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**Abstract**

*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (SM) is an important nosocomial pathogen that exhibits intrinsic resistance to various antimicrobial agents. However, the risk factors for SM bacteraemia have not been sufficiently evaluated. From January 2005 to September 2012, we retrospectively compared the clinical backgrounds and outcomes of SM bacteraemic patients (SM group) with those of bacteraemic patients due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA group) or *Acinetobacter* species (AC group). DNA genotyping of the SM isolates using the Diversilab system was performed to investigate the genetic relationships among the isolates. The SM, PA, and AC groups included 54, 167, and 69 patients, respectively. Nine of 17 patients in the SM group receiving trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole prophylaxis developed SM bacteraemia. Independent risk factors for SM bacteraemia were the use of carbapenems and antipseudomonal cephalosporins and SM isolation within 30 days prior to the onset of bacteraemia. Earlier SM isolation was observed in 32 of 48 patients (66.7%) with SM bacteraemia who underwent clinical microbiological examinations. Of these 32 patients, 15 patients (46.9%) had the same focus of bacteraemia as was found in the previous isolation site. The 30-day all-cause mortality rate among the SM group (33.3%) was higher than that of the PA group (21.5%, p = 0.080) and the AC group (17.3%, p = 0.041). The independent factor that was associated with 30-day mortality was the SOFA score. DNA genotyping of SM isolates and epidemiological data suggested that no outbreak had occurred. SM bacteraemia was associated with high mortality and should be considered in patients with recent use of broad-spectrum antibiotics or in patients with recent isolation of the organism.

**Introduction**

*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (SM) is an emerging nosocomial pathogen. In a surveillance performed from 1997 to 1999 in the Asia-Pacific, Europe, Latin America, Canada, and the United States regions, SM was the third most frequently isolated non-fermentative gram-negative bacilli, following *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA) and *Acinetobacter* species (AC) [1]. SM is intrinsically resistant to beta-lactams or aminoglycosides via the chromosomal resistance genes L1 and L2 beta-lactamase and aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes [2]. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMZ) is the first-line therapeutic drug for the treatment of SM, and it is recommended that severe infections be treated with a high dose of TMP-SMZ, similar to the dose used to treat *Pneumocystis jirovecii* [2]. Thus, the therapeutic options for SM are quite different from those available for other non-fermentative gram-negative bacilli, and the appropriate antimicrobial therapy is often delayed [3]. Therefore, a high crude mortality rate among SM bacteraemic patients has been reported, ranging from 14% to 69% [4]. Several studies have evaluated the risk factors for SM bacteraemia, but these studies have involved small populations or patients with specific medical conditions, such as haematological malignancies [5–9]. No study has compared SM bacteraemia in all hospitalised patients with bacteraemias due to PA or AC, which are the most important nosocomial pathogens. To elucidate the clinical characteristics of SM bacteraemia, we compared the antimicrobial susceptibility, clinical backgrounds, and prognostic factors of SM bacteraemic patients with those of patients suffering from bacteraemia due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA) or *Acinetobacter* species (AC). The risk factors for 30-day mortality were evaluated in the SM bacteraemic patients. For the SM isolates, DNA genotyping was also conducted to investigate the genetic relationships among the SM isolates.

**Methods**

**Ethics statement**

The Ethics Committee of Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine (E-2070) approved this study and waived the need for obtaining informed consent from each patient.
### Table 1. Clinical characteristics of *S. maltophilia* bacteraemic patients compared to *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter* species bacteraemic patients: univariate analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical backgrounds</th>
<th>SM (N = 54)</th>
<th>PA (N = 167)</th>
<th>AC (N = 69)</th>
<th>SM vs. PA</th>
<th>SM vs. AC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no. (%)</td>
<td>no. (%)</td>
<td>no. (%)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR)</td>
<td>56 (39.8–65.3)</td>
<td>61 (49–70)</td>
<td>62 (37.5–72)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>26 (48.1)</td>
<td>99 (59.3)</td>
<td>39 (56.5)</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid malignancy</td>
<td>21 (38.9)</td>
<td>52 (31.1)</td>
<td>23 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematological malignancy</td>
<td>7 (13.0)</td>
<td>35 (21.0)</td>
<td>8 (11.6)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>12 (22.2)</td>
<td>39 (23.4)</td>
<td>12 (17.4)</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal dysfunction</td>
<td>9 (16.7)</td>
<td>33 (19.8)</td>
<td>13 (18.8)</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart diseases</td>
<td>8 (14.8)</td>
<td>16 (9.6)</td>
<td>8 (11.6)</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver diseases</td>
<td>19 (35.2)</td>
<td>47 (28.1)</td>
<td>14 (20.3)</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory diseases</td>
<td>3 (5.6)</td>
<td>14 (8.4)</td>
<td>6 (8.7)</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune diseases</td>
<td>9 (16.7)</td>
<td>22 (13.2)</td>
<td>10 (14.5)</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>3 (2–5)</td>
<td>3 (2–5)</td>
<td>3 (2–4)</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nosocomial bacteraemiaa</td>
<td>54 (100.0)</td>
<td>137 (82.0)</td>
<td>62 (89.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hospital stay, median (IQR)</td>
<td>50 (28–95)</td>
<td>27 (13–52)</td>
<td>29 (13–56)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOFA score, median (IQR)</td>
<td>6 (2–10)</td>
<td>4 (2–8)</td>
<td>3 (1–6)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid organ transplantation</td>
<td>17 (31.5)</td>
<td>45 (26.9)</td>
<td>10 (14.5)</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow transplantation</td>
<td>5 (9.3)</td>
<td>11 (6.6)</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation within previous 30 days</td>
<td>17 (31.5)</td>
<td>37 (22.2)</td>
<td>14 (20.3)</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>22 (40.7)</td>
<td>25 (15.0)</td>
<td>7 (10.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRRT</td>
<td>10 (18.5)</td>
<td>8 (4.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance-haemodialysis</td>
<td>3 (5.6)</td>
<td>8 (4.8)</td>
<td>4 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td>8 (14.8)</td>
<td>41 (24.6)</td>
<td>4 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central venous catheter</td>
<td>36 (66.7)</td>
<td>81 (48.5)</td>
<td>29 (42.0)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urethral catheter</td>
<td>34 (63.0)</td>
<td>64 (38.3)</td>
<td>25 (36.2)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasogastric tube</td>
<td>29 (53.7)</td>
<td>43 (25.7)</td>
<td>22 (31.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage tube</td>
<td>31 (57.4)</td>
<td>52 (31.1)</td>
<td>26 (37.7)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressive agents</td>
<td>29 (53.7)</td>
<td>95 (56.9)</td>
<td>29 (42.0)</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td>19 (35.2)</td>
<td>16 (9.6)</td>
<td>7 (10.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous antimicrobial therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenems</td>
<td>22 (40.7)</td>
<td>24 (24.0)</td>
<td>10 (14.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycopeptides</td>
<td>29 (53.7)</td>
<td>32 (19.2)</td>
<td>18 (26.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipseudomonal cephalosporins</td>
<td>30 (55.6)</td>
<td>34 (20.4)</td>
<td>12 (17.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-antipseudomonal cephalosporins</td>
<td>8 (14.8)</td>
<td>23 (13.8)</td>
<td>10 (14.5)</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipseudomonal penicillins</td>
<td>6 (11.1)</td>
<td>15 (9.0)</td>
<td>5 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-antipseudomonal penicillins</td>
<td>4 (7.4)</td>
<td>17 (10.2)</td>
<td>5 (7.2)</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroquinolones</td>
<td>9 (16.7)</td>
<td>16 (9.6)</td>
<td>7 (10.1)</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycosides</td>
<td>5 (9.3)</td>
<td>8 (4.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP-SMZ</td>
<td>17 (31.5)</td>
<td>61 (36.5)</td>
<td>19 (27.5)</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minocycline</td>
<td>4 (7.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM isolation within 30 days</td>
<td>32 (66.7)</td>
<td>10 (8.3)</td>
<td>4 (8.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory</td>
<td>8 (14.8)</td>
<td>20 (11.9)</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter-related</td>
<td>12 (22.2)</td>
<td>21 (12.5)</td>
<td>15 (21.7)</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-abdominal</td>
<td>12 (22.2)</td>
<td>25 (15.0)</td>
<td>7 (10.1)</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>24 (14.4)</td>
<td>4 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin and soft tissue</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>9 (5.4)</td>
<td>2 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>22 (40.7)</td>
<td>68 (40.7)</td>
<td>38 (55.1)</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Setting and study design
This study was conducted at Kyoto University Hospital, an 1121-bed tertiary-care hospital in Kyoto, Japan. All of the bacteraemic episodes in the hospital were reported to and were followed up by our infectious disease physicians. From January 2005 to September 2012, all patients who had positive blood cultures for SM, PA, or AC were enrolled. Patients who had blood cultures that were positive for more than one bacterial species of SM, PA, and AC were excluded. Each patient was included in the study only once, at the time of the initial blood culture. A case control-control study design was used. The cases consisted of patients with SM bacteraemia (SM group), and the first and second control groups was consisted of patients with PA bacteraemia (PA group), or patients with AC bacteraemia (AC group), respectively.

Variables and definitions
The clinical information acquired from the medical charts included age, sex, duration of hospital stay, presence of polymicrobial infection, underlying comorbidities, Charlson score [10], Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score [11], presence of septic shock [12], history of organ transplantation, surgery within 30 days, neutropenia, administration of immunosuppressive drugs, use of mechanical support or indwelling catheters, ICU stay and duration, isolation of SM within 30 days, clinical specimen types from which SM was isolated, focus of infection, empirical antimicrobial therapy, and 30-day mortality rate. Polymicrobial infection was defined as the identification of two or more bacterial species in blood culture samples collected within 72 hours. Nosocomial bacteraemia was defined as bacteraemia that occurred 72 hours or more after admission. Neutropenia was defined as an absolute neutrophil count less than 500/μl at the onset of bacteraemia. The administration of immunosuppressive drugs included corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive drugs within 14 days before the onset of bacteraemia. Previous antimicrobial therapy was defined as the administration of antibiotics for more than 48 hours within 14 days prior to the onset of bacteraemia. The isolation of SM within 30 days was defined as the isolation of SM from an extra-blood site between 1 and 30 days prior to the onset of bacteraemia. The focus of infection was clinically determined based on an active infection site and on the isolation of the organism from the site coincident with the onset of bacteraemia. Empiric antimicrobial therapy was considered to be inappropriate if an active antimicrobial agent, as determined by in vitro susceptibility testing, was not administered during the first 72 hours after the blood sample was obtained. The attributable mortality (bacteraemia-related deaths) was judged by our two infectious diseases physicians when the patient would not have died in the absence of bacteraemia.

To evaluate the risk factors for the 30-day all-cause mortality rate of the SM group, the clinical background, severity of illness, and rate of appropriate therapy among the patients who did not survive were compared with those of the patients who survived.

Antimicrobial susceptibilities
Blood culture samples were processed using the BACTEC 9240 system (Becton Dickinson Microbiology Systems, Sparks, MD, MD, USA) and were subjected to routine antimicrobial susceptibility testing and interpreted according to the criteria of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. All isolates were tested against the following antimicrobial agents: amikacin, aztreonam, cefepime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, gentamicin, imipenem, meropenem, piperacillin/tazobactam, sulbactam/amikacin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

Table 2. Risk factors of S. maltophilia bacteraemia compared to the bacteremias due to P. aeruginosa and Acinetobacter species: multivariate analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical backgrounds</th>
<th>SM vs. PA</th>
<th>SM vs. AC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95%CI)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of carbapenems</td>
<td>2.8 (1.1–6.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of antipseudomonal cephalosporins</td>
<td>4.0 (1.8–9.0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolation of SM within 30 days</td>
<td>16.4 (6.7–39.6)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SM, S. maltophilia; PA, P. aeruginosa; AC, Acinetobacter species; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112208.t002
DNA genotyping
The automated rep-PCR Diversilab Microbial Typing System (Sysmex-bioMérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France) was used to investigate the clonal relationship of the SM isolates, according to manufacturer’s recommendations. The resulting analysis was performed using the Diversilab software (version 3.4), which uses the Pearson correlation coefficient to determine the distance matrices and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages to create dendrograms. Isolates with a similarity of at least 95% were considered a cluster.

Statistical analysis
The categorical variables were compared using the Chi squared test or Fisher’s exact test. The continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. To determine the independent risk factors for SM bacteraemia and for the 30-day all-cause mortality, all of the variables with a p-value of <0.05 based on univariate analyses were subjected to further selection using a forward stepwise logistic regression model. The survival curves for the patients with SM, PA, and AC bacteraemia were prepared according to the Kaplan-Meier method. The log-rank test was used to compare the survival curves. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to be statically significant. We conducted our statistical analyses with Stata version 11.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results
We identified 54 patients with SM bacteraemia, 167 patients with PA bacteraemia, and 69 patients with AC bacteraemia. No patients with bacteraemia were positive for more than one bacterial species.

Table 1 lists the results of the univariate analysis of the clinical characteristics of the SM, PA, and AC groups. All of the SM patients, 82.0% of the PA patients, and 89.9% of the AC patients had nosocomial bacteraemia. Seventeen patients in the SM group had received TMP-SMZ within 14 days of the onset of bacteraemia for prophylaxis against Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia (trimethoprim 80 mg daily). Breakthrough infection (bacteraemia during TMP-SMZ prophylaxis) was observed in 9 patients, and 4 patients developed TMP-SMZ resistant SM bacteraemia. When compared with the PA and AC groups, the SM patients were characterised by a longer hospital stay, receiving intensive care, an indwelling catheter, previous antimicrobial therapy, and the isolation of SM within 30 days. Urinary tract infections were not observed in the SM group, whereas these infections were found in 14.4% of the PA patients. An elevated SOFA score, solid organ transplantation, previous treatment with aminoglycosides, and respiratory infections were significantly more common in the SM group than in the AC group. Multivariate analysis with each control (the PA and the AC groups) revealed that the same factors were independently associated with SM bacteraemia, including previous treatment with carbapenems or antipseudomonal cephalosporins and isolation of SM within 30 days (Table 2).

Forty-eight patients in the SM group (88.9%) underwent microbiological examinations within 30 days prior to the onset of bacteraemia as a part of routine clinical practice. The number of specimens obtained from each patient ranged 3 to 64 (median: 14.5). Thirty-two patients (66.7%) had previously been positive for SM. The median duration between the first isolation of SM and the onset of bacteraemia was 11 days (interquartile range: 3–20 days). The most frequent site of previous isolation of SM were the respiratory tract (96.8%, 30 of 31 examined patients), followed by central venous catheter tip (53.8%, 7 of 13 patients), peritoneal cavity (27.3%, 6 of 22 patients), and urinary tract (17.4%, 4 of 23 patients).

Table 3 demonstrates the association between the previous SM isolation site and the focus of bacteraemia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus of bacteraemia</th>
<th>Site of S. maltophilia isolation within 30 days prior to the onset of bacteraemia, no. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory tract</td>
<td>Bilary tract*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N = 30)</td>
<td>(N = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identical to the previous isolation site (secondary bacteraemia)</td>
<td>8 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other site</td>
<td>13b (43.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary bacteraemia</td>
<td>9 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aAll of the specimens were obtained from drainage tubes.
*bCatheter-related, n = 8; biliary tract, n = 3; peritoneal cavity, n = 2.
*Respiratory tract, n = 1; peritoneal cavity, n = 1; catheter-related, n = 1.
*bRespiratory tract, n = 1; biliary tract, n = 1; catheter-related, n = 1.
*bPeritoneal cavity.
*Respiratory tract, n = 6; biliary tract, n = 1.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112208.t003

Table 3. Previous S. maltophilia isolation site among the 32 S. maltophilia bacteraemic patients and the corresponding focus of bacteraemia.
Fifteen patients (46.9%) were considered as having secondary bacteraemia from the previous isolation sites, and 10 patients (31.3%) were considered as having primary bacteraemia. Among the 7 patients considered as bacteraemia from another site of infection, 6 had catheter related infections and 1 patient had a biliary tract infection.

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meyer survival curves for patients with bacteraemia caused by *S. maltophilia* (SM), *P. aeruginosa* (PA), and *Acinetobacter* species (AC). Panel A shows the all-cause mortality, and panel B shows the attributable mortality. The p-values were calculated using the log-rank test. The median times and interquartile ranges to death among the SM, PA, and AC patients were 8.5 (2–18), 5 (2–21.5), and 12 (3.5–24.5) days for the all-cause mortality and 3.5 (2–11.5), 2 (1–7), and 5 (1–14) days for the attributable mortality, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112208.g001
The SM group received inappropriate antimicrobial therapy more frequently than the PA or the AC group (p < 0.001 for each). The 30-day all-cause and attributable mortality rates among the SM group (33.3% and 22.2%, respectively) were higher than the rates in the PA and AC groups, although a significant difference was only observed in the comparison of the all-cause mortality of the AC group (17.4%, p = 0.041). Fig. 1 shows the Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the SM, PA, and AC groups.

The risk factors for the 30-day all-cause mortality in the SM group, according to the univariate analysis, are shown in Table 4. An elevated Charlson or SOFA score, septic shock, ICU stay, mechanical ventilation, continuous renal replacement therapy, and the presence of a urethral catheter or drainage tube were risk factors for the 30-day all-cause mortality in the SM group.
associated with the 30-day mortality of SM patients. Catheter-
related infections were associated with survival. Inappropriate
antimicrobial therapy was not associated with a poor prognosis.
The SOFA score was independently associated with 30-day
mortality (OR: 1.3; 95% CI: 1.1–1.5) according to the multivariate
analysis.

The SOFA score was independently associated with 30-day
mortality of SM patients. Catheter-related infections were associated with survival. Inappropriate
antimicrobial therapy was not associated with a poor prognosis.
The SOFA score was independently associated with 30-day
mortality (OR: 1.3; 95% CI: 1.1–1.5) according to the multivariate
analysis.

Table 5 shows the antimicrobial susceptibilities of the three
groups. The SM isolates had high susceptibility rates to
minocycline (100%), tigecycline (94.4%), TMP-SMZ (81.5%),
and levofloxacin (79.6%).

No apparent outbreak of SM bacteraemia was observed during the
study period. DNA genotyping using the Diversilab system of
the 54 SM isolates showed that 20 isolates belonged to the same
cluster. One cluster contained four isolates, whereas the other
eight clusters contained two isolates each (Fig. 2). The isolates that
belonged to each cluster were not epidemiologically related; the
related strains were detected in distant wards, or there was a
period of greater than six months between the detection of the
related strains.

Discussion

This is the first study that investigated the risk factors for SM
bacteraemia in all hospitalised patients, in comparison with other
major non-fermentating gram negative bacilli. Independent risk
factors found to be associated with SM bacteraemia included the
use of carbapenems and antipseudomonal cephalosporins and the
isolation of SM within 30 days. The SOFA score was an
independent prognostic factor.

Many studies have reported that the majority of the SM patients
have haematological malignancies, with a rate ranging from 29%
to 57% [5,7,15–17]. In our study, SM patients commonly had
solid malignancies (38.9%) and chronic liver diseases (35.2%), or
underwent solid organ transplantation (31.5%); patients with
haematological malignancies (13.0%) or bone marrow transplant
recipients (9.3%) were less common. Greater than 60 patients per
year underwent liver transplantation in this hospital. Solid organ
transplant recipients undergo surgical procedures and they are
exposed to mechanical ventilation, haemodialysis, drainage or
vascular catheter insertion, and broad-spectrum antimicrobials for
a long period of time. These features might be one reason why our
SM bacteraemia cases were associated with solid organ transplant
recipients more than with bone marrow transplant recipients.

The use of carbapenems and antipseudomonal cephalosporins
were the independent risk factors for SM bacteraemia. The risk
factors for SM bacteraemia when compared with Escherichia coli
bacteraemic or non-bacteraemic patients have included the use of
carbapenems, glycopeptides, aminoglycosides, central venous
catheter [5,7]. The use of cefepime or other antipseudomonal
drugs including aminoglycosides has been described as the risk
factors for SM infection in patients with critically ill trauma or
cystic fibrosis [18,19]. In univariate analysis with the AC group,
the use of aminoglycosides was also the risk factor. SM is generally
considered as resistant to antipseudomonal beta-lactams and
aminoglycosides. Therefore, it is presumed that use of these agents
may predispose to SM colonization or infection. The use of
glycopeptides was also the risk factor for SM in univariate analysis,
but this was not significant in multivariate analysis. The SM
patients who were previously treated with glycopeptides were
frequently treated with carbapenems or antipseudomonal cepha-
losporins. The previous use of prophylactic TMP-SMZ was not a
negative risk factor for SM bacteraemia, and breakthrough SM
bacteraemia occurred in approximately half of the patients and
was frequently associated with TMP-SMZ resistance. Low dose
treatment with TMP-SMZ might allow breakthrough infection
and predispose the patient to resistant bacteraemia.

Another independent risk factor for SM bacteraemia was
isolation of SM within 30 days. No previous study had indicated
that the isolation of SM was an independent risk factor for SM
bacteraemia. Nseir et al. [20] found that 80% of patients infected
with SM had prior isolation of SM, although the association
between colonization and infection was not evaluated. In case of
A. baumannii bacteraemia, one case-control study identified that
colonization with A. baumannii was the most significant risk factor
[21]. The previous isolation site might be of value for predicting
the source of bacteraemia because approximately half of the
patients had secondary bacteraemia. The majority of cases of
bacteraemia from sites other than the previous isolation site were
central venous catheter-related cases. This result might be
associated with the fact that a specimen from a vascular catheter
tip could not be easily obtained and is often removed after
presence of bacteraemia.

Compared to both control groups, mechanical support,
intensive care, and indwelling catheters were significant risk
factors for SM bacteraemia, although these factors were not
independent. Mechanical ventilation and ICU stay has been
shown as risk factors of SM infection [22,23]. SM adheres to the
abiotic surfaces of medical implants or indwelling catheters and an
association between colonization of SM and prosthetic devices has
been observed [24]. Therefore, these devices may play a role in the

Table 5. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of the blood isolates of *S. maltophilia*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *Acinetobacter* species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>SM (N = 54), %</th>
<th>PA (N = 167), %</th>
<th>AC (N = 69), %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>11.1*</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>97.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levofloxacin</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>76.9*</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>0.0*</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>42.6*</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>85.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime</td>
<td>3.7*</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>88.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP-SMZ</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>91.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minocycline</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigecycline</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TMP-SMZ, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; SM, *S. maltophilia*; PA, *P. aeruginosa*; AC, *Acinetobacter* species; ND, not done.
*The susceptibility rate was significantly lower compared with PA or AC isolates.
*Analysis of the susceptibility was performed for the 157 available isolates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112208.t005
occurrence of SM bacteraemia. Urinary tract infections were more frequently observed in the PA group than in the SM group. Well-documented cases of SM urinary tract infections are rare [25,26], whereas PA often causes urinary tract infections in a hospital setting [27]. A history of solid organ transplantation was more frequently observed among the SM group than the AC group. The SM patients who received solid organ transplantation prior to bacteraemia were frequently received carbapenems and antipseudomonal cephalosporins.

In the univariate analysis, SM bacteraemic patients with catheter-related infections had better outcomes compared with other sites of infection or respiratory tract infections; these results are consistent with the results of previous studies [28,29]. The severity of the illness was related to the poor outcome of SM bacteraemia, and administration of the inappropriate antimicrobial treatment was not associated with increased mortality. The impact of early empirical treatment on SM infection remains to be elucidated [30]. Some studies suggest that bacteraemia due to SM was directly influenced by the conditions of each patient [15,16,26,28,31]. However, other studies have reported that the initial administration of the inappropriate antibacterial treatment to patients was a significant predictor of mortality [5,17,18,32–33].

Antimicrobial therapy for SM infection is problematic because many isolates are resistant to multiple agents used to treat gram-negative infections. In our study, isolates of the SM group showed antimicrobial susceptibilities that were distinct from those of the PA and the AC groups, and the SM group frequently received inappropriate empiric antimicrobial therapy. Similar to previous reports, the SM isolates in this study were resistant to beta-lactams and aminoglycosides. The resistance of SM to TMP-SMZ is problematic when treating SM infection. The resistance rates are reported to be between 8% and 18% in the Asia-Pacific, and the SM isolates in the present study showed a similar resistance rate [1,34]. Levofloxacin may be an alternative drug to treat SM infection [35]. Recent studies indicated that levofloxacin was not inferior to TMP-SMZ for the treatment of SM infection or bacteraemia [36,37]. However, rapid resistance to fluoroquinolones has been observed in vitro and in vivo [2]. Minocycline, which was active against all of the SM isolates, may also be used to treat SM infection, although its clinical application is still limited [2].

DNA genotyping using the Diversilab system revealed that the majority (63%) of the SM isolates were genetically unrelated. Among the genetically related isolates, no epidemiological linkage was observed, and these results suggest that there were no outbreaks during the study period. The SM clinical isolates also showed high genodiversity in previous reports [5,38].

The present study has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, it was retrospectively performed at a single institution. Second, although this is the largest study to investigate the risk factors of SM bacteraemia, the number of patients in the SM group may still be too small to analyse the prognostic factors of SM bacteraemia. Third, although prior SM isolation was the independent risk factor for SM bacteraemia, we could not perform an active surveillance culture for SM. Active surveillance cultures to predict the occurrence of drug-resistant gram-negative bacteremia have been reported as a useful method for guiding empiric therapy in critically ill patients [39]. Eighty-nine percent of our patients underwent at least 3 bacterial cultures, and SM was recovered from as many as 66.7% of patients. We believe that this finding justifies further research evaluating the effect of active surveillance for SM.

In conclusion, SM bacteraemia was associated with longer hospital stay, higher mortality and inappropriate empiric antimicrobial therapy compared to bacteraemia due to other major non-fermentative, gram-negative bacilli. In our study, the use of carbapenems and antipseudomonal cephalosporins in the 14 days prior to bacteraemia and the isolation of SM within 30 days were significant risk factors for the development of SM bacteraemia. Furthermore, the judicious use of antipseudomonal beta-lactams is
needed, as our study suggests that these agents are a risk factor for developing SM bacteraemia.
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