation of the cargo–transport receptor complex, the NPC mediates translocation of this complex through the nuclear envelope. Such selective transport of molecules across the nuclear envelope plays an important role not only in basic cellular activity, but also in cellular differentiation and responses to environmental signals (Raices and D’Angelo, 2012; Parry, 2013). Recent investigations of nucleocytoplasmic transport have revealed unique roles and unexpected layers of regulation of this process in plants (Meier and Somers, 2011). In this review, we summarize studies involving mutants defective in nucleocytoplasmic transport factors, and we discuss the key roles of the nucleocytoplasmic transport system in plant cells.

**IMPORINT α FAMILY IN A. thaliana**

Importin α is an adaptor that links classical nuclear localization signal (cNLS)-containing proteins to importin β, which interacts with the ternary complex at the NPC. Importin α comprises two functionally and structurally distinct domains, namely, the flexible N-terminal importin β-binding (IBB) domain and a C-terminal domain that consists of eight to nine tandem armadillo (ARM) repeats (Goldfarb et al., 2004; Marfori et al., 2011). The stacking of helical ARM repeats generates a right-handed superhelical structure, forming...
two separate cNLS-binding sites (the major and minor sites; Conti et al., 1998; Marfori et al., 2011). Chang et al. (2012) determined the crystal structure of rice importin α at 2 Å resolution. Consistent with *S. cerevisiae* and *H. sapiens* proteins, *Oryza sativa* importin α preferentially binds to the prototypical cNLS from SV40 large T-antigen at the major nuclear localization signal (NLS) binding site. On the contrary, two plant-specific NLSs (Kosugi et al., 2009) bind to the minor site of rice importin α. Interestingly, mouse importin α binds to these plant-specific NLSs at the major site, suggesting that plant importin α has plant-specific features to mediate nuclear import.

The number of importin α family genes has increased over the course of evolution; yeast has a single importin α gene, *Drosophila melanogaster* has four genes, and *H. sapiens* has six genes (Mason et al., 2009; Yasuhara et al., 2009; Figure 2). *H. sapiens*, *D. melanogaster*, and most animal importin α genes fall into one of three conserved clades, i.e., α1, α2, and α3 (Mason et al., 2002, 2009). By contrast, plant and fungal importin α belong to α1 and a non-conventional family (Figure 2), suggesting that the importin α1 gene may be the earliest progenitor of importin α, and α2 and α3 are metazoan specific importin α. *A. thaliana* has eight importin α proteins (IMPA1–7 and 9) that contain both IBB domains and ARM repeats and a single protein (IMPA8) that contains only ARM repeats. Based on sequence similarity, *A. thaliana* IMPA9 is likely to be a non-conventional importin α that does not fall into the α1, α2, or α3 groups (Figure 2). *A. thaliana* IMPA1 binds to all three types of NLSs identified in maize and SV40 (Smith et al., 1997). Moreover, IMPA1–4 interact with *A. thaliana* CAS (cellular apoptosis susceptibility), which is required for recycling importin α from the nucleus to the cytosol, indicating that nucleocytoplasmic transport is functionally conserved in plants (Haasen and Merkle, 2002).

**STRUCTURE OF THE NUCLEAR PORE COMPLEX**

The NPC is a macromolecular assembly of protein subcomplexes that serves as a key regulator of molecular trafficking between the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Grossman et al., 2012; Parry, 2013; Tamura and Hara-Nishimura, 2013). Extensive studies have identified more than 30 different nucleoporin proteins that serve as building blocks of the NPC (Rout et al., 2000; Cronshaw et al., 2002; Tamura et al., 2010). By contrast to other nuclear envelope proteins, NPC components are evolutionarily conserved between yeast, animals, and plants, indicating that these NPCs share a common progenitor (Devos et al., 2006). Based on their function
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**FIGURE 1 | Comparison of importin β family proteins in Arabidopsis, human, and yeast.** (A) Phylogenetic tree of importin β family in Arabidopsis (green), human (blue), and yeast (black) constructed using the neighbor joining method. (B) Arabidopsis importin β family proteins.
in the NPC, nucleoporins are classified into two groups, including FG (phenylalanine–glycine) repeat-containing nucleoporins and scaffold nucleoporins. The FG-repeat domain is composed of multiple FG-rich peptides and is natively unfolded (Denning et al., 2003). FG nucleoporins account for as much as one-third of the molecular mass of the NPC. Since nuclear transport receptor–cargo complexes dock to the NPC by binding to their FG domains, FG nucleoporins are key determinants of nucleocytoplasmic transport. Scaffold nucleoporins form biochemically stable NPC subcomplexes that appear to play important roles as building blocks during NPC biogenesis on the nuclear membrane. NPC core scaffold proteins consist of cage-like structures, which is similar to the structure of coated vesicles (Bonifacino and Glick, 2004; Strambia-De-Castillia et al., 2010). This characteristic similarity suggests that a simple membrane-curving module is the ancient common ancestor of NPCs and coated vesicles (Devos et al., 2006; Alber et al., 2007). In addition to their roles in nucleocytoplasmic transport, NPCs and individual nucleoporins are involved in a large number of cellular processes, including kinetochore and spindle assembly, regulation of gene expression, chromatin organization, and DNA repair (Strambia-De-Castillia et al., 2010; Bukata et al., 2013; Van de Vosse et al., 2013; Table 1).

**DEVELOPMENT**

**THE ROLE OF EXPORTINS IN PLANT DEVELOPMENT**

The first reported *A. thaliana* importin β family mutant was *hasty* (*hst*; Telfer and Poethig, 1998). In a forward genetic screen, *hst* was originally identified as a mutant defective in the transition between developmental phases. The *hst* mutant exhibits pleiotropic phenotypes, including reduced size of the shoot apical meristem, accelerated vegetative phase change, late flowering under short day conditions, and reduced fertility (Bollman et al., 2003), suggesting that HST is essential for various developmental pathways in plants. The *HST* gene is the ortholog of *H. sapiens* exportin 5 (*XPO5*) and the *S. cerevisiae* bidirectional transporter *MSN5* (Bollman et al., 2003). In addition, HST interacts with Ran in yeast two-hybrid assays and localizes to the nuclear periphery (Bollman et al., 2003). Another exportin mutant, *paused* (*psd*), was isolated by two different groups (Telfer et al., 1997; Hunter et al., 2003; Li and Chen, 2003). The *psd* mutant exhibits transiently disrupted organization of the shoot apical meristem, but the timing of the transition to the adult phase of vegetative development is not significantly altered in this mutant (Telfer et al., 1997). *PSD* encodes an ortholog of exportin-t (*XPOT*), which mediates nuclear export of tRNAs in yeast (Hellmuth et al., 1998) and human (Kutay et al., 1998).

The different phenotypes observed between the *hst* and *psd* exportin mutants can be accounted for by their impaired nuclear export of different cargoes. The *hst* mutation leads to reduced accumulation of most micro RNAs (miRNAs) but has no effect on the accumulation of tRNAs or endogenous small interfering RNAs (Park et al., 2005). On the contrary, the *psd* mutation results in compromised tRNA-Tyr processing but does not affect the accumulation or nuclear export of miRNAs (Park et al., 2005).
The function of HOS1/ELYS in flowering pathway has been well studied. Genetic analysis with hos1 flc double mutant suggested that the HOS1/ELYS regulates flowering time independent of FLC (Lazaro et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012a). Moreover, it was found that HOS1/ELYS and ubiquitinated-CONSTANS (CO) physically interact, and HOS1/ELYS regulates CO abundance, particularly during the daylight period (Lazaro et al., 2012). These results suggest that HOS1/ELYS plays a key role in the proteasome-dependent degradation of CO at nuclear pore for control of flowering time (Lazaro et al., 2012; Joon Seo et al., 2013). MacGregor et al. (2013) reported that hos1/elys is affected in circadian clock function, exhibiting a long-period phenotype. Interestingly, Lee et al. (2012b) demonstrated that alternative HOS1 splicing variant is crucial for regulating flowering time, and expresses in a photoperiod-dependent manner.

On the contrary, it was also reported that HOS1/ELYS directly regulates FLC transcription at the chromatin level through interactions with FVE, a histone binding protein (Lee et al., 2012a), and histone deacetylase 6 (HDA6; Jung et al., 2013). FVE and HDA6 interact with each other to function in chromatin silencing in Arabidopsis thaliana (Gu et al., 2011). HOS1/ELYS also interacts with HDA6 and inhibits the binding of HDA6 to FLC chromatin. Moreover, cold treatment induces FLC expression by activating HOS1, which

---

**Table 1 | Identified plant nucleoporin mutants.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nup name</th>
<th>AGI code</th>
<th>Other name</th>
<th>Related pathway</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nup160</td>
<td>At1g33410</td>
<td>SAR1</td>
<td>Flowering, mRNA export, immunity, cold stress, and auxin signaling</td>
<td>Dong et al. (2006a), Parry et al. (2006), Robles et al. (2012), Wiermer et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nup96</td>
<td>At1g80680</td>
<td>SAR3/MOS3</td>
<td>Flowering, mRNA export, immunity, and auxin signaling</td>
<td>Zhang and Li (2005), Parry et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPR/NUA</td>
<td>At1g79280</td>
<td>Flowering, mRNA export, miRNA metabolism, and auxin signaling</td>
<td>Jacob et al. (2007), Xu et al. (2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nup58</td>
<td>TCU1</td>
<td>Flowering</td>
<td>Ferrandez-Ayela et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nup62</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flowering</td>
<td>Zhao and Meier (2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nup136/Nup1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flowering and mRNA export</td>
<td>Lu et al. (2010), Tamura et al. (2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELYS</td>
<td>HOS1</td>
<td>Flowering, mRNA export, and cold stress</td>
<td>Dong et al. (2006b), Lazaro et al. (2012), Jung et al. (2013), MacGregor et al. (2013)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nup133</td>
<td></td>
<td>Symbiosis</td>
<td>Kanamori et al. (2006), Binder and Parniske (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nup75/85</td>
<td></td>
<td>Symbiosis</td>
<td>Saito et al. (2007), Binder and Parniske (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seh1</td>
<td>NENA</td>
<td>Symbiosis, mRNA export and immunity</td>
<td>Groth et al. (2010), Wiermer et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nup88</td>
<td>MOS7</td>
<td>Immunity</td>
<td>Cheng et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nup214</td>
<td>LNO1</td>
<td>Embryo development</td>
<td>Braud et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLE1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Embryo development</td>
<td>Braud et al. (2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results clearly indicate that HST and PSD do not share RNA cargoes for nuclear export. Therefore, multiple nuclear export pathways for these small RNAs are required for plant development.

Arabidopsis thaliana has two loci for exportin 1 (XPO1 in vertebrates), designated as XPO1A (At5g17020) and XPO1B (At3g03110); the corresponding proteins share 86% identity (Blanvillain et al., 2008). Single mutants for XPO1A and XPO1B appear normal, indicating that these genes function redundantly. However, a double mutant homozygote has not been recovered (Blanvillain et al., 2008). Consistent with this observation, cotransmission of mutant alleles of these genes is abolished through the male. Female gametophytes of the double mutant show defects ranging from early developmental arrest to disorganized cellular constitution. It was therefore concluded that a maternal copy of XPO1 is required to establish a viable embryo (Blanvillain et al., 2008).

### FLOWERING

As expected, since nucleoporin is involved in fundamental cellular functions, many nucleoporin mutants exhibit pleiotropic phenotypes at both the reproductive and vegetative developmental stages. An early flowering phenotype is commonly observed in various nucleoporin mutants, including nup58 (Ferrandez-Ayela et al., 2013), nup62 (Zhao and Meier, 2011), nup96/sar3/mos3 (Zhang and Li, 2005; Parry et al., 2006), nup136/nup1 (Lu et al., 2010; Tamura et al., 2010), nup160/sar1 (Dong et al., 2006b; Parry et al., 2006), hos1/elys (Ishitani et al., 1998; Lazaro et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2012a; MacGregor et al., 2013), and tpr/nu (Jacob et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2007). Xu et al. (2007) found that the tpr/nu mutation affects the expression of flowering-related genes. The expression of floral repressor genes (FLC and MAF4) is reduced in the tpr/nu mutant, while the expression of floral activator genes (FT, SOC, LFY, MYB33, and MYB65) is increased, compared to wild type. Jacob et al. (2007) identified TPR/NUA as a suppressor of FLC expression. The authors also found that tpr/nu flowers earlier than the flc null mutant, suggesting that FLC-dependent and -independent flowering pathways are regulated by TPR/NUA.
inhibits the association of HDA6 with FLC chromatin, resulting in delayed flowering. Therefore, HOS1/ELYS is thought to act as a chromatin-remodeling factor for FLC regulation in response to cold stress. Similar NPC-dependent gene regulation systems that function via chromatin remodeling have been reported in other organisms. In mammalian cells, the nuclear basket component in NPC plays a fundamental role in chromatin organization (Krull et al., 2010). Loss of a nucleoporin induces chromatin condensation all along the nucleoplasmic side of the nuclear envelope, suggesting that NPC maintains pore-associated chromatin in an open state (Grossman et al., 2012). S. cerevisiae Nup170 binds to specific chromatin domains to promote transcriptional repression through its interactions with chromatin-remodeling complex (Van de Vosse et al., 2013). Interactions between chromatin and nucleoporins may represent an initial event in the regulation of gene expression, because this process occurs independently of any preceding transcription (Schmid et al., 2006). Taken together, these results suggest that HOS1/ELYS in NPC also provides a platform for transcriptional regulation to control flowering time in plants.

ESSENTIAL NUCLEOPORINS

Given their fundamental functions in cellular activities, nucleoporins are thought to be essential for plant viability. However, only a few essential nucleoporins have been identified in A. thaliana. A certain allele of nup136/nup1 mutant exhibits gametophytic or embryonic lethal (Lu et al., 2010). A partial loss of Nup88/MOS7 produces variable phenotypes, while a complete loss of Nup88/MOS7 causes lethality (Cheng et al., 2009). This result is consistent with the lethal phenotype of a null nup88 mutant in D. melanogaster (Uv et al., 2000). Nup214, which provides binding sites for mRNA export factors, was also found to be essential. A mutation in Nup214 abolishes the first asymmetrical cell division during early embryogenesis, resulting in an arrest in embryo development (Braud et al., 2012). Furthermore, a mutation in GLE1, which is thought to work in conjunction with Nup214 in the mRNA export pathway, also leads to embryonic lethality (Braud et al., 2012). In S. cerevisiae, large-scale deletion analysis has already identified many nucleoporins that are essential for cellular viability (Giaever et al., 2002). Further systematic analysis of all A. thaliana nucleoporins using T-DNA knockout lines will provide insights into the functional diversity and redundancy of each plant nucleoporin.

HORMONE AND STRESS SIGNALINGS

THE ROLE OF IMPORTINS AND EXPORTINS IN HORMONE AND STRESS SIGNALING PATHWAYS

SAD2 [super sensitive to abscisic acid (ABA) and drought2], which is an ortholog of vertebrate importin 7 and 8, was suggested to regulate various hormone and environmental response pathways in A. thaliana. The sad2 mutant exhibits ABA- and stress-hypersensitivity induction of luciferase reporter activity (Verslues et al., 2006). Interestingly, sad2 exhibits ABA hypersensitivity during seed germination and seedling growth, suggesting that SAD2 is involved in nuclear transport of a negative regulator of ABA sensitivity (Verslues et al., 2006). A. thaliana contains one gene (At3g59020) homologous to SAD2 that is in the same clade as importin 7/8 (Figure 1). However, knock out of this gene does not duplicate the sad2 phenotype in response to ABA during seedling growth (Verslues et al., 2006), indicating that SAD2 transports specific cargoes during ABA signaling. In addition to ABA responses, jasmonic acid (JA)-inducible trichome formation is also impaired in the sad2 mutant (Yoshida et al., 2009). The subnuclear localization of the bHLH transcription factor GLABRA3 (GL3), which promotes trichome formation in response to JA, is disrupted in sad2. This suggests that SAD2 regulates (either directly or indirectly) the subnuclear localization of GL3 in response to JA.

The R2R3-type transcription repressor MYB4 is a cargo protein of SAD2 that undergoes nuclear transport (Zhao et al., 2007). MYB4 was previously found to control negative expression of cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (Jin et al., 2000) and, therefore, regulate the synthesis of sinapate esters, which are major photoprotec- tive pigments (Li et al., 1993; Jin et al., 2000). Zhao et al. (2007) demonstrated that sad2 significantly accumulates UV-absorbing pigments and is more tolerant to UV-B irradiation than the wild type. The authors also found that MYB4 coimmunoprecipitates with SAD2 and its nuclear localization requires functional SAD2, suggesting that SAD2 transports MYB4 to the nucleus to help regulate the plant response to UV-B radiation.

Arabidopsis thaliana KPNB1 (At5g53480), which belongs to the IMB1 family, also modulates ABA signaling (Luo et al., 2013). Like the sad2 mutant, the kpnb1 mutant exhibits increased ABA hypersensitivity during seed germination and cotyledon development. Moreover, the kpnb1 mutation increases stomatal closure in response to ABA, reduces the rate of water loss, and substantially increases drought tolerance. However, KPNB1 was proposed to regulate an ABA pathway independently of SAD2. Loss of function of SAD2 results in early flowering and increased expression of ABA-responsive genes (RD20A and RAB18) under normal conditions (Verslues et al., 2006). On the contrary, the kpnb1 mutant exhibits late flowering and normal expression of these genes (Luo et al., 2013). These results suggest that KPNB1 transports different cargoes from those of SAD2 to regulate ABA signaling.

As described above, two A. thaliana XPO1 genes (XPO1A, At5g17020 and XPO1B, At3g03110) were previously considered to be functionally redundant (Haasen et al., 1999; Merkle, 2003; Blanvillain et al., 2008). However, the hit2 (heat intolerant 2) mutant, in which a single XPO1A gene is mutated, shows a defect in basal but not acquired thermotolerance (Wu et al., 2010). The hit2 mutant is also sensitive to methyl viologen-induced oxidative stress, and the survival of hit2 seedlings in response to heat stress is affected by light conditions. It was therefore concluded that the hit2 phenotype is attributable to the lack of a sufficient response to heat-induced oxidative injury (Wu et al., 2010). This study clearly indicates that XPO1A has its own specific function and cargoes, which are not necessary for normal growth but are important for plant survival under conditions of sustained or sudden heat stress.

COLD SIGNALING

There is significant evidence to demonstrate that nucleoporins are required for cold responses. In a screen for altered expression of cold-induced reporter genes, Zhu and colleagues identified several mutants, including nup160/sar1 (Dong et al., 2006b) and hos1/elys (Ishitani et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2001; Dong et al., 2006a).
The nup160/sar1 mutant impairs cold-responsive gene expression and is sensitive to chilling stress and defective in acquired freezing tolerance (Dong et al., 2006b). On the contrary, hos1/dyls exhibits enhanced expression of cold-induced genes in response to low temperature treatment, suggesting that HOCl/EYS negatively regulates the cold acclimation responses (Lee et al., 2001; MacGregor et al., 2013). Gong et al. (2005) isolated a cryophyte mutant, which exhibits an enhanced cold stress-induction of the master regulator of cold tolerance (CBF2), and its downstream target genes. The mutant is more tolerant to chilling and freezing stresses but is more sensitive to heat stress. The mutation is found in a DEAD box RNA helicase gene that is identical to the previously identified low expression of osmotically responsive genes 4 (LOS4) locus. The A. thaliana LOS4 is the ortholog of S. cerevisiae DBP5, which interacts with Nup159 and controls mRNA export (discussed below; Kohler and Hurt, 2007). It is demonstrated that LOS4–GFP fusion localizes at the nuclear rim (Gong et al., 2005), suggesting that A. thaliana LOS4 interacts with nuclear pore as observed in S. cerevisiae DBP5.

AUXIN HORMONE SIGNALING
Genetic screening experiments have revealed that nucleoporin is involved in auxin hormone signaling. The auxin resistance1 (axr1) mutant accumulates Aux/IAA proteins, repressors of auxin-regulated transcription, resulting in a pleiotropic phenotype consistent with an overall reduction in the auxin response (Lincoln et al., 1990). Two mutant suppressor of axr1 (sar) lines have been isolated and characterized (Cernac et al., 1997; Parry et al., 2006). Parry et al. (2006) found that SAR1 and SAR3 encode Nup160 and Nup96, respectively, which are nucleoporins in the Nup107–Nup160 subcomplex critical for NPC scaffolding. The authors also found that the sar1 and sar3 mutants both exhibit impaired nuclear localization of the transcriptional repressor AXL3/INDOLE ACETIC ACID17, suggesting that SAR1 and SAR3 are required for nuclear transport of Aux/IAA proteins in response to auxin signaling. Robles et al. (2012) reported that the nup160/sar1 mutant exhibits an enhanced ethylene response. Mutation of ARF7 or ARF19 almost fully blocks the ethylene hypersensitive phenotype in nup160/sar1, suggesting that auxin signaling is responsible for regulating the magnitude of the ethylene response. Jacob et al. (2007) demonstrated that mutation of TPR/NUA, which forms the nuclear basket of the NPC, also alters the auxin sensitivity of the axr1 mutant to the same level as that of nup96/sar3. Importantly, the levels of several mRNAs, some of which regulate the expression of genes involved in auxin signaling, are significantly reduced in tpr/nuu. This observation suggests that NPC may link mRNA metabolism to auxin signaling.

PLANT–PATHOGEN INTERACTION
THE ROLE OF TRANSPORTIN-SR IN IMMUNITY
Serine–arginine rich (SR) proteins are evolutionarily conserved nuclear proteins that play diverse roles in RNA metabolism, including pre-mRNA splicing, non-sense-mediated mRNA decay, and mRNA translation (Reddy, 2004; Long and Caceres, 2009). Transportin-SR (TRN-SR), which belongs to the TNPO3 subfamily (Figure 1A), functions as a nuclear import receptor for SR proteins in S. cerevisiae (Pemberton et al., 1997) and H. sapiens (Kataoka et al., 1999). Interestingly, knockdown of a TRN-SR gene (TSR-1) in Caenorhabditis elegans results in an early embryonic lethal phenotype, indicating that TRN-SR protein is essential for viability (Longman et al., 2000). A. thaliana has two TRN-SR orthologs (At1g12930 and At5g62600; Figure 1), one of which was identified as MOS14 (modifier of sncl-1, 14), which plays a key role in immune responses (Xu et al., 2011). The A. thaliana mos14 mutant exhibits impaired nuclear localization of several SR proteins, including mRNA splicing factors (Xu et al., 2011). Consistent with this phenotype, altered splicing patterns of auto-activated Resistance (R) genes (SNC1 and RPS4) were observed in mos14. The authors also found that mRNA splicing of Actin1 and β-tubulin4 occurs normally in mos14, suggesting there is an mRNA specificity in MOS14-dependent splicing. Taken together, these results suggest that MOS14 is required for plant immunity through proper splicing of R genes. It would be interesting to know whether the other A. thaliana TRN-SR homolog (At1g12930) has different functions and transports different cargoes from MOS14.

THE ROLE OF IMPORTIN α IN Agrobacterium tumefaciens TRANSFORMATION
To date, two A. thaliana importin α mutants have been reported. Palma et al. (2005) identified the IMPA3 (At4g02150)-deficient mutant mos6 (modifier of sncl, 6), which exhibits partially suppressed constitutive-resistance responses in sncl mutant. In mos6, salicylic acid (SA) accumulation is inhibited in response to virulent pathogens, suggesting that MOS6 functions upstream of SA biosynthesis in the resistance-signaling pathway. Bhattacharjee et al. (2008) found that four importin α isoforms (IMPA1–4) interact with Agrobacterium tumefaciens virulence proteins (VirD2 and VirE2), which are required for escorting T-DNA into the host’s nucleus. However, only the impa4 mutant, but not the other (impa1–3) mutants, exhibits resistance to Agrobacterium tumefaciens transformation. These results suggest that α family proteins functionally diverged to acquire specialized roles involving transport of specific cargoes. In further studies, characterization of multiple impa knockout mutants might be required for revealing the functional redundancy of IMPA family in plants.

THE ROLE OF NUCLEOPORINS IN SYMBIOSIS AND IMMUNITY RESPONSES
Three loss-of-function mutants of Nup133, Nup75/85, and Seh1 have been identified in Lotus japonicus. These nucleoporin mutants are impaired in both fungal (arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi) and bacterial (rhizobium bacteria) symbioses in a temperature-dependent manner (Kanamori et al., 2006; Saito et al., 2007; Groth et al., 2010). It was also found that nup85 nup133 double mutants, but not single mutants, exhibit severe temperature dependent growth and developmental defects (Binder and Parniske, 2014). Although the detailed mechanism of these temperature-dependent phenotypes is unclear, the phenotypes of these mutants are consistent with evidence suggesting temperature-dependent plasticity of nuclear pore plugging in Xenopus laevis (Stoffler et al., 2003). Intracellular root infection
by either endosymbiont is controlled by the activation of calcium and calmodulin-dependent kinase, a conserved regulatory component of symbiosis signaling. However, these nucleoporin mutants fail to exhibit perinuclear calcium spiking in response to Nod factor, a lipo-chitin oligosaccharide, which leads to differentiation of nodule tissues (Stougaard, 2000). NPC may play a role in calcium spiking by acting as a gate for calcium ions or as a regulator of calcium channels on the nuclear membrane. Although calcium-mediated opening and closing of nuclear baskets has been demonstrated in X. laevis (Stoffler et al., 1999), the molecular mechanism underlying the role of nucleoporin-dependent calcium spiking in the symbiotic process in L. japonicus is unclear.

The A. thaliana snc1 (suppressor of npr1-1, constitutive 1) mutant, which contains a gain-of-function mutation in the R (resistance) gene, shows constitutive activation of disease-resistance responses against pathogens. In a screen for suppressors of snc1, Li and colleagues identified several mos (modifier of snc1) mutants, including nup96/sar3/mos3 (Zhang and Li, 2005) and nup88/mos7 (Cheng et al., 2009). Both mos mutants have abolished SA accumulation, pathogenesis-related (PR) gene expression, and basal and R-gene-mediated resistance. Importantly, in nup88/mos7, nuclear accumulation of snc1 and the defense signaling components NPR1 (non-expressor of PR genes 1) and EDS1 (enhanced disease susceptibility 1) is significantly reduced, while nuclear retention of other tested proteins is unaffected (Cheng et al., 2009). This result suggests that cargo specificity between nuclear proteins and Nup88 is critical for immune responses. Nup96 is a component of the Nup107–Nup160 subcomplex, which is the evolutionarily conserved and largest subcomplex in the NPC. Wiermer et al. (2012) also examined whether other Nup107–Nup160 subcomplex members are involved in immunity. Among eight putative complex members examined, only plants with defects in Nup96, Nup160, or Seh1 are impaired in basal resistance and exhibit a suppressed auto-immunity phenotype in snc1 (Wiermer et al., 2012). The nup160/sar1 and seh1 mutants also exhibit compromised accumulation of EDS1, an essential regulator of basal resistance, as observed in nup88/mos7 plants. These results suggest that the functions of these nucleoporins partially overlap, but nucleoporins also play specific regulatory roles in plant immune responses.

RNA METABOLISM

REGULATION OF miRNA ACTIVITY BY IMPORTIN

A forward genetic screen for miRNA activity led to the identification of the ema1 (enhanced miRNA activity1) mutant, which is allelic to sad2 (Wang et al., 2011). Wang et al. (2011) found that the levels of endogenous miRNA targets (SPL3, MYBS4, and CUC2) were reduced by 40–50% in sad2/ema1, indicating that SAD2/EMA1 is involved in general miRNA production. The authors also demonstrated that the miRNA effector complexes in ema1 contain higher amounts of miRNAs and elevated mRNA cleavage activity compared to the wild type, indicating that EMA1 modulates miRNA activity by influencing the loading of miRNAs into ARGONAUTE1 (AGO1) complexes. On the contrary, ema1 has no effect on the accumulation of miRNAs or AGO1 on their cytoplasmic or nuclear distribution. These results suggest that SAD2/EMA1 functions as a negative regulator of the miRNA pathway. Although it is unclear whether SAD2/EMA1 directly or indirectly interacts with AGO1 complexes, SAD2/EMA1 may sequester excessive miRNAs to prevent their loading into the AGO1 complex. Alternatively, nuclear-cytoplasmic distribution of SAD2/EMA1 cargoes may be important for the regulation of miRNA activity.

REGULATION OF mRNA EXPORT AT NUCLEAR PORE

The mRNA-transport receptor complex physically interacts with the FG-repeats of FG nucleoporins, which allow it to overcome the permeability barrier of the NPC (Kohler and Hurt, 2007). An aberrant mRNA export phenotype is widely observed in nucleoporin mutants, including nup96/sar3/mos3 (Zhang and Li, 2005; Parry et al., 2006), nup136/nup1 (Lu et al., 2010; Tamura et al., 2010), nup160/sar1 (Dong et al., 2006b; Parry et al., 2006), hos1/elys (MacGregor et al., 2013), seh1 (Wiermer et al., 2012), and tprr/mua (Jacob et al., 2007). In addition, deficiencies of NPC-associated factors also result in abnormal mRNA export. Mutation in LOS4, whose yeast ortholog is known to interact with nuclear pore and regulate mRNA export (Kohler and Hurt, 2007), results in abnormal mRNAs accumulation in nucleus (Gong et al., 2005). Lu et al. (2010) reported that TREX-2 complex, which is anchored by Nup136/Nup1, is required for mRNA export in A. thaliana. Although several components involved in the mRNA export have been isolated, further study will be required for understanding the molecular mechanisms and physiological roles of mRNA export system in plants.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

As discussed above, many nucleocytoplasmic transport mutants exhibit pleiotropic phenotypes. MacGregor et al. (2013) demonstrated that los4 and several nucleoporin mutants including nup160/sar1, nup107, mua, and hos1/elys all exhibit long-period circadian phenotypes and alterations to clock gene expression. Since many of the signaling pathways are under control of circadian clock, it is raised possibility that impairing in nucleocytoplasmic transport leads indirect effects on the signaling pathways. Moreover, tprr/mua was found to increase largely in the amount of mRNA compared with wild type, leading to broad-scale transcriptome alterations (Jacob et al., 2007). This result also suggests that the nucleocytoplasmic transport has indirect effect on the signaling. Further studies are needed in order to differentiate between direct and indirect role of nucleocytoplasmic transport in plants.

Recent years have seen major progress in our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying nucleocytoplasmic transport in plant cells. It is now firmly established that these transport systems are responsible for developmental and signaling pathways that are indispensable for plant growth. Although nucleocytoplasmic transport is an evolutionarily conserved system in eukaryotic cells, plants appear to have developed functionally divergent cargoes and regulatory mechanisms, especially in response to environmental signaling. Achieving a deeper understanding of the mechanisms by which nuclear transport receptors exert these functions will require the identification of the
cargo molecules that are transported by these nuclear transport receptors.
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