

# The existence of positive solutions to the semilinear elliptic equation involving the Sobolev and the Sobolev-Hardy critical terms

Chang-Shou Lin\*, Chun-Hsiung Hsia\*\* and Hidemitsu Wadade\*\*\*

\* *Taida Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei, 106, Taiwan*

\*\* *Taida Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei, 106, Taiwan*

\*\*\* *Advanced Mathematical Institute, Osaka City University, Osaka, 558-8585, Japan*

## Abstract

Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $\Omega$  be a  $C^2$ -bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . We consider

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u^p + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

where  $0 < s < 2$ ,  $2^* = \frac{2(n-s)}{n-2}$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \lambda > 0 & \text{if } 1 < p \leq \frac{n+2}{n-2}, \\ 0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(\Omega) & \text{if } p = 1, \end{cases}$$

and  $\lambda_1(\Omega)$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  with the Dirichlet boundary condition.

In this paper, we shall prove the equation (0.1) has a positive solution provided that  $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . If the mean curvature  $H$  at 0 is negative, then for each of the following cases, the equation (0.1) has a positive solution.

1.  $1 \leq p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ .

2.  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  and

$$\begin{cases} 0 < s < 1 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 0 < s < 2 & \text{if } n \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

If  $H(0) < 0$ , then we also prove the existence of a positive solution for the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \lambda u^p + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\lambda > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq p < \min \left\{ \frac{n}{n-2}, 2^* - 1 \right\}.$$

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35J60 (primary), 35B33 (secondary).

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the existence of positive solutions of the following nonlinear elliptic equations:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u^p + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 3$ ,  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $0 < s < 2$ ,  $2^* = 2^*(s) = \frac{2(n-s)}{n-2}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  and  $\lambda$  is a real parameter. For the case  $p = 1$ , this problem was considered by Ghoussoub-Robert [3, 4], and they proved the following result.

**Theorem A.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $\lambda < \lambda_1(\Omega)$ , where  $\lambda_1(\Omega) > 0$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  with the Dirichlet boundary condition. Then the equation*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

*has a positive solution provided that the mean curvature  $H$  of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0 is negative.*

In an earlier paper by Brézis-Nirenberg [1], among other results, they showed:

**Theorem B.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 4$ . Then for every  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1(\Omega))$ , there exists a positive solution for the equation*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u + u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

In this article, we study more general cases. Namely, we obtain the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . Then the equation*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u^p + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

*has a positive solution if one of the following conditions holds:*

(i)  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^3$  at 0,  $H(0) < 0$  and

$$\begin{cases} \lambda > 0 & \text{if } 1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}, \\ 0 < \lambda < \lambda_1(\Omega) & \text{if } p = 1. \end{cases}$$

(ii)  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^3$  at 0,  $H(0) = 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ .

(iii)  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^2$  at 0 (no restriction for the mean curvature),  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ .

(iv)  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^3$  at 0,  $H(0) < 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  and

$$\begin{cases} 0 < s < 1 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 0 < s < 2 & \text{if } n \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Our proof for Theorem 1.1 is inspired by the idea in Brézis-Nirenberg [1]. However, there is a major difference between our work and [1]. As it is well-known, the Sobolev best constant  $S_n$  is actually independent of  $\Omega$ . This important fact was used in [1] implicitly. For our problem,  $\mu_s(\Omega)$  defined in

Lemma 2.1 below does depend on the domain  $\Omega$ . For the proof of the assertion (iv), besides using the fact that the Sobolev best constant  $S_n$  is independent of  $\Omega$ , we also take advantage of that the energy level  $c^*$  in the mountain pass lemma (see the remark of Theorem C) is independent of the choice of  $v$ .

Furthermore, we prove the existence theorem of the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\partial\Omega \in C^3$  at 0,  $H(0) < 0$  and  $1 \leq p < \min\{\frac{n}{n-2}, 2^* - 1\}$ . Then the equation*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \lambda u^p + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

has a positive solution.

For  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we prove the following theorem by the Pohozaev identity together with the blow-up argument used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For  $n \geq 3$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a positive solution of the equation*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

provided that

$$\begin{cases} 0 < s < 1 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 0 < s < 2 & \text{if } n \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

This paper is organized as follows. Couples of auxiliary lemmas are collected in Section 2. The proof of theorems occupies Section 3-Section 5.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we prove two lemmas for the proof of main theorems.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and assume one of the followings:*

- (i)  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^3$  at 0,  $H(0) < 0$  and  $1 \leq p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ .
- (ii)  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^3$  at 0,  $H(0) = 0$  and  $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ .
- (iii)  $\partial\Omega$  is  $C^2$  at 0 (no restriction for the mean curvature) and  $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ .

Then there exists a nonnegative function  $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,p}(tv_0) < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \mu_s(\Omega)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\Phi_{s,p}(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p+1} (u^+)^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} \right) dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

and

$$\mu_s(\Omega) := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \mid u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.1, we apply the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  be an entire positive solution of*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|y|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \quad \text{with } \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{u^{2^*}}{|y|^s} dy = 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|y|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Then the followings hold:

(i)

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}) & \text{if } s < 1 + \frac{2}{n}, \\ u \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}) & \text{for all } 0 < \beta < 1 \quad \text{if } s = 1 + \frac{2}{n}, \\ u \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}) & \text{for all } 0 < \beta < \frac{n(2-s)}{n-2} \quad \text{if } s > 1 + \frac{2}{n}. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *There is a constant  $C$  such that  $|u(y)| \leq C(1 + |y|)^{1-n}$  and  $|\nabla u(y)| \leq C(1 + |y|)^{-n}$ .*

(iii)  *$u(y', y_n)$  is axially symmetric with respect to the  $y_n$ -axis, i.e.,  $u(y', y_n) = u(|y'|, y_n)$ .*

Concerning the proof of the existence of a solution, assertions (i) and (ii) for the equation (2.2), see Egnell [2] and Ghoussoub-Robert [3, 4]. The existence of a solution for the equation (2.3) is obtained in Theorem 1.3. For the rest part of the proof for Lemma 2.2, we refer to Lin-Wadade [5].

**Proof of Lemma 2.1.** We first show (i). Ghoussoub-Robert [3, 4] proved that if  $H(0) < 0$ , then  $\mu_s(\Omega)$  is attained by a positive function  $v_0$  with

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^2 dx = \mu_s(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_0^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx = 1.$$

Then since  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,p}(tv_0) &= \max_{t \geq 0} \left( \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} v_0^{p+1} dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_0^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx \right) \\ &< \max_{t \geq 0} \left( \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_0|^2 dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_0^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx \right) = \max_{t \geq 0} \left( \frac{t^2}{2} \mu_s(\Omega) - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\Omega)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (i) is proved.

Next, we shall prove assertions (ii) and (iii). Since  $\mu_s(\Omega) \leq \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , we consider two cases. First, if  $\mu_s(\Omega) < \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , then  $\mu_s(\Omega)$  can be achieved by some function in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , see [3, 4] and [5]. In this case, (1.2) can be proved by the same fashion used in the proof of the assertion (i). Hereafter, we only need to deal with the remaining case that  $\mu_s(\Omega) = \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that in a neighborhood of 0,  $\partial\Omega$  can be represented by  $x_n = \varphi(x')$ ,  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ , where  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\nabla' \varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $\nabla' = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_{n-1})$ , and the outer normal of  $\partial\Omega$  is  $-e_n$ .

Let  $u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  be an entire positive solution of (2.2), and take  $U$  and  $\tilde{U}$  to be neighborhoods of 0 such that  $\Psi(U) = B_{r_0}(0)$  and  $\Psi(\tilde{U}) = B_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(0)$ , respectively. We define for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$v_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} u \left( \frac{\Psi(x)}{\varepsilon} \right) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \cap U, \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{v}_\varepsilon := \eta v_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(U)$  is a positive cut-off function with  $\eta \equiv 1$  in  $\tilde{U}$ , and

$$\Psi(x) := (x', x_n - \varphi(x')) \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{\Omega} \cap \overline{U}.$$

For  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\Phi_{s,p}(t\hat{v}_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} \hat{v}_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega \cap \tilde{U}} \frac{v_\varepsilon^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx. \quad (2.5)$$

In what follows, we investigate each integral in (2.5) precisely. By a change of the variable  $\frac{\Psi(x)}{\varepsilon} = y$  and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega \cap U} \eta^2 |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega \cap U} \eta (\Delta \eta) v_\varepsilon^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u(y)|^2 dy - 2 \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \partial_n u(y) \nabla' u(y) \cdot (\nabla' \varphi)(\varepsilon y') dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 (\partial_n u(y))^2 |(\nabla' \varphi)(\varepsilon y')|^2 dy - \varepsilon^2 \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)) (\Delta \eta) (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)) u(y)^2 dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla u(y)|^2 dy - 2 \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \partial_n u(y) \nabla' u(y) \cdot (\nabla' \varphi)(\varepsilon y') dy + O(\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts and  $\nabla' u \equiv 0$  on  $\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= -2 \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \partial_n u(y) \nabla' u(y) \cdot (\nabla' \varphi)(\varepsilon y') dy \\ &= -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \partial_n u(y) \nabla' u(y) \cdot \nabla' [\varphi(\varepsilon y')] dy \\ &= \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)) \nabla' [\eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))] \cdot \partial_n u(y) \nabla' u(y) \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \nabla' \partial_n u(y) \cdot \nabla' u(y) \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \partial_n u(y) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{ii} u(y) \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \partial_n u(y) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{ii} u(y) \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy + O(\varepsilon^n). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the equation (2.2) and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I' &:= \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \partial_n u(y) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{ii} u(y) \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \\ &= -\frac{2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}{2^* \varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta (\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \frac{\partial_n [u(y)^{2^*}]}{|y|^s} \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta(\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \partial_n [(\partial_n u(y))^2] \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \\
& = -\frac{2s\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}{2^* \varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta(\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \frac{u(y)^{2^*} y_n}{|y|^{s+2}} \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \\
& + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+ \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} \eta(\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 (\partial_n u(y))^2 \varphi(\varepsilon y') dS_y + O(\varepsilon^n) =: J_1 + J_2 + O(\varepsilon^n).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\partial \Omega$  is  $C^3$  at 0,  $\varphi$  can be expanded by

$$\varphi(y') = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i y_i^2 + O(|y'|^3). \quad (2.6)$$

Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 & = -\frac{2s\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}{2^* \varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta(\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \frac{u(y)^{2^*} y_n}{|y|^{s+2}} \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \\
& = -\frac{2s\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}{2^* \varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+ \setminus B_{\frac{r_0/2}{\varepsilon}}^+} \eta(\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 \frac{u(y)^{2^*} y_n}{|y|^{s+2}} \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy \\
& - \frac{2s\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}{2^* \varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0/2}{\varepsilon}}^+} \frac{u(y)^{2^*} y_n}{|y|^{s+2}} \varphi(\varepsilon y') dy =: J_{1,1} + J_{1,2}, \quad \text{and}
\end{aligned}$$

$$|J_{1,1}| \leq C\varepsilon \int_{\{\frac{r_0}{2} \leq |\varepsilon y| < r_0\}} |y|^{2^*(1-n)+1-s} dy = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(n-s)}{n-2}}).$$

Moreover, note that

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n \setminus B_{\frac{r_0/2}{\varepsilon}}^+} u(y)^{2^*} |y|^{1-s} dy = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(n-s)}{n-2}}), \\ \varepsilon^2 \int_{B_{\frac{r_0/2}{\varepsilon}}^+} u(y)^{2^*} |y|^{2-s} dy = O(\varepsilon^2), \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

which is integrable because  $2^*(1-n) + 2 - s + n < 0$ , i.e.,  $n^2 - (2+s)n + 4 > 0$ . Thus by using (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{1,2} & = -\frac{2s\varepsilon\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}{2^*} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{u(y)^{2^*} y_i^2 y_n}{|y|^{2+s}} dy + O(\varepsilon^2) \\
& = -\frac{2s\varepsilon\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}{2^*(n-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{u(y)^{2^*} |y'|^2 y_n}{|y|^{2+s}} dy \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i + O(\varepsilon^2) = -K_1 H(0) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$H(0) := \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \quad \text{and} \quad K_1 := \frac{2s\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{u(y)^{2^*} |y'|^2 y_n}{|y|^{2+s}} dy. \quad (2.8)$$

In the above estimate, we used the fact  $u(y', y_n) = u(|y'|, y_n)$ . Next, we see that

$$J_2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+ \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} \eta(\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 (\partial_n u(y))^2 \varphi(\varepsilon y') dS_y$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{(B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+ \setminus B_{\frac{r_0/2}{\varepsilon}}^+) \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} \eta(\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y))^2 (\partial_n u(y))^2 \varphi(\varepsilon y') dS_y \\
&+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0/2}{\varepsilon}}^+ \cap \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} (\partial_n u(y))^2 \varphi(\varepsilon y') dS_y =: J_{2,1} + J_{2,2}, \quad \text{and}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_{2,1}| &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{\frac{r_0}{2} < |\varepsilon y'| \leq r_0\}} |(\partial_n u)(y', 0)|^2 |\varphi(\varepsilon y')| dy' \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \int_{\{\frac{r_0}{2} < |\varepsilon y'| \leq r_0\}} |y'|^{-2n+2} dy' = O(\varepsilon^n).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, note that  $|(\partial_n u)(y', 0)|^2 |y'|^3 = O(|y'|^{-2n+3})$  for large  $|y'|$  and  $2n - 3 > n - 1$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Hence, it is integrable and

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \int_{\{|\varepsilon y'| > \frac{r_0}{2}\}} |(\partial_n u)(y', 0)|^2 |y'|^2 dy' = O(\varepsilon^n), \\ \varepsilon^2 \int_{\{|\varepsilon y'| \leq \frac{r_0}{2}\}} |(\partial_n u)(y', 0)|^2 |y'|^3 dy' = O(\varepsilon^2). \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Thus by using (2.6) and (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{2,2} &= \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} ((\partial_n u)(y', 0))^2 y_i^2 dy' + O(\varepsilon^2) \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon}{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |(\nabla u)(y', 0)|^2 |y'|^2 dy' \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i + O(\varepsilon^2) = K_2 H(0) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |(\nabla u)(y', 0)|^2 |y'|^2 dy'. \quad (2.10)$$

After all, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx \leq \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) - K_1 H(0) \varepsilon + K_2 H(0) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2). \quad (2.11)$$

Next, by changing the variable  $\frac{\Psi(x)}{\varepsilon} = y$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \hat{v}_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx = \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(p+1)}{2}}). \quad (2.12)$$

Furthermore, the integral  $\int_{\Omega \cap \tilde{U}} \frac{v_\varepsilon^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx$  can be estimated as follows. By a change of the variable  $\frac{\Psi(x)}{\varepsilon} = y$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega \cap \tilde{U}} \frac{v_\varepsilon^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx = \int_{B_{\frac{r_0/2}{\varepsilon}}^+} \frac{u^{2^*}}{\left| \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)}{\varepsilon} \right|^s} dy. \quad (2.13)$$

Since  $\Psi^{-1}(y) = (y', y_n + \varphi(y'))$ , it holds  $|\Psi^{-1}(y)|^2 = |y|^2 + 2y_n \varphi(y') + (\varphi(y'))^2$ , and then

$$\frac{1}{\left| \frac{\Psi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)}{\varepsilon} \right|^s} = \frac{1}{|y|^s} \cdot \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \frac{2y_n \varphi(\varepsilon y')}{\varepsilon |y|^2} + \frac{(\varphi(\varepsilon y'))^2}{\varepsilon^2 |y|^2} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|y|^s} \left( 1 - \frac{sy_n \varphi(\varepsilon y')}{\varepsilon |y|^2} - \frac{s(\varphi(\varepsilon y'))^2}{2\varepsilon^2 |y|^2} \right) + C \frac{1}{|y|^s} \left( \frac{2y_n \varphi(\varepsilon y')}{\varepsilon |y|^2} + \frac{(\varphi(\varepsilon y'))^2}{\varepsilon^2 |y|^2} \right)^2. \quad (2.14)$$

Thus from (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \cap \tilde{U}} \frac{v_\varepsilon^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{u^{2^*}}{|y|^s} dy - \frac{s}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\frac{r_0}{\varepsilon}}^+} \frac{u(y)^{2^*} y_n \varphi(\varepsilon y')}{|y|^{2+s}} dy + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= 1 - s\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{u(y)^{2^*} y_i^2 y_n}{|y|^{2+s}} dy + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &= 1 - \frac{s\varepsilon}{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{u(y)^{2^*} |y'|^2 y_n}{|y|^{2+s}} dy \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i + O(\varepsilon^2) = 1 - \frac{2^* K_1}{2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_1$  is the same positive constant as in (2.8).

After all, each integral can be estimated by

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx \leq \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) - K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2), \\ \int_{\Omega} \hat{v}_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx = \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(p+1)}{2}}), \\ \int_{\Omega \cap \tilde{U}} \frac{v_\varepsilon^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx = 1 - \frac{2^* K_1}{2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2). \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

By (2.5) and (2.15), we have for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{s,p}(t\hat{v}_\varepsilon) &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} (\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) - K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)) \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda t^{p+1}}{p+1} \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(p+1)}{2}}) \right) - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \left( 1 - \frac{2^* K_1}{2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

We claim that the right-hand side has the maximum point  $t_m$  expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} t_m &= \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^*-2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} K_2 H(0)\varepsilon \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{2^*-2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-2-p}{2^*-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} + 1}) + O(\varepsilon^{n+2-(n-2)p}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Indeed, set

$$t_m = \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} + A(\varepsilon) \quad \text{with } A(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.18)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $t_m$  is the maximum point, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) - K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) - \lambda t_m^{p-1} \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(p+1)}{2}}) \right) \\ &\quad - t_m^{2^*-2} \left( 1 - \frac{2^* K_1}{2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

By substituting (2.18) into (2.19), we see that

$$\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) - K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& -\lambda \left( \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} + A(\varepsilon) \right)^{p-1} \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(p+1)}{2}}) \right) \\
& - \left( \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} + A(\varepsilon) \right)^{2^*-2} \left( 1 - \frac{2^* K_1}{2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \right) \\
& = \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) - K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \\
& - \lambda \left( \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p-1}{2^*-2}} + (p-1)\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p-2}{2^*-2}} A(\varepsilon) + O(A(\varepsilon)^2) \right) \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(p+1)}{2}}) \right) \\
& - \left( \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) + (2^* - 2)\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} A(\varepsilon) + O(A(\varepsilon)^2) \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2^* K_1}{2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \right) \\
& = \frac{2^* - 2}{2} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon - \lambda \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p-1}{2^*-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(A(\varepsilon)^2) \\
& - A(\varepsilon) \left( (2^* - 2)\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} - \frac{2^*(2^* - 2)}{2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{1}{2^*-2}} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}}) \right) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where note that

$$\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} \leq 2, \text{ i.e., } p \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} \leq \frac{n(p+1)}{2}, \text{ i.e., } p \geq \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A(\varepsilon) & = \left( (2^* - 2)\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} - \frac{2^*(2^* - 2)}{2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{1}{2^*-2}} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}}) \right)^{-1} \\
& \times \left( \frac{2^* - 2}{2} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon - \lambda \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p-1}{2^*-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2) \right) + O(A(\varepsilon)^2) \\
& =: B(\varepsilon) + O(A(\varepsilon)^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, note that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{B(\varepsilon)}{A(\varepsilon)} = 1$ , which implies  $A(\varepsilon) = B(\varepsilon) + O(B(\varepsilon)^2)$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\varepsilon) & = \left( \frac{1}{2^* - 2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} + \frac{2^*}{2(2^* - 2)} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2 \cdot 2^* - 5}{2^* - 2}} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}}) \right) \\
& \times \left( \frac{2^* - 2}{2} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon - \lambda \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p-1}{2^*-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2) \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^* - 2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} K_2 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \\
& - \frac{\lambda}{2^* - 2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-2-p}{2^*-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} + 1}) + O(\varepsilon^{n+2-(n-2)p}). \quad (2.20)
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we obtain (2.17). By (2.16) and (2.17), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,p}(t\hat{v}_\varepsilon) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2}{2^*-2}} + 2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^* - 2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}} K_2 H(0)\varepsilon \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{\lambda}{2^* - 2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^* - 2 - p}{2^* - 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \Big) + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} + 1}) + O(\varepsilon^{n+2 - (n-2)p}) \Big] \\
& \times (\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) - K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)) - \frac{\lambda}{p+1} \left[ \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p+1}{2^* - 2}} + (p+1)\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p}{2^* - 2}} \right. \\
& \times \left( \frac{1}{2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^* - 3}{2^* - 2}} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^* - 2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^* - 3}{2^* - 2}} K_2 H(0)\varepsilon \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{\lambda}{2^* - 2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^* - 2 - p}{2^* - 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \right) + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} + 1}) + O(\varepsilon^{n+2 - (n-2)p}) \right] \\
& \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(p+1)}{2}}) \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2^*} \left[ \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}} + 2^* \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^* - 1}{2^* - 2}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^* - 3}{2^* - 2}} K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^* - 2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^* - 3}{2^* - 2}} K_2 H(0)\varepsilon \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{\lambda}{2^* - 2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^* - 2 - p}{2^* - 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \right) + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} + 1}) + O(\varepsilon^{n+2 - (n-2)p}) \right] \\
& \times \left( 1 - \frac{2^* K_1}{2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \right) \\
& = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2}{2^* - 2}} K_2 H(0)\varepsilon - \frac{\lambda}{p+1} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p+1}{2^* - 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \\
& + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} + 1}) + O(\varepsilon^{n+2 - (n-2)p}). \tag{2.21}
\end{aligned}$$

By using (2.21), we can show (ii) and (iii) as follows. First, if  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $H(0) = 0$  and  $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , i.e.,  $2 - \left( \frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} \right) > 0$ , we have for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,p}(t\hat{v}_\varepsilon) \\
& \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}} - \frac{\lambda}{p+1} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p+1}{2^* - 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^2) + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}}) \\
& < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (ii) is proved.

Next, if  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , i.e.,  $1 - \left( \frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} \right) > 0$ , we have for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,p}(t\hat{v}_\varepsilon) \\
& \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}} - \frac{\lambda}{p+1} \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{p+1}{2^* - 2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} + O(\varepsilon) + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}}) \\
& < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (iii) is proved.  $\square$

In the proof of the assertion (iv) of Theorem 1.1, we use the information derived from the positive solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v + \lambda v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \frac{v^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases} \quad (2.22)$$

If the equation (2.22) has no positive solution, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is valid. In case (2.22) has a positive solution, we use the following lemma in our proof.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\partial\Omega \in C^3$  at 0 and  $H(0) < 0$ . Then there exists a nonnegative function  $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\Phi_{s,*}(v_0) < 0$  and*

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,*}(tv_0) < c^*,$$

where

$$\Phi_{s,*}(u) := \Phi_{s, \frac{n+2}{n-2}}(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{(n-2)\lambda}{2n} (u^+)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} \right) dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

$c^* := \Phi_{s,*}(v)$ , and  $v \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  is the positive solution of the equation (2.22).

**Proof.** Considering the proof of Lemma 2.1, we take  $u = v \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and define  $\hat{v}_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by (2.4). From the estimates (2.15), we get for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{s,*}(t\hat{v}_\varepsilon) &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{v}_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} \hat{v}_\varepsilon^{p+1} dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega \cap \bar{U}} \frac{v_\varepsilon^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla v|^2 dy - K_1' H(0) \varepsilon + K_2' H(0) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda t^{p+1}}{p+1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} v^{p+1} dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(p+1)}{2}}) \right) - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v^{2^*}}{|y|^s} dy - \frac{2^* K_1'}{2} H(0) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \right) \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla v|^2 dy - \frac{\lambda t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} v^{p+1} dy - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v^{2^*}}{|y|^s} dy \\ &\quad + \frac{H(0)}{2} \left( (K_2' - K_1') t^2 + K_1' t^{2^*} \right) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &:= f_1(t) + \frac{H(0)\varepsilon}{2} f_2(t) + O(\varepsilon^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$K_1' = \frac{2s}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v^{2^*} |y'|^2 y_n}{|y|^{2+s}} dy \quad \text{and} \quad K_2' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |(\nabla v)(y', 0)|^2 |y'|^2 dy'.$$

Since  $2^* > 2$ ,  $\frac{2n}{n-2} > 2$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla v|^2 dy = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} v^{p+1} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v^{2^*}}{|y|^s} dy,$$

we find

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} f_1(t) = f_1(1) = c^* \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } t > t_1,$$

where

$$t_1 := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } K'_2 > K'_1, \\ \left(\frac{K'_1 - K'_2}{K'_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^* - 2}} < 1 & \text{if } K'_2 < K'_1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, in case  $H(0) < 0$  and  $\varepsilon$  small, we conclude

$$\Phi_{s,*}(t\hat{v}_\varepsilon) < f_1(1) = c^*.$$

Finally, we take  $v_0 = t_0\hat{v}_\varepsilon$  where  $t_0$  is large enough so that  $\Phi_{s,*}(v_0) < 0$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . If (i)  $n = 3$  and  $0 < s < 1$  or (ii)  $n \geq 4$  and  $0 < s < 2$ , there exists a nonnegative function  $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\Phi_{s,*}(v_0) < 0$  and*

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,*}(tv_0) < \frac{1}{n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

where

$$\Phi_{s,*}(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{(n-2)\lambda}{2n} (u^+)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} \right) dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$S_n := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \mid u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

**Remark.** It is well-known that when  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $S_n$  is achieved by the function

$$g(x) = C(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}},$$

where  $C$  is a normalization constant.

**Proof of Lemma 2.4.** Let  $x_0$  be an interior point of  $\Omega$  such that  $B(x_0, 3r) \subset \Omega$ . Take  $\phi(x) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  be a cut off function with  $\phi|_{B(x_0, r)} = 1$  and  $\phi(x)|_{\Omega \setminus B(x_0, 2r)} = 0$ . Consider

$$g_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} g\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

For  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\Phi_{s,*}(tg_\varepsilon) = \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \frac{(n-2)\lambda t^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}{2n} \int_{\Omega} g_\varepsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} \frac{g_\varepsilon^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx. \quad (2.24)$$

Using the integration by parts and a change of the variable  $y = \frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g_\varepsilon(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} \left| (\nabla g)\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon}\right) \right|^2 \phi(x) dx - \varepsilon^{2-n} \int_{B(x_0, 2r) \setminus B(x_0, r)} g\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \phi(x) \Delta \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{B(0, \frac{2r}{\varepsilon})} |\nabla g(y)|^2 \phi(x_0 + \varepsilon y) dy - \varepsilon^2 \int_{B(0, \frac{2r}{\varepsilon}) \setminus B(0, \frac{r}{\varepsilon})} g(y)^2 \phi(x_0 + \varepsilon y) (\Delta \phi)(x_0 + \varepsilon y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation gives

$$\begin{cases} \int_{B(0, \frac{2r}{\varepsilon})} |\nabla g(y)|^2 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla g(y)|^2 dy + O(\varepsilon^{n-2}), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, \frac{r}{\varepsilon})} g(y)^2 dy = \begin{cases} O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text{if } n = 3, \\ O\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) & \text{if } n = 4, \\ O(\varepsilon^{n-4}) & \text{if } n \geq 5. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla g_{\varepsilon}(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla g(y)|^2 dy + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } n = 3, \\ O(\varepsilon^2 \log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})) & \text{if } n = 4, \\ O(\varepsilon^{n-2}) & \text{if } n \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Next, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g_{\varepsilon}(x)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dy + O(\varepsilon^n),$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{g_{\varepsilon}(x)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx = \varepsilon^s \int_{B(0, \frac{2r}{\varepsilon})} \frac{(1 + |y|^2)^{-(n-s)}}{|x_0 + \varepsilon y|^s} \phi(x_0 + \varepsilon y)^{2^*} dy = O(\varepsilon^s).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{s,*}(tg_{\varepsilon}) &= \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla g(y)|^2 dy - \frac{(n-2)\lambda t^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dy \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^s \int_{B(0, \frac{2r}{\varepsilon})} \frac{(1 + |y|^2)^{-(n-s)}}{|x_0 + \varepsilon y|^s} \phi(x_0 + \varepsilon y)^{2^*} dy + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } n = 3, \\ O(\varepsilon^2 \log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})) & \text{if } n = 4, \\ O(\varepsilon^{n-2}) & \text{if } n \geq 5. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Elementary calculus gives

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \left( \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla g(y)|^2 dy - \frac{(n-2)\lambda t^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dy \right) = \frac{1}{n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

To sum up,

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,*}(tg_{\varepsilon}) < \frac{1}{n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

if either (i)  $n = 3$  and  $0 < s < 1$  or (ii)  $n \geq 4$  and  $0 < s < 2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We need the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\partial\Omega \in C^3$  at  $0$ ,  $H(0) < 0$  and  $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{n-2}$ . Then there exists a nonnegative function  $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\Psi_{s,p}(v_0) < 0$  and*

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \Psi_{s,p}(tv_0) < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}},$$

where

$$\Psi_{s,p}(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{p+1} (u^+)^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} \right) dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we use the entire solution  $u \in H_0^1(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  of the equation (2.2), and define  $\hat{v}_{\varepsilon} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  by (2.4). Then from the estimates (2.15), we obtain for  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{s,p}(t\hat{v}_{\varepsilon}) &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{v}_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda t^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} \hat{v}_{\varepsilon}^{p+1} dx - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_{\varepsilon}^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^2}{2} (\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n) - K_1 H(0)\varepsilon + K_2 H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda t^{p+1}}{p+1} \left( \varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u^{p+1} dy + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n(p+1)}{2}}) \right) - \frac{t^{2^*}}{2^*} \left( 1 - \frac{2^* K_1}{2\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

It is easy to see that for each small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\Psi_{s,p}(t_0\hat{v}_\varepsilon) < 0$ . Moreover, let  $t_m$  be the maximum point of the right-hand side of (2.25) in  $(0, t_0)$ . Then as in the same way to the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can find the expression of  $t_m$  by

$$\begin{aligned} t_m &= \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}}K_1H(0)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^*-2}\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}}K_2H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2^*-2}\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-2-p}{2^*-2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}u^{p+1}dy\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2}-\frac{(n-2)p}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2}-\frac{(n-2)p}{2}+1}) + O(\varepsilon^{n+2-(n-2)p}) \\ &= \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}}K_1H(0)\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^*-2}\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{-\frac{2^*-3}{2^*-2}}K_2H(0) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2}-\frac{(n-2)p}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where note that  $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{n-2}$  implies  $\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} \leq 2 < \min\{\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} + 1, n+2 - (n-2)p\}$ . By substituting (2.26) into (2.25), we eventually get

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} \Psi_{s,p}(t\hat{v}_\varepsilon) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}} + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}K_2H(0)\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{2}-\frac{(n-2)p}{2}}) \\ &< \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right)\mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is possible since  $H(0) < 0$  and  $\frac{n+2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)p}{2} - 1 > 0$ , i.e.,  $p < \frac{n}{n-2}$ . Thus Lemma 2.5 is proved.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1 by applying Lemma 2.1 and the mountain pass lemma of the following type:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a  $C^1$ -function on a Banach space  $E$ . Assume that there exist an open set  $0 \in U \subset E$  and  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(u) \geq \rho & \text{for all } u \in \partial U, \\ \Phi(0) < \rho, \quad \Phi(v) < \rho & \text{for some } v \notin U. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Set

$$c := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{w \in P} \Phi(w) \geq \rho,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the class of continuous paths joining 0 to  $v$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{u_j\} \subset E$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \Phi(u_j) \rightarrow c, \\ \Phi'(u_j) \rightarrow 0 & \text{in } E^*. \end{cases}$$

**Remark.** Suppose  $v_i \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\Phi_{s,*}(v_i) < 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $\Phi_{s,*}$  is as defined in Lemma 2.3. Then  $\Phi_{s,*}(tv_i) < 0$  for  $t > 1$ , and  $\Phi_{s,*}(tv_i) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, there exists a continuous path  $v(\eta) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $v(0) = v_1$ ,  $v(1) = v_2$  and  $\Phi_{s,*}(v(\eta)) < 0$ . Therefore, if we take

$$c := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{w \in P} \Phi_{s,*}(w) > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

then  $c$  is independent of the choice of  $v$  as long as  $\Phi_{s,*}(v) < 0$ .

**Proof of the Subcritical Case for Theorem 1.1.** In what follows, take  $E = H_0^1(\Omega)$  in Theorem C and check the condition (3.1). By the Sobolev inequality and the Sobolev-Hardy inequality, we have

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} (u^+)^{p+1} dx \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_1(\Omega)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 & \text{if } p = 1, \\ C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{p+1} & \text{if } p > 1, \end{cases} \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2^*}. \end{cases}$$

Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{s,p}(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p+1} (u^+)^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{(u^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} \right) dx \\ &\geq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \times \begin{cases} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1(\Omega)} \right) - C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2^*-2} \right) & \text{if } p = 1, \\ \left( \frac{1}{2} - C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{p-1} - C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2^*-2} \right) & \text{if } p > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1(\Omega)} > 0$ , i.e.,  $\lambda < \lambda_1(\Omega)$  in the case  $p = 1$ , and taking  $U := B_{r_0}(0)$  with small  $r_0 > 0$ , we have

$$\Phi_{s,p}(u) \geq \rho > 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in \partial\Omega,$$

where

$$\rho := \begin{cases} r_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1(\Omega)} \right) - C r_0^{2^*-2} \right) & \text{if } p = 1, \\ r_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - C r_0^{p-1} - C r_0^{2^*-2} \right) & \text{if } p > 1. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exists a nonnegative function  $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,p}(t v_0) < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\Omega)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}},$$

and set  $v =: t_0 v_0$ , where  $t_0 > 0$  is chosen large enough so that  $v \notin U$  and  $\Phi_{s,p}(v) \leq 0$ . Here, note that

$$\rho \leq c := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{w \in P} \Phi_{s,p}(w) \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,p}(t v) < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\Omega)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since  $\Phi_{s,p}(0) = 0$ , by applying Theorem C, there exists a sequence  $\{u_j\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{s,p}(u_j) &\rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi'_{s,p}(u_j) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega), \quad \text{i.e.,} \\ \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_j|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p+1} (u_j^+)^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*} \frac{(u_j^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} \right) dx &= c + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$-\Delta u_j - \lambda (u_j^+)^p - \frac{(u_j^+)^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} =: \zeta_j \quad \text{with } \zeta_j \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega). \quad (3.5)$$

We show the boundedness of  $\{u_j\}$ . Multiplying (3.5) by  $u_j$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_j|^2 - \lambda (u_j^+)^{p+1} - \frac{(u_j^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} \right) dx = \langle \zeta_j, u_j \rangle, \quad (3.6)$$

and (3.4) -  $\frac{1}{2}$  (3.6) yields that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) (u_j^+)^{p+1} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \frac{(u_j^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} \right) dx = c + o(1) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \zeta_j, u_j \rangle$$

$$\leq c + o(1) + \frac{1}{2} \|\zeta_j\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \|u_j\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C \left(1 + \|u_j\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}\right). \quad (3.7)$$

By (3.4) and (3.7), we have

$$\|u_j\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \left(1 + \|u_j\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}\right),$$

which implies  $\|u_j\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C$ . Then extracting a subsequence, still denoted by  $u_j$ , we see

$$\begin{cases} u_j \rightharpoonup u & \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_j^+ \rightarrow u^+ & \text{strongly in } L^{p+1}(\Omega), \\ \frac{u_j^+}{|x|^{\frac{s}{2^*}}} \rightharpoonup \frac{u^+}{|x|^{\frac{s}{2^*}}} & \text{weakly in } L^{2^*}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Thus passing to the limit in (3.5) yields that

$$\Delta u + \lambda (u^+)^p + \frac{(u^+)^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0,$$

and then from the maximum principle, we obtain  $u \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

Finally, we shall prove  $u \neq 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and suppose  $u \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Since  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx$  and  $\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_j^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx$  are both bounded, we may assume

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx =: C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_j^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx =: C_2.$$

Thus passing to the limit in (3.4) and (3.6), we get

$$\frac{C_1}{2} - \frac{C_2}{2^*} = c \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 - C_2 = 0,$$

and then we have

$$c = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) C_1. \quad (3.8)$$

Here, we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx \geq \mu_s(\Omega) \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_j|^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \geq \mu_s(\Omega) \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_j^+)^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}},$$

and then

$$C_1 \geq \mu_s(\Omega) C_1^{\frac{2}{2^*}}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad C_1 \geq \mu_s(\Omega)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}. \quad (3.9)$$

By (3.8) and (3.9), we have

$$c \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \mu_s(\Omega)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus combining (3.3) with (3.10) yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \mu_s(\Omega)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}} \leq c < \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \mu_s(\Omega)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $v \neq 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .  $\square$



**Proof of the Critical Case for Theorem 1.1.** We now consider the case that  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** By Lemma 2.4, there exists a nonnegative function  $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\Phi_{s,*}(v_0) < 0$  such that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,*}(tv_0) < \frac{1}{n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Hence, it is easy to see there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $\Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon(tv_0) < 0$  and

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon(tv_0) < \frac{1}{n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

where

$$\Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p_\varepsilon} (u^+)^{p_\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2^*(s) - \varepsilon} \frac{(u^+)^{2^* - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} \right) dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

and

$$p_\varepsilon := \frac{2n}{n-2} - \frac{2\varepsilon}{2-s}.$$

Note that  $\Phi_{s,*}^0 = \Phi_{s,*}$ .

Taking  $\varepsilon_0$  small such that  $p_{\varepsilon_0} - 2 > 0$  and  $2^* - 2 - \varepsilon_0 > 0$ , by the Sobolev-Hardy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p_\varepsilon} (u^+)^{p_\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2^*(s) - \varepsilon} \frac{u^{2^* - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} \right) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{p_\varepsilon} - C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2^* - \varepsilon} \\ &= \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{p_\varepsilon - 2} - C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2^* - 2 - \varepsilon} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C > 0$  is independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore, there exist  $r_0 > 0$  and  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that

$$\Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon(u) \geq r_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - C r_0^{p_\varepsilon - 2} - C r_0^{2^* - 2 - \varepsilon} \right) =: \rho_\varepsilon \geq \rho_0 > 0$$

for any  $u \in \partial B_{r_0}(0)$ , where  $B_{r_0}(0) = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < r_0\}$ . It is obvious that  $v_0 \notin \overline{B_{r_0}(0)}$ . Hence, we obtain

$$\rho_0 \leq c_\varepsilon := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{u \in P} \Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon(u) \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon(tv_0) < \frac{1}{n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the class of continuous paths in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  joining 0 to  $v_0$ .

Since  $\Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon(0) = 0$ , by applying Theorem C, there exists a double sequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon,j}\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon(u_{\varepsilon,j}) &\rightarrow c_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad (\Phi_{s,*}^\varepsilon)'(u_{\varepsilon,j}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega), \quad \text{i.e.,} \\ \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{p_\varepsilon} (u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{p_\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2^* - \varepsilon} \frac{(u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{2^* - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} \right) dx &= c_\varepsilon + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$-\Delta u_{\varepsilon,j} - \lambda (u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{p_\varepsilon - 1} - \frac{(u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{2^* - 1 - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} =: \zeta_{\varepsilon,j} \quad \text{with } \zeta_{\varepsilon,j} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.12)$$

Applying (3.12) on  $u_{\varepsilon,j}$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}|^2 - \lambda (u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{p_\varepsilon} - \frac{(u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{2^*-\varepsilon}}{|x|^2} \right) dx = \langle \zeta_{\varepsilon,j}, u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle. \quad (3.13)$$

Similar to the subcritical case, for  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  with  $\varepsilon_0$  small, we get the uniform boundedness of  $\{u_{\varepsilon,j}\}$ ,

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,j}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C. \quad (3.14)$$

Hereafter, we assume  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, up to a subsequence, there exists a function  $u_\varepsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{cases} u_{\varepsilon,j} \rightharpoonup u_\varepsilon & \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_{\varepsilon,j}^+ \rightarrow u_\varepsilon^+ & \text{strongly in } L^{p_\varepsilon}(\Omega), \\ \frac{u_{\varepsilon,j}^+}{|x|^{\frac{2^*-\varepsilon}{2}}} \rightarrow \frac{u_\varepsilon^+}{|x|^{\frac{2^*-\varepsilon}{2}}} & \text{strongly in } L^{2^*-\varepsilon}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $u_\varepsilon^+ \neq 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Suppose, on the contrary,  $u_\varepsilon^+ = 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Then passing to the limit  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.11) and (3.13) yields that

$$c_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx = 0,$$

which contradicts to  $c_\varepsilon \geq \rho_0 > 0$ . Thus,  $u_\varepsilon^+ \neq 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Hence, taking the limit  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.12), we see that

$$\Delta u_\varepsilon + \lambda (u_\varepsilon^+)^{p_\varepsilon-1} + \frac{(u_\varepsilon^+)^{2^*-1-\varepsilon}}{|x|^s} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (3.15)$$

By the maximum principle, we find that  $u_\varepsilon \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Inferring from (3.11) and (3.15), this positive solution  $u_\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda}{p_\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon^{p_\varepsilon} dx - \frac{1}{2^*-\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_\varepsilon^{2^*-\varepsilon}}{|x|^s} dx = c_\varepsilon, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon^{p_\varepsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_\varepsilon^{2^*-\varepsilon}}{|x|^s} dx = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

Note that by (3.14), we have

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C.$$

Thus, by extracting a subsequence  $\{u_j := u_{\varepsilon_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a function  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} u_j \rightharpoonup u & \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_j^+ \rightharpoonup u^+ & \text{weakly in } L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega), \\ \frac{u_j^+}{|x|^{\frac{s}{2^*}}} \rightharpoonup \frac{u^+}{|x|^{\frac{s}{2^*}}} & \text{weakly in } L^{2^*}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Now, passing to the limit in (3.15) yields that

$$\Delta u + \lambda (u^+)^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \frac{(u^+)^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

By the maximum principle, we see that  $u \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Therefore,  $u$  satisfies

$$\Delta u + \lambda u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

The rest part of the proof is to show  $u \neq 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Assume  $u = 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Then the blow-up occurs, i.e.,

$$m_j := u_j(x_j) = \max_{\Omega} u_j(x) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Otherwise, by (3.16) and the Lebesgue theorem, we have

$$0 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx = c_0,$$

which contradicts to  $c_0 > 0$ .

We consider the scaling

$$v_j(y) := m_j^{-1} u_j(x_j + k_j y) \quad \text{for } y \in \Omega_j := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j + k_j z \in \Omega \right\},$$

where  $k_j = m_j^{-\frac{p_j-2}{2}}$  and  $p_j = \frac{2n}{n-2} - \frac{2\varepsilon_j}{2-s}$ . By (3.15),  $v_j$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_j + \lambda v_j^{p_j-1} + \frac{v_j^{2^*-1-\varepsilon_j}}{\left|\frac{x_j}{k_j} + y\right|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_j, \\ v_j = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_j. \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

Let  $\Omega_{\infty} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_j$ . We distinguish into the following cases.

**Case 1.** If, up to a subsequence,  $\frac{|x_j|}{k_j} \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $v_j(y)$  converges to some  $v(y)$  uniformly in every compact subset of  $\overline{\Omega_{\infty}}$ , where  $v(y) \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\infty})$  with  $v(0) = 1$  is the solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v + \lambda v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{\infty}, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_{\infty}. \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that the above equation is only solvable for  $\Omega_{\infty} = \mathbb{R}^n$ . We easily see that

$$\begin{cases} C_1 := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx = m_j^{\left(\frac{n-2}{2-s}\right)\varepsilon_j} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v_j|^2 dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla v|^2 dy =: A_1, \\ C_2 := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_j^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx = m_j^{\left(\frac{n}{2-s}\right)\varepsilon_j} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_j} v_j^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dy =: A_2, \\ C_3 := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_j^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx = m_j^{\left(\frac{n-s}{2-s}\right)\varepsilon_j} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_j} \frac{v_j^{2^*}}{\left|\frac{x_j}{k_j} + y\right|^s} dy. \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

Furthermore, note that

$$\frac{C_1}{2} - \frac{(n-2)\lambda}{2n} C_2 - \frac{C_3}{2^*} = c_0, \quad C_1 - \lambda C_2 - C_3 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = \lambda A_2. \quad (3.19)$$

By (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$c_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) C_1 + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2^*} - \frac{n-2}{2n}\right) C_2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) A_1 + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2^*} - \frac{n-2}{2n}\right) A_2 = \frac{\lambda}{n} A_2.$$

On the other hand, by the Sobolev inequality, we see that

$$S_n A_2^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq A_1.$$

This leads to

$$A_2 \geq \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$c_0 \geq \frac{\lambda}{n} A_2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

which contradicts to

$$c_0 \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \Phi_{s,*}(tv_0) < \frac{1}{n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

**Case 2.** If, up to a subsequence,  $\frac{x_j}{k_j} \rightarrow y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\Omega_\infty$  is a half space. Therefore, up to a linear transformation,  $v_j$  converges to some  $v$  uniformly in any compact set of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ , where  $v$  is a positive solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v + \lambda v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} + \frac{v^{2^*-1}}{|y|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

with  $v(y_0) = 1$  for some  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . If the equation (3.20) has no positive solution, this leads to a contradiction. Hence, the sequence  $u_j(x)$  does not blow-up.

**Step 2.** However, if the equation (3.20) admits a positive solution, by Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, there exists a nonnegative function  $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\Phi_{s,*}(v_0) < 0$  such that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_{s,*}(tv_0) < \min \left\{ c^*, \frac{1}{n} \lambda^{\frac{2-n}{2}} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \right\}.$$

Redoing Step 1, since the min-max value  $c_0$  is independent of the choice of  $v_0$ , we only need to deal with Case 2, see Remark after Theorem C. Let

$$B_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla v(y)|^2 dy, \quad B_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dy \quad \text{and} \quad B_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v^{2^*}}{|y|^s} dy.$$

Noting that

$$\begin{cases} C_1 \geq B_1, & C_2 \geq B_2, & C_3 \geq B_3, \\ C_1 - \lambda C_2 - C_3 = 0, & B_1 - \lambda B_2 - B_3 = 0, \\ c_0 = \frac{C_1}{2} - \frac{(n-2)\lambda}{2n} C_2 - \frac{1}{2^*} C_3, \end{cases}$$

we see that

$$c_0 = \frac{\lambda}{n} C_2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) C_3 \geq \frac{\lambda}{n} B_2 + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) B_3 = \frac{B_1}{2} - \frac{(n-2)\lambda}{2n} B_2 - \frac{1}{2^*} B_3 = c^*.$$

This contradicts to  $c_0 \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \Phi_{s,*}(tv_0) < c^*$ . Hence, we have proved  $u \neq 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . The positivity of  $u$  is achieved by the strong maximum principle. The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.2. However, since  $H(0) < 0$  implies  $\mu_s(\Omega) < \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ , we cannot apply Lemma 2.5 according to the argument of Theorem 1.1 to show the existence of a solution for the equation (1.3).

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** For any small  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , we let

$$\Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon(u) := \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{p+1} (u^+)^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^* - \varepsilon} \frac{(u^+)^{2^* - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} \right) dx \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where  $\Psi_{s,p}^0 = \Psi_{s,p}$ . By  $\lambda > 0$  and the Sobolev-Hardy inequality, we see that

$$\Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2^* - \varepsilon} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{2^* - 2 - \varepsilon} \right),$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . Then there exist positive constants  $r$  and  $\rho$  such that

$$\Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon(u) \geq r^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - C r^{2^* - 2 - \varepsilon} \right) =: \rho_\varepsilon \geq \rho > 0 \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $u \in \partial B_r(0)$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5, there exists a nonnegative function  $v_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\Psi_{s,p}^0(v_0) < 0$  and

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \Psi_{s,p}^0(tv_0) < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}}. \quad (4.2)$$

By (4.1), (4.2) and the continuity of  $\Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon$  at  $\varepsilon = 0$ , we see that  $\Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon(v_0) < 0$  and

$$0 < \rho \leq c_\varepsilon := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{w \in P} \Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon(w) \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon(tv) < \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*} \right) \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^* - 2}} \quad (4.3)$$

for any small  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . Since  $\Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon(0) = 0$ , by applying Theorem C, there exists a sequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon,j}\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon(u_{\varepsilon,j}) &\rightarrow c_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad (\Psi_{s,p}^\varepsilon)'(u_{\varepsilon,j}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{i.e.,} \\ \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{p+1} (u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^* - \varepsilon} \frac{(u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{2^* - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} \right) dx &= c_\varepsilon + o(1) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$-\Delta u_{\varepsilon,j} + \lambda (u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^p - \frac{(u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{2^* - 1 - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} =: \zeta_{\varepsilon,j} \quad \text{with } \zeta_{\varepsilon,j} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.5)$$

Multiplying (4.5) by  $u_{\varepsilon,j}$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}|^2 + \lambda (u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{p+1} - \frac{(u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{2^* - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} \right) dx = \langle \zeta_{\varepsilon,j}, u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle. \quad (4.6)$$

We show the boundedness of  $\{u_{\varepsilon,j}\}$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . (4.4) –  $\frac{1}{2}$ (4.6) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left( \lambda \left( \frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) (u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{p+1} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^* - \varepsilon} \right) \frac{(u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{2^* - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} \right) dx &= c_\varepsilon + o(1) - \frac{1}{2} \langle \zeta_{\varepsilon,j}, u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle \\ &\leq C(1 + \|u_{\varepsilon,j}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}), \end{aligned}$$

and then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{2^* - \varepsilon}}{|x|^s} dx \leq \frac{\lambda(p-1)(2^* - \varepsilon)}{(p+1)(2^* - 2 - \varepsilon)} \int_{\Omega} (u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{p+1} dx + C(1 + \|u_{\varepsilon,j}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}), \quad (4.7)$$

where  $C > 0$  can be taken independently of  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . Then by (4.4) and (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq -\frac{\lambda}{p+1} \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{2^*-2-\varepsilon}\right) \int_{\Omega} (u_{\varepsilon,j}^+)^{p+1} dx + C(1 + \|u_{\varepsilon,j}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}) \\ &\leq C(1 + \|u_{\varepsilon,j}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where we used that  $1 - \frac{p-1}{2^*-2-\varepsilon} > 0$  for any small  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  by virtue of  $1 - \frac{p-1}{2^*-2} > 0$ , i.e.,  $p < 2^* - 1$ . (4.8) implies

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,j}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad (4.9)$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and also  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ .

Hereafter, we take  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Extracting a subsequence, still denoted by  $u_{\varepsilon,j}$ , we see that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{\varepsilon,j} \rightharpoonup u_{\varepsilon} \quad \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_{\varepsilon,j}^+ \rightarrow u_{\varepsilon}^+ \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p+1}(\Omega), \\ \frac{u_{\varepsilon,j}^+}{|x|^{\frac{s}{2^*-\varepsilon}}} \rightarrow \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^+}{|x|^{\frac{s}{2^*-\varepsilon}}} \quad \text{strongly in } L^{2^*-\varepsilon}(\Omega) \end{array} \right. \quad (4.10)$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by passing to the limit  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.5), we get

$$-\Delta u_{\varepsilon} + \lambda (u_{\varepsilon}^+)^p - \frac{(u_{\varepsilon}^+)^{2^*-1-\varepsilon}}{|x|^s} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

and then by the maximum principle, we obtain  $u_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

It is easy to see that  $u_{\varepsilon} \neq 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . As a consequence, for any small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we get a positive solution  $u_{\varepsilon} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfying

$$\Delta u_{\varepsilon} - \lambda u_{\varepsilon}^p + \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2^*-1-\varepsilon}}{|x|^s} = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Next, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , passing to the limit  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.4) and multiplying (4.11) by  $u_{\varepsilon}$  yield that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1} dx - \frac{1}{2^*-\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2^*-\varepsilon}}{|x|^s} dx = c_{\varepsilon}, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{p+1} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{2^*-\varepsilon}}{|x|^s} dx = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.12)$$

Moreover, by taking a limit  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.9), we have

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus by extracting a subsequence  $\{u_j := u_{\varepsilon_j}\}$  with  $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_j \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_j \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p+1}(\Omega), \\ \frac{u_j}{|x|^{\frac{s}{2^*}}} \rightharpoonup \frac{u}{|x|^{\frac{s}{2^*}}} \quad \text{weakly in } L^{2^*}(\Omega). \end{array} \right.$$

Thus passing to the limit  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.11) yields that

$$\Delta u - \lambda u^p + \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} = 0.$$

We shall prove  $u \neq 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Suppose  $u = 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Up to a subsequence, we let

$$C_1 := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_j^{2^* - \varepsilon_j}}{|x|^s} dx,$$

and then letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.12), we get

$$\frac{C_1}{2} - \frac{C_2}{2^*} = c_0 \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 - C_2 = 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) C_1 = c_0. \quad (4.13)$$

We easily see that  $u_j(x_j) = \max_{\Omega} u_j \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . In what follows, we divide the proof into three steps. We let

$$\kappa_j := u_j(x_j)^{-\frac{2(2^* - 2 - \varepsilon_j)}{(n-2)(2^* - 2)}}. \quad (4.14)$$

**Step 1.** We claim  $|x_j| = O(\kappa_j)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose that up to a subsequence,  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_j|}{\kappa_j} = \infty$ . By scaling, set

$$v_j(y) := \frac{u_j(x_j + \kappa_j y)}{u_j(x_j)} \quad \text{for } y \in \Omega_j, \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$\Omega_j := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_j + \kappa_j y \in \Omega\}. \quad (4.16)$$

By (4.11) and (4.14),  $v_j$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_j - \lambda \kappa_j^2 u_j(x_j)^{p-1} v_j^p + \left(\frac{\kappa_j}{|x_j|}\right)^s \frac{v_j^{2^* - 1 - \varepsilon_j}}{\left|\frac{x_j}{|x_j|} + \frac{\kappa_j}{|x_j|} y\right|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_j, \\ v_j = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_j. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\kappa_j^2 u_j(x_j)^{p-1} = \kappa_j^{2 - \frac{(n-2)(2^* - 2)(p-1)}{2(2^* - 2 - \varepsilon_j)}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

where note that  $\kappa_j \rightarrow 0$  and  $2 - \frac{(n-2)(p-1)}{2} > 0$ , i.e.,  $p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . Thus  $v_j$  converges to some  $v$  smoothly in any compact set, and  $v$  satisfies  $v(0) = 1$  and

$$\Delta v = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (4.17)$$

provided that  $\Omega_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , or

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0 & \text{in some half space } H, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial H \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

provided that up to a linear transformation  $\Omega_j \rightarrow H := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y_n > -a\}$  for some  $a > 0$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_j} v_j^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dy = \kappa_j^{\frac{n\varepsilon_j}{2^* - 2 - \varepsilon_j}} \int_{\Omega} u_j^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx \leq C,$$

and then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dy$  is finite. This contradicts to  $v(0) = 1$ . The proof of Step 1 is complete.

Note that Step 1 implies that the origin is the only blow-up point.

**Step 2.** We claim that up to a subsequence,  $\frac{x_j}{\kappa_j} \rightarrow y_0 \neq 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose that  $\frac{x_j}{\kappa_j} \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . As in the proof of Step 1, we define  $v_j$  and  $\Omega_j$  in (4.15) and (4.16), respectively. Then by (4.11),  $v_j$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_j - \lambda \kappa_j^2 u_j(x_j)^{p-1} v_j^p + \frac{v_j^{2^*-1-\varepsilon_j}}{\left|\frac{x_j}{\kappa_j} + y\right|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_j, \\ v_j = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_j. \end{cases}$$

Since we already proved that  $\kappa_j^2 u_j(x_j)^{p-1} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $v_j$  converges to some  $v$  smoothly in any compact set in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ , and  $v$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v + \frac{v^{2^*-1}}{|y|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n, \end{cases}$$

which is a contradiction to  $v(0) = 1$ . Thus Step 2 is proved.

**Step 3.** We complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 in this step. We note after a linear transformation,  $v_j$  converges to some  $v$  smoothly in any compact set in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}$ , and  $v$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v + \frac{v^{2^*-1}}{|y|^s} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n \quad \text{and} \quad v(y_0) = \max_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} v = 1 \quad \text{for some } y_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^n. \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

By (4.19), we have

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla v|^2 dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v^{2^*}}{|y|^s} dy\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v^{2^*}}{|y|^s} dy\right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{2^*}} \geq \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n),$$

and then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla v|^2 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{v^{2^*}}{|y|^s} dy \geq \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}}. \quad (4.20)$$

Furthermore, note that

$$C_1 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_j^{-\frac{(n-2)\varepsilon_j}{2^*-2-\varepsilon_j}} \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v_j|^2 dy \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega_j} |\nabla v_j|^2 dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |\nabla v|^2 dy. \quad (4.21)$$

Then by (4.13), (4.20) and (4.21), we have

$$c_0 \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) C_1 \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}\right) \mu_s(\mathbb{R}_+^n)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}},$$

which yields a contradiction to (4.3). Thus  $u \neq 0$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and Theorem 1.2 is proved.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 3$ ,  $0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $0 < s < 2$ . Then for  $p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ , the equation (1.2) has no positive solution provided that  $\Omega$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin.*



**Proof.** Multiplying (1.2) by  $x \cdot \nabla u$  and  $\nabla u$ , respectively, and taking integrations, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (x \cdot \nu) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 dS_x + \frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \frac{n-2}{2} \left( \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx \right), \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx, \end{cases}$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the outward normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . Thus we derive the following Pohozaev identity

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (x \cdot \nu) \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 dS_x = 0.$$

Since  $\Omega$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin, we deduce that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \equiv 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Hence,

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2^*-1}}{|x|^s} dx = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u dx = 0,$$

which implies  $u \equiv 0$  in  $\Omega$ . □

Next, we take  $\Omega = B_1(e_n)$ , the unit ball centered at  $e_n = (0, \dots, 1)$ . It is obvious that  $\Omega$  is star-shaped about the origin. Proceeding the same variational method as that in the proof for the assertion (iv) of Theorem 1.1, due to the nonexistence of a positive solution for the equation (1.2), Case 2 holds. Therefore, we get a positive solution of the equation (1.4). This proves Theorem 1.3.

## References

- [1] H.Brézis and L.Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), no.4, 437–477.
- [2] H.Egnell, *Positive solutions of semilinear equations in cones*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **330** (1992), no.1, 191–201.
- [3] N.Ghoussoub and F.Robert, *Concentration estimates for Emden-Fowler equations with boundary singularities and critical growth*. IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap. 21867 (2006), 1–85.
- [4] N.Ghoussoub and F.Robert, *The effect of curvature on the best constant in the Hardy-Sobolev inequalities*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **16** (2006), no.6, 1201–1245.
- [5] C.S.Lin and H.Wadade, *Minimizing problems for the Hardy-Sobolev type inequality with the singularity on the boundary*, preprint.