

Microlocal Euler classes and Hochschild homology

Masaki Kashiwara* and Pierre Schapira

May 1, 2013

Abstract

We define the notion of a trace kernel on a manifold M . Roughly speaking, it is a sheaf on $M \times M$ for which the formalism of Hochschild homology applies. We associate a microlocal Euler class to such a kernel, a cohomology class with values in the relative dualizing complex of the cotangent bundle T^*M over M and we prove that this class is functorial with respect to the composition of kernels.

This generalizes, unifies and simplifies various results of (relative) index theorems for constructible sheaves, \mathcal{D} -modules and elliptic pairs.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	A short review on sheaves	5
3	Compositions of kernels	7
4	Microlocal homology	9
5	Microlocal Euler classes of trace kernels	16
6	Operations on microlocal Euler classes I	24

*This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 22340005, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

7	Operations on microlocal Euler classes II	27
8	Applications: \mathcal{D}-modules and elliptic pairs	34
9	The Lefschetz fixed point formula	36

1 Introduction

Our constructions mainly concern real manifolds, but in order to introduce the subject we first consider a complex manifold (X, \mathcal{O}_X) . Denote by ω_X^{hol} the dualizing complex in the category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, that is, $\omega_X^{\text{hol}} = \Omega_X[d_X]$, where d_X is the complex dimension of X and Ω_X is the sheaf of holomorphic forms of degree d_X . Denote by \mathcal{O}_{Δ_X} and $\omega_{\Delta_X}^{\text{hol}}$ the direct images of \mathcal{O}_X and ω_X^{hol} respectively by the diagonal embedding $\delta: X \hookrightarrow X \times X$. It is well-known (see in particular [Ca05, CaW07]) that the Hochschild homology of \mathcal{O}_X may be defined by using the isomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad \delta_* \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X}, \omega_{\Delta_X}^{\text{hol}}).$$

Moreover, if \mathcal{F} is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{F} := \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \omega_X^{\text{hol}})$ denotes its dual, there are natural morphisms

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\Delta_X} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_X}^{\text{hol}}$$

whose composition defines the Hochschild class of \mathcal{F} :

$$\text{hh}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{F}) \in H_{\text{Supp}(\mathcal{F})}^0(X; \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{O}_X)).$$

These constructions have been extended when replacing \mathcal{O}_X with a so-called DQ-algebroid stack \mathcal{A}_X in [KS12] (DQ stands for “deformation-quantization”). One of the main results of loc. cit. is that Hochschild classes are functorial with respect to the composition of kernels, a kind of (relative) index theorem for coherent DQ-modules.

On the other hand, the notion of Lagrangian cycles of constructible sheaves on real analytic manifolds has been introduced by the first named author (see [Ka85]) in order to prove an index theorem for such sheaves, after they first appeared in the complex case (see [Ka73] and [McP74]). We refer to [KS90, Chap. 9] for a systematic study of Lagrangian cycles and for historical comments. Let us briefly recall the construction.

Consider a real analytic manifold M and let \mathbf{k} be a unital commutative ring with finite global dimension. Denote by ω_M the (topological) dualizing complex of M , that is, $\omega_M = \text{or}_M[\dim M]$ where or_M is the orientation sheaf of M and $\dim M$ is the dimension. Finally, denote by $\pi_M: T^*M \rightarrow M$ the cotangent bundle to M . Let Λ be a conic subanalytic Lagrangian subset of T^*M . The group of Lagrangian cycles supported by Λ is given by $H_\Lambda^0(T^*M; \pi_M^{-1}\omega_M)$. Denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ the bounded derived category of \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaves on M . To an object F of this category, one associates a Lagrangian cycle supported by $\text{SS}(F)$, the microsupport of F . This cycle is called the characteristic cycle, or the Lagrangian cycle or else the *microlocal Euler class* of F and is denoted here by $\mu\text{eu}_M(F)$.

In fact, it is possible to treat the microlocal Euler classes of \mathbb{R} -constructible sheaves on real manifolds similarly as the Hochschild class of coherent sheaves on complex manifolds. Denote as above by \mathbf{k}_{Δ_M} and ω_{Δ_M} the direct image of \mathbf{k}_M and ω_M by the diagonal embedding $\delta_M: M \hookrightarrow M \times M$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$(1.3) \quad H_\Lambda^0(T^*M; \pi_M^{-1}\omega_M) \simeq H_\Lambda^0(T^*M; \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M})),$$

where μhom is the microlocalization of the functor $\text{R}\mathcal{H}om$. Then $\mu\text{eu}_M(F)$ is obtained as follows. Denote by $\mathbf{D}_M F := \text{R}\mathcal{H}om(F, \omega_M)$ the dual of F . There are natural morphisms

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow F \boxtimes \mathbf{D}_M F \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_M},$$

whose composition gives the microlocal Euler class of F .

In this paper, we construct the microlocal Euler class for a wide class of sheaves, including of course the constructible sheaves but also the sheaves of holomorphic solutions of coherent \mathcal{D} -modules and, more generally, of elliptic pairs in the sense of [ScSn94]. To treat such situations, we are led to introduce the notion of a trace kernel.

On a real manifold M (say of class C^∞), a *trace kernel* is the data of a triplet (K, u, v) where K is an object of the derived category of sheaves $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M \times M})$ and u, v are morphisms

$$(1.5) \quad u: \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow K, \quad v: K \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_M}.$$

One then naturally defines the microlocal Euler class $\mu\text{eu}_M(K, u, v)$ of such a kernel, an element of $H_\Lambda^0(T^*M; \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}))$ where $\Lambda = \text{SS}(K) \cap T_{\Delta_M}^*(M \times M)$. By (1.4), a constructible sheaf gives rise to a trace kernel.

If X is a complex manifold and \mathcal{M} is a coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module, we construct natural morphisms (over the base ring $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$)

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbb{C}_{\Delta_X} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times X} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}_D} \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_X},$$

where $\mathcal{D}_D \mathcal{M}$ denotes the dual of \mathcal{M} as a \mathcal{D} -module. In other words, one naturally associates a trace kernel on X to a coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module. Moreover, we prove that under suitable microlocal conditions, the tensor product of two trace kernels is again a trace kernel, and it follows that one can associate a trace kernel to an elliptic pair.

We study trace kernels and their microlocal Euler classes, showing that some proofs of [KS12] can be easily adapted to this situation. One of our main results is the functoriality of the microlocal Euler classes: the microlocal Euler class of the composition $K_1 \circ K_2$ of two trace kernels is the composition of the microlocal Euler classes of K_1 and K_2 (see Theorem 6.3 for a precise statement). Another essential result (which is far from obvious) is that the composition of classes coincides with the composition for $\pi_M^{-1} \omega_M$ constructed in [KS90] via the isomorphism between $\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M})$ and $\pi_M^{-1} \omega_M$.

As an application, we recover in a single proof the classical results on the index theorem for constructible sheaves (see [KS90, § 9.5]) as well as the index theorem for elliptic pairs of [ScSn94], that is, sheaves of generalized holomorphic solutions of coherent \mathcal{D} -modules. We also briefly explain how to adapt trace kernels to the formalism of the Lefschetz trace formula.

We call here $\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M})$ the *microlocal homology of M* , and this paper shows that, in some sense, the microlocal homology of real manifolds plays the same role as the Hochschild homology of complex manifolds.

To conclude this introduction, let us make a general remark. The category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ of constructible sheaves on a compact real analytic manifold M is “proper” in the sense of Kontsevich (that is, Ext finite) but it does not admit a Serre functor (in the sense of Bondal-Kapranov) and it is not clear whether it is smooth (again in the sense of Kontsevich). However this category naturally appears in Mirror Symmetry (see [FLTZ10]) and it would be a natural question to try to understand its Hochschild homology in the sense of [McC94, Ke99]. We don’t know how to compute it, but the above construction, with the use of $\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M})$, provides an alternative approach of the Hochschild homology of this category. This result is not totally surprising if one remembers the formula (see [KS90, Prop. 8.4.14]):

$$D_{T^*M}(\mu\text{hom}(F, G)) \simeq \mu\text{hom}(G, F) \otimes \pi_M^{-1} \omega_M.$$

Hence, in some sense, $\pi_M^{-1}\omega_M$ plays the role of a microlocal Serre functor. Note that thanks to Nadler and Zaslow [NZ09], the category $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ is equivalent to the Fukaya category of the symplectic manifold T^*M , and this is another argument to treat sheaves from a microlocal point of view.

Acknowledgments

The second named author warmly thanks Stéphane Guillermou for extremely helpful discussions.

2 A short review on sheaves

Throughout this paper, a manifold means a real manifold of class C^∞ . We shall mainly follow the notations of [KS90] and use some of the main notions introduced there, in particular that of microsupport and the functor μhom .

Let M be a manifold. We denote by $\pi_M: T^*M \rightarrow M$ its cotangent bundle. For a submanifold N of M , we denote by T_N^*M the conormal bundle to N . In particular, T_M^*M denotes the zero-section. We set $\dot{T}^*M := T^*M \setminus T_M^*M$ and we denote by $\dot{\pi}_M$ the restriction of π_M to \dot{T}^*M . If there is no risk of confusion, we write simply π and $\dot{\pi}$ instead of π_M and $\dot{\pi}_M$. One denotes by $a: T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ the antipodal map, $(x; \xi) \mapsto (x; -\xi)$ and for a subset S of T^*M , one denotes by S^a its image by this map. A set $A \subset T^*M$ is conic if it is invariant by the action of \mathbb{R}^+ on T^*M .

Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism of manifolds. To f one associates as usual the maps

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} T^*M & \xleftarrow{f_d} & M \times_N T^*N & \xrightarrow{f_\pi} & T^*N \\ & \searrow \pi_M & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_N \\ & & M & \xrightarrow{f} & N. \end{array}$$

(Note that in loc. cit. the map f_d is denoted by ${}^t f'^{-1}$.)

Let Λ be a closed conic subset of T^*N . One says that f is *non-characteristic* for Λ if the map f_d is proper on $f_\pi^{-1}\Lambda$ or, equivalently, $f_\pi^{-1}\Lambda \cap f_d^{-1}(T_M^*M) \subset M \times_N T_N^*N$.

Let \mathbf{k} be a commutative unital ring with finite global homological dimension. One denotes by \mathbf{k}_M the constant sheaf on M with stalk \mathbf{k} and by $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ the bounded derived category of sheaves of \mathbf{k} -modules on M . When M is a real analytic manifold, one denotes by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ consisting of \mathbb{R} -constructible objects.

One denotes by ω_M the dualizing complex on M and by $\omega_M^{\otimes -1}$ its dual, that is, $\omega_M^{\otimes -1} = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(\omega_M, \mathbf{k}_M)$. More generally, for a morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$, one denotes by $\omega_{M/N} := f^! \mathbf{k}_N \simeq \omega_M \otimes f^{-1}(\omega_N^{\otimes -1})$ the relative dualizing complex. Recall that $\omega_M \simeq \text{or}_M[\dim M]$ where or_M is the orientation sheaf and $\dim M$ is the dimension of M . Also recall the natural morphism of functors

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_{M/N} \otimes f^{-1} \rightarrow f^!.$$

We have the duality functors

$$D'_M F = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathbf{k}_M), \quad D_M F = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(F, \omega_M).$$

For $F \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$, one denotes by $\text{Supp}(F)$ the support of F and by $\text{SS}(F)$ its microsupport, a closed \mathbb{R}^+ -conic co-isotropic subset of T^*M . For a morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ and $G \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_N)$, one says that f is non-characteristic for G if f is non-characteristic for $\text{SS}(G)$.

We shall use systematically the functor μhom , a variant of Sato's microlocalization functor. Recall that for a closed submanifold N of M , there is a functor $\mu_N: \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{T^*_N M})$ constructed by Sato (see [SKK73]) and for $F_1, F_2 \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$, one defines in [KS90] the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\text{hom}: \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M) &\rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{T^*M}), \\ \mu\text{hom}(F_1, F_2) &:= \mu_\Delta \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(q_2^{-1}F_1, q_1^!F_2) \end{aligned}$$

where q_1 and q_2 are the first and second projection defined on $M \times M$ and Δ is the diagonal. This sheaf is supported by $T^*_\Delta(M \times M)$ that we identify with T^*M by the first projection $T^*(M \times M) \simeq T^*M \times T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$. Note that

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Supp}(\mu\text{hom}(F_1, F_2)) \subset \text{SS}(F_1) \cap \text{SS}(F_2)$$

and we have Sato's distinguished triangle, functorial in F_1 and F_2 :

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{R}\pi_! \mu\text{hom}(F_1, F_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_* \mu\text{hom}(F_1, F_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mu\text{hom}(F_1, F_2)|_{T^*M}) \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Moreover, we have the isomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{R}\pi_* \mu\text{hom}(F_1, F_2) \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(F_1, F_2),$$

and, assuming that M is real analytic and F_1 is \mathbb{R} -constructible, the isomorphism

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{R}\pi_! \mu\text{hom}(F_1, F_2) \simeq D'_M F_1 \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes} F_2.$$

In particular, assuming that F_1 is \mathbb{R} -constructible and $\text{SS}(F_1) \cap \text{SS}(F_2) \subset T_M^* M$, we have the natural isomorphism (see [KS90, Cor 6.4.3])

$$(2.7) \quad D'_M F_1 \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes} F_2 \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om(F_1, F_2).$$

As recalled in the Introduction, assuming that M is real analytic, we have the formula (see [KS90, Prop. 8.4.14]):

$$(2.8) \quad D_{T^*M}(\mu\text{hom}(F_1, F_2)) \simeq \mu\text{hom}(F_2, F_1) \otimes \pi_M^{-1} \omega_M \text{ for } F_1, F_2 \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M).$$

3 Compositions of kernels

Notation 3.1. (i) For a manifold M , let $\delta_M: M \rightarrow M \times M$ denote the diagonal embedding, and Δ_M the diagonal set of $M \times M$.

(ii) Let M_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be manifolds. For short, we write $M_{ij} := M_i \times M_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq 3$), $M_{123} = M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3$, $M_{1223} = M_1 \times M_2 \times M_2 \times M_3$, etc.

(iii) We will often write for short \mathbf{k}_i instead of \mathbf{k}_{M_i} and \mathbf{k}_{Δ_i} instead of $\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{M_i}}$ and similarly with ω_{M_i} , etc., and with the index i replaced with several indices ij , etc.

(iv) We denote by π_i , π_{ij} , etc. the projection $T^*M_i \rightarrow M_i$, $T^*M_{ij} \rightarrow M_{ij}$, etc.

(v) We denote by q_i the projection $M_{ij} \rightarrow M_i$ or the projection $M_{123} \rightarrow M_i$ and by q_{ij} the projection $M_{123} \rightarrow M_{ij}$. Similarly, we denote by p_i the projection $T^*M_{ij} \rightarrow T^*M_i$ or the projection $T^*M_{123} \rightarrow T^*M_i$ and by p_{ij} the projection $T^*M_{123} \rightarrow T^*M_{ij}$.

(vi) We also need to introduce the maps p_{j^a} or p_{ij^a} , the composition of p_j or p_{ij} and the antipodal map on T^*M_j . For example,

$$p_{12^a}((x_1, x_2, x_3; \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)) = (x_1, x_2; \xi_1, -\xi_2).$$

(vii) We let $\delta_2: M_{123} \rightarrow M_{1223}$ be the natural diagonal embedding.

We consider the operation of composition of kernels:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \circ_2 : D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{12}}) \times D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{23}}) &\rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{13}}) \\ (K_1, K_2) &\mapsto K_1 \circ_2 K_2 := \mathbf{R}q_{13!}(q_{12}^{-1}K_1 \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} q_{23}^{-1}K_2) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}q_{13!}\delta_2^{-1}(K_1 \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} K_2). \end{aligned}$$

We will use a variant of \circ :

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} *_2 : D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{12}}) \times D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{23}}) &\rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{13}}) \\ (K_1, K_2) &\mapsto K_1 *_2 K_2 := \mathbf{R}q_{13*}(q_2^{-1}\omega_2 \otimes \delta_2^!(K_1 \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} K_2)). \end{aligned}$$

We also have $\omega_{M_{123}/M_{1223}} \simeq q_2^{-1}\omega_{M_2}^{\otimes -1}$ and we deduce from (2.2) a morphism $\delta_2^{-1} \rightarrow q_2^{-1}\omega_{M_2} \otimes \delta_2^!$. Using the morphism $\mathbf{R}p_{13!} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}p_{13*}$ we obtain a natural morphism for $K_1 \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{12}})$ and $K_2 \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{23}})$:

$$(3.3) \quad K_1 \circ K_2 \rightarrow K_1 *_2 K_2.$$

It is an isomorphism if $p_{12^a}^{-1}\mathrm{SS}(K_1) \cap p_{23^a}^{-1}\mathrm{SS}(K_2) \rightarrow T^*M_{13}$ is proper.

We define the composition of kernels on cotangent bundles (see [KS90, Prop. 4.4.11])

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \overset{a}{\circ}_2 : D^b(\mathbf{k}_{T^*M_{12}}) \times D^b(\mathbf{k}_{T^*M_{23}}) &\rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{k}_{T^*M_{13}}) \\ (K_1, K_2) &\mapsto K_1 \overset{a}{\circ}_2 K_2 := \mathbf{R}p_{13!}(p_{12^a}^{-1}K_1 \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} p_{23}^{-1}K_2) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}p_{13^a!}(p_{12^a}^{-1}K_1 \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} p_{23^a}^{-1}K_2). \end{aligned}$$

We also define the corresponding operations for subsets of cotangent bundles. Let $A \subset T^*M_{12}$ and $B \subset T^*M_{23}$. We set

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} A \overset{a}{\times}_2 B &= p_{12^a}^{-1}(A) \cap p_{23}^{-1}(B), \\ A \overset{a}{\circ}_2 B &= p_{13}(A \overset{a}{\times}_2 B) \\ &= \left\{ (x_1, x_3; \xi_1, \xi_3) \in T^*M_{13} ; \text{there exists } (x_2; \xi_2) \in T^*M_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{such that } (x_1, x_2; \xi_1, -\xi_2) \in A, (x_2, x_3; \xi_2, \xi_3) \in B \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following result which slightly strengthens Proposition 4.4.11 of [KS90] in which the composition $*$ is not used.

Proposition 3.2. *For $G_1, F_1 \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{12}})$ and $G_2, F_2 \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{23}})$ there exists a canonical morphism (whose construction is similar to that of [KS90, Prop. 4.4.11]):*

$$\mu\text{hom}(G_1, F_1) \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \mu\text{hom}(G_2, F_2) \rightarrow \mu\text{hom}(G_1 * G_2, F_1 \overset{2}{\circ} F_2).$$

Proof. In Proposition 4.4.8 (i) of loc. cit., one may replace $F_2 \overset{L}{\boxtimes}_S G_2$ with $j^!(F_2 \overset{L}{\boxtimes} G_2) \otimes \omega_{X \times_S Y / X \times Y}^{\otimes -1}$. Then the proof goes exactly as that of Proposition 4.4.11 in loc. cit. Q.E.D.

Let $\Lambda_{ij} \subset T^*M_{ij}$ ($i = 1, 2, j = i + 1$) be closed conic subsets and consider the condition:

$$(3.6) \quad \text{the projection } p_{13}: \Lambda_{12} \overset{a}{\times} \Lambda_{23} \longrightarrow T^*M_{13} \text{ is proper.}$$

We set

$$(3.7) \quad \Lambda_{13} = \Lambda_{12} \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \Lambda_{23}.$$

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that Λ_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, j = i + 1$) satisfy (3.6). We have a composition morphism*

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{12}} \mu\text{hom}(G_1, F_1) \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{23}} \mu\text{hom}(G_2, F_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{13}} \mu\text{hom}(G_1 * G_2, F_1 \overset{2}{\circ} F_2).$$

Convention 3.4. *In (3.1), we have introduced the composition $\overset{2}{\circ}$ of kernels $K_1 \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{12}})$ and $K_2 \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{23}})$. However we shall also use the notation $M_{22} = M_2 \times M_2$ and consider for example kernels $L_1 \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{122}})$ and $L_2 \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{223}})$. Then when writing $L_1 \overset{2}{\circ} L_2$ we mean that the composition is taken with respect to the last variable of M_{22} for L_1 and the first variable for L_2 . In other words, set $M_4 = M_2$ and consider L_1 and L_2 as objects of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{142}})$ and $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{243}})$ respectively, in which case the composition $L_1 \overset{2}{\circ} L_2$ is unambiguously defined.*

4 Microlocal homology

Let M be a real manifold. Recall that $\delta_M: M \hookrightarrow M \times M$ denotes the diagonal embedding. We shall identify M with the diagonal Δ_M of $M \times M$ and we

sometimes write Δ instead of Δ_M if there is no risk of confusion. We shall identify T^*M with $T^*_\Delta(M \times M)$ by the map

$$\delta_{T^*M}^a: T^*M \hookrightarrow T^*(M \times M), \quad (x; \xi) \mapsto (x, x; \xi, -\xi).$$

We denote by \mathbf{k}_{Δ_M} , ω_{Δ_M} and $\omega_{\Delta_M}^{\otimes -1}$ the direct image by δ_M of \mathbf{k}_M , ω_M and $\omega_M^{\otimes -1} := \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(\omega_M, \mathbf{k}_M)$, respectively.

The next definition is inspired by that of Hochschild homology on complex manifolds (see Introduction).

Definition 4.1. Let Λ be a closed conic subset of T^*M . We set

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{MH}_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_M) &:= \mathbf{R}\Gamma_\Lambda(\delta_{T^*M}^a)^{-1} \mu hom(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}), \\ \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_M) &:= \mathbf{R}\Gamma(T^*M; \mathcal{MH}_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_M)), \\ \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_\Lambda^k(\mathbf{k}_M) &:= H^k(\mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_M)) = H^k(T^*M; \mathcal{MH}_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_M)). \end{aligned}$$

We call $\mathcal{MH}_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_M)$ the *microlocal homology* of M with support in Λ .

We also write $\mathcal{MH}(\mathbf{k}_M)$ instead of $\mathcal{MH}_{T^*M}(\mathbf{k}_M)$.

Remark 4.2. (i) We have $\mu hom(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}) \simeq (\delta_{T^*M}^a)_* \pi_M^{-1} \omega_M$. In particular, we have $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_M) \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_\Lambda(T^*M; \pi_M^{-1} \omega_M)$ and $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}(\mathbf{k}_M) \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma(M; \omega_M)$. Assuming that M is real analytic and Λ is a closed conic subanalytic Lagrangian subset of T^*M , we recover the space of Lagrangian cycles with support in Λ as defined in [KS90, §9.3].

(ii) The support of $\mu hom(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M})$ is $T^*_{\Delta_M}(M \times M)$. Hence, we have $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\delta_{T^*M}^a \Lambda} \mu hom(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}) \simeq (\delta_{T^*M}^a)_* \mathcal{MH}_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_M)$.

(iii) If M is real analytic and Λ is a Lagrangian subanalytic closed conic subset, then we have $H^k(\mathcal{MH}_\Lambda(\mathbf{k}_M)) = 0$ for $k < 0$ (see [KS90, Prop. 9.2.2]).

In the sequel, we denote by Δ_i (resp. Δ_{ij}) the diagonal subset $\Delta_{M_i} \subset M_{ii}$ (resp. $\Delta_{M_{ij}} \subset M_{iijj}$).

Lemma 4.3. *We have natural morphisms:*

$$(i) \quad \omega_{\Delta_{12}} \circlearrowleft_{22} (\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} \omega_{\Delta_3}) \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_{13}},$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} * (\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}).$$

Proof. Denote by δ_{22} the diagonal embedding $M_{112233} \hookrightarrow M_{11222233}$.

(i) We have the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\Delta_{12}} \overset{\circ}{\underset{22}{\circ}}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_3}) &= \text{R}q_{1133!} \delta_{22}^{-1}(\omega_{\Delta_{12}} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_3}) \\ &\simeq \text{R}q_{1133!} \omega_{\Delta_{123}} \\ &\rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_{13}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The isomorphism

$$\delta_{22}^!(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes \omega_{\Delta_2}) \simeq \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2}$$

gives rise to the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} *_2(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}) &= \text{R}q_{1133*}(q_{1133}^{-1} \omega_{22} \otimes \delta_{22}^!(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3})) \\ &\simeq \text{R}q_{1133*} \delta_{22}^!(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_1} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_2} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}) \\ &\simeq \text{R}q_{1133*} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{123}} \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows by adjunction from the morphism

$$q_{1133}^{-1} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}} \simeq \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_1} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{22} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_1} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3} = \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{123}}.$$

Q.E.D.

Proposition 4.4. *Let M_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be manifolds. We have a natural composition morphism (whose constructions will be given in the course of the proof):*

$$(4.2) \quad \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}}, \omega_{\Delta_{12}}) \overset{a}{\underset{22}{\circ}} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}}) \rightarrow \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}}, \omega_{\Delta_{13}}).$$

*In particular, let Λ_{ij} be a closed conic subset of T^*M_{ij} ($ij = 12, 13, 23$). If $\Lambda_{12} \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \Lambda_{23} \subset \Lambda_{13}$, then we have a morphism*

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{MH}_{\Lambda_{12}}(\mathbf{k}_{12}) \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \mathcal{MH}_{\Lambda_{23}}(\mathbf{k}_{23}) \rightarrow \mathcal{MH}_{\Lambda_{13}}(\mathbf{k}_{13}).$$

Proof. Consider the morphism (see Proposition 3.2 and Convention 3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\text{hom}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1}, \omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1}) \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}}) &\rightarrow \mu\text{hom}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} *_2 \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \overset{\circ}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \omega_{\Delta_{23}}) \\ &\simeq \mu\text{hom}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}, \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_3}). \end{aligned}$$

It induces an isomorphism

$$(4.4) \quad \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}}) \simeq \mu\text{hom}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}, \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \omega_{\Delta_3}).$$

Note that this isomorphism is also obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}}) &\simeq \mu\text{hom}((\omega_2^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{k}_{233}) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, (\omega_2^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{k}_{233}) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \omega_{\Delta_{23}}) \\ &\simeq \mu\text{hom}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}, \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \omega_{\Delta_3}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 3.2, we get a morphism:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}}, \omega_{\Delta_{12}}) \overset{a}{\underset{22}{\circ}} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}}) \\ &\rightarrow \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} \overset{*}{\underset{22}{\circ}} (\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}), \omega_{\Delta_{12}} \overset{\circ}{\underset{22}{\circ}} (\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \omega_{\Delta_3})). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to apply Lemma 4.3.

Q.E.D.

Corollary 4.5. *Let Λ_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, j = i + 1$) satisfying (3.6) and let $\Lambda_{13} = \Lambda_{12} \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \Lambda_{23}$. The composition of kernels in (4.3) induces a morphism*

$$(4.6) \quad \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} : \text{MHI}_{\Lambda_{12}}(\mathbf{k}_{12}) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \text{MHI}_{\Lambda_{23}}(\mathbf{k}_{23}) \rightarrow \text{MHI}_{\Lambda_{13}}(\mathbf{k}_{13}).$$

In particular, each $\lambda \in \text{MHI}_{\Lambda_{12}}^0(\mathbf{k}_{12})$ defines a morphism

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} : \text{MHI}_{\Lambda_{23}}(\mathbf{k}_{23}) \rightarrow \text{MHI}_{\Lambda_{13}}(\mathbf{k}_{13}).$$

Proof. These morphisms follow from (4.3). The second assertion follows from the isomorphism $H^0(X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{D}^b(\mathbf{k})}(\mathbf{k}, X)$ in the category $\text{D}^b(\mathbf{k})$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 4.6. (i) *We have the isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}) &\simeq (\delta_{T^*M}^a)_* \pi_M^{-1} \text{R}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_M, \omega_M) \\ &\simeq (\delta_{T^*M}^a)_* \pi_M^{-1} \omega_M. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *We have a commutative diagram*

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}}, \omega_{\Delta_{12}}) \overset{a}{\underset{22}{\circ}} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}}) &\longrightarrow & \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}}, \omega_{\Delta_{13}}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ (\delta_{T^*M_{13}}^a)_* (\pi_{M_{12}}^{-1} \omega_{M_{12}} \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \pi_{M_{23}}^{-1} \omega_{M_{23}}) &\longrightarrow & (\delta_{T^*M_{13}}^a)_* \pi_{M_{13}}^{-1} \omega_{M_{13}}. \end{array}$$

Here the top horizontal arrow of (4.8) is given in Proposition 4.4, and the bottom horizontal arrow is induced by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{12^a}^{-1} \pi_{M_{12}}^{-1} \omega_{M_{12}} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} p_{23}^{-1} \pi_{M_{23^a}}^{-1} \omega_{M_{23}} &\simeq \pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \pi_{M_2}^{-1} (\omega_{M_2} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \omega_{M_2}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \pi_{M_3}^{-1} \omega_{M_3}, \\ \pi_{M_2}^{-1} (\omega_{M_2} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \omega_{M_2}) &\simeq \omega_{T^*M_2}, \\ \mathbf{R}p_{13!} (\pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{T^*M_2} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \pi_{M_3}^{-1} \omega_{M_3}) &\longrightarrow \pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1} \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \pi_{M_3}^{-1} \omega_{M_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) is obvious.

(ii)–(a) By [KS90, Prop. 4.4.8], we have natural morphisms for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$ or $(i, j) = (2, 3)$:

$$\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_i}, \omega_{\Delta_i}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_j}, \omega_{\Delta_j}) \rightarrow \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{ij}}, \omega_{\Delta_{ij}})$$

and it follows from (i) that these morphisms are isomorphisms. These isomorphisms give rise to the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}}, \omega_{\Delta_{12}}) \overset{a}{\underset{22}{\circ}} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}}) &\simeq \\ \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_1}, \omega_{\Delta_1}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} (\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2}, \omega_{\Delta_2}) \overset{a}{\underset{22}{\circ}} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2}, \omega_{\Delta_2})) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}, \omega_{\Delta_3}) & \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have an isomorphism

$$\pi_{M_{12}}^{-1} \omega_{M_{12}} \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \pi_{M_{23}}^{-1} \omega_{M_{23}} \simeq \pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1} \boxtimes (\pi_{M_2}^{-1} \omega_{M_2} \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \pi_{M_2}^{-1} \omega_{M_2}) \boxtimes \pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1}.$$

Hence, we are reduced to the case where $M_1 = M_3 = \text{pt}$, **which** we shall assume now.

(ii)–(b) We change our notations and we set:

$$\begin{aligned} M &:= M_2, \quad Y := M \times M, \\ \delta_M &: M \hookrightarrow Y \text{ the diagonal embedding, } \Delta_M = \delta_M(M), \\ j &: Y \hookrightarrow Y \times Y \text{ the diagonal embedding, } \Delta_Y = \delta_Y(Y), \\ \delta_{T^*M}^a &: T^*M \hookrightarrow T^*Y, (x; \xi) \mapsto (x, x; \xi, -\xi), \\ \delta_{T^*Y}^a &: T^*Y \hookrightarrow T^*Y \times T^*Y, \\ p &: T^*Y \rightarrow \text{pt the projection,} \\ a_Y &: Y \rightarrow \text{pt the projection.} \end{aligned}$$

With these new notations, the composition $\overset{a}{\underset{22}{\circ}}$ will be denoted by $\overset{a}{\underset{T^*Y}{\circ}}$.

Consider the diagram 4.9 similar to Diagram (4.4.15) of [KS90]

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} T^*M \times T^*M & \xhookrightarrow{i} & T^*Y \times T^*Y & \xleftarrow{\delta_{T^*Y}^a} & T^*Y \\ & & \uparrow j_\pi & & \sim \uparrow p_1 \\ & & T^*Y \times_Y T^*Y & \xleftarrow{\tilde{s}} & T_{\Delta_Y}^*(Y \times Y) \\ & & \downarrow j_d & \square & \downarrow \pi_Y \\ T^*Y & \xleftarrow{s} & Y & \xrightarrow{a_Y} & \text{pt.} \end{array}$$

Here, i is the canonical embedding induced by $\delta_{T^*M}^a$, p_1 is induced by the first projection $T^*Y \times T^*Y \rightarrow T^*Y$, $s: Y \hookrightarrow T^*Y$ is the zero-section embedding and \tilde{s} is the natural embedding. Note that the square labelled by \square is Cartesian. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}p_! \circ (\delta_{T^*Y}^a)^{-1} &\simeq \mathbf{R}a_{Y!} \circ \mathbf{R}\pi_{Y!} \circ p_1^{-1} \circ (\delta_{T^*Y}^a)^{-1} \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}a_{Y!} \circ \mathbf{R}\pi_{Y!} \circ \tilde{s}^{-1} \circ j_\pi^{-1} \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}a_{Y!} \circ s^{-1} \circ \mathbf{R}j_{d!} \circ j_\pi^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}) \overset{a}{\underset{T^*Y}{\circ}} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}p_! (\delta_{T^*Y}^a)^{-1} (\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\boxtimes} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M})) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{R}a_{Y!} s^{-1} \mathbf{R}j_{d!} j_\pi^{-1} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_M}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by adjunction, to give a morphism

$$\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}) \overset{a}{\underset{T^*Y}{\circ}} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$$

is equivalent to giving a morphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_Y)$

$$(4.10) \quad s^{-1} \mathbf{R}j_{d!} j_\pi^{-1} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_M}) \rightarrow a_Y^! \mathbf{k}_{\text{pt.}}$$

Note that the left hand side of (4.10) is supported on Δ_M . Hence in order to give a morphism (4.10), it is necessary and sufficient to give a morphism in $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$

$$(4.11) \quad \delta_M^{-1} s^{-1} \mathbf{R}j_{d!} j_\pi^{-1} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_M}) \rightarrow \delta_M^! a_Y^! \mathbf{k}_{\text{pt.}}$$

Hence, it is enough to check the commutativity of the upper square in the following diagram in $D^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \delta_M^{-1} s^{-1} \mathrm{R}j_{d!} j_\pi^{-1} \mu \mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_M}) & \longrightarrow & \delta_M^! a_Y^! \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{pt}} \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \\ \delta_M^{-1} s^{-1} \mathrm{R}j_{d!} j_\pi^{-1} i_* (\pi_M^{-1} \omega_M \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \pi_M^{-1} \omega_M) & \longrightarrow & \delta_M^! a_Y^! \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{pt}} \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \omega_M & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & \omega_M. \end{array}$$

The top horizontal arrow is constructed by the chain of morphisms (see [KS90, § 4.4]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}j_{d!} j_\pi^{-1} \mu \mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_M}) \\ & \rightarrow \mu \mathrm{hom}(j^! (\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}) \otimes \omega_Y, j^{-1} (\omega_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_M})) \\ & \simeq \mu \mathrm{hom}(\omega_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M} \otimes \omega_{\Delta_M}) \simeq (\delta_{T^*M}^a)_* \pi_M^{-1} \omega_M \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \delta_M^{-1} s^{-1} \mathrm{R}j_{d!} j_\pi^{-1} \mu \mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_M}) \\ & \rightarrow \delta_M^{-1} s^{-1} (\delta_{T^*M}^a)_* \pi_M^{-1} \omega_M \simeq \omega_M. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the commutativity of the diagram (4.12) is reduced to the commutativity of the diagram below:

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \delta_M^{-1} s^{-1} \mathrm{R}j_{d!} j_\pi^{-1} \mu \mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}, \omega_{\Delta_M} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Delta_M}) & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \lambda & \\ \delta_M^{-1} s^{-1} \mathrm{R}j_{d!} j_\pi^{-1} i_* (\pi_M^{-1} \omega_M \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \pi_M^{-1} \omega_M) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \omega_M. \end{array}$$

where the morphism λ is given by the morphisms in (4.13). All terms of (4.14) are concentrated at the degree $-\dim M$. Hence the commutativity of (4.14) is a local problem in M and we can assume that M is a Euclidean space. We can checked directly in this case. Q.E.D.

Remark 4.7. Theorem 4.6 may be applied as follows. Let Λ_{ij} be a closed conic subset of T^*M_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, j = i + 1$). Assume (3.6), that is, the projection $p_{13}: \Lambda_{12} \times_2^a \Lambda_{23} \longrightarrow T^*M_{13}$ is proper and set $\Lambda_{13} = \Lambda_{12} \times_2^a \Lambda_{23}$. Let $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda_{ij}}^0(\mathbf{k}_{M_{ij}}) \simeq H_{\Lambda_{ij}}^0(T^*M_{ij}; \pi^{-1}\omega_{ij})$. Then

$$(4.15) \quad \lambda_{12} \times_2^a \lambda_{23} = \int_{T^*M_2} \lambda_{12} \cup \lambda_{23}$$

where the right hand-side is obtained as follows. Set $\Lambda := \Lambda_{12} \times_2^a \Lambda_{23}$ and consider the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{\Lambda_{12}}^0(T^*M_{12}; \pi^{-1}\omega_{12}) \times H_{\Lambda_{23}}^0(T^*M_{23}; \pi^{-1}\omega_{23}) \\ & \rightarrow H_{\Lambda}^0(T^*M_{123}; \pi^{-1}\omega_1 \boxtimes_{T^*M_2}^L \omega_{T^*M_2} \boxtimes_{T^*M_2}^L \pi^{-1}\omega_3) \\ & \rightarrow H_{\Lambda_{13}}^0(T^*M_{13}; \pi^{-1}\omega_{13}). \end{aligned}$$

The first morphism is the cup product and the second one is the integration morphism with respect to T^*M_2 .

5 Microlocal Euler classes of trace kernels

In this section, we often write Δ instead of Δ_M .

Definition 5.1. A *trace kernel* (K, u, v) on M is the data of $K \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M \times M})$ together with morphisms

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{k}_{\Delta} \xrightarrow{u} K \quad \text{and} \quad K \xrightarrow{v} \omega_{\Delta}.$$

In the sequel, as far as there is no risk of confusion, we simply write K instead of (K, u, v) .

For a trace kernel K as above, we set

$$(5.2) \quad \text{SS}_{\Delta}(K) := \text{SS}(K) \cap T_{\Delta}^*(M \times M) = (\delta_{T^*M}^a)^{-1} \text{SS}(K).$$

(Recall that one often identifies T^*M and $T_{\Delta}^*(M \times M)$ by $\delta_{T^*M}^a: T^*M \hookrightarrow T^*M \times T^*M$.)

Definition 5.2. Let (K, u, v) be a trace kernel.

- (a) The morphism u defines an element \tilde{u} in $H_{\text{SS}_\Delta(K)}^0(T^*M; \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_\Delta, K))$ and the *microlocal Euler class* $\mu\text{eu}_M(K)$ of K is the image of \tilde{u} by the morphism $\mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_\Delta, K) \rightarrow \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_\Delta, \omega_\Delta)$ associated with the morphism v .
- (b) Let Λ be a closed conic subset of T^*M containing $\text{SS}_\Delta(K)$. One denotes by $\mu\text{eu}_\Lambda(K)$ the image of \tilde{u} in $H_\Lambda^0(T^*M; \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_\Delta, \omega_\Delta))$.

Hence,

$$(5.3) \quad \mu\text{eu}_\Lambda(K) \in \text{MH}_\Lambda^0(\mathbf{k}_M) \simeq H_\Lambda^0(T^*M; \pi^{-1}\omega_M).$$

Let \tilde{v} be the element of $H_{\text{SS}_\Delta(K)}^0(T^*M; \mu\text{hom}(K, \omega_\Delta))$ induced by v . Then the microlocal Euler class $\mu\text{eu}_M(K)$ of K coincides with the image of \tilde{v} by the morphism $\mu\text{hom}(K, \omega_{\Delta_M}) \rightarrow \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_\Delta, \omega_\Delta)$ associated with the morphism u , which can be easily seen by the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\delta_{T^*M}^a)^{-1} \mu\text{hom}(K, K) & \xrightarrow{v} & (\delta_{T^*M}^a)^{-1} \mu\text{hom}(K, \omega_\Delta) \\ \downarrow u & & \downarrow u \\ (\delta_{T^*M}^a)^{-1} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_\Delta, K) & \xrightarrow{v} & (\delta_{T^*M}^a)^{-1} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_\Delta, \omega_\Delta). \end{array}$$

One denotes by $\text{eu}(K)$ the restriction of $\mu\text{eu}(K)$ to the zero-section M of T^*M and calls it the *Euler class* of K . Hence

$$(5.4) \quad \text{eu}_M(K) \in H_{\text{Supp}(K) \cap \Delta}^0(M; \omega_M).$$

It is nothing but the class induced by the composition $\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow K \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_M}$.

We say that $L \in \text{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ is *invertible* if L is locally isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}_M[d]$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $L^{\otimes -1} := \text{R}\mathcal{H}om(L, \mathbf{k}_M)$ is also invertible and $L \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes} L^{\otimes -1} \simeq \mathbf{k}_M$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let L be an invertible object in $\text{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ and K a trace kernel. Then $K \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes} (L \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} L^{\otimes -1})$ is a trace kernel and $\mu\text{eu}(K \overset{\text{L}}{\otimes} (L \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} L^{\otimes -1})) = \mu\text{eu}(K)$.*

Proof. $L \overset{\text{L}}{\boxtimes} L^{\otimes -1}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}_{M \times M}$ on a neighborhood of the diagonal set Δ_M of $M \times M$. Q.E.D.

Remark 5.4. Of course, we could also have defined a trace kernel as a sequence of morphisms

$$(5.5) \quad \omega_{\Delta_M}^{\otimes -1} \rightarrow \tilde{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M}.$$

When treating sheaves, both definitions would give the same microlocal Euler class by taking $K = \tilde{K} \otimes (\mathbf{k}_M \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_M)$. However, when working with \mathcal{O} -modules or with DQ-modules as in [KS12], the two constructions give different classes. Note that we have chosen an analogue of (5.5) in [KS12].

Trace kernels for constructible sheaves

Let us denote by $\mathbf{D}_{cc}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ consisting of cohomologically constructible sheaves (see [KS90, § 3.4]).

Lemma 5.5. *Let $F \in \mathbf{D}_{cc}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$. There are natural morphisms in $\mathbf{D}_{cc}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M \times M})$:*

$$(5.6) \quad \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} D_M F,$$

$$(5.7) \quad F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} D_M F \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_M}.$$

In other words, an object $F \in \mathbf{D}_{cc}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ defines naturally a trace kernel on M .

Proof. (i) We have

$$\mathbf{k}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(F, F) \simeq \delta^!(F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} D_M F).$$

Hence, the result follows by adjunction.

(ii) The morphism (5.7) may be deduced from (5.6) by duality, or by adjunction from the morphism

$$\delta^{-1}(F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} D_M F) \rightarrow \omega_M.$$

Q.E.D.

Notation 5.6. We shall denote by $\text{TK}(F)$ the trace kernel associated with $F \in \mathbf{D}_{cc}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$, that is the data of $F \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} D_M F$ and the morphisms (5.6), (5.7). Note that we have always $\text{SS}_{\Delta}(\text{TK}(F)) \subset \text{SS}(F)$ and the equality holds if M is real analytic and F is \mathbb{R} -constructible.

We have the chain of morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\text{hom}(F, F) &\simeq (\delta_{T^*M}^a)^{-1} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_\Delta, F \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} DF) \\ &\rightarrow (\delta_{T^*M}^a)^{-1} \mu\text{hom}(\mathbf{k}_\Delta, \omega_\Delta).\end{aligned}$$

We deduce the map

$$(5.8) \quad H_{\text{SS}(F)}^0(T^*M; \mu\text{hom}(F, F)) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{\text{SS}(F)}^0(\mathbf{k}_M).$$

Definition 5.7. Let $F \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{cc}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$. The image of id_F by the map (5.8) is called the microlocal Euler class of F and is denoted by $\mu\text{eu}_M(F)$.

Clearly, one has

$$(5.9) \quad \mu\text{eu}_M(F) = \mu\text{eu}_M(\text{TK}(F)).$$

Assume M is real analytic and denote by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ consisting of \mathbb{R} -constructible complexes. Of course, \mathbb{R} -constructible complexes are cohomologically constructible. In [KS90, § 9.4] the microlocal Euler class of an object $F \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ is constructed as above and this class is also called the characteristic cycle, or else, the Lagrangian cycle, of F .

Remark 5.8. Let (K, u, v) be a trace kernel on M . Let $\delta: M \rightarrow M \times M$ be the diagonal embedding. Then u and v decompose as

$$\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_M} \rightarrow \delta_* \delta^! K \rightarrow K \rightarrow \delta_* \delta^{-1} K \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_M}.$$

Hence $\delta_* \delta^! K$ and $\delta_* \delta^{-1} K$ are also trace kernels. We have evidently

$$\mu\text{eu}_M(\delta_* \delta^! K) = \mu\text{eu}_M(\delta_* \delta^{-1} K) = \mu\text{eu}_M(K) \quad \text{as elements in } \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{T^*M}^0(\mathbf{k}_M).$$

Trace kernels over one point

Let us consider the particular case where M is a single point, $M = \text{pt}$, and let us identify a sheaf over pt with a \mathbf{k} -module. In this situation, a trace kernel (K, u, v) is the data of $K \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k})$ together with linear maps

$$\mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{u} K \xrightarrow{v} \mathbf{k}.$$

The (microlocal) Euler class $\text{eu}_{\text{pt}}(K)$ of this kernel is the image of $1 \in \mathbf{k}$ by $v \circ u$.

Assume now that \mathbf{k} is a field and denote by $\mathbf{D}_f^b(\mathbf{k})$ the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{k})$ consisting of objects with finite-dimensional cohomologies. Let $V \in \mathbf{D}_f^b(\mathbf{k})$ and set $V^* = \text{RHom}(V, \mathbf{k})$. Let $K = \text{TK}(V) = V \otimes V^*$, and let v be the trace morphism and u its dual. Then

- (a) $\text{eu}_{\text{pt}}(V \otimes V^*) = \text{tr}(\text{id}_V)$, the trace of the identity of V .
- (5.10) (b) If \mathbf{k} has characteristic zero, then
- $$\text{eu}_{\text{pt}}(V \otimes V^*) = \chi(V), \text{ the Euler-Poincaré index of } V.$$

Trace kernels for \mathcal{D} -modules

In this subsection, we denote by X a complex manifold of complex dimension d_X and the base ring \mathbf{k} is the field \mathbb{C} . We denote by \mathcal{O}_X the structure sheaf and by Ω_X the sheaf of holomorphic forms of maximal degree. We still denote by ω_X the topological dualizing complex and recall the isomorphism $\omega_X \simeq \mathbb{C}_X[2d_X]$.

One denotes by \mathcal{D}_X the sheaf of \mathbb{C}_X -algebras of (finite order) holomorphic differential operators on X and refers to [Ka03] for a detailed exposition of the theory of \mathcal{D} -modules. We denote by $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the category of left \mathcal{D}_X -modules and by $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ its bounded derived category. We also denote by $\text{Mod}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the abelian category of coherent \mathcal{D}_X -modules and by $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ consisting of objects with coherent cohomologies.

We denote by $D_{\mathcal{D}}: \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the duality functor for left \mathcal{D} -modules:

$$D_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{M} := \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^{\otimes -1}[d_X].$$

We denote by $\bullet \boxtimes \bullet$ the external product for \mathcal{D} -modules:

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N} := \mathcal{D}_{X \times X} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X \boxtimes \mathcal{D}_X}^{\mathbf{L}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{N}).$$

Let Δ be the diagonal of $X \times X$. The left $\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}$ -module $H_{[\Delta]}^{d_X}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times X})$ (the algebraic cohomology with support in Δ) is denoted as usual by \mathcal{B}_{Δ} . Note

that

$$D_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{B}_{\Delta} \simeq \mathcal{B}_{\Delta}.$$

One shall be aware that here, the dual is taken over $X \times X$. We also introduce

$$\mathcal{B}_{\Delta}^{\vee} := \mathcal{B}_{\Delta} [2d_X].$$

For $\mathcal{M} \in D_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have the isomorphism

$$\text{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \simeq \text{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}}(\mathcal{B}_{\Delta}, \mathcal{M} \boxtimes D_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{M}) [d_X].$$

We deduce the morphism in $D^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times X})$

$$(5.11) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \boxtimes D_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{M} [d_X]$$

and by duality, the morphism in $D^b(\mathcal{D}_{X \times X})$

$$(5.12) \quad \mathcal{M} \boxtimes D_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{M} [d_X] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\Delta}^{\vee}.$$

Denote by \mathcal{E}_X the sheaf on T^*X of microdifferential operators of [SKK73]. For a coherent \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} set

$$\mathcal{M}^E := \mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}$$

and recall that, denoting by $\text{char}(\mathcal{M})$ the characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} , we have $\text{char}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}^E)$. One also sets

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Delta} := \mathcal{B}_{\Delta}^E, \quad \mathcal{C}_{\Delta}^{\vee} := (\mathcal{B}_{\Delta}^{\vee})^E.$$

We denote by $D_{\mathcal{E}}: D^b(\mathcal{E}_X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow D^b(\mathcal{E}_X)$ the duality functor for left \mathcal{E} -modules:

$$D_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{M} := \text{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{E}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E}_X) \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X} \pi^{-1}\Omega_X^{\otimes -1} [d_X]$$

and we denote by $\bullet \boxtimes \bullet$ the external product for \mathcal{E} -modules:

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N} := \mathcal{E}_{X \times X} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}_X \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_X}^{\text{L}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}).$$

The morphisms (5.11) and (5.12) give rise to the morphisms

$$(5.13) \quad \mathcal{C}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^E \boxtimes D_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{M}^E [d_X] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\Delta}^{\vee}.$$

Let Λ be a closed conic subset of T^*X . One sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}_X) &= (\delta_{T^*X}^a)^{-1} \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{E}_X \times X}(\mathcal{C}_\Delta, \mathcal{C}_\Delta^\vee), \\ \mathrm{HH}_\Lambda(\mathcal{E}_X) &= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_\Lambda(T^*X; \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}_X)), \\ \mathrm{HH}_\Lambda^k(\mathcal{E}_X) &= H^k(\mathrm{HH}_\Lambda(\mathcal{E}_X)) = H_\Lambda^k(T^*X; \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}_X)).\end{aligned}$$

We call $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}_\Lambda(\mathcal{E}_X)$ the *Hochschild homology* of \mathcal{E}_X with support in Λ .

The morphisms in (5.13) define a class

$$(5.14) \quad \mathrm{hh}_\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathrm{HH}_{\mathrm{char}(\mathcal{M})}^0(\mathcal{E}_X)$$

that we call the *Hochschild class* of \mathcal{M} .

Let S be a closed subset of X . By restricting to the zero-section X of T^*X the above construction, we obtain the Hochschild homology of \mathcal{D}_X :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_X) &= (\delta_X)^{-1} \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X \times X}(\mathcal{B}_\Delta, \mathcal{B}_\Delta^\vee) \simeq \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}_X)|_X, \\ \mathrm{HH}_S(\mathcal{D}_X) &= \mathrm{R}\Gamma_S(X; \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_X)), \\ \mathrm{HH}_S^k(\mathcal{D}_X) &= H^k(\mathrm{HH}_S(\mathcal{D}_X)) = H_S^k(X; \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D}_X)).\end{aligned}$$

Then, to $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ one obtains

$$\mathrm{hh}_\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}) := \mathrm{hh}_\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})|_X \in \mathrm{HH}_{\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{M})}^0(\mathcal{D}_X).$$

We shall make a link between the Hochschild class of \mathcal{M} and the microlocal Euler class of a trace kernel attached to the sheaves of holomorphic solutions of \mathcal{M} . We need a lemma.

Lemma 5.9. *For \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 in $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, there exists a natural morphism*

$$(5.15) \quad \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}_1^E, \mathcal{N}_2^E) \rightarrow \mu\mathrm{hom}(\Omega_X \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{N}_1, \Omega_X \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{N}_2).$$

Moreover, this morphism is compatible with the composition

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}_1^E, \mathcal{N}_2^E) \otimes \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}_2^E, \mathcal{N}_3^E) &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}_1^E, \mathcal{N}_3^E), \\ \mu\mathrm{hom}(F_1, F_2) \otimes \mu\mathrm{hom}(F_2, F_3) &\rightarrow \mu\mathrm{hom}(F_1, F_3).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have the natural morphism in $\mathrm{D}^b(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X \otimes \pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X^{\mathrm{op}})$ (see [KS85, Prop. 10.6.2])

$$\mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mu\mathrm{hom}(\Omega_X, \Omega_X).$$

This gives rise to the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{N}_2) \\ \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{N}_1, \mu\mathrm{hom}(\Omega_X, \Omega_X)) \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{N}_2 \\ \simeq \mu\mathrm{hom}(\Omega_X \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{N}_1, \Omega_X \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{N}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{X \times X}[-d_X] \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}} \mathcal{B}_\Delta &\simeq \mathbb{C}_\Delta, \\ \Omega_{X \times X}[-d_X] \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}} \mathcal{B}_\Delta^\vee &\simeq \omega_\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 5.9, one deduces the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{E}_{X \times X}}(\mathcal{C}_\Delta, \mathcal{C}_\Delta^\vee) &\rightarrow \mu\mathrm{hom}(\Omega_{X \times X} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}} \mathcal{B}_\Delta, \Omega_{X \times X} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}} \mathcal{B}_\Delta^\vee) \\ &\simeq \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbb{C}_\Delta, \omega_\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

An easy calculation shows that the first arrow is also an isomorphism. Therefore, we get the isomorphism

$$(5.16) \quad \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}_X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{M}\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}_X).$$

Recall that the Hochschild homology of \mathcal{E}_X has been already calculated in [BG87].

Applying the functor $\Omega_{X \times X}[-d_X] \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}} \bullet$ to (5.11) and (5.12) we get the morphisms

$$(5.17) \quad \mathbb{C}_\Delta \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times X} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \omega_\Delta.$$

Notation 5.10. For $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we denote by $\mathrm{TK}(\mathcal{M})$ the trace kernel given by (5.17).

Since $\mathrm{char}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{SS}(\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X))$ by [KS90, Th. 11.3.3], we get that $\mu\mathrm{eu}_M(\mathrm{TK}(\mathcal{M}))$ is supported by $\mathrm{char}(\mathcal{M})$, the characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} .

Proposition 5.11. *After identifying $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}_X)$ and $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ by the isomorphism (5.16), we have $\mathrm{hh}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}) = \mu\mathrm{eu}_X(\mathrm{TK}(\mathcal{M}))$ in $\mathrm{HHH}_{\mathrm{char}(\mathcal{M})}^0(\mathbb{C}_X)$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.9 applied to (5.13). Q.E.D.

Note that the class $\mu\mathrm{eu}_X(\mathrm{TK}(\mathcal{M}))$ coincides with the microlocal Euler class of \mathcal{M} already introduced by Schapira-Schneiders in [ScSn94].

6 Operations on microlocal Euler classes I

In this section, we shall adapt to trace kernels the constructions of [KS12, Chap. 4 §3] and we shall show that under natural microlocal conditions of properness, the microlocal Euler class of the composition of two kernels is the composition of the classes.

We use Notations 3.1 and we consider a trace kernel (K, u, v) on M_{12} .

Lemma 6.1. *Let K be a trace kernel on M_{12} . There are natural morphisms in $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{11}})$:*

$$(6.1) \quad \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}} \rightarrow K \underset{22}{*} (\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}),$$

$$(6.2) \quad K \underset{22}{\circ} (\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \omega_{\Delta_3}) \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_{13}}.$$

Proof. (i) By Lemma 4.3 (ii) we have a morphism $\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} \underset{22}{*} (\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3})$. By composing this morphism with $\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} \rightarrow K$, we get (6.1).

(ii) By Lemma 4.3 (i) we have a morphism $\omega_{\Delta_{12}} \underset{22}{\circ} (\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \omega_{\Delta_3}) \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_{13}}$. By composing this morphism with $K \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_{12}}$ we get (6.2). Q.E.D.

Let K be a trace kernel on M_{12} with microsupport $\mathrm{SS}(K)$ contained in a closed conic subset Λ_{1122} of T^*M_{1122} and let Λ_{23} a closed conic subset of T^*M_{23} . We assume

$$(6.3) \quad \Lambda_{1122} \underset{22}{\times}^a \delta_{T^*M_{23}}^a \Lambda_{23} \text{ is proper over } T^*M_{1133}.$$

We set

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{cases} \Lambda_{12} := \Lambda_{1122} \cap T_{\Delta_{12}}^* M_{1122}, \\ \Lambda_{1133} := \Lambda_{1122} \overset{a}{\circ}_{22} \delta_{T^* M_{23}}^a \Lambda_{23}, \\ \Lambda_{13} := \Lambda_{1133} \cap T_{\Delta_{13}}^* M_{1133} = \Lambda_{12} \overset{a}{\circ}_2 \Lambda_{23}. \end{cases}$$

We define a map

$$(6.5) \quad \Phi_K: \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda_{23}}(\mathbf{k}_{23}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda_{13}}(\mathbf{k}_{13})$$

by the sequence of morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda_{23}}(\mathbf{k}_{23}) &\simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\delta_{T^* M_{23}}^a \Lambda_{23}}(T^* M_{2233}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}})) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\delta_{T^* M_{23}}^a \Lambda_{23}}(T^* M_{2233}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}, \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes \omega_{\Delta_3})) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{1133}}(T^* M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(K, K) \overset{a}{\circ}_{22} \mu\mathrm{hom}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}, \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes \omega_{\Delta_3})) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{1133}}(T^* M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(K \underset{22}{*} (\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}), K \overset{a}{\circ}_{22} (\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes \omega_{\Delta_3}))) \\ &\rightarrow \Gamma(T^* M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}}, \omega_{\Delta_{13}})) \simeq \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda_{13}}(\mathbf{k}_{13}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first arrow is given by id_K , the second is given by Proposition 3.2, and the last arrow is induced by the morphisms in Lemma 6.1.

The next result is similar to [KS12, Th. 4.3.5].

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\Lambda_{1122} \subset T^* M_{1122}$ and $\Lambda_{23} \subset T^* M_{23}$ be closed conic subsets satisfying (6.3) and recall the notation (6.4). Let K be a trace kernel on M_{12} with microsupport contained in Λ_{1122} . Then the map Φ_K in (6.5) is the map $\mu\mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}(K) \overset{a}{\circ}_{12}$ given by Corollary 4.5.*

Proof. By using the morphism $\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} \rightarrow K$, we find the commutative diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{23}}(T^* M_{2233}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{13}}(T^* M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} \underset{22}{*} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} \overset{a}{\circ}_{22} \omega_{\Delta_{23}})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{1133}}(T^* M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(K \underset{22}{*} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, K \overset{a}{\circ}_{22} \omega_{\Delta_{23}})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{13}}(T^* M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} \underset{22}{*} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, K \overset{a}{\circ}_{22} \omega_{\Delta_{23}})). \end{array}$$

By using the morphism $K \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_{12}}$, we get the commutative diagram

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{23}}(T^*M_{2233}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{23}})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{13}}(T^*M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} *_{22} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{12}} \circ_{22} \omega_{\Delta_{23}})) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_{1133}}(T^*M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(K *_{22} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, K \circ_{22} \omega_{\Delta_{23}})) & \end{array}$$

Recall the morphisms in Lemma 4.3:

$$(6.7) \quad \omega_{\Delta_{12}} \circ_{22} (\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \omega_{\Delta_3}) \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_{13}}, \quad \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} *_{22} (\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}).$$

We get the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} w: \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\delta_{T^*M_{13}}^a \Lambda_{13}}(T^*M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} *_{22} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{23}}, \omega_{\Delta_{12}} \circ_{22} \omega_{\Delta_{23}})) \\ \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\delta_{T^*M_{13}}^a \Lambda_{13}}(T^*M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{12}} *_{22} (\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}), \omega_{\Delta_{12}} \circ_{22} (\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \omega_{\Delta_3}))) \\ \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\delta_{T^*M_{13}}^a \Lambda_{13}}(T^*M_{1133}; \mu\mathrm{hom}(\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}}, \omega_{\Delta_{13}})). \end{aligned}$$

By its construction, the morphism $\mu\mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}(K) \circ$ is obtained as the composition with the map w of the top row of the diagram (6.6). Since the composition with w of the two other arrows is the morphism Φ_K , the proof is complete. Q.E.D.

The next result is similar to [KS12, Th. 4.3.6].

Let $i = 1, 2$, $j = i + 1$ and let Λ_{ijj} be a closed conic subset of T^*M_{ijj} . Assume that

$$(6.8) \quad \Lambda_{1122} \overset{a}{\times} \Lambda_{2233} \text{ is proper over } T^*M_{1133}.$$

Set $\Lambda_{1133} = \Lambda_{1122} \overset{a}{\circ} \Lambda_{2233}$ and $\Lambda_{ij} = \Lambda_{ijj} \cap T_{\Delta_{ij}}^*M_{ijj}$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let K_{ij} be a trace kernel on M_{ij} with $\mathrm{SS}(K_{ij}) \subset \Lambda_{ijj}$. Assume (6.8), set $\tilde{K}_{23} = \omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \circ_2 K_{23} \simeq (\omega_2^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{k}_{233}) \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} K$ and set $K_{13} = K_{12} \overset{\circ}{\circ}_{22} \tilde{K}_{23}$. Then*

(a) K_{13} is a trace kernel on M_{13} ,

(b) $\mu\mathrm{eu}_{M_{13}}(K_{13}) = \mu\mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}(K_{12}) \overset{a}{\circ}_2 \mu\mathrm{eu}_{M_{23}}(K_{23})$ as elements of $\mathrm{MH}\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda_{13}}^0(\mathbf{k}_{13})$.

(c) In particular, we have $\Phi_{K_{12}} \circ \Phi_{K_{23}} \simeq \Phi_{K_{13}}$.

Proof. (a) The trace kernel K_{23} defines morphisms

$$\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^L \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3} \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{23} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^L \omega_{\Delta_3}.$$

Assuming (6.8) and using (6.1) and (6.2), we get that $K_{13} = K_{12} \circ_{22} \tilde{K}_{23}$ is a trace kernel on M_{13} .

(b) We get a commutative diagram in which we set $\lambda_{23} = \mu\text{eu}_{M_{23}}(K_{23}) \in \text{MH}^0(\mathbf{k}_{23}) \simeq \text{Hom}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^L \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}, \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^L \omega_{\Delta_3})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_{13}} & \longrightarrow & K_{12} *_{22} (\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^L \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_3}) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{23}} & K_{12} \circ_{22} (\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_2} \boxtimes^L \omega_{\Delta_3}) & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\Delta_{13}} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \nearrow & & \uparrow \\
 & & K_{12} *_{22} \tilde{K}_{23} & & & & \\
 & & \uparrow \wr & & & & \\
 & & K_{12} \circ_{22} \tilde{K}_{23} & & & & \\
 & \searrow & & & & & \swarrow \\
 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The composition of the arrows on the bottom is $\mu\text{eu}_{M_{13}}(K_{13})$ and the composition of the arrows on the top is $\Phi_{K_{12}}(\mu\text{eu}_{M_{23}}(K_{23}))$. Hence, the assertion follows from the commutativity of the diagram by Proposition 6.2.

(c) follows from (b) and Proposition 6.2.

Q.E.D.

7 Operations on microlocal Euler classes II

We shall combine Theorems 4.6 and 6.3 and make more explicit the operations on microlocal Euler classes for direct or inverse images. In particular, applying our results to the case of constructible sheaves, we shall recover the results of [KS90, Ch. IX §5].

Let M be a manifold and let $\iota: N \hookrightarrow M$ be closed embedding of a smooth submanifold N . If there is no risk of confusion, we shall still denote by \mathbf{k}_N and ω_N the sheaves $\iota_*\mathbf{k}_N$ and $\iota_*\omega_N$ on M . Then \mathbf{k}_N is cohomologically constructible and moreover

$$D_M \mathbf{k}_N = R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_N, \omega_M) \simeq \omega_N.$$

Hence, $\mathrm{TK}(\mathbf{k}_N) = \mathbf{k}_N \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_N$ is a trace kernel on M .

Let M_i be a manifold ($i = 1, 2$), let K_i be a trace kernel on M_i and let Λ_{ii} be a closed conic subset of T^*M_{ii} with $\mathrm{SS}(K_i) \subset \Lambda_{ii}$. We set

$$\Lambda_i = \Lambda_{ii} \cap T_{\Delta_i}^* M_{ii}.$$

For a morphism of manifolds $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, we denote by Γ_f its graph, a smooth closed submanifold of M_{12} and we set for short

$$\Lambda_f := T_{\Gamma_f}^*(M_{12}), \quad \tilde{f} = (f, f): M_{11} \rightarrow M_{22}.$$

Recall the diagram (2.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^*M_1 & \xleftarrow{f_d} & M_1 \times_{M_2} T^*M_2 & \xrightarrow{f_\pi} & T^*M_2 \\ & \searrow^{\pi_{M_1}} & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_{M_2} \\ & & M_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & M_2. \end{array}$$

Note that

$$\Lambda_{11} \overset{a}{\circ}_{11} \Lambda_{\tilde{f}} = \tilde{f}_\pi \tilde{f}_d^{-1} \Lambda_{11}, \quad \Lambda_{\tilde{f}} \overset{a}{\circ}_{22} \Lambda_{22} = \tilde{f}_d \tilde{f}_\pi^{-1} \Lambda_{22}.$$

In the sequel, we shall identify M_{1212} with M_{1122} . We take as kernel the sheaf $\mathrm{TK}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (7.1) \quad \mathrm{TK}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f}) &= \mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{\Gamma_f} \simeq \mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_{\tilde{f}}} \otimes (\mathbf{k}_1 \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_1 \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{22}) \\ &\simeq \omega_{\Delta_1} \overset{\circ}{\circ}_{11} ((\omega_1^{\otimes -1} \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_1 \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{k}_{22}) \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} \mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_{\tilde{f}}}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have (see (5.9)):

$$\mu\mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}(\mathrm{TK}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f})) = \mu\mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f}).$$

Also note that

$$\mathrm{R}\tilde{f}_! K_1 \simeq K_1 \overset{\circ}{\circ}_{11} \mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_{\tilde{f}}}, \quad \tilde{f}^{-1} K_2 \simeq \mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_{\tilde{f}}} \overset{\circ}{\circ}_{22} K_2.$$

External product

Applying Theorem 4.6 with $M_2 = \text{pt}$ and M_3 being here M_2 , we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{MH}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathbf{k}_{M_1}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathcal{MH}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathbf{k}_{M_2}) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{MH}_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2}(\mathbf{k}_{M_{12}}) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_1}(\pi_{M_1}^{-1}\omega_{M_1}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_2}(\pi_{M_2}^{-1}\omega_{M_2}) & \xrightarrow{\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes}} & \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2}(\pi_{M_{12}}^{-1}\omega_{M_{12}}) \end{array}$$

and taking the global sections and the 0-th cohomology,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda_1}^0(\mathbf{k}_{M_1}) \otimes \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda_2}^0(\mathbf{k}_{M_2}) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathbb{M}\mathbb{H}_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2}^0(\mathbf{k}_{M_{12}}) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ H_{\Lambda_1}^0(T^*M_1; \pi_{M_1}^{-1}\omega_{M_1}) \otimes H_{\Lambda_2}^0(T^*M_2; \pi_{M_2}^{-1}\omega_{M_2}) & \xrightarrow{\overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes}} & H_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2}^0(T^*M_{12}; \pi_{M_{12}}^{-1}\omega_{M_{12}}). \end{array}$$

Applying Theorem 6.3, we obtain

Proposition 7.1. *The object $K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} K_2$ is a trace kernel on M_{12} and*

$$\mu\text{eu}_{M_{12}}(K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} K_2) = \mu\text{eu}_{M_1}(K_1) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \mu\text{eu}_{M_2}(K_2).$$

Direct image

Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and Γ_f be as above. Applying Theorem 4.6 with $M_1 = \text{pt}$ and M_2, M_3 being the current M_1, M_2 , we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{MH}(\mathbf{k}_{M_1}) \overset{a}{\underset{1}{\circ}} \mathcal{MH}(\mathbf{k}_{M_{12}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{MH}(\mathbf{k}_{M_2}) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \pi_{M_1}^{-1}\omega_{M_1} \overset{a}{\underset{1}{\circ}} \pi_{M_{12}}^{-1}\omega_{M_{12}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_{M_2}^{-1}\omega_{M_2}. \end{array}$$

Now we assume

(7.2) f is proper on $\Lambda_1 \cap T_{M_1}^*M_1$, or, equivalently, f_π is proper on $f_d^{-1}\Lambda_1$.

We set

$$f_\mu(\Lambda_1) = \Lambda_1 \circ \Lambda_f = f_\pi(f_d^{-1}(\Lambda_1)).$$

Taking the global sections and the 0-th cohomology of the diagram above, we obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{MH}_{\Lambda_1}^0(\mathbf{k}_{M_1}) & \xrightarrow{\circ \mu \mathrm{eu}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f})} & \mathrm{MH}_{f_\mu \Lambda_1}^0(\mathbf{k}_{M_2}) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ H_{\Lambda_1}^0(T^*M_1; \pi_{M_1}^{-1}\omega_{M_1}) & \xrightarrow{\circ \mu \mathrm{eu}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f})} & H_{f_\mu \Lambda_1}^0(T^*M_2; \pi_{M_2}^{-1}\omega_{M_2}). \end{array}$$

We have natural morphism and isomorphisms, already constructed in [KS90]:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\pi!} f_d^{-1} \pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1} &\simeq f_{\pi!} \pi^{-1} \omega_{M_1} \simeq \pi_{M_2}^{-1} f! \omega_{M_1} \\ &\rightarrow \pi_{M_2}^{-1} \omega_{M_2}. \end{aligned}$$

It induces a morphism:

$$f_\mu: \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_1}(\pi_{M_1}^{-1}\omega_{M_1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{f_\mu \Lambda_1}(\pi_{M_2}^{-1}\omega_{M_2}).$$

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\lambda \in H_{\Lambda_1}^0(T^*M_1; \pi_{M_1}^{-1}\omega_{M_1})$. Then $\lambda \circ \mu \mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f}) = f_\mu(\lambda)$.*

Proposition 7.3. *Assume that \tilde{f} is proper on $\Lambda_{11} \cap T_{M_{11}}^* M_{11}$. Then the object $\mathrm{R}\tilde{f}_! K_1$ is a trace kernel on M_2 and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \mathrm{eu}_{M_2}(\mathrm{R}\tilde{f}_! K_1) &= \mu \mathrm{eu}_{M_1}(K_1) \overset{a}{\circ}_1 \mu \mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f}) \\ &= f_\mu(\mu \mathrm{eu}_{M_1}(K_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $\mu \mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f}) = \mu \mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}((\omega_1^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \omega_1 \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{k}_{22}) \otimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{TK}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f}))$ by Proposition 5.3. We have $\mathrm{R}\tilde{f}_! K_1 \simeq K_1 \overset{\circ}{\circ}_{11} \left(\omega_{\Delta_1}^{\otimes -1} \overset{\circ}{\circ}_1 ((\omega_1^{\otimes -1} \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \omega_1 \boxtimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{k}_{22}) \otimes^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{TK}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f})) \right)$. It remains to apply Theorem 6.3 in which one replaces M_1, M_2, M_3 with pt, M_1, M_2 , respectively. Q.E.D.

Inverse image

Let $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ and Γ_f be as above. Applying Theorem 4.6 with $M_3 = \text{pt}$, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{MH}(\mathbf{k}_{M_{12}}) \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \mathcal{MH}(\mathbf{k}_{M_2}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{MH}(\mathbf{k}_{M_1}) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ \pi_{M_{12}}^{-1} \omega_{M_{12}} \overset{a}{\underset{2}{\circ}} \pi_{M_2}^{-1} \omega_{M_2} & \longrightarrow & \pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1}. \end{array}$$

Now we assume

$$(7.3) \quad f \text{ is non characteristic for } \Lambda_2, \text{ or, equivalently, } f_d \text{ is proper on } f_\pi^{-1} \Lambda_2.$$

We set

$$f^\mu(\Lambda_2) = \Lambda_f \circ \Lambda_1 = f_d(f_\pi^{-1}(\Lambda_2)).$$

Taking the global sections and the 0-th cohomology of the diagram above, we obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{MH}_{\Lambda_2}^0(\mathbf{k}_{M_2}) & \xrightarrow{\mu\mathrm{eu}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f})^\circ} & \mathrm{MH}_{f^\mu \Lambda_2}^0(\mathbf{k}_{M_1}) \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ H_{\Lambda_2}^0(T^*M_2; \pi_{M_2}^{-1} \omega_{M_2}) & \xrightarrow{\mu\mathrm{eu}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f})^\circ} & H_{f^\mu \Lambda_2}^0(T^*M_1; \pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1}). \end{array}$$

We have a natural morphism constructed in the proof of [KS90, Prop. 9.3.2]:

$$f^\mu: f_{d!} f_\pi^{-1} \pi_{M_2}^{-1} \omega_{M_2} \rightarrow \pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1}.$$

Hence, we get a map:

$$f^\mu: \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{\Lambda_2}(\pi_{M_2}^{-1} \omega_{M_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_{f^\mu \Lambda_2}(\pi_{M_1}^{-1} \omega_{M_1}).$$

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\lambda \in H_{\Lambda_1}^0(T^*M_2; \pi_{M_2}^{-1} \omega_{M_2})$. Then $\mu\mathrm{eu}_{M_{12}}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f}) \circ \lambda = f^\mu(\lambda)$.*

Proposition 7.5. *Assume that \tilde{f} is non characteristic with respect to Λ_{22} .*

Then the object $(\mathbf{k}_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{M_1/M_2}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \tilde{f}^{-1}K_2$ is a trace kernel on M_1 and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \text{eu}_{M_1}(\omega_{\Delta_1} \circ_1 \tilde{f}^{-1}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \circ_2 K_2)) &= \mu \text{eu}_{M_{12}}(\mathbf{k}_{\Gamma_f}) \overset{a}{\circ}_2 \mu \text{eu}_{M_2}(K_2) \\ &= f^\mu(\mu \text{eu}_{M_2}(K_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 6.3 with $M_3 = \text{pt}$, we get that

$$(\mathbf{k}_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_{M_1/M_2}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} \tilde{f}^{-1}K_2 \simeq \text{TK}(\mathbf{k}_f) \circ_{22}(\omega_{\Delta_2}^{\otimes -1} \circ_2(\omega_2 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_2^{\otimes -1}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2)$$

is a trace kernel. Since $\text{eu}_{M_2}((\omega_2 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_2^{\otimes -1}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2) = \mu \text{eu}_{M_2}(K_2)$ by Proposition 5.3, we obtain the result. Q.E.D.

Tensor product

Consider now the case where $M_1 = M_2 = M$ and the Λ_{ii} 's satisfy the transversality condition

$$(7.4) \quad \Lambda_{11} \cap \Lambda_{22}^a \subset T_{M \times M}^*(M \times M).$$

Then by composing the external product with the restriction to the diagonal, we get a convolution map

$$(7.5) \quad \star: \text{MH}_{\Lambda_1}(\mathbf{k}_M) \times \text{MH}_{\Lambda_2}(\mathbf{k}_M) \rightarrow \text{MH}_{\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2}(\mathbf{k}_M).$$

Applying Propositions 7.1 and 7.5, we get:

Proposition 7.6. *Assume (7.4). Then the object $K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} (\mathbf{k}_M \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_M^{\otimes -1}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2$ is a trace kernel on M and*

$$\mu \text{eu}_M(K_1 \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} (\mathbf{k}_M \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\boxtimes} \omega_M^{\otimes -1}) \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes} K_2) = \mu \text{eu}_M(K_1) \star \mu \text{eu}_M(K_2).$$

Following [ScSn94, II Cor. 5.6], we shall recall the link between the product \star and the cup product.

Proposition 7.7. *Let $\lambda_i \in H_{\Lambda_i}^0(T^*M_i; \pi_M^{-1}\omega_M)$ ($i = 1, 2$), and $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2^a \subset T_M^*M$. Then*

$$(7.6) \quad (\lambda_1 \star \lambda_2)|_M = \int_{\pi_M} (\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2)$$

as elements of $H_{\pi(\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2)}^0(M; \omega_M)$.

Proof. Denote by $\delta: \Delta \hookrightarrow M_{12} = M \times M$ the diagonal embedding and let us identify M with Δ . Consider the diagram

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T_{\Delta}^* M_{12} & \xrightarrow{f} & \Delta \times_{M_{12}} T^* M_{12} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_d \\ \Delta & \xrightarrow{s} & T^* \Delta \end{array}$$

where π is the projection, δ_d is the map associated with δ , s is the zero-section embedding and f is the restriction to $\Delta \times_M T^* M_{12}$ of the embedding $T_{\Delta}^* M_{12} \hookrightarrow T^* M_{12}$. Since this diagram is Cartesian, we have

$$s^{-1} \delta_d! \simeq \pi_! f^{-1}.$$

Now let $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 \in H_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2}^0(T^* M_{12}; \pi^{-1} \omega_{M_{12}})$ and denote by $\lambda_1 \times_M \lambda_2$ its image by the map

$$H_{\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2}^0(T^* M_{12}; \pi^{-1} \omega_{M_{12}}) \rightarrow H_{\Lambda_1 \times_M \Lambda_2}^0(\Delta \times_{M_{12}} T^* M_{12}; \pi^{-1} \omega_{M_{12}}).$$

(Here, on the right hand side, we still denote by π the restriction of the projection $\pi_{M_{12}}$ to $\Delta \times_{M_{12}} T^* M_{12}$.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\pi} (\lambda_1 \cup \lambda_2) &= \pi_! f^{-1}(\lambda_1 \times_M \lambda_2), \\ (\lambda_1 \star \lambda_2)|_M &= s^{-1} \delta_d!(\lambda_1 \times_M \lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Corollary 7.8. *Let K_1 and K_2 be two trace kernels on M with $\text{SS}(K_i) \subset \Lambda_{ii}$. Assume (7.4) and assume moreover that $\text{Supp}(K_1) \cap \text{Supp}(K_2)$ is compact. Then the object $\text{R}\Gamma(M \times M; K_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathbf{k}_M \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \omega_M^{\otimes -1}) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} K_2)$ is a trace kernel on pt and*

$$\text{eu}_{\text{pt}}(\text{R}\Gamma(M; K_1 \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} (\mathbf{k}_M \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} \omega_M^{\otimes -1}) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} K_2)) = \int_{T^* M} \mu \text{eu}(K_1) \cup \mu \text{eu}(K_2).$$

Remark 7.9. Let M be a real analytic manifold and let $F \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^{\text{b}}(\mathbf{k}_M)$. Recall that one associates to F the trace kernel $\text{TK}(F) = F \boxtimes^{\mathbb{L}} D_M F$ and that $\mu \text{eu}_M(F) = \mu \text{eu}_M(\text{TK}(F))$. Assume now that $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a morphism of real analytic manifolds.

Let $F_1 \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_1})$ and assume that f is proper on $\text{Supp}(F_1)$. Applying Proposition 7.3 and noticing that

$$(7.8) \quad \mathbf{R}\tilde{f}_! \text{TK}(F_1) \simeq \text{TK}(\mathbf{R}f_! F_1),$$

we find that $\mu\text{eu}(\mathbf{R}f_! F_1) = f_\mu(\mu\text{eu}(F_1))$. It is nothing but [KS90, Prop. 9.4.2].

Let $F_2 \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_2})$ and assume that f is non characteristic with respect to F_2 . Applying Proposition 7.5 and noticing that

$$\text{TK}(f^{-1}F_2) \simeq (\mathbf{k}_1 \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} \omega_{M_1/M_2}) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \tilde{f}^{-1} \text{TK}(F_2),$$

we find that $\mu\text{eu}(f^{-1}F_2) = f^\mu(\mu\text{eu}(F_2))$. Hence, we recover [KS90, Prop. 9.4.3].

8 Applications: \mathcal{D} -modules and elliptic pairs

As an application of Theorem 6.3, we shall recover the theorem of [ScSn94] on the index of elliptic pairs. In this section, X is a complex manifold, $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, \mathcal{M} is an object of $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and F is an object of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$.

Recall that we have denoted by $\text{TK}(F)$ and $\text{TK}(\mathcal{M})$ (see Notation 5.10) the trace kernels associated with F and with \mathcal{M} , respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TK}(F) &:= F \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{D}_X F, \\ \text{TK}(\mathcal{M}) &:= \Omega_{X \times X} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}}^{\mathbf{L}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}). \end{aligned}$$

The pair (\mathcal{M}, F) is called an *elliptic pair* in loc. cit. if $\text{char}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \text{SS}(F) \subset T_X^* X$. From now on, we assume that (\mathcal{M}, F) is an elliptic pair.

It follows from Proposition 7.6 that the tensor product of $\text{TK}(F)$ and $\text{TK}(\mathcal{M})$ shifted by $-2d_X$ is again a trace kernel. We denote it by $\text{TK}(\mathcal{M}, F)$. Hence

$$(8.1) \quad \text{TK}(\mathcal{M}, F) \simeq \Omega_{X \times X} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X \times X}}^{\mathbf{L}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{D}_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}) \otimes (F \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{D}'_X F).$$

Moreover the same statement gives:

$$(8.2) \quad \mu\text{eu}_X(\text{TK}(\mathcal{M}, F)) = \mu\text{eu}_X(\mathcal{M}) \star \mu\text{eu}_X(F).$$

We set

$$(8.3) \quad \text{Sol}(\mathcal{M}, F) := \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

$$(8.4) \quad \text{DR}(\mathcal{M}, F) := \text{R}\Gamma(X; \Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^{\text{L}} \mathcal{M} \otimes F) [d_X].$$

As explained in [ScSn94], Theorem [KS90, Th 11.3.3] and isomorphism (2.7) provides a generalization of the classical Petrovsky regularity theorem, namely, the natural isomorphisms

$$(8.5) \quad \text{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, D'_X F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Now assume that $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}) \cap \text{Supp}(F)$ is compact and let us take the global sections of the isomorphism (8.5). We find the isomorphism

$$(8.6) \quad \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, D'_X F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

It is proved in [ScSn94]¹ that one can represent the left hand side of (8.6) by a complex of topological vector spaces of type DFN and the right hand side of (8.6) by a complex of topological vector spaces of type FN. It follows that the complexes $\text{Sol}(\mathcal{M}, F)$ and $\text{DR}(\mathcal{M}, F)$ have finite dimensional cohomology and are dual to each other. More precisely, denoting by $(\cdot)^*$ the duality functor in $\text{D}_f^b(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$(\text{Sol}(\mathcal{M}, F))^* \simeq \text{DR}(\mathcal{M}, F).$$

It follows from the finiteness of the cohomology of the complexes $\text{Sol}(\mathcal{M}, F)$ and $\text{DR}(\mathcal{M}, F)$ that

$$\text{R}\Gamma(X \times X; \text{TK}(\mathcal{M}, F)) \simeq \text{Sol}(\mathcal{M}, F) \otimes \text{DR}(\mathcal{M}, F).$$

One checks that this isomorphism commutes with the composition of the morphisms $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{R}\Gamma(X \times X; \text{TK}(\mathcal{M}, F)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Sol}(\mathcal{M}, F) \otimes \text{DR}(\mathcal{M}, F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which implies

$$(8.7) \quad \text{eu}_{\text{pt}}(\text{R}\Gamma(X \times X; \text{TK}(\mathcal{M}, F))) = \chi(\text{Sol}(\mathcal{M}, F)).$$

Therefore, one recovers the index formula of loc. cit.

$$(8.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi(\text{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F, \mathcal{O}_X)) &= \int_X (\mu\text{eu}_X(\mathcal{M}) \star \mu\text{eu}_X(F))|_X \\ &= \int_{T^*X} \mu\text{eu}_X(\mathcal{M}) \cup \mu\text{eu}_X(F). \end{aligned}$$

¹In fact, the finiteness of the cohomology of this complex is only proved in loc. cit. under the hypothesis that \mathcal{M} admits a good filtration, but this hypothesis may be removed thanks to the results of [KS96, Appendix].

Remark 8.1. In general the direct image of an elliptic pair is no more an elliptic pair. However, it remains a trace kernel.

Remark 8.2. As already mentioned in [ScSn94], formula (8.8) has many applications, as far as one is able to calculate $\mu eu_X(\mathcal{M})$ (see the final remarks below). For example, if M is a compact real analytic manifold and X is a complexification of M , one recovers the Atiyah-Singer theorem by choosing $F = D'\mathbb{C}_M$. If X is a complex compact manifold, one recovers the Riemann-Roch theorem: one takes $F = \mathbb{C}_X$ and if \mathcal{F} is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module, one sets $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}$.

9 The Lefschetz fixed point formula

In this section, we shall briefly show how to adapt the formalism of trace kernels to the Lefschetz trace formula as treated in [KS90, § 9.6]. Here we assume that \mathbf{k} is a field.

Assume to be given two maps $f, g: N \rightarrow M$ of real analytic manifolds, an object $F \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$ and a morphism

$$(9.1) \quad \varphi: f^{-1}F \rightarrow g^!F.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} h &= (g, f): N \times N \rightarrow M \times M, \\ S &= \text{Supp}(F), \quad L = h^{-1}(\Delta_M) = \{(x, y) \in N \times N; g(x) = f(y)\}, \\ i &: L \hookrightarrow N \times N, \\ T &= f^{-1}(S) \cap g^{-1}(S). \end{aligned}$$

One makes the assumption

$$(9.2) \quad \text{The set } T \text{ is compact.}$$

Then we have the maps

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(M; F) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{f^{-1}S}(N; f^{-1}F) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_T(N; g^!F) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma(M; F).$$

The composition gives a map

$$(9.3) \quad \int \varphi: \mathbf{R}\Gamma(M; F) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\Gamma(M; F),$$

and this map factorizes through $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_T(N; g^! F)$ which has finite-dimensional cohomologies. Hence, we can define the trace $\mathrm{tr}(\int \varphi)$.

We have the chain of morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_N &\rightarrow \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om(g^! F, g^! F) \\ &\xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, g^! F) \simeq \delta_N^!(g^! F \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{D}_N f^{-1}F) \\ &\simeq \delta_N^!(g^! F \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} f^! \mathrm{D}_M F) \simeq \delta_N^! h^!(F \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{D}_M F). \end{aligned}$$

We have thus constructed the morphism

$$\mathbf{k}_{\Delta_N} \rightarrow h^!(F \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{D}_M F).$$

By using the morphism $F \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{D}_M F \rightarrow \omega_{\Delta_M}$ and the isomorphism $h^! \omega_{\Delta_M} \simeq i_* \omega_L$, we get the morphisms

$$(9.4) \quad \mathbf{k}_{\Delta_N} \rightarrow h^!(F \overset{\mathrm{L}}{\boxtimes} \mathrm{D}_M F) \rightarrow i_* \omega_L$$

in $\mathrm{D}^b(\mathbf{k}_{N \times N})$. The support of the composition is contained in $\delta_N(T) \cap L$.

Theorem 9.1 ([KS90, Proposition 9.6.2]). *The trace $\mathrm{tr}(\int \varphi)$ coincides with the image of $1 \in \mathbf{k}$ by the composition of the morphisms*

$$\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma(N, \mathbf{k}_N) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(L, \omega_L) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}.$$

Here the middle arrow is derived from (9.4).

Although (9.4) is not a trace kernel in the sense of Definition 5.1, it should be possible to adapt the previous constructions to the case of \mathcal{D} -modules and to elliptic pairs, then to recover a theorem of [Gu96] but we do not develop this point here (see [RTT12] for related results).

Final remarks

The microlocal Euler class of constructible sheaves is easy to compute since it is enough to calculate some multiplicities at generic points. We refer to [KS90] for examples.

On the other hand, there is no direct method to calculate the microlocal Euler class of a coherent \mathcal{D} -module \mathcal{M} (except in the holonomic case). In

[ScSn94], the authors made a precise conjecture relying $\mu\text{eu}_X(\mathcal{M})$ and the Chern character of the associated graded module (an \mathcal{O}_{T^*X} -module), and this conjecture has been proved by Bressler-Nest-Tsygan [BNT02].

Similarly, the Hochschild class of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules is usually calculated through the so-called Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg isomorphism, but this isomorphism does not commute with proper direct images, and a precise conjecture (involving the Todd class) has been made by Kashiwara in [Ka91] and this conjecture has recently been proved in the algebraic case by Ramadoss [Ra06] and in the general case by Grivaux [Gr09].

References

- [BNT02] P. Bressler, R. Nest and B. Tsygan, *Riemann-Roch theorems via deformation quantization. I, II*, Adv. Math. **167** (2002) 1–25, 26–73.
- [BG87] J-L. Brylinski and E. Getzler, *The homology of algebras of pseudodifferential symbols and the noncommutative residue*, K-Theory **1** (1987) 385–403.
- [Ca05] A. Caldararu, *The Mukai pairing II: the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg isomorphism*, Adv. Math. **194** (2005) 34–66.
- [CaW07] A. Caldararu and S. Willerton, *The Mukai pairing I: a categorical approach*, New York J. Math. **16** (2010).
arXiv:0707.2052
- [FLTZ10] B. Fang, M. Liu, D. Treumann and E. Zaslow, *The Coherent-Constructible Correspondence and Fourier-Mukai Transforms*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **27** no.2 (2011) 275–308.
arXiv:1009.3506
- [Gr09] J. Grivaux, *On a conjecture of Kashiwara relating Chern and Euler classes of \mathcal{O} -modules*, Journal of Diff. Geometry, (2012).
arXiv:0910.5384
- [Gu96] S. Guillermou, *Lefschetz class of elliptic pairs*, Duke Math. J., **85** no. 2, (1996) 273–314.

- [Ka73] M. Kashiwara, *Index theorem for maximally overdetermined systems of linear partial differential equations I*, Proc. Japan Acad. **49** (1973) 803-804.
- [Ka85] ———, Index theorem for constructible sheaves, in “Systèmes différentiels et singularités”, Astérisque Soc. Math. France. **130** (1985) 196-209.
- [Ka91] ———, *Letter to P. Schapira*, unpublished, 18/11/1991.
- [Ka03] ———, *D-modules and Microlocal Calculus*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **217** American Math. Soc. (2003).
- [KS85] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Microlocal study of sheaves*, Astérisque Soc. Math. France. **128** (1985).
- [KS90] ——— *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **292** Springer-Verlag (1990).
- [KS96] ———, *Moderate and formal cohomology associated with constructible sheaves*, Mem. Soc. Math. France **64** (1996).
- [KS12] ———, *Deformation quantization modules*, Astérisque Soc. Math. France. **345** (2012).
arXiv:math.arXiv:1003.3304
- [Ke99] B. Keller, *On the cyclic homology of exact categories*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **136** (1999) 1–56.
- [McC94] R. McCarthy, *The cyclic homology of an exact category*, J. Pure and Appl. Alg. **93** (1994) 251–296.
- [NZ09] D. Nadler and E. Zaslow, *Constructible sheaves and the Fukaya category*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009) 233–286.
- [McP74] R. McPherson, *Chern classes for singular varieties*, Ann. Math. **100** (1974) 423-432.
- [Ra06] A. C. Ramadoss, *The relative Riemann-Roch theorem from Hochschild homology*, New York J. Math. **14**, (2008) 643–717, arXiv:math/0603127.

- [RTT12] A. C. Ramadoss, Xiang Tang and Hsian-Hua Tseng, *Hochschild Lefschetz class for \mathcal{D} -modules*, arXiv:math/1203.6885.
- [SKK73] M. Sato, T. Kawai and M. Kashiwara, *Microfunctions and pseudo-differential equations*, in Komatsu (ed.), *Hyperfunctions and pseudo-differential equations*, Proceedings Katata 1971, Lecture Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag **287** (1973) 265–529.
- [ScSn94] P. Schapira and J-P. Schneiders, *Index theorem for elliptic pairs*, Astérisque Soc. Math. France **224** (1994)

Masaki Kashiwara
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University
and Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University
e-mail: masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Pierre Schapira
Institut de Mathématiques, Université Pierre et Marie Curie
and Mathematics Research Unit, University of Luxembourg
e-mail: schapira@math.jussieu.fr