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The conduction band structure and electron effective mass of GaAs nanowires with various cross-sectional shapes and orientations were calculated by two methods, a tight-binding method and an effective mass equation taking the bulk full-band structure into account. The effective mass of nanowires increases as the cross-sectional size decreases, and this increase in effective mass depends on the orientations and substrate faces of nanowires. Among [001], [110], and [111]-oriented rectangular cross-sectional GaAs nanowires, [110]-oriented nanowires with wider width along the [001] direction showed the lightest effective mass. This dependence originates from the anisotropy of the Γ valley of bulk GaAs. The relationship between effective mass and bulk band structure is discussed. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC.

I. INTRODUCTION

In integrated circuits, planer silicon metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors (MOSFETs) have been the core devices so far and their performance has been markedly improved by downscaling. However, the further scaling is facing difficulty mainly because of pronounced short channel effects. Since surrounding gate structure has excellent electrostatic control and can suppress short channel effects, nanowire MOSFETs have received increasing attention. On the other hand, III-V semiconductors with high electron mobility are promising as n-channel materials which can overcome the material limit of silicon MOSFETs, and studies on III-V MOSFETs are also attracting attention. For these reasons, studies on III-V semiconductor nanowire transistors have started in recent years. Since electron transport characteristics depend on the conduction band structure, it is important to understand the conduction band structure of III-V semiconductor nanowires for designing devices.

In this paper, we calculated the conduction band structure of rectangular cross-sectional nanowires made of GaAs, which is a typical III-V semiconductor. There have been several calculations on the conduction band structure of GaAs nanowires using various methods, an $sp^3s^*$ tight-binding (TB) method, $sp^3d^5s^*$ TB method, empirical pseudo-potential method, first principles method. However, systematic research on the quantum confinement effects on the conduction band structure of rectangular cross-sectional GaAs nanowires with various wire orientations is still missing. In this work, we analyzed the dependencies of conduction band structure on the wire orientations and cross-sectional shapes. Then we gave a physical explanation to the calculated results based on the conduction band structure of bulk GaAs. Bulk GaAs has the conduct band minimum at Γ valley, and it is often described by an isotropic effective mass. However, the $E-k$ dispersion of the Γ valley has nonparabolicity and anisotropy especially for large wavenumbers, which affect the electron effective mass in nanowires.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the details of calculation methods and the structure of nanowires calculated in this study are explained. In Sec. III, several examples of the calculated conduction band structure are shown, and the width dependencies of quantum-confinement-induced energy shift and electron effective mass are presented. Section IV discusses the physical explanation of the dependence of effective mass on wire geometry. In Sec. V, we present favorable wire geometry for electron transport and conclude this paper.

II. CALCULATION DETAILS

A. Calculation methods

For the calculation of the conduction band structure of GaAs nanowires, we employed two different methods, a nearest-neighbor $sp^3d^5s^*$ TB method and a discrete effective mass equation (DEME) with the full-band $E-k$ dispersion of bulk GaAs. The calculation was performed by the custom-made program. By comparing the results of TB and DEME, quantum confinement effects on electrons were analyzed.

In the $sp^3d^5s^*$ TB method, one $s$ orbital, three $p$ orbitals $\{p_x, p_y, p_z\}$, five $d$ orbitals $\{d_{xy}, d_{xz}, d_{yz}, d_{x^2−y^2}, d_{z^2}\}$, and one excited $s^*$ orbital are taken into account. Then the interactions between nearest neighbor atoms are considered to calculate energy band structure. Spin-orbit coupling can influence the effective mass in the conduction band. However, we confirmed that the effective mass calculated with and without spin-orbit coupling was almost the same. Thus, we neglected the spin-orbit coupling in this study. Surface dangling bonds were passivated by giving an excess energy of 30 eV according to the $sp^3$ hybridization scheme. The tight binding method gives relatively accurate results and is suitable for nanostructures, so this method is often used to calculate the band structure of nanowires. However, this method takes long calculation time and it is difficult to relate the calculation results by TB to bulk $E-k$ dispersion.
In DEME, the effective mass equation is discretized and numerically solved. The effective mass equation for an envelope function $F(r)$ is written as

$$[E_0(-i\nabla) + H_1(r)]F(r) = EF(r),$$

where $E_0(k)$ is the bulk $E$--$k$ dispersion and $H_1(r)$ is the perturbation potential. Here, $E_0(k)$ is calculated by TB for the conduction band in a periodic zone scheme. To discretize the effective mass equation in a cross-sectional plane (a plane perpendicular to the nanowire orientation), $N$ lattice points were assumed in a supercell with an area of $S$. Here, $x$ and $y$-axes were defined inside the cross-sectional plane and $z$-axis was along the nanowire orientation. The wave function was expanded by a basis localized at each lattice point $(x_j,y_j)$, which was made by superposing plane waves. Therefore, this calculation is equivalent to that by a plane wave basis set. Numerical calculation of the effective mass equation using a plane wave basis set has already reported. The conduction band valleys should be carefully taken into account to obtain accurate band structure. We properly arranged the lattice points for each nanowire orientation and substrate face in the present work. Details about this computational meshing are described in Appendix B. The perturbation potential $H_1(r)$ for each basis function $a(x-x_j,y-y_j)$ is approximated by the value at the lattice point $(x_j,y_j)$. The discrete effective mass equation is given by (Appendix A)

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k_x,k_z} e^{ik_x(x_m-x_j)+ik_z(y_m-y_j)} E_0(k_x,k_z,k_z) + H_1(x_j,y_j) \delta_{m,j} \right] \times F(x_j,y_j) = E(k_z)F(x_m,y_m),$$

and solving Eq. (2) for each wavenumber $k_z$ leads to the $E$--$k$ dispersion of nanowires $E(k_z)$. The confinement potential of 30 eV was applied as $H_1(x_j,y_j)$ in the barrier layer.

DEME is less computationally demanding than TB, though it is less accurate. Furthermore, by DEME, it is easy to analyze quantum confinement effects in terms of bulk $E$--$k$ dispersion, because DEME directly uses bulk $E$--$k$ dispersion.

### B. Nanowire structures

Targeted GaAs nanowires have zinc-blende structure and rectangular cross-sections with a width and height of 2–10 nm. Their axial orientation and two side faces are $[001]/(010)/(100)$, $[001]/(110)/(110)$, $[110]/(110)/(001)$, and $[111]/(110)/(112)$ nanowires. The blue circles represent Ga atoms, and the orange ones represent As atoms.

### III. CALCULATION RESULTS

Figure 3 shows the conduction band structure of $[110]$ GaAs nanowires with various cross-sectional shapes calculated by TB and DEME. In the figure, $d_0$ means the lattice constant of bulk GaAs, 0.5653 nm. Figures 3(a)–3(c) are the conduction band structures of 10 nm × 2 nm, 2 nm × 10 nm, and 10 nm × 10 nm cross-sectional nanowires, respectively, which were calculated by TB. The TB calculation revealed that, for all the structures considered in this study, the lowest conduction subband is located at $k = 0$ ($\Gamma$ valley) and the energy difference between the lowest conduction subband and the second lowest is larger than 70 meV. Therefore, the effective mass of the lowest subband of the $\Gamma$ valley is important to predict the electron transport characteristics. This lowest subband of the $\Gamma$ valley naturally originates from the $\Gamma$ valley of bulk GaAs. Figure 3(d) shows the conduction band structure of a 10 nm × 10 nm cross-sectional nanowire calculated by DEME. Comparing Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), for relatively large cross-sectional sizes such as 10 nm × 10 nm, DEME gives similar band structure to TB.
Figure 4 shows the width dependence of quantum-confinement-induced energy shift of the $C$ valley calculated by TB and DEME. The height of nanowires was kept at 10 nm and the width was varied. The energy shift by quantum confinement is almost independent of the wire orientations as far as the wire width is the same. This implies that the anisotropy of the $C$ valley of bulk GaAs gives small effects on the energy shift at $C$ point in nanowires.

Figure 5 shows the width dependence of electron effective mass at the $C$ valley. The effective mass is strongly dependent on the wire orientations at each wire size. In [001] nanowires, effective mass significantly increases as the cross-sectional size decreases. On the contrary, in [111] nanowires, the increase of effective mass caused by quantum confinement is smaller than [001] nanowires. In [110] nanowires, the width dependence of effective mass shows strong anisotropy in the confinement direction. The effective mass of [110] nanowires increases as the width along [001] decreases ([110]/[010] nanowires). It is, however, insensitive to the size variation along [110] ([110]/[001] nanowires).

The effective mass calculated by DEME shows a similar tendency to that calculated by TB, and also good quantitative agreement with that for nanowires with a cross-sectional size larger than 5 nm. This indicates that the size dependence of effective mass can be explained in terms of the $E-k$ dispersion of bulk GaAs because DEME results reflect the band structure of bulk GaAs. The increase of effective mass by quantum confinement is due to the nonparabolicity of the $C$ valley of bulk GaAs, and the dependence of effective mass on the wire orientations and substrate faces is caused by the anisotropy of the bulk $C$ valley.

IV. DISCUSSION

The size dependence of electron effective mass calculated by TB and DEME depends on the wire orientations and substrate faces, and the trend is the same for TB and DEME. Therefore, this dependence can be explained by the nonparabolicity and anisotropy of bulk $C$ valley. In this section, we discuss the dependence of effective mass on wire geometry,
such as cross-sectional shapes and orientations, in terms of the E-k dispersion of the Γ valley of bulk GaAs.

To understand how the effective mass of nanowires depends on wire geometry, first, we discuss the E-k dispersion of nanowires \(E(k_z)\) at the Γ valley. When the wavenumber along the nanowire \(k_z\) increases from \(k_z = 0\), the larger the energy increase is, the lighter the effective mass is. Therefore, it is essential to discuss the energy increase in nanowires as the wavenumber increases, based on bulk E-k dispersion \(E_0(k_x, k_y, k_z)\), and DEME is suitable for this purpose. Here, a k-space plane vertical to the wire orientation is considered. This plane is represented by \(k_z = \text{constant}\). In DEME, bulk E-k dispersion in each k-space plane is used to calculate the quantum confinement effects. The calculated real-space wave function by DEME can be transformed to k-space wave function \(F_k(k_x, k_y)\). From the k-space probability density \(|F_k(k_x, k_y)|^2\), we can see what k-components contribute to the wave function (Fig. 6). Next, we calculated the energy increase in 3-D E-k dispersion with the wavenumber along the nanowire, in other words, the energy difference between two k-space planes, \(k_z = 0\) and \(k_z = \delta\), where \(\delta\) is a small positive value. This energy difference \(E_0(k_x, k_y, \delta) - E_0(k_x, k_y, 0)\) reflects how the bulk energy increases with the wavenumber along the nanowire \(k_z\). By combining this with the k-space probability density, the energy increase in nanowires with \(k_z\), \(E(\delta) - E(0)\), and thereby the effective mass in nanowires, can be estimated.

More rigorously, this can be described as a first order perturbation to the DEME in k-space. The variation of bulk E-k dispersion \(E_0(k_x, k_y, \delta) - E_0(k_x, k_y, 0)\) is regarded as a perturbation “potential” in k-space, and then the energy variation by first-order perturbation is given by

\[
\sum_{k_x, k_y} |F_k(k_x, k_y)|^2 \left\{ E_0(k_x, k_y, \delta) - E_0(k_x, k_y, 0) \right\}.
\]

This means the average of the energy increase \(E_0(k_x, k_y, \delta) - E_0(k_x, k_y, 0)\) weighted by the probability density in k-space \(|F_k(k_x, k_y)|^2\).

Based on the discussion above, we explain how the effective mass of GaAs nanowires depends on cross-sectional shapes. In Fig. 7, the difference of energy between two k-space planes, \(k_z = 0\) and \(k_z = \delta = 0.01 \times 2\pi/a_0\), is plotted against the wavenumbers in these k-planes.

First, we consider [001] GaAs nanowires (Fig. 7(a)). When the cross-section of a nanowire is large in real space, the k-components near the bulk Γ point, or with small \(k\), contribute to the wave function in k-space. Since the energy increase is large for these k-components, thick nanowires have light effective mass. When the wave function is strongly confined in the [100] direction in real space, however, the wave function in k-space expands along the [100] direction as shown in Fig. 6. Because this wave function includes k-components with small energy increase with \(k_z\), the increase in the electron energy in the nanowire, \(E(\delta) - E(0)\), becomes small and the effective mass becomes heavy. This is why the effective mass of [001] nanowires becomes heavy when the cross-sectional size is small. In a similar manner, as shown in Fig. 7(b), strong confinement in the [110] direction makes the effective mass of [001] nanowires heavy.

Next the case of [110] nanowires with strong confinement in the [110] direction (Fig. 7(c)) is considered. In this case, the wave function is strongly confined in the [110] direction in real space, thus, the wave function expands along the [110] direction in k-space. However, this state is made of k-components with large energy increase, and the effective mass stays light (Fig. 3(a)). In the case of [110] nanowires with strong confinement in the [001] direction, k-space wave function expands along the [001] direction and k-components with small energy increase contribute to the wave function. Therefore, the energy increase with wavenumber along the nanowire becomes small, and the effective mass becomes heavy by width reduction along the [001] direction (Fig. 3(b)).

Finally, in [111] nanowires (Fig. 7(d)), as the cross-sectional size decreases, k-components with energy decrease and with large energy increase contribute simultaneously. Since this situation is common to both [111]/[110] and [111]/[112] nanowires, these nanowires experience small increase in effective mass. The method used in this discussion will be able to be applied to other III-V semiconductor nanowires. Similar discussion may be possible directly for tight-binding wave functions by projecting them on bulk Bloch functions.
V. CONCLUSION

We calculated the conduction band structure of rectangular cross-sectional GaAs nanowires with various orientations and substrate faces using a tight-binding method and a discrete effective mass equation, which is based on bulk $E-k$ dispersion. Taking account of the electron effective mass of the lowest conduction subband, $[110]$-oriented nanowires with small width along $[001]$ and thin $[001]$-oriented nanowires are unfavorable for n-channel material because they have heavy effective mass. In contrast, $[110]$-oriented nanowires with wider width along $[001]$ than along $[1\overline{1}0]$ are favorable for n-channel material because they have light effective mass even when the cross-sectional size is small. This trend can be explained by the anisotropy of $\Gamma$ valley of bulk GaAs.

APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF DISCRETE EFFECTIVE MASS EQUATION

Here, we derive the DEME (Eq. (2)). First, $F(\mathbf{r})$ in Eq. (1) is expanded using a basis localized at each lattice point $(x_j, y_j)$

$$a(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k_x, k_y} a^{ik_x x_j - ik_y y_j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} e^{ik_x x_j + ik_y y_j} \times \exp(ik_z z).$$

This corresponds to the Fourier expansion representation of a two-dimensional Dirac’s delta function in the continuous limit. The result is

$$F(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} F(x_j, y_j) a(x - x_j, y - y_j) \exp(i k_z z),$$

where $z$ is along the nanowire axis and $j$ denotes the lattice points in the cross-sectional plane with an area of $L_x \times L_y = S$. In Eq. (A1), the wavenumbers $k_x$, $k_y$ are limited by the two-dimensional periodic boundary condition

$$k_x = \frac{2\pi}{L_x} \left( \frac{N_x}{2} + 1 \right), \quad \frac{2\pi}{L_x} \left( -\frac{N_x}{2} + 2 \right), \ldots, \frac{2\pi}{L_x} \left( \frac{N_x}{2} \right),$$

$$k_y = \frac{2\pi}{L_y} \left( \frac{N_y}{2} + 1 \right), \quad \frac{2\pi}{L_y} \left( -\frac{N_y}{2} + 2 \right), \ldots, \frac{2\pi}{L_y} \left( \frac{N_y}{2} \right),$$

where $N_x$ and $N_y$ are even numbers. The basis shown in Eq. (A1) is orthonormal

$$\int_{S} a^{*}(x - x_m, y - y_m) a(x - x_n, y - y_n) dx dy = \delta_{m, n}.$$
Substituting Eq. (A2) into the effective mass equation Eq. (1), we get

\[
0 = [E_0(-iN) + H_1(r) - E] \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} F(x_j, y_j) a(x - x_j, y - y_j) \times \exp(ik_z z)
\]

\[
\simeq \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} F(x_j, y_j) \sum_{k_x, k_y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-ik_x x_j - ik_y y_j} E_0(k_x, k_y, k_z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} e^{ik_{x} x_j + ik_{y} y_j} \times \exp(ik_z z)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} F(x_j, y_j) |H_1(x_j, y_j) - E| a(x - x_j, y - y_j) \times \exp(ik_z z).
\]  

(A5)

Here, we replaced \( H_1(r) a(x - x_j, y - y_j) \) by \( H_1(x_j, y_j) a(x - x_j, y - y_j) \) because \( a(x - x_j, y - y_j) \) is localized and \( H_1 \) is independent of \( z \) as it is the confinement potential of the nanowire. Multiplying this by \( a^*(x - x_m, y - y_m) \) and integrating using the orthonormality of \( a(x - x_j, y - y_j) \) and plane waves \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} e^{ik_{x} x_j + ik_{y} y_j} \), finally we get Eq. (2) (DEME)

\[
0 \simeq \sum_{j=1}^{N} F(x_j, y_j) \sum_{k_x, k_y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-ik_{x} x_j - ik_{y} y_j} E_0(k_x, k_y, k_z) \int_S a^*(x - x_m, y - y_m) \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} e^{ik_{x} x_j + ik_{y} y_j} dxdy
\]

\[
+ \sum_{j=1}^{N} F(x_j, y_j) |H_1(x_j, y_j) - E| \int_S a^*(x - x_m, y - y_m) a(x - x_j, y - y_j) dxdy
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{N} F(x_j, y_j) \sum_{k_x, k_y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e^{-ik_{x} x_j - ik_{y} y_j} E_0(k_x, k_y, k_z) \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}} e^{ik_{x} x_m + ik_{y} y_m} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} F(x_j, y_j) |H_1(x_j, y_j) - E| \delta_{m,j}
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k_x, k_y} e^{ik_{x} (x_m - x_j) + ik_{y} (y_m - y_j)} E_0(k_x, k_y, k_z) + H_1(x_j, y_j) \delta_{m,j} \right] F(x_j, y_j) - EF(x_m, y_m).
\]  

(A6)
APPENDIX B: COMPUTATIONAL MESH FOR DISCRETE EFFECTIVE MASS EQUATION

In this section, we explain the computational meshes of DEME for different wire geometries. The meshes in real space are determined to cover the rectangular unit cell in two-dimensional $k$-space based on the periodic boundary condition (Eq. (A3)). Since the geometry of the rectangular unit cell in two-dimensional $k$-space is dependent on wire orientations and substrate faces, the computational mesh in real space is also dependent on wire orientations and substrate faces.

In the case of [001]/(100) nanowires, the unit cell in the two-dimensional $k$-space is $-2\pi/a_0 < k_x \leq 2\pi/a_0$, $-2\pi/a_0 < k_y \leq 2\pi/a_0$ as shown in Fig. 8(a). To consider this range, we chose the computational mesh of a square consisting of $6 \times 6$ cells. In this case, the area of the mesh is $a_0^2/\sqrt{2}$.

In [001]/(110) nanowires, the unit cell in the 2-D $k$-space is twice as large as that of [001]/(100) nanowires. At $k_z = 0$, two Γ valleys at $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0), (2\sqrt{2}/a_0, 2\sqrt{2}/a_0)$ are considered. This leads to twice as many eigen states in calculated results. To eliminate these excess states, 4 cells are treated as one large cell as in Fig. 8(b) and the same $H_t$ was applied to these 4 cells. The difference between the two equivalent states originating from the two Γ valleys at $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0), (2\sqrt{2}/a_0, 2\sqrt{2}/a_0)$ is only the vibration inside this large cell, and these states are two-fold degenerate. By adopting only one state of each two-fold degenerate pair, we can get a proper set of eigen values. Also, valleys at $k_z = 0$ and at $k_z = \pi/a_0$ give equivalent eigen states with the same energy and different vibration inside the large cell. This realizes the proper periodicity about the $E-k$ dispersion of a [001] nanowire.

We can use a similar method to choose the mesh for [110] nanowires as shown in Fig. 8(c). The procedure used for [001]/[110] nanowires, adopting only one state of each two-fold degenerate pair, is common to [110] and [111] nanowires.

In the case of [111] nanowires, we can use a large cell with the size of $3a_0/\sqrt{6} \times a_0/\sqrt{2}$ consisting of $6 \times 2$ cells with the size of $a_0/2\sqrt{6} \times a_0/2\sqrt{2}$. This allows all the equivalent Γ valleys at different $k_z$ in Fig. 8(d) to give equivalent states except for the vibration inside the large cell.

---