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Abstract

Orthotropic steel bridge decks (OSDs) are widely used in the long-span bridges, movable bridges and urban viaducts. However, many fatigue cracks in orthotropic steel bridge decks were reported in Japan recently. Among these fatigue cracks, the cracks occurred around the rib to deck joints are most critical, because the rib-to-deck (RD) joint fatigue cracks will cause the asphalt surfacing damage steel corrosion. The purpose of this study is investigating the asphalt surfacing influence on the fatigue life of the RD joint and fatigue strength of RD joint with various welding details.

In chapter 1, the background of the cause of fatigue damage and fatigue crack initiation and propagation mechanics of metals and welding joints are introduced. Various fatigue life evaluation methods are discussed. Among these fatigue evaluation methods the fatigue strength classification for various welding joints and loading conditions based on the S-N curves are most widely used in fatigue design specifications or recommendations. Moreover, for the fatigue cracks initiation from the weld toe and the welding joint is not covered by the fatigue strength classification, the structure hotspot stress method is recommended by the JSSC and IIW to be employed to evaluate the fatigue life i.e. using the hotspot stress instead of normal stress based on the fatigue strength classification of the corresponding cruciform welding joint. The linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) is generally used to evaluate the fatigue life of the welding joint which is not covered by the fatigue strength classification welding joint details and the fatigue crack initiating from the weld root.

In the chapter 2, the historical development of OSDs is reviewed. Some strengthen and refit methods for the RD joint are also introduced in this chapter. The macro analysis of rib-to-deck fatigue cracks in the Wangan route of Hanshin expressway was carried out in this chapter. The macro analysis result shows that most fatigue cracks around the RD joint located in the driving lines with large traffic volume of heavy load axles. And all the RD fatigue cracks are located in the transverse range of 800mm from tire center. It could be concluded that the fatigue damage of rib-to-deck joint is significantly sensitive to the volume of heavy load axles and transverse locations of wheel loads.

So in chapter 3, for the purpose to investigate the relationship of the stress around RD joints and wheel load location, a Finite Element (FE) model of partial orthotropic steel deck was made using the general purpose FE software Abaqus. The calculation result shows that stresses around the RD joint are significantly sensitive to the transverse loading location. With respect to the cross section
in mid-span of crossbeams, the maximum out-plane stress of deck plate is obtained by the wheel load ride on the objective joint, the maximum and minimum out plane stress of the rib wall is obtained by wheel load applied 250mm away from the objective joint and the membrane stress of the rib wall increases while the wheel load closes the objective joint. With respect to the cross-section at the crossbeam, due to the stiffness of crossbeam is significant, the membrane stress of the rib wall is more significant than at mid-span and there are flat steps in all stress influence lines of the deck plate and rib wall. According to longitudinal influence lines of stresses around the RD joint along the three critical driving paths, the stress sensitive length on the driving path by a passage of a wheel load is less than 3m that is significant short compared to a span of bridge. The wheel load dispersal is investigated using FE-model in this chapter. The result of FEM analysis shows that the wheel load is not uniformly distributed on the deck plate but concentrated to the rib-to-deck joint and the surfacing-deck composite stiffness may contribute the major portion of the decrease of the stress around the rib-to-deck joint.

In chapter 4, a new kind of fatigue test setup was developed to simulate the real stress situation around RD joint in onsite OSDs. The purpose of the fatigue tests carried out in this chapter is to investigate what kind of fatigue crack will occur under the applied load located outside rib box and its fatigue strength. Two series specimens were tested. It is found that the specimens with 15% weld penetration were all fractured due to root to throat crack and their mean fatigue strength is between JSSC C and D class and the specimens with 75% weld penetration were all fractured due to toe to deck crack and their mean fatigue strength is between JSSC A and B.

In the chapter 5, a full size specimen of orthotropic steel deck with 80mm thickness asphalt surfacing were employed to simulate and investigate real stress around RD joints in onsite steel orthotropic bridge decks. The experiment result shows that under quasistatic increasing applied load, the stresses around the RD joints of deck plate increasing lineally; the magnitude of transverse stress is far larger than that of corresponding longitudinal stress on the undersurface of deck plate. From the transverse influence lines of stress around RD joint, it can be concluded that the stress around the RD joint is very sensitive to the transverse location of the applied load and the affective range is between two adjacent RD joints. The maximum transverse stresses of the deck plate around RD joint occur while the applied load ride on the RD joint and the stress distribution of deck plate is significantly influenced by the temperature of asphalt surfacing. While the temperature is higher than 35 Celsius degree or lower than minus 5 Celsius degree the stresses around the RD joints will not vary any more. The most sensitive temperature range for stresses around RD joints is from 5 Celsius degree to 35 Celsius degree.
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CHAPTER 1

Fatigue Damage of Metal and Welding Joints
1.1 Introduction

Fatigue damage of metal and weld joint is a well-known technical problem in wide fields such as motor industry, aviation industry and civil engineering. The fatigue fracture in general occurred suddenly somehow like brittle fracture without any warning. It is reported that metal fatigue damage caused massive property damage or personal injuries and deaths. On July 19, 1989, the Flight (registration N1819U) crash-landed in Sioux City, Iowa, USA after suffering catastrophic fatigue failure of its tail-mounted engine, which cost 111 lives. The photo of the fatigue damaged engine is shown in Photo 1.1. The Silver Bridge as shown in Photo 1.2, located in West Virginia, United States, collapsed in 1967, while it was full of rush-hour traffic, resulting in the 46 deaths and 2 disappearances. The fatigue failure of a single eyebar in a suspension chain caused the bridge collapse.

Photo 1.1 The recovered fan disk(National Transportation Safety Board USA)  

Fig.1.1. Diagram of damaged hydraulic systems(Wikipedia)

Photo 1.2 a). The Silver Bridge upon completion  

b).The collapsed Silver Bridge (Federal Highway Administration USA)
Several serious fatigue failures were reported already and the first laboratory investigations were carried out in the 19th century. However in that time, the procedure of fatigue crack initiation and propagation were not be discovered, fatigue damage was thought to be a mysterious phenomenon in the material and unpredictable.

Nowadays it is well known that the fatigue damage of the material starts in the crystalline structure and becomes visible in a later stage by plastic deformation, formation of micro-cracks on slip bands, coalescence of micro cracks and finally propagation of a macro crack, followed by crack growth, and ultimately to complete failure\textsuperscript{[1][2]}. The flowchart of this process is shown in Fig. 1.2

1.1.1 Fatigue crack initiation

Fatigue crack initiation is a consequence of cyclic slip. Fatigue occurs at stress amplitude below the yield stress. Fig.1.3 shows the crack nucleation. And it should be noted that in the crack initiation period, fatigue is a material surface phenomenon\textsuperscript{[2]}.

**Fig. 1.2** Process of the fatigue damage

**Fig. 1.3** Cycle slip leads to crack nucleation

**Photo 1.3** Development of cyclic slip bands and a microcrack in a pure copper specimen(T. Lassen)
1.1.2 Fatigue crack growth

In the period of crack initiation, the microcrack is present in an elastically anisotropic material and a crystalline structure and different slip systems and a stress concentration at the microcrack tip. Along with the number of loading cycles increasing, the crack will grow into the material in some adjacent grains(2)[4]. And moreover it will become more and more difficult to increasing the slip displacement by one slip on one slip plane only. The more slip planes will occur in one loading cycle. The microcrack growth direction will finally deviate from the initial slip band orientation to the perpendicular to the applied load as shown in Fig.1.4.

Several observations are reported that at the period of initial microcrack growth, the crack growth rate is relatively high at beginning and then slows down or even stops in couples of loading cycles due to grain boundary effect. However after the microcrack passes through a substantial grains, the microcrack growth rate will increase without slow down or stop.

While the number of grains along with the crack front becomes large enough, the crack growth along the entire crack front will be more or less continuous. The fatigue crack front can be approximated by a continuous such as a semi-elliptical shape as shown in Fig.1.5. In this period, the crack growth rate will depend on the crack growth resistance of the material and the surface will no longer be relevant. The fatigue crack growth is not a surface phenomenon any more.

Fig. 1.4 Cross section of microcrack
Fig. 1.5 Top view of crack with crack front
1.1.3 Microscopic characteristics of fatigue fracture surfaces

After a load cycle, a ridge of micro plastic deformation will be left on the new upper and lower crack tip surfaces\(^5\). And these ridges are called striations as shown in the Photo 1.4. The appearance of striations could be considered as the evidence of fatigue crack occurrence. And striations can also give information about the fatigue crack growth direction and growth rate. Striations can be observed on many metals such as various types of steels and titanium alloys. However, striations aren’t equally distinct on the fracture surface. And the most useful observations were obtained on aluminum alloys. So if no striations were observed on the fracture surface, it can’t determine that fatigue didn’t occur immediately.

![Photo 1.4](image-url)

**Photo 1.4** Striations during macrocrack growth in an Al-alloy sheet(National Aerospace Laboratory, NLR, Amsterdam)

1.1.4 Macroscopic characteristics of fatigue fracture surfaces

The fatigue fracture surface contains 2 parts. The first part is caused by crack initiation and growth\(^6\). For the major part of the fatigue life is crack initiation period. And in this period the crack can hardly be seen on the material surface. The second part is caused by the brittle fracture in the last load cycle since the remaining un-cracked section can no longer carry the maximum load of the load cycle.

The fatigue fracture surface obtained in service often contains growth brands and these brands are visible with the naked eye. These brands are general named beach marks. The brands provide the information about how the fatigue crack grows. The different colors refer to the various stress levels induced by the cycle loads.
1.2 Fatigue damage of welded joints

Fatigue of welds is even more complicated. Local stress concentrates at notches i.e. weld toe and root. The residual stresses caused by the process of heating and subsequent cooling with additional filler material in weld procedure should also cause impact on fatigue fracture. Furthermore, a weld itself may contain inclusions, pores, cavities, undercuts etc. The weld toe and non-welded root gaps produces high stress concentrations. All these factors could affect the fatigue behavior. As a consequence, fatigue failures occur in welded structures such as orthotropic steel decks mostly at the weld toe or weld root rather than the base metal.

1.2.1 Stress concentration at notches

The stress concentration factor is normally employed to evaluate the stress concentration. And the stress concentration factor $K_t$ is defined as the ratio between the peak stress at notch and the normal stress which would be present if a stress concentration didn’t occur.

![Fig.1.5 photo-elastic picture(T. Lassen)](image1)

![Fig.1.6 Main principal stress trajectories bending around the notch](image2)

The photo-elastic method and finite element method are generally employed to calculate the stress concentration factor.

The stress concentration factor $K_t$ is an important parameter for investigating the severity of the stress distribution around the notch and the crack initiation life is highly dependent on the value of the stress concentration factor.
1.2.2 Residual stresses of welding joints

The residual stresses refer to the stress that exists in welded joints after all external loads been removed. They are self-equilibrated stresses i.e. if there are zones that have tensile stress there must be other zones with compressive stresses. Tensile stresses: weld metal and adjacent base metal; compressive stress: areas further away from the weld material. The typical distributions of the residual stress around the weld joint are shown in the Fig.1.7.

Fig.1.7 Typical distributions of residual stress around weld joint

The residual stresses of weld joints are usually called thermal stress. And the immediate causes are differential plastic flow, differential cooling rates and phase transformations with volume changes. The areas where subject to the tensile stress will be more vulnerable to fatigue fracture. Even the compressive stresses caused by the applied load, when added on the pre-existing static tensile stresses, eventually act as a tensile stress cycle during the service.
Fig. 1.8 Effective stresses from applied and residual stress (Mathers, 2005)

Typical measurement of residual stresses in welded joints

1 Stress relaxation techniques: the residual stress is determined by bonding the strain gages to the locations of interest and measuring the elastic strain release after cutting the specimen into pieces or removing a piece from the specimen.

2 X-ray diffraction technique: Debye-Scherrer method is employed to measuring the shift of the reflections or peaks, in the region of low or high $2\theta$ (Bragg’s angle). However, it is a fairly elaborate method and not easily adopted on a routine basis.

3 Finite element analysis: a nonlinear elastic-plastic stress-strain relation should be assumed and nonlinear strain hardening should be employed. The disadvantage of this method is that elastic-plastic FE analysis requires expert experience.
1.3 **Fatigue life evaluation methods.**

Different approaches exist for the fatigue life evaluation of weld joints, which can be distinguished by the parameters used for the description of fatigue life $N$. Fig.2 shows the different parameters together with characteristic diagrams.

![Diagram showing different approaches for description of the fatigue strength and life](image)

**Fig.1.9** Approaches for description of the fatigue strength and life

In general, the approaches can be subdivided into the following categories:

1. Nominal stress approach: the parameter is nominal stress range $\Delta \sigma_n$; 2. Structural or hot-spot stress approach: the parameter is the structural stress range $\Delta \sigma_s$ at the weld toe. 3. Notch stress, the parameter is the elastic notch stress range $\Delta \sigma_k$; 4. Notch strain approach, the parameter is the local elastic – plastic strain range $\Delta \varepsilon_k$. 5. Crack propagation approach, the stress intensity $\Delta K$ is used to describe the crack propagation rate $da/dN$.

### 1.3.1 Fatigue evaluation based on S-N curves

In current specifications, S-N curve is the most widely used to evaluate the fatigue life.

The S-N curve method is based on the Miner rule. It states that, if the fatigue life $N$ at a given stress and the number of cycles at this given stress has experienced is $n$, then the fatigue damage rate is $n/N$. And if the structure experienced various stress ranges, the fatigue damage rate is the sum of all the numbers of cycles at the various stress ranges. It is written as:

$$n_1/N_1 + n_2/N_2 + n_3/N_3 + \ldots + n_i/N_i = \sum n_i/N_i$$

1.1
The fatigue life is used up when the damage rate equals to 1. Although the Miner rule can’t give a precise fatigue life of a structure, it was regarded as safe and generally adopted by various specifications.

The S-N curve model is a kind of curve-fitting between applied stress range and experimental lifetime data. The life, given as the number of cycles to failure, is plotted as a function of the applied stress range. Details that have nearly the same geometry, welding quality, residual stresses and loading mode define one experimental population. This experimental population forms the basis for a detail-category (class) in codes. It is necessary to verify the fatigue life for each critical welded detail in structures.

In the documents of the IIW, the fatigue curves are based on representative experimental investigations and thus include the effects of: structure hot spot stress concentrations due to the detail; local stress concentrations due to the weld geometry; weld imperfection consistent with normal fabrication standards; direction of loading; high residual stresses; metallurgical conditions; welding process (fusion welding, unless otherwise stated); inspection procedure (NDT), if specified; post weld treatment, if specified. Each fatigue strength S-N curve is identified by the characteristic fatigue strength of the detail in MPa at 2 million cycles. This value is the fatigue class (FAT). The slope of the fatigue strength S-N curves for details assessed on the base of normal stresses is m=3 if not stated expressly otherwise.

With respect to the welded joints which not concluded in the fatigue class (FAT) and the crack initiating from weld toes, the hot spot stress is recommended for welded joints where there is no clearly defined nominal stress due to complicated geometric effects, and where the structural discontinuity is not comparable to a classified structural detail.

Fig.1.10. Resistance S-N curves for m=3.00, normal stress (steel) (IIW)
The hot spot stress includes all stress raising effects of a structure detail excluding all stress concentrations due to the local weld profile itself. And the hot spot stress can be determined using reference points and extrapolation to the weld toe at the hot spot in consideration as shown in the Fig.1.11.

![Diagram of structural hot spot stress](image)

**Fig.1.11.** Definition of structural hot spot stress

### 1.3.2 Fatigue evaluation based on linear elastic fracture mechanics

After the fatigue crack initiation period finished and then followed by the fatigue crack growth period. In the latter period the crack is a notch with a zero tip radius, the stress around the tip of the notch becomes infinite and the stress concentration factor is not meaningful concept any more. The stress intensity factor $K$ is employed to describe the severity of the stress distribution around the crack tip.

As mentioned above that the fatigue crack in service usually grows in the direction perpendicular to the main principle stress. There are three kinds of the crack opening modes as shown in the Fig.1.12. In many cases the cracks open in the perpendicular direction to the tensile stress and it is called mode I. In principle, the other kinds of opening modes, mode II and mode III are also possible.
The stress intensity factor is written as:

\[ K = \beta \sigma \sqrt{\pi a} \]  

where \( \sigma \) accounts for the stress level, \( a \) for the crack length, and the geometry \( \beta \) for the structural shape coefficient.

The stress intensity factor is used to describe the fatigue crack growth resistance and predict the fatigue life in the fatigue growth period. The relation of crack growth rate \( da/dN \) and range of stress intense factor \( \Delta K \) are shown in Fig.1-13. There three crack growth regions, threshold region, Paris region and stable tearing crack growth region. The threshold region is not associated with the non-propagating micro cracks discussed above. The \( \Delta K_{th} \) is related with fatigue cracks which have grown to a macroscopic size at a \( \Delta K \) level above \( \Delta K_{th} \). When the \( \Delta K \) is lower than \( \Delta K_{th} \), the fatigue growth rate is too small to negligibly small and it is assumed there is no further crack growth. In the Prais region, the relation between \( da/dN \) and \( \Delta K \) is described by a power function as following:

\[ \frac{da}{dN} = C \Delta K^m \]  

Where \( C \) and exponent \( m \) are material constants. Linear relation of crack growth rate and range of stress intense factor can be described by using a double log plot:

\[ \log(da/dN) = \log(C) + m \log(\Delta K) \]  

With \( m \) is the slope of the linear function shown in Fig.1-13.
The fatigue crack growth rate is significantly high, in the stable tearing crack growth region compared with Paris region, in the order of 0.01 mm/cycle and above. And because the fatigue crack growth life spent in this region is very short, its engineering significance is limited.

The fatigue life spent of fatigue crack growth from \( a_0 \) to \( a_f \) in the Paris region can be calculated as following\(^2\):

\[
N_{a_0 \rightarrow a_f} = \int_{a_0}^{a_f} \frac{da}{f(\Delta K)}
\]

Where \( f(\Delta K) = da/dN \) representing the crack growth resistance of the material. If the Paris relation is applicable, it can be calculated with Equation 1.3.

1.3.3 Summary and conclusion

In this chapter, two most widely used fatigue evaluation methods are briefly introduced. The S-N design curve, based on Miler ruler and comprehensive fatigue tests, is preferred by engineers, because the S-N design curve is reliable and can be easily understood and applied in the fatigue
design for welding structure. However, the S-N design curves don’t cover all kinds of weld joints. For the fatigue life evaluation of weld joints fractured due to the fatigue crack initiate from weld toe, the hot spot stress and S-N design curve of corresponding cross cruciform weld joint. But there is no S-N curve available for the uncovered kinds of weld joint fractured due to fatigue cracks initiating from weld root.

Compared with S-N design curves, the linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) is preferred by some scholars due to this method is more academic and logical than the former. However the LEFM can only predict the fatigue life spent in the Paris region rather than the whole fatigue life of weld structures. And as mentioned above the initiation period is the major period of the whole fatigue life, so the LEFM is always employed to calculate the fatigue life spent from the fatigue crack length growth from $a_0$ to $a_f$ in the Paris region.

### 1.4 Objective and Scope

The research purpose of this study are: 1 Investigate the influence factors of fatigue life of RD joint and its strengthening methods.(chapter 2); 2 Study on the stress around the RD joint under various loading cases to determine the most critical vehicle riding paths and corresponding fatigue life of the RD joint. (chapter 3); 3 Fatigue test for full size specimen of rib-to-deck joint to study the fatigue strength of RD joint with various weld details. (chapter 4); 5 Full scale OSD experiment to investigate the stress distribution with considering asphalt surfacing and temperature effect. (chapter 5)

In the chapter one, the mechanics of fatigue fracture of metal and welding joint and most used fatigue evaluation methods are briefly introduced. Chapter 2 is about the historic development of orthotropic steel bridge decks, macro analysis of fatigue cracks around RD joints and its strengthening methods. The macro analysis shows that the fatigue cracks have a high correlation with transverse location of wheel loads and volume of heavy truck axle loads. So various shell finite model and refined finite model were made to investigate the stress distribution around the rib to deck joint and its fatigue life under various load cases with considering asphalt surfacing. In chapter 4, series specimens of full size rib-to-deck joint with different weld details were employed in fatigue tests to investigate the fatigue strength and fatigue crack type under the critical load case induced in Chapters Moreover it is found that temperature has significant influence on the stress concentration around rib-to-deck joint, in chapter 5 a full scale orthotropic steel bridge deck and special heating and cooling system to analyze the effect of temperature variation on the stress
concentration and the most sensitive temperature range. This study is summarized and concluded in the chapter 6.

1.5 Reference

CHAPTER 2

Fatigue Damage in Orthotropic Steel Bridge Decks and its Strengthening Methods
2.1 Historical development of the orthotropic bridge deck

2.1.1 Origin of orthotropic steel bridge decks

The orthotropic deck is a development of the “battledeck” floor, as shown in Fig.2.1, of the 1930s. It consists of a steel deck plate welded to longitudinal stringers which are supported by cross girders. And in this system, the steel deck plate is only used to transmit the wheel loads transversely into the stringers\(^1\). It was concluded from the battledeck system that the strength of a flat steel plate subjected to wheel loads is considerably higher than that had been anticipated. The battledeck system is the original prototype of the orthotropic steel bridge deck.

![Fig.2.1. Typical cross-section of bridge with “battledeck” floor\(^1\)](image)

The orthotropic steel bridge deck is first developed in Germany with the background that after World War II, various long-span bridges need to be rebuilt and the shortage of steel. As far as is known, the first bridge with orthotropic steel decks is the Kurpfalz bridge over the River Neckar in Mannheim(1950) and the first suspension bridge orthotropic decks was the Cologne-Muelheim Bridge over the Rhine(1951). These bridges all have open longitudinal ribs. The closed longitude ribs come a few years later.

A typical orthotropic steel bridge deck consists of a steel deck plate supported by longitudinal ribs and transversal crossbeams, as shown in Fig.2.2. Two basic types of longitudinal ribs, open rib and closed rib, are normally applied in orthotropic steel bridge decks. Due to the volume of the rib-to-deck joint welds could be reduced by 50% and the torsional rigidity could improve the local load distribution in the deck by using closed ribs compared with open ribs, the closed ribs are more widely used than the open ribs in orthotropic steel bridge decks\(^2\).
Compared with concrete decks, orthotropic decks have many advantages such as lower dead weight and shorter construction time. So the orthotropic steel bridge decks are widely used in long span bridges, viaducts, cloverleaf junctions, and the bridges built on soft foundations. Moreover due to their lower deadweight, the orthotropic decks are also a good option for bridges in the high earthquake risk areas[3, 4]. **Photo 2.1** shows the orthotropic steel bridge decks used in long span bridge and urban viaduct.

**Photo 2.1** a). Orthotropic Box Girder (FHWA USA) b). Urban viaduct Japan (Metropolitan Expressway Japan)

2.1.2 Development of orthotropic bridge

Up to the database of America orthotropic bridge committee, there are 55 orthotropic bridges were constructed in America from 1960 to 2007. The year of completion of orthotropic bridges is shown in **Fig. 2.3**. It can be seen that the peak construction period of orthotropic bridges is 1970s. It means that in American, most orthotropic bridges have been in service around 40 years. **Fig. 2.4** shows the spans of these orthotropic bridges and it can be concluded there are many orthotropic bridges’ span.
are less than 100m in America. Box girder is the most widely used type of orthotropic bridges in America as shown in Fig. 2.5.

In the global, orthotropic bridge decks are widely applied in extra-large bridges especially river or sea crossing bridges. There are 38 most long suspension orthotropic bridges and 21 most long cable stayed orthotropic bridges worldwide are listed in the database of American orthotropic bridge committee. Fig. 2.6 to Fig. 2.9 show the data analysis result of these longest suspension bridges or cable stayed bridges. It can be concluded: most of the extra-large suspension orthotropic bridges are located in Japan, America and China; the peak period of completion of these suspension orthotropic bridges is from year 1995 to year 2005; most of the extra-large cable stayed orthotropic bridges are located in China and Japan; All these extra-large cable stayed orthotropic bridges are completed after year 1990.

The database “DECLIST”, at the Transport and Road Research Laboratory in the United Kingdom, contains information for over 300 orthotropic bridge decks, shows that numbers increased rapidly from around 1962 and remained high and fairly constant between 1967 and 1974 before declining throughout the latter half of the 1970s to the time of the survey in 1995. The peak period coincides with the increase in road building throughout Europe[5]. It can be concluded that most orthotropic steel deck bridges are serving more than 40 years.

![Year of completion](image)

Fig.2.3 Year of completion of orthotropic bridges
Fig. 2.4 Bridge spans of bridges with orthotropic decks

Fig. 2.5 Types of bridges with orthotropic decks
**Fig. 2.6** Number of suspension bridges with orthotropic decks and longer than 700m identified in each country

**Fig. 2.7** Years of completion of extra-large suspension orthotropic bridges and their main span length.
**Fig.2.8** Number of cable-stayed bridges with orthotropic decks and longer than 475m identified in each country

**Fig.2.9** Years of completion of extra-large cable-stayed orthotropic bridges and their main span length.
2.2 Fatigue damage in orthotropic steel bridge decks

The beginning of orthotropic bridge construction is in Europe in 1950s. And after about 20 years on service, many fatigue cracks have been observed in some orthotropic bridge decks in 1970s. Along with the orthotropic steel bridge decks applied in other countries, many fatigue cracks in orthotropic steel bridge decks also been reported in America, Japan and China.

Because the orthotropic steel bridge decks are directly subjected to the heavy truck wheel loads, as shown in Fig.2.10, which cause significantly high stress variations around weld joints with large number of load cycles, they are the elements most vulnerable to the fatigue damage.

The typical fatigue crack locations are shown in Fig.2.11, they are rib-to-deck joints, rib splice joints and rib-to-crossbeam joints. This study is focus on the fatigue cracks around rib-to-deck joints.
2.3 Fatigue cracks of rib-to-deck (RD) joints in Wangan route of Hanshin Expressway

The fatigue crack, which initiates from the rib-to-deck (RD) joint, may produce asphalt surfacing damage and hence cause impact on traffic safety. For the purpose to survey the fatigue cracks around rib-to-deck joints in onsite bridges, the macro analysis was carried out for these fatigue cracks in Wangan route of Hanshin Expressway. Hanshin Expressway is located in the Osaka-Kobe area and has the most orthotropic steel decks in Japan. There are up to 92 spans of OSD with U rib in the Wangan route, and the RD fatigue cracks were observed in 10 spans. The damage ratio of spans is about 10 percent. The route map of Wangan route is shown in Fig.2.12.

2.3.1 Macro analysis of fatigue cracks around RD joint

The spans with RD fatigue cracks are chosen as the objective spans for macro analysis, which contain 8 spans of box girder bridges, 1 span of cable stayed bridges and 1 span of Lohse bridges. It should be mentioned that the span represents the segment of ribs and deck between adjacent crossbeams here.

2.3.2 RD fatigue crack in the box girder bridges

All the RD fatigue cracks are observed inside box girders. Fig.2.13 shows the relationship between width of box girder and number of RD fatigue cracks. It can be considered that box girder may less prone to RD fatigue crack which width is less than 4000mm.
Fig.2.13. RD Fatigue Cracks and Box Girder Width

2.3.3 RD Fatigue Crack and volume of equivalent load axle of 10 ton

Fig.2.14 to Fig.2.16 show the relationship between the number of RD fatigue crack and volume of equivalent load axle of 10 ton in box girder bridge, cable stayed bridge and Lohse bridge respectively. It can be concluded that there are significant more fatigue cracks in the traffic lanes with large volume of equivalent load axle than those traffic lanes with small traffic volume.

Fig.2.14 RD Fatigue Cracks in spans of Box Girder bridges
2.3.4 RD Fatigue Crack and Transverse Position of Tire

Fig. 2.15 shows the distribution of the RD fatigue cracks refer to the center of right and left tire. It can be concluded that all the RD fatigue cracks are in the range of 800mm from tire center. It can be considered that the fatigue life of the RD joints is very sensitive to the truck load locations.
Fig.2.16 Distribution of the RD fatigue cracks refer to the center of right and left tire

2.3.5 Conclusions

It can be concluded from the macro analysis that the number of fatigue cracks around the RD joint is significantly influenced by traffic volume of heavy load axles. And all the RD fatigue cracks are located in the transverse range of 800mm from tire center. Due to most heavy trucks ride in the slow traffic lane which normally located inside the top flange of box girders, most RD joint fatigue cracks were observed inside the box girders.
2.4 Fatigue life improvement method

2.4.1 Burr grinding

The primary aim of the grinding is to remove or reduce size of the weld toe flaws from which fatigue cracks propagate. At the same time, it aims to reduce the local stress concentration effect of the weld profile by smoothly blending the transition between the plate and the weld face\(^6\).

2.4.2 TIG dressing

The aim of TIG dressing is to remove the weld toe flaws by re-melting the material at the weld toe. It also aims to reduce the local stress concentration effect of the local weld toe profile by providing a smooth transition between the plate and the weld face\(^6\). The present specifications are not applicable to connections with main plate thickness less than 4 mm for aluminum and 6 mm for steel.

2.4.3 Hammer or needle peening

In hammer or needle peening, compressive residual stresses are induced by repeatedly hammering the weld toe region with a blunt-nosed chisel or round-tipped rods\(^6, 7\). The present specifications are not applicable to connections with main plate thickness less than 4 mm for steel and 8 mm for aluminum.

2.5 Refit methods for rib-to-deck joints

2.5.1 SFRC applied on the deck plate

In Japan, Steel fiber reinforced concrete (SFRC) has been applied to some OSDs to improve the bending stiffness of deck plate and hence refit the fatigue crack occurred in the rib-to-deck plate joints. As shown in the Fig.2.17
2.5.2 Filling ribs with concrete.

For the application of the rib filling is not necessary to remove the old surfacing and the rib filling is thought to act as a spring support for the deck plate. This requires a filling material which has enough stiffness. In addition to this filling material should be fully in contact with the underside of the deck plate. The most applied filling material in Japan is the lightweight concrete. And the sketch of the rib filling is shown in the Fig.2.18.

2.5.3 Sandwich deck plate
Recently some researchers propose various kinds of sandwich deck plate to instead of traditional steel deck plate to increase the bending stiffness of deck plates\textsuperscript{[8]}. Fig.2.19 shows one kind of sandwich deck plate. However the biggest challenge for the sandwich deck plate is the bonding strength between the different layers, so various bonding strength test should be studied and ensured before the sandwich deck plate can be applied in onsite orthotropic bridge decks.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{sandwich_deck_plate.png}
\caption{Sandwich deck plate}
\end{figure}

\section{2.6 Reference}


CHAPTER 3

Stress Analysis and Fatigue Evaluation of Rib-to-Deck Joint in Orthotropic Steel Bridge Deck
3.1 Introduction

A typical orthotropic steel bridge deck (OSD), as shown in Fig.3.1, consists of a deck plate supported by a system of transverse crossbeams and longitude ribs. The asphalt surfacing is generally applied to the deck plate to provide a water proofing and corrosion layer for protecting the steel deck and a flat running and skid-resistant surfacing for vehicle riding\cite{1}. Due to its lower deadweight and shorter construction time compared with concrete slabs, the OSD are widely used in long-span bridges and urban viaducts. However numerous fatigue cracks were observed in many long-time served OSDs\cite{2}. Especially, the fatigue cracks that initiate from rib-to-deck (RD) joint, as shown in Fig.3.2, may produce asphalt surfacing damage and hence cause impact on traffic safety.

Two basic types of longitude rib, open rib and closed rib, are normally applied in the OSD. Although the volume of the RD joint welds could be reduced by 50% and the torsional rigidity could improve the local load distribution in the deck by using closed longitude ribs compared with open longitude ribs\cite{3}, the RD joints are more prone to fatigue failures in the OSDs stiffened with closed ribs than in the OSDs with open ribs, since the closed ribs constraint the transverse deformations of the deck plate\cite{4}. Nevertheless, closed ribs are more widely used than open ribs in the OSDs\cite{5}. Four kinds of typical fatigue crack were observed in the RD joint\cite{3,6}: type 1 initiates from weld toe and propagates to the deck plate, type 2 initiates from weld root and propagates to the deck plate, type 3 initiates from weld toe and propagates to the rib wall and type 4 initiates from weld root and propagates to the weld throat. Furthermore since they grow from the inside out, these cracks are hard to be observed until they have grown through thickness.

The stress concentration, which is relative to the fatigue life, around the RD joint is affected by various factors such as deck plate thickness, weld detail geometry, partial joint penetration (PJP) ratio\cite{7} and asphalt surfacing property\cite{8}.

This chapter focused on the asphalt surfacing influence on the stress concentration around the RD joint and RD joint fatigue life evaluation. For this purpose, various FE-models and load models were created to investigate the influence of the asphalt surfacing on the stress ranges around RD joints.
**3.2 Finite element model and stress analysis**

3.2.1 Finite element (FE) model (without asphalt surfacing)

For the purpose to investigate the stress around RD joints, a FE model of partial orthotropic steel deck was made using the general purpose FE software Abaqus based on actual dimension of a box girder bridge located in the coast line of Hanshin highway. As shown in Fig.3.3, the deck plate is supported by four U-ribs, four pairs of vertical stiffeners and five crossbeams.

The spacing of the crossbeams is 3000 mm, and the spacing of the U-ribs is 635mm. The vertical stiffeners are located at the mid span between crossbeams. The thickness of deck plate is 12 mm. The top width, height and rib wall thickness of the U-rib section are 320mm, 240mm and 6mm respectively.

In this study, the objective details are the left wall of U rib (U3) to deck plate joint at the mid span section (section 1) between crossbeams (C2 and C3) and the intersection (section 2) of crossbeam (C3) and deck plate.
The translations and rotations are constrained for all nodes at the end of the transverse stiffeners, vertical stiffeners and crossbeams. And the nodes of transverse ends of the deck plate is also constrained to simulate the support of the box-girder webs since the transverse end of the deck and transverse stiffeners are welded to the girder webs. The boundary conditions employed in the model are only an approximation to the actual boundaries. However, due to all objective joints are located far from the boundaries, according to the Saint-Venant’s principle, the approximation of boundary conditions will be precise enough for the FEM calculated stresses around the RD joints.

The design load of truck load (T load), which distributes uniformly over an area of 200mm by 500mm in the longitudinal and transverse directions respectively as shown in Fig.3.4a, in JRA is applied in the FE-Model to study the stress around the objective details under various load cases.

![Fig.3.3 Partial orthotropic steel deck FE model](image)

### 3.2.2 Effects of transverse loading position

![Fig.3.4 wheel load model and transverse section of the OSD(mm)](image)
For the purpose to investigate the sensitivity of stresses around the RD joint to the transverse loading position, 29 transverse load cases were applied at cross-section 1 or cross-section 2. Fig. 3.4b shows the loading position varying in the transverse direction. The distance d in the figure refers to the distance from the center of wheel load to the objective joint at section 1 or section 2. Fig. 3.5 shows the stress influence lines of the reference points, 15 mm away from the objective joint on the deck plate or rib wall at section 1 or section 2, by varying the transverse position of wheel load. The “Bottom deck”, “Top deck”, “Inside rib” or “Outside rib” refers to the stress at bottom surface of deck plate, top surface of deck plate, inside surface of rib wall or outside surface of rib respectively. The “Bottom deck” of the reference point outside of rib and inside rib may be associated with the fatigue crack type 1 and 2 respectively. The “Inside rib” and “Outside rib” of the reference point at rib wall may be associated with the fatigue crack type 3 and 4 respectively. The Fig. 3.5 a, b or c shows the stress influence lines of reference point at deck plate outside rib, inside rib or rib wall at section 1 respectively. The Fig. 3.5 d, e and f shows the corresponding influence lines at section 2.

It can be concluded from Fig. 3.5 that respect to the reference points on the deck plate, the out-plane stress is the dominant stress and the maximum stresses are obtained by the wheel load ride on the objective joint; respect to the reference point on the rib wall of section 1, the maximum and minimum stress are obtained by wheel load applied 250mm away from the objective joint; The membrane stress increases while the wheel load closes the objective joint and on the contrary, the out-plane stress decreases to 0 while the wheel load closes the objective joint. Moreover, due to the stiffness of crossbeam is significant, the membrane stress of the reference point on the rib wall of cross-section 2 is more significant than at mid span and there is a flat step in each stress influence lines of the reference points at cross-section 2. Since the wheel load edge cross the rib wall, the stress influence lines of reference point at deck plate of cross-section 1 have small steps at position 250 mm away from the objective joint.
3.3 Stress range of rib-to-deck joint produced by a passage of the wheel load

Based on the transverse influence lines, three critical driving lines are examined for the stress ranges around the RD joint at section 1 or section 2, i.e. ride-rib wall, inside-rib and outside-rib driving lines as shown in Fig.3.6. Respect to the RD joint at cross-section 1, the critical driving path for fatigue crack type 1~2 is rid-rib wall and the critical driving paths for fatigue crack type 3~4 are...
the inside-rib and the outside-rib driving paths. Respect to the rib-to-deck joint at cross-section 2, the critical driving path for fatigue crack type 1~4 is the inside-rib driving line. 55 cases of wheel loads are applied along the longitudinal direction for ride-rib web and outside-to-rib web driving paths and 55 plus 61 load cases for inside-rib wall driving path to simulate the moving of truck wheel along the three driving paths.

![Diagram of driving paths](image)

**Fig.3.6 Driving Paths: Ride-rib wall, Inside-rib and Outside-rib.**

![Graphs of stress versus wheel load](image)
Fig. 3.7 Longitudinal influence lines of stress of reference points, 15mm away from objective joint.: (a) transverse stress of reference points on the bottom surface of deck plate at section 1 under ride-rib wall driving line. (b) transverse stress of reference points on the inside surface and outside surface of rib wall at section 1 under the outside-rib driving line. (c) transverse stress of reference points on the inside surface and outside surface of rib wall at section 1 under the inside-rib driving line. (d) transverse stress of reference points on the bottom surface of deck plate at section 2 under ride-rib wall driving line. (e) transverse stress of reference points on the inside surface and outside surface of rib wall at section 2 under the ride-rib wall driving line.

Fig. 3.7 shows the longitudinal influence lines of stress around the RD joint. It can be seen that the maximum absolute magnitude of stresses were obtained as the wheel load moves over. The transverse stresses are significant only when wheel load close to the objective joints. Moreover, under the ride-rib wall load, the transverse stress of under deck plate have two tensile stress peaks and one compressive stress peak. Due to the membrane stress of the rib web is not neglective for the rib-to-deck joint at section 2, the magnitude of compressive stress of the reference point on the outside surface of rib wall is significantly higher than the transverse tensile stress of the reference point on the outside surface of rib wall while the wheel load moves over. It can be obtained from these influence lines that the stress sensitive length, less than 1500mm, is very short by a passage of a wheel load.
3.4 The asphalt surfacing influence on the wheel load dispersant and composited stiffness

The asphalt surfacing is normally applied to steel decks to provide a running surface and a waterproof layer. In most current design codes, the wearing surface is only treated as a layer that disperses wheel load. The wheel load on the top of asphalt surfacing is generally considered to be dispersed through the surfacing with a spread-to-depth ratio of 1 horizontally to 1 vertically down to the deck plate and uniformly distributed on the deck plate. Fig.3.8 shows this load distribution, where $t$, is the thickness of the asphalt surfacing, $w$ the width of wheel load contact area, $q_a$ the wheel load, $q_s$ the wheel load dispersed through the surfacing.

The wheel load dispersal is investigated using FE-model in this paper. The wearing surface is made with solid element and the height is 80mm. For simplicity, it is assumed that there is no displacement between the bottom surface of asphalt surfacing and deck plate and hence all nodes at the bottom surface of asphalt surfacing are connected to the deck with tie constraint in the FE model. The wheel load, derived from $T$ load, applied on the top of the wear surfacing, is 0.5 N/mm$^2$, 200mm wide and 500mm long. The elastic modulus of the asphalt surfacing is varied at 500 Mpa and 15000 Mpa in the FEM analysis. The vertical contact stress in the bottom surface of the asphalt is given in the Fig.3.8. From this figure it can be concluded that the wheel load is not uniformly distributed in the interface of the deck and asphalt surfacing, the load is concentrated to the rib-to-deck joint. Furthermore, with the stiffness of the asphalt surfacing increasing, the wheel load more concentrates to the RD joints (hard points) which have larger stiffness.

It is generally considered that the stress decrease around the rib-to-deck joint is contributed by the effect of the load dispersal and the surfacing-deck composite stiffness of asphalt surfacing and steel deck. In this study, for the purpose to investigate the influence of load dispersal and surfacing-deck composite stiffness on the stress state around rib-to-deck joint, two load models were applied: 1. The wheel load was uniformly distributed at the top of the asphalt surfacing. 2. The wheel load was uniformly distributed on the deck plate without load dispersal. It should be noted that the stress decrease around the rib-to-deck joint under the load model 2 is contributed only by the effect of the composite stiffness.
\[ q_i = q_s \times \frac{w}{w + 2a} \]

**Fig. 3.8** (a) Wheel load dispersal through surfacing. (b) Vertical stress on the bottom surface of asphalt surfacing

**Fig. 3.9** (a) Load model 1 (b) Load model 2
Fig.3.10 Transverse stress of reference point with various load models (a) The stress of reference point, 15mm left to the objective joint, on the bottom surface of deck plate. (b) The stress of reference point, 15mm right to the objective joint, on the bottom surface of deck plate. (c) The stress of reference point, 15mm away to the objective joint, on the outside surface of rib wall. (d) The stress of reference point, 15mm away to the objective joint, on the inside surface of rib wall.

It can be seen from the Fig.3.10, the stresses around the RD joint are significantly decreased by asphalt surfacing. But there is no significant difference of the stresses around the RD joint under the Load model 1 and Load model 2. This may indicate that the surfacing-deck composite stiffness contribute the major portion of the decrease of the stress around the rib-to-deck joint.

### 3.5 Fatigue life evaluation based on hotspot method and effective stress.

#### 3.5.1 Refined FE model

Due to the stress concentration, there is high stress gradient around the RD joint. Since shell element can’t simulate the weld geometry and the low density of mesh may not be accurate enough for evaluating the fatigue life based on the hot spot stress method, a local refined model was made with solid elements and analyzed with substructure technique in this paper. The local solid model is connected with the whole model using shell to solid constraint. Fig.3.11 shows the details of this local refined model. A fillet weld penetrating 75% of the 6 mm thick rib web is modeled and the dimension of elements around the weld root and toe is 0.05mm.
3.5.2 Fatigue life evaluation.

In this study, the fatigue life of RD joint for the crack initiating form weld toe (type 1) was evaluated, using the structure hot spot stress method, based on fatigue resistance class E of the fatigue design S-N curves (JSSC). The hot spot stress, includes all stress raising effects of a structural detail excluding that due to the local weld profile itself (IIW), is determined using the reference points and extrapolation equations shown in \cite{9,10}:

$$\sigma_{hs} = 1.67\sigma_{0.4t} - 0.67\sigma_{1.0t}$$ \hspace{1cm} 3.1

where $t$ is the thickness of deck plate or rib wall, $\sigma_{hs}$ the structure hot spot stress, $\sigma_{0.4t}$ and $\sigma_{1.0t}$, the stresses of reference point away from weld toe $0.4 \cdot t$ and $1.0 \cdot t$.

The elastic modulus of asphalt surfacing is varied at 500, 1500 and 15000 to investigate the hot spot stress of the weld toe of the RD joint. \textbf{Fig.3.12} shows the transverse influence lines of the hot spot stress.
It can be considered from the transverse influence lines that hot spot stresses are significantly sensitive to the elastic modulus of asphalt surfacing.

Due to the asphalt is a kind of temperature dependent material, the elastic modulus of asphalt surfacing varies along with temperature. Fig. 3.13a shows the air temperature of Osaka in year 2012 and associated temperature of deck plate. It should be noted that with safety consideration, the
maximum air temperature of each month is chosen to evaluate the elastic modulus of asphalt surfacing and the data of air temperature is derived from the Japan Meteorological Agency. Fig.3.13b shows the associated elastic modulus in various period of one year. The temperature of deck plate and the elastic modulus of asphalt surfacing are calculated as shown in\cite{8}:

\[ E_a = \frac{E_s}{7.44 \cdot e^{0.0614 \cdot t_d}} \]

\[ t_d = 5.974 \cdot e^{0.0614 \cdot t} \]

where \( E_s \) is the elastic modulus of steel, \( E_a \) the elastic modulus of asphalt surfacing, \( t \) the air temperature, and \( t_d \) the temperature of deck plate.

Fig.3.13 (a) The air temperature of Osaka in year 2012 (b) The associated elastic modulus in various period of one year

With considering the impact coefficient and the influence of out plate bending, the stress range is calculated as shown in\cite{9}:

\[ \Delta \sigma = 1.2(\Delta \sigma_m + (4/5)\Delta \sigma_b) \]

where \( \Delta \sigma \) is the stress range, the impact coefficient is set as 1.2.
The out plane bending and the membrane stress and out plane stress are calculated as shown in:

\[ \sigma_m = \frac{\sigma_{HSS} + \sigma_u}{2}, \quad \sigma_b = \frac{\sigma_{HSS} - \sigma_u}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

where \( \sigma_{HSS} \) is the hot spot stress, \( \sigma_u \) the relevant stress on the deck up surface or rib wall inner surface, \( \sigma_m \) the membrane stress, and \( \sigma_b \) the out plane stress.

The scatter in the transverse location of truck wheels is also considered in the fatigue life evaluation. A normal distribution with a standard deviation of 120mm is assumed for the transverse location of truck wheels.

The average daily truck traffic (ADTT) of 1500 is assumed on the objective driving paths. The average daily truck traffic on the transverse location \( i \) is \( ADTT \cdot p_i \). The daily cumulative fatigue damage rate is calculated as shown in:

\[ D = \frac{ADTT}{C_0} \sum_i \Delta \sigma_i^3 p_i \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

where \( p_i \) is the relative frequency of wheel load locating on the transverse location \( i \), and \( C_0 \) is the weld class related constant with respect to the average stress influence factor.

The fatigue life is calculated as shown in:

\[ \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{12} D_i \cdot N_i} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

where \( D_i \) is the daily cumulative fatigue damage rate of \( i \) month, \( N_i \) the number of days of \( i \) month.
The scatter in the transverse location of truck wheels.

Fig. 3.14

The flowchart of fatigue life evaluation is shown in Fig. 3.15 and the fatigue life calculation result is shown in the Table 1.

Fig. 3.15

The fatigue lives of RD joint of OSD without surfacing, with asphalt surfacing and with asphalt surfacing considering temperature effect are listed in the Table 1. It can be concluded from the calculation results that the asphalt surfacing can significantly increase the fatigue life of the RD joint in OSD. And the temperature effect of asphalt surfacing could significantly decrease fatigue life of the RD joint.
### Table 1 fatigue life of rib-to-deck joint (years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Driving path</th>
<th>Crack type</th>
<th>Without surfacing</th>
<th>Asphalt surfacing (temperature effect)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D(year)</td>
<td>Fatigue life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride-rib wall</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside-rib</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inside-rib</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D(year): Year cumulative fatigue damage rate

3.5.3 Effective notch stress around weld root of RD joint.

Respect to the crack type 2 and 4, which initiate from the weld root, the effective notch stresses of the imaginary notch to the weld root in the RD joint were employed to evaluate the local stress. The radius of 0.5mm was chosen for the assumed notch such that the round notch can be contained in the RD joint and the element size around the notch is chosen as 0.06mm. The Von Mises stresses were used in this paper. The FE model detail is shown in Fig.3.16. Three wheel load cases, on the outside-rib, ride on rib wall and inside-rib driving paths at section 1, were applied and the elastic modulus of asphalt surfacing was varied at 500MPa, 1500MPa and 15000MPa to investigate the local stress of the RD weld root on various driving paths in various seasons.

The calculation result is shown in Fig.3.16. It can be seen that there are upper side and lower inclined side two stress concentration sites in the root notch refer to the wheel load on the outside-rib driving path. Respect to the wheel load on the ride-rib wall or outside-rib driving path, there is only one stress concentrating site in the root notch. Furthermore along with the elastic modulus increasing, the effective notch stresses decrease dramatically, as shown in Fig.3.16. And the site of maximum stress is also influenced by the young modulus of the asphalt surfacing. Since the stress concentration site is the potential fatigue crack initiation point, the young modulus of the asphalt surfacing may influence the crack initiation point and hence propagation direction. The maximum stress occurs in the upper side of the root notch may correlate to the crack 2, propagating in the deck plate and in the lower side of the root notch may correlate to the crack4, propagating in the weld throat.
Fig. 3.16 Effective notch stress around weld root of RD joint
Fig. 3.17 Principle stress around weld root of RD joint
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CHAPTER 4

Fatigue Test of Rib-to-Deck Joint
4.1 Introduction and objectives

The main objective of this chapter is to evaluate the fatigue strength of rib-to-deck (RD) joint with full trough rib. The welded details are the ones which are used in standard details of orthotropic steel bridge decks in Japan.

According to the Paris ruler as mentioned in Chapter1, the fatigue crack growth rate is proportional to the cube of stress. So the stress concentration around RD joint is the dominating factor of its fatigue life. There are 4 potential types of fatigue cracks around RD joints. The bending moment in the rib web incline to fatigue cracks in weld throat and the bending moment in deck plate incline to fatigue cracks in deck plate as shown in Fig.4.1 So the ratio of bending moment in the deck plate and rib web may influence the fatigue crack type which cause fatigue fracture of RD joint.

![Fig.4.1](a) Fatigue crack in deck plate. (b) Fatigue crack in weld throat.

Under the applied wheel load the rib web, deck plate outside RD joint and inside joint are carried load to response the wheel load. So in this chapter full size RD joint specimens with trough rib box were tested. Based on the FEM analysis result[1] the stress around the RD joint was simulated by 3 point bending fatigue test.

The objective of this study is investigating the fatigue strength of the RD joint under the wheel load outside the rib box as shown in Fig.4.2a. And the objective RD joint is located in the mid-span in the longitudinal direction. Based on the FEM analysis result in the chaper3, the ratio of the stress on undersurface of deck plate and stress on the outside-surface of rib web is near to 1 as shown in Fig.4.3.
According the stress ratio of deck plate and rib web, the RD joint is simulated by applying the cyclic bending stress as schematically shown in **Fig.4.4**.

**Fig.4.2** (a) Transverse location of RD joint and wheel load. (b) Longitudinal location of RD joint.

**Fig.4.3** Transverse influence lines of stress around RD joint
4.2 Plate bending fatigue tests

4.2.1 Fatigue test specimens

The RD joints are modeled as 150mm wide specimens subjected to 3 Point bending. Each specimen consists of a main plate and a rib box. The main plate is referred to as deck plate and the rib box is referred to the closed longitudinal rib. The shapes and main dimensions of test specimens are shown in Fig.4.5. The test specimens were fabricated and grouped into two series: (a) D12R6P15 (b) D12R6P75. Each specimen was fabricated in the same way: 6mm thick rib was prepared and then welded to 12mm thick steel plate of SM400A steel. The mechanical properties and chemical compositions are shown in Table 4.1. The fillet welding was performed in horizontal position with CO₂ shielded semi-automatic arc welding by self-propelled robot arms. The intended levels of weld penetration are 15% and 75% as shown in Fig.4.6.
Table 4.1 Mechanical properties and chemical composition of steel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plate thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Yield strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Ultimate strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (%)</th>
<th>Chemical composition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Fatigue test machine and setup

The simple vibration-based plate bending fatigue testing machine, developed by Yamada S. and Yamada K., is employed to conduct the RD joint fatigue tests. The fatigue test setup is schematically shown in Fig.4.7. The rotation in the vibrator induces cyclic strain in the test specimen. And this kind of fatigue test machine is not either a displacement control nor load control. But the stress ranges still can be measured by the strain gauges glued to the objective locations on the specimen. During the fatigue test, the stress range can be adjusted by changing the rotation speed of the vibrator by the device called inverter or built-in electric-mass distribution (scaled from 1 to 10) through adjusting the distance between the rotation axle and the center of gravity of electric-mass.
The electric-mass rotation produces the centrifugal force in all direction. However, only the vertical force is needed in the fatigue tests. In this study a rigid beam is used to connect the vibrator and the react force wall. A pin is employed as a joint between one end of the rigid beam and the react force wall and the vibrator is bolted to the other end of the rigid beam.

The two end of the specimen are connected to the steel frame using a special holder consisted of two beams and two round bars to simulate the continuous boundary conditions of the deck plate in real steel bridge decks. And the two boundary holders are fixed to the steel frames using long bolt bar. A center holder is installed at the load point of the specimen. And the center holder is bolted to the rigid beam. The purpose of the setup used in this study is employ the rigid beam to cancel the transversal force, generated by vibrator and not needed in the fatigue test, and transmit the vertical applied force to the fatigue test specimen. The centrifugal force generated by rotation of electric-mass induces the cycle vertical sinusoidal load to the specimen and hence cycle sinusoidal stress variation around the interested RD joint. Four compressive coil springs were employed in the fatigue test to adjust the stress ratio $R = \frac{\sigma_{\text{min}}}{\sigma_{\text{max}}}$. 

4.2.3 Fatigue test machine and setup

The outline of the fatigue tests are listed in Table 4.2. The specimen of FD-1 was first tested to verify the usability and stability of the fatigue test setup and all divorces used in this study. The test result shows that the fatigue test system can stably induce cycle sinusoidal stress variation around the objective RD joint and finally the specimen fractured due to the fatigue crack which initiated
from the weld root and propagated through the weld throat. The specimen was tested to verify the adjustability of stress ratio around the objective RD joint by the compressive coil springs. It can be concluded from the test result that the compressive coil springs can adjust the stress ratio to a specify value during fatigue test. It can be concluded from the fatigue tests of first two specimens that the setup and vibrator are reliable and suitable for plate bending fatigue test. However, because the first two fatigue tests were stopped, adjusted and continued again for many times, the fatigue test data was not meaningful for fatigue strength analysis and hence not recorded in this study.

4.3 Result of Plate bending fatigue tests

4.3.1 Fatigue crack initiation point and propagation directions

In the fatigue test, 10 specimens were tested and recorded. As shown in Table 4.2, 5 specimens with 15% penetration ratio are all fractured due to root to weld throat crack. All the 5 specimens with 75% penetration ratio are all fractured due to toe to deck crack except 1 run out. It should be noted that in this fatigue test program, if the specimen was not fractured after $10^7$ load cycles, it is defined as run out.

4.3.2 Variation in stress ranges and fatigue crack size.

It is observed that the stress ranges, measured through strain gauges glued to undersurface of deck plate of the specimens with 75% penetration ratio, vary during fatigue tests. The stress range variation indirectly reflects the location of the fatigue crack and its propagation. An example of variation of stress ranges expressed by the ratio of varying stress ranges to the stress range at the beginning of the fatigue test is shown in Fig.4.8. The stress range of gage-2 decreases first due to the crack gradually cut the flow of the stress in the plate and it means that the fatigue crack initiated near the gage-2 as shown in Fig.4.9a. With the crack growing the stress ranges of the gage-3 increasing due to the crack tip is approaching the gage location and a stress concentration exist in the vicinity of the crack tip as shown in Fig.4.9b. And after the crack tip pass the gage location the stress range decreasing. The stress range of gage-1 location first increases because the crack growth consumes the effective thickness of the deck plate and then decrease due to new crack initiates in the vicinity of the gage-1.

The relationship of the strain variation in strain range and the crack depth in the deck plate was comprehensive studied by Ya, Samol and Kentaro, Yamada through series fatigue tests and
statistical analysis\textsuperscript{[2]}. The depth of the fatigue cracks were measured through the beach marks left on the fracture surfaces of deck plates. The statistical analysis result shows in Fig.4.10. It is assumed that no any drop in stress range as there is no crack, $\Delta \varepsilon_i/\varepsilon_0 = 1$ and $a/t = 0$. When the fatigue crack penetrates the deck the strain ranges drop to 0, $\Delta \varepsilon_i/\varepsilon_0 = 0$ and $a/t = 1$. It was concluded from the regression curve that the front of the crack plane surface has propagated to the half thickness of the deck plate as the strain range drops by 15%.

![Fig.4.8 An example of variation of stress ranges](image1)

![Fig.4.9 Positions of crack initiation and propagating pattern cause different variation in stress range](image2)
4.3.3 Residual stresses effect in specimens

As mentioned in the chapter 1, the fatigue life is affected by the residual stress\[^3\]. In the fatigue tests carried out in this study, the stress ratio measured by strain gages is -1. And residual stress will decrease the fatigue life. In this fatigue test program the residual stress influence on fatigue life of rib-to-deck joint was not fully studied and only the residual stresses of specimen ED4-1 were recorded. The residual stress distribution on the deck plate around the welding line is shown in Fig.4.11 and the residual stress at the center of the weld line on the deck plate is 73MPa.

Because of the high tensile residual stresses, the real applied stresses in the weld line are shifted to the tensile side. And although the stress ratio of the specimen ED4-1 measured by strain gages is -1, the real stress ratio is different. In this study the residual stresses of only one specimen were investigated. Maybe there are some specimens with lower residual stresses, thus parts of real stresses are still in compression side under applied stress ratio $R = -1$, leading to longer fatigue life. And it is necessary to carry out higher applied stress ratio fatigue tests in future.
Fig. 4.11 Residual stress pattern in specimen ED4-1

Fig. 4.12 Effect of residual stress on stress ratio.
4.4 Fatigue test results in S-N diagram

4.4.1 Determination of stress range and number of cycles to fracture

The stress range employed in S-N diagram is taken at weld toe on deck plate for specimens with 75% penetration ratio and weld root for specimens with 15% penetration. The ways of determine the stress range for S-N diagram is shown in Fig.4.13. And for the toe to deck type fatigue cracks of specimens with weld penetration ratio 75%, the computation is carried by extrapolation of the strain gages glued 5mm and 20mm away from weld toe on the deck plate. For the root to throat type cracks of the specimens with 15% weld penetration ratio, the computation is carried by extrapolation of the strain gages glued 5mm and 20mm away from weld toe on the rib web.

![Fig.4.13 Ways of determination of stress ranges for S-N diagrams](image)

It is defined that the fatigue life is the number of load cycles when the specimen fractured, $N_f$. And for the specimens fractured due to toe to deck crack, the number of load cycles when the stress range drops 15%, $N_{15}$, is defined as the fatigue life of the front of the fatigue crack reaching the half depth of the deck plate.
4.4.2 Fatigue test data

The result of the fatigue tests are summarized in Table 4.2. The fatigue test results plotted in S-N diagram\cite{4} are shown in Fig.4.14.

![Fatigue test results](image_url)

**Fig.4.14** Fatigue test results
Table 4.2 Fatigue test data summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>PR</th>
<th>Type of crack</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>$\Delta \sigma$</th>
<th>$N_f$</th>
<th>$N_{15}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD-1</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Throat crack from root</td>
<td>set up</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-2-1</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Throat crack from root</td>
<td>stress rate</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-5-1</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Throat crack from root</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>128.3MPa</td>
<td>1,682,000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED-2-1</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Deck crack from toe</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>136.3MPa</td>
<td>2,467,300</td>
<td>1,407,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED-5-1</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Deck crack from toe</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>95.6MPa</td>
<td>(10,000,000)</td>
<td>(10,000,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED-5-2</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Deck crack from toe</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>160.9MPa</td>
<td>1,705,700</td>
<td>1,134,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-3-1</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Throat crack from root</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>166.5MPa</td>
<td>989,200</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-2-2</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Throat crack from root</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>214.2MPa</td>
<td>160,800</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-3-2</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Throat crack from root</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>153.5MPa</td>
<td>961,200</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD-2-3</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Throat crack from root</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>138.7MPa</td>
<td>1,211,700</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED-4-1</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Deck crack from toe</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>168.0MPa</td>
<td>1,669,700</td>
<td>592,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED-2-2</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Deck crack from toe</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>182.5MPa</td>
<td>1,661,800</td>
<td>1,201,357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.3 Regression analyses and determination of proposed design S-N curves

The regression analyses were conducted for the test specimens in this study and the regression analysis is expressed as following.

$$\log N = c - m \log \Delta \sigma$$

where, $N$ is the number of cycles at $N_{15}$ and $N_f$, $\Delta \sigma$ is the nominal stress range at weld toe or root and $m$ is the slope of S-N curves in MPa, and $m$ is the slope of S-N curves at MPa, and $m$ is the slope of S-N curves.
With $m$ being set to 3, the regression analyses results are listed in Table 4.3. The standard deviation $s$ is calculated by taking $\log N$ as dependent variable. The run out data is not included in the regression analysis. The regression equations for the 75% PR specimens with fatigue life $N_f$ and $N_{15}$ and 15% PR specimen with fatigue life $N_f$ are expressed as Equation 4.2~4.4 respectively.

$$
\log N = 12.888 - 3\log \Delta \sigma \\
\log N = 12.636 - 3\log \Delta \sigma \\
\log N = 12.492 - 3\log \Delta \sigma
$$

Table 4.3 Regression analysis of test results (m=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>number of data</th>
<th>$N_{15}$</th>
<th></th>
<th>$N_f$</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$s$</td>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>$s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR 75%</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.636</td>
<td>0.05945</td>
<td>12.888</td>
<td>0.02954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR 15%</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.492</td>
<td>0.05783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Regression analysis of specimen series D12R6P15](image)

**Fig.4.15** Regression analysis of specimen series D12R6P15
Fig. 4.16 Regression analysis of specimen series D12R6P75

Fig. 4.17 Regression analysis of specimen series D12R6P75 ($N_{15}$)
4.5 Comparison with previous fatigue testes data

J. Janss carried out some fatigue tests under the stress ratio of -1~2\(^{-1}\)\(^{-2}\)\(^{[5]}\). The sketch of the specimen and the fatigue test setup are shown in Fig.4.18. The parameters for these specimens are the lack of penetration \(p\) of the welds and the fit \(e\) between the longitudinal edge of the rib and the deck plate as shown in Fig.4.19. The stress range \(\Delta\sigma\) of the stress at the weld toe in the rib web was employed in S-N curves.

![Fig.4.18](image1)

**Fig.4.18** a). Dimension of the fatigue test specimen. b). Fatigue test setup\(^{[5]}\)

![Fig.4.19](image2)

**Fig.4.19** The details of rib to deck weld joint in J. Janss’ fatigue tests

The fatigue test results carried by J. Janss are shown in Table 4.4. For the purpose to compare the fatigue test data with the fatigue test result in this study, the stress of ranges \(\Delta\sigma\) of the stress at the
weld toe in the rib web of the specimens with 15% penetration are extrapolated by the strain gauges 5mm and 20mm away from the weld toe and listed in Table 4.5.

Table 4.4 Fatigue tests result of J. Janss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen No.</th>
<th>Welding method</th>
<th>e (mm)</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>$\Delta \sigma$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$N_f$</th>
<th>Crack type</th>
<th>$\rho$ (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>172.2</td>
<td>215000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>169.2</td>
<td>284000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>206.9</td>
<td>255000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>908000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>402000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.41</td>
<td>133.4</td>
<td>515000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>98.3 (2000000)</td>
<td>no crack</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>149.2</td>
<td>410000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>135.1</td>
<td>862000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td>120.1</td>
<td>1740000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>164.2</td>
<td>119000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>152.3</td>
<td>255000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.46</td>
<td>187.2</td>
<td>59000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>112.6</td>
<td>759000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>137.7</td>
<td>273000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>134.8</td>
<td>364000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>105.7</td>
<td>629000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>145.8</td>
<td>724000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>133.5</td>
<td>406000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>354000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Overhead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>276000</td>
<td>Deck plate</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Overhead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>133000</td>
<td>Deck plate</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Overhead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.63</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>903000</td>
<td>Deck plate</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Overhead</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>109000</td>
<td>Deck plate</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Overhead</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.65</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>42000</td>
<td>Deck plate</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Overhead</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.62</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1239000</td>
<td>Deck plate</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1790000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.57</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>254000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Horiz.</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>1533000</td>
<td>root to throat</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( ) run out
With \( m \) being set to 3, the regression analyses results are summarized in Table 4.6. The standard deviation \( s \) is calculated by taking log \( N \) as dependent variable. The regression equations for the 15% PR specimens, horizontal welded specimens with the fit \( e = 0 \sim 0.5\text{mm} \) and horizontal welded specimens with the fit \( e = 2\text{mm} \) of J. Janss’ fatigue tests are shown in Equations 4.5~4.7 respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
\log N &= 12.462 - 3 \log \Delta \sigma \\
\log N &= 12.168 - 3 \log \Delta \sigma \\
\log N &= 11.910 - 3 \log \Delta \sigma 
\end{align*}
\]

where \( N \) is the number of load cycles, and \( \Delta \sigma \) is the stress range at the weld toe on the rib web.

### Table 4.6 Regression analysis of test results (m=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Number of data</th>
<th>( N_f )</th>
<th>( c )</th>
<th>( s )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR 15%</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.462</td>
<td>0.0585</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e=0~0.5mm</td>
<td>-1~2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.168</td>
<td>0.05036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e=2mm</td>
<td>-1~2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.910</td>
<td>0.06847</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regression result and fatigue test results are plotted in Fig.4.20. It can be concluded from the fatigue test results that the scatter of the data of specimens with the fit \( e=2\text{mm} \) is larger than the specimens with the fit \( e=0 \sim 0.5\text{mm} \) and the reason may be that the uniform of welding quality of the specimens with the fit \( e=2\text{mm} \) is lower than the specimens with the fit \( e=0 \sim 0.5\text{mm} \). Moreover, It can be induced from the regression curves the mean fatigue strength of the specimens with 15% penetration ratio in this study is higher than the horizontal welded specimens in J. Janss fatigue test. And the mean and mean \( \pm \) 2 standard deviations of log\( N \) fitted curves are shown in Fig.4.21.
Fig. 4.20 Comparison with J. Janss’ fatigue test data

Fig. 4.21 Regression analysis of J. Janss’ fatigue test data
Ya. Samol carried out some fatigue tests. In his study, the rib-to-deck joints are basically modeled as 300mm wide test specimens subjected to cyclic bending stress in deck plate. Each specimen is composed of a main plate and a rib web. Shapes and main dimensions are shown in Fig.4.22.

![Fig.4.22 The details and dimension of the specimen of Ya Samol’s fatigue tests](image)

The fatigue test set up and vibration-based plate bending fatigue test machine are schematically shown in Fig.4.23.

![Fig.4.23 The sketch of the vibrator and setup of Ya Samol’s fatigue tests](image)

The specimens and the setup are different from that in this study. Each specimen is welded with a rib web in Ya. Samol’s fatigue test program. However, the specimens were welded full closed rib boxes used in this study which can simulate rib to deck joint applied in real orthotropic steel bridge
decks considering the influence of the closed rib box. In Ya. Samol’s fatigue test setup, one side of the specimen is fixed to the rest frame to simulate cantilever boundary condition, the weld root is closer to fixed end than weld toe and the weld toe is grounder for the purpose to induce the fatigue crack initiates from weld root and propagate to deck plate. But in this study, the two transversal edge of the fatigue test specimen is supported by roller bar and the fatigue load is applied near the objective rib-to-deck joint to simulate real stress situation of the rib to deck joint under wheel load applied outside the rib box. And then investigate that in this kind of fatigue load case what kind of fatigue crack will occur and its fatigue life.

The fatigue test result of Ya Samol’s fatigue test program is listed in Table 4.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>$\Delta \sigma_{root}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\Delta \sigma_{root}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$N_{15}$</th>
<th>$N_f$ root to deck crack</th>
<th>toe to deck crack</th>
<th>root to throat crack</th>
<th>toe condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D12R6-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>1475000</td>
<td>1475000</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D12R6-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>781000</td>
<td>781000</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D12R6-4</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>1362000</td>
<td>1362000</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D12R6-6</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>2996000</td>
<td>2996000</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD12R6-8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>(10000000)</td>
<td>(10000000)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD12R6-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4693000</td>
<td>6190000</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD12R6-11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>7728000</td>
<td>8784000</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD12R6-12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6673000</td>
<td>6673000</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( ) run out

The data of Ya. Samol’s fatigue tests, the fatigue test in this study and the JSCE S-N curves are all plotted in Fig.4.24. In Ya. Samol’s fatigue tests, the fatigue strength of most specimens is between JSSC B class and C class. The fatigue strength of most specimens tested with stress ratio $R = -1$ is in the upside range and the fatigue strength of most specimens tested with stress ratio $R = 0$ is in the downside range of the area between the fatigue strength class B and C in JSSC.

The reason may be that due to the higher residual stress around the weld line in some specimen, the effective stress ratio is lower than $R = -1$ which is measured by stain gages and this will increase the fatigue life of this specimens.
Moreover the fatigue strength of the specimens in this study is slightly higher than that in Ya. Samol’s fatigue test program. There may be two reasons: 1, the fatigue strength of weld toe on the deck plate is slightly higher than weld root on the deck plate; 2, Compared with fatigue test set up of Ya. Samol’ fatigue test program the transverse cycle load was canceled in the fatigue test set up of this study and this may extend the specimens’ fatigue life.

![Fatigue test data comparison](image)

**Fig.4.24.** Comparison with Ya Samol’s fatigue test data

With $m$ being set to 3, the regression analyses results are summarized in **Table 4.8**. The standard deviation $s$ is calculated by taking log $N$ as dependent variable. The regression equations for the R=-1 specimens of Ya. Samol’s fatigue tests, R=0 specimens of Ya. Samol’s fatigue tests and 75% weld penetration ratio specimens in this study are shown in Equations 4.8~4.10 respectively.

\[
\log N = 12.786 - 3\log \Delta \sigma \\
\log N = 13.065 - 3\log \Delta \sigma \\
\log N = 12.888 - 3\log \Delta \sigma
\]

where $N$ is the number of load cycles, and $\Delta \sigma$ is the stress range at the weld toe on the rib web.
### Table 4.8 Regression analysis of test results (m=3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Number of data</th>
<th>$N_f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR 75%</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R=-1(Ya.)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R=0 (Ya.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.6 Discussing and conclusion

It can be concluded from the fatigue tests results of this study, for the rib to deck joint with 12mm thickness deck plate and 6mm thickness rib web, the fatigue strength and the type of fatigue crack are significantly influenced by the weld penetration ratio. All the specimens with 15% penetration ratio were fractured due to root to throat crack and mean strength of these specimen is between JSSC C class and D class. All the specimens with 75% penetration ratio were fractured due to toe to deck crack and mean strength of these specimens is around JSSC B class.

In J.Janss’ fatigue test program, the rib to deck joint specimen consist of a steel plate and a full closed rib as the specimens tested in this study and the test set up is also using three point bending system to simulate the stress station around rib to deck joint under critical applied load case in real orthotropic bridge deck. The fatigue test results show that the mean strength of all the specimens with the fit $e = 0$~$0.5 mm$ and horizontal welded are between the JSSC C class and D class and the mean strength of all the specimens with the fit $e = 2 mm$ and horizontal weld are lower than the JSSC E class. That means that all the specimens with horizontal welded were fractured due to root to throat crack. All the specimens with overhead welded were fractured due to deck crack. The reason may be that the weld throat thickness of specimens with overhead weld is larger than the specimens with horizontal weld. Moreover the mean fatigue strength of all the specimens with horizontal welded is lower than the mean strength of specimens with 15% weld penetration in this study. This may be caused by different weld methods and materials.

In Ya. Samol’s fatigue test program, the rib to deck joint specimen consist a steel plate and a rib web. The mean fatigue strength of these specimens is slight lower than the mean fatigue strength of the specimens with 75% weld penetration in this study. And it may be caused by the fatigue strength of weld root on deck plate is slightly lower than the weld toe on the deck plate and the cycle transverse load force, which may decrease the fatigue life, was cancelled in the fatigue test setup in this study.
4.7 Reference


CHAPTER 5

Experimental Study of Full Size Orthotropic

Steel Bridge Deck
5.1 Introduction

5.1.1. Asphalt surfacing influence on RD joints.

A typical OSD consist of a deck plate which stiffened by longitudinal ribs and transverse crossbeams. And these components are welded to each other. A wearing surface is normally applied on the top surface of the deck plate to provide a vehicle riding surface and water proof layer.

![Components of the Orthotropic Steel Deck Bridge Girder System showing (a) Open Ribs and (b) Closed Ribs](image)

**Fig.5.1** Components of the Orthotropic Steel Deck Bridge Girder System showing (a) Open Ribs and (b) Closed Ribs

The Asphalt concrete is most used for the wearing surface of OSD. In most current steel bridge fatigue design specifications, Asphalt surfacing is simplified as a layer to disperse wheel load at an angle of 45° along the thickness to the deck plate.

However these simplifications cannot precisely reflect the real effect of asphalt surfacing on RD joints. Except the wheel load dispersal, the composite stiffness of asphalt surfacing and steel deck plate also influence the stress concentration around RD joint and its fatigue life. A composite continuous beam-analogy can be used to analyze transverse bending of the surfacing material and deck plate[1]. And the rib walls are simulated to the supports as show in **Fig.5.2**. It is recommended that such transverse bending should also consider cases that include yielding supports to model permissible differential deflection between ribs.

When a separate waterproofing membrane or bonding layer is used on the steel deck plate, it has been observed that composite interaction between the wearing surface and the steel deck plate is
significantly reduced. The major reason is that the bonding layer is relatively compliant due to a low stiffness material or a thick layer is used.

**Fig.5.2** Finite Strip Models for composite structure of wearing surface and deck plate: (a) Maximum positive moment midway between ribs; (b) Maximum negative moment at ribs; (c) Yielding of supports (Differential movement between ribs)\(^1\)

Because asphalt is a kind of temperature dependent material, whose elastic modulus varies with temperature change, the composite stiffness of asphalt surfacing and steel deck plate is influenced by temperatures. Many experiments and analyses have been conducted to investigate the influence of wearing surface stiffness on the stresses in the deck plate\(^1\). Fig.5.3 shows analytically-computed variations in steel deck plate stress and stress in the wearing surface as a function of the modular ratio \(n = E_{\text{steel}}/E_{\text{wearing surface}}\) for thin (10 mm) and thick (50 mm) wearing surfaces. Fig.5.3a is for a steel deck plate of 14 mm thickness, whereas Fig.5.3b is for a steel deck plate of 20 mm thickness. Both plots are made for an assumed negative moment and are plotted to the same scale. In each case, wearing surface stresses are plotted on the left axis while the steel deck plate stresses are plotted on the right axis. At hot temperatures, the wearing surface contributes very little to the stiffness of the composite, whereas at cold temperatures, the contribution of the wearing surface to the composite stiffness is significant high to influence the stresses in the deck plate.
Fig. 5.3 Stresses in Thick and Thin Wearing Surfaces due to Variations in the Elastic Modular Ratio, \( n \), for (a) Thin Deck Plate (14 mm), and (b) Thick Deck Plate (20 mm)\(^1\)

Onsite experiments have also been carried out by some researchers to investigate asphalt surfacing influence on the stresses around RD joints. The results are shown in Fig. 5.4. It can be concluded that in summer the transverse stresses around the RD joint in deck plate is significantly higher than that in winter.
Fig. 5.4. Stresses of the point 5mm away from the weld toe in summer and winter (a) Strain gauges location. (b) Stress of d2, 5mm away from weld toe.

5.1.3 Purpose of the full size experiment in this study.

As mentioned above, up to now only small specimen tests for composite stiffness with various temperature and field experiments in different seasons have been conducted. However small specimen tests cannot reflect the real stress state around RD joints in an OSD, and the field experiment can only carried out with few temperature cases and strain gauges.

A full size OSD experiment was carried out with various temperatures to investigate the real transverse stress distribution of deck plate and ribs in different period in one year.
5.2 Summary of full size OSD experiment

5.2.1 Full size OSD specimen

The orthotropic steel bridge deck specimen used in the experiment is 2600mm in width and 4300mm in length as shown in Fig.5.5. The thickness of deck plate is 12mm and the size of U rib is $320 \times 240 \times 6$mm. The span of crossbeams is 2000mm. The sectional view of the Section 2 is shown in Fig.5.6.

![Fig.5.5 Sketch of orthotropic steel bridge deck specimen(without asphalt surfacing)](image-url)
For the purpose to simulate the continuity in the longitudinal direction, the longitudinal end of OSD specimen is supported using round bar as shown in Fig.5.7. The properties of the steel of OSD are listed in Table 5.1.

5.2.2 Steel deck surfacing

In this study, an asphalt surfacing is applied to the steel deck plate in order to investigate the real stress distribution in the OSD like on site bridges with asphalt surfacing. Photo.5.1 shows the works of the steel deck surfacing.
### Table 5.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Type</th>
<th>Yield Stress (N/mm²)</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (N/mm²)</th>
<th>Ductility Ratio (%)</th>
<th>Poisson Ratio</th>
<th>Elastic Modulus (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SM490Y</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Photo.5.1

Steel deck surfacing (a) Adhesion bonder (b) Asphalt concrete paving (c) Machine rolling compaction (d) Reversible plate compaction

#### 5.2.3 Strain gauge arrangement

In this study, the section 2, 2-1 and 2-2 near mid span of Crossbeam 1 and 2 are chosen to investigate the stress distribution in transverse direction. The locations of the objective sections are shown in **Fig.5.5**.
There are two kinds of strain gauge are employed. One is 2-element cross stacked type and the size is $1 \times 0.7\text{mm}$, the other is one-side strain gauge and the size is $3 \times 2.9\text{mm}$. Due to the asphalt is a kind of temperature dependent material, thermoelectric couples is also applied to monitor the asphalt surfacing temperature during the whole experiment. Moreover form asphalt surface to deck plate bottom surface, 5 thermoelectric couples are installed along the thickness, 0mm, 20mm, 40mm, 60mm, 72mm, to monitor the temperature in various layers of asphalt surfacing.

The objective RD joints in this study are the weld joints of U2 and U3 to steel deck plate as shown in Fig.5.5. The stain gauges lay outs are shown in Fig.5.9. All the strain gauges in the Section2 and Section2-2 are 2-element cross stacked strain gauges. One of the cross stacked gauges is along the transversal direction and the other along the longitudinal direction.

Around the U2 and U3 to deck plate joints in section 2 and section2-2, there are two strain gauges on each deck plate outside rib box, deck plate inside rib box, rib web outside rib box and rib web outside inside box. The detailed locations of these gauges are shown in Fig.5.9a. For the U2 and U3 to deck plate joints in section 2-1, there is an one-side strain gauge installed in transversal direction on each deck plate outside rib box, deck plate inside rib box and rib web outside rib box. Fig Fig.5.9b shows the detailed locations of the gauges.

![Fig.5.8](image)

**Fig.5.8** Gauge types (a) 2-element cross stacked strain gauge. (b) One side strain gauge.
For the purpose to investigate the stress concentration around RD joints with high stress gradient, the strain gauges closest to the RD joints on the Deck plate or Rib web outside rib box in section2 and section2-2 are installed 5mm away from weld toes. On the Deck plate or Rib web inside rib box they are 10 mm away from intersection points of rib web and deck plate.

The photos of these strain gauges and the thermoelectric couples are shown in Photo.5.3.
Fig. 5.9 Gauge layouts in Section-2, Section-2-1, Section-2-2
Fig. 5.10 Detailed of strain gauges around RD joints (a) Cross stacked strain gauges in section 2 and section 2-2 (b) One-side strain gauge in section 2-1.

Photo. 5.3 (a) Strain gauges outside rib box (b) strain gauges inside rib box (c) Thermoelectric couples
5.2.4 Applied load cases

Two rubber plates are employed to simulate contact area of heavy truck dual wheel and the size of the applied load is $200 \times 200$mm (rubber plate) + 100mm (clearance distance) + $200 \times 200$mm (rubber plate) as shown in Fig.5.10 and Photo.5.4.

![Fig.5.10](image)  
**Fig.5.10** Contract area of load plates.  
![Photo.5.4](image)  
**Photo.5.4** Applied load setup photo.

As mentioned above, the objective of RD joints are U2 and U3 to deck plate joints and these joints are labeled as U2L, U2R, U3L and U3R as shown in Fig.5.11. In the experiment, 16 loading cases were applied on the section-2. Fig.5.12 shows the transverse locations of these load cases. Due to the asphalt is temperature dependent material, the temperature of asphalt surfacing is controlled around 23 Celsius degree during the experiment.

![Fig.5.11](image)  
**Fig.5.11** Labels of the objective RD joints
Fig. 5.12  (a) Load cases around U2L.  (b) Load cases around U2R.  (c) Load cases around U3L.  
(d) Load cases around U3R.
5.2.5 Experiment results

*Stress distribution in deck plate of Section2*

The temperature of asphalt is controlled around 23 Celsius degree and the applied load increases from 0KN to 100KN at the speed of 1KN/s. The transverse stress distribution is very sensitive to transverse location of applied loads. The distributions of stress in deck plate at Section 2 under various load cases are shown in Fig.5.13–5.28. The transverse stresses or longitude stresses are calculated as following:

\[
\sigma_x = \frac{E_s}{1 - \nu_s^2} (\varepsilon_x + \nu_s \varepsilon_y) \tag{5-1}
\]

\[
\sigma_y = \frac{E_s}{1 - \nu_s^2} (\varepsilon_y + \nu_s \varepsilon_x) \tag{5-2}
\]

where \(\sigma_x\) is the transverse stress; \(\sigma_y\) is the longitudinal stress; \(E_s\) is the elastic modulus of steel deck plate; \(\nu_s\) is the Poisson ratio of steel deck plate; \(\varepsilon_x\) is the transverse strain and \(\varepsilon_y\) is the longitudinal strain.

From the stress distribution on the undersurface of steel deck plate in Section2, it could be concluded that: 1. under quasistatic increasing applied load, the stresses around the RD joints, near the applied load, of deck plate increasing lineally; 2. the stresses of undersurfaces of deck plate around the RD joints, near the applied load, are negative value under all applied loads.; 3. most undersurface deck plate stresses distributed in the range between the closest RD joints; 4. the magnitude of transverse stress is far larger than that of corresponding longitudinal stress of the undersurface of deck plate. 5. the applied load, while it riding on the RD joint, causes the minimum value of stress on undersurface of deck plate around the RD joint.

*Out plane strain and membrane strain*

The deck plate and ribs are made from thin steel plates, thickness of deck plate and rib are 12mm and 6mm respectively. For the purpose to distinguish the out plane strain and membrane strain, strain gauges are installed to the outside and inside surfaces of rib web around RD joints in Section2-1. However, because the up surface of deck plate is covered by asphalt surfacing, only the undersurface is installed strain gauges.

The transverse stress influence lines of strain gauge in the cross section 2 are shown in Fig.5.30–5.31. It can be induced from these transverse influence lines that the stress around the RD joint is very sensitive to the transverse location of the applied load and the sensitive range is
between two adjacent RD joints. The maximum transverse stresses on the deck plate around RD joint occur while the applied load ride on the RD joint.

**Fig. 5.13.** Stress distribution deck plate of Section2 under load case S2-1 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.14. Stress distribution deck plate of Section2 under load case S2-2 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.15 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-3 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.16 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-4 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.17 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-5 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.18 Stress distribution deck plate of Section2 under load case S2-6 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.19 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-7 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.20 Stress distribution deck plate of Section2 under load case S2-8 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.21 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-9. (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.22 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-10 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.23 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-11 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.24 Stress distribution deck plate of Section2 under load case S2-12 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.25 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-13 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.26 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-14 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.27 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-15 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
Fig. 5.28 Stress distribution deck plate of Section 2 under load case S2-16 (a) Transverse stress distribution. (b) Longitudinal stress distribution.
The detailed locations of objective stain gauges are shown in Fig. 5.29.

(a) U2L
(b) U3L
(c) U2R
(d) U3R

Fig. 5.29 (a) objective RD joints (b) objective strain gauges around RD joint U2L. (c) objective strain gauges around RD joint U2R. (d) objective strain gauges around RD joint U3L. (e) objective strain gauges around RD joint U2R.
**Fig. 5.30** Transverse influence lines of stresses on the under surface of deck plate around RD joint U2L
Fig. 5.31 Transverse influence lines of stresses on the rib web around RD joint U2L
5.3 Temperature influence on the stress distribution in OSD.

5.3.1 Background of temperature influence on fatigue of RD joint

As mentioned above, it is found by many researchers that the magnitude of stresses near RD joint is significantly different between summer time and winter time under the identical load case. And it is considered that the main reason is the temperature change affect the composite stiffness of asphalt surfacing and deck plate and the applied load dispersion though asphalt surfacing. 4 point bending test were generally conducted by many researches to investigate the composite stiffness of asphalt surfacing and deck plate. It is found that the elastic modulus of asphalt concrete decreases nonlinearly along with temperature increasing. Some on site experiments were also carried out to investigate the stress concentration around RD joint with hot temperature in summer and low temperature in winter. The experiment results show that the magnitude of stress around RD joint is significantly smaller than that in summer. Due to the fatigue life is proportionate to the cube of applied stress range, the damage rate of RD joint by a pass of a traffic load axle is significantly influenced by temperature.

![Diagram](image.png)

**Fig.5.32** 4 point bending test for composite stiffness of Asphalt concrete and steel plate.

However, the 4 point bending test can only investigate the composite stiffness of asphalt surfacing and deck plate but not applied load dispersal by asphalt surfacing; although the onsite experiment can investigate the real stress concentration with considering both composite stiffness and applied load dispersal, the data of strain on the undersurface of deck plate and inside surface of rib web can’t be acquired and the temperature cases are limited, 2 or 3 cases.

For the purpose to investigate the stresses around the RD joints with various temperature loading cases, a full size orthotropic steel bridge deck with 80mm thickness asphalt surfacing was employed to carry out the temperature loading experiment.
5.3.2  Asphalt heating and cooling system

For the purpose to simulate the high temperature environment, the rubber heaters were employed to increase the temperature of asphalt surfacing. And the digital controllers were used to control the heat temperature precisely. The photographs of the rubber heater and controller are shown in Photo 5.5.

The layout of the rubber heater is shown in Fig.5.33. The rubber heater was installed around Section-2. The size of the area covered by rubber heater is 2300×600mm. The photos of the heating system are shown in Photo 5.5.
Fig. 5.33 The location of the rubber heater.
Photo 5.5. (a) Rubber heater. (b) Digital temperature controller. (c) Rubber heater setup. (d) Temperature monitor.
For the purpose to investigate stresses around RD joints, the dry ice was employed to cooling the asphalt surfacing. And the heat insulator was used to limit the cooling area and prolong the cooling time. Photo 5.6 shows the dry ice cooling system.

5.3.3 Temperature variation and load case

The load case S2-10 was selected to study the stresses around RD joints while the temperature of asphalt surfacing varies from -18 centidegree to 60 centidegree. And the magnitude of applied load is 50KN. The applied load is located at load case S2-10.
5.3.4 The influence of temperature on stress distribution of deck plate and stress around RD joints

The stress distribution of deck plate under applied load case S2-10 with various temperatures is shown in Fig.5.34.

It can be seen from these figures that the stress distribution of deck plate is significantly influenced by the temperature of asphalt surfacing. When the temperature higher than 35 centidegree or lower than minus 5 centidegree, the stresses around the RD joints don’t vary any more. The most sensitive temperature range for stresses around RD joints is from 5 centidegree to 35 centidegree.

The stress around objective RD joints are shown in Fig.5.35 to Fig.5.40.
Transverse stress distribution of deck plate in Section 2 under load case S2-10 with various temperatures

**Fig. 5.34** Transverse stress distribution of deck plate in Section 2 under load case S2-10 with various temperatures
Fig. 5.35 (a) stress variation of gage on the deck around U2L (b) stress variation of gage on the rib around U2L

Fig. 5.36 Relation of Stress around RD joint U2L and applied load under various temperature cases
Fig. 5.37 (a) stress variation of gage on the deck around U3L (b) stress variation of gage on the rib around U3L

Fig. 5.38 Relation of Stress around RD joint U3L and applied load under various temperature cases
Fig. 5.39 (a) stress variation of gage on the deck around U3R (b) stress variation of gage on the rib around U3R

Fig. 5.40 Relation of Stress around RD joint U3R and applied load under various temperature cases
5.3.5 Viscoelasticity response of asphalt surfacing influence on stress around RD joints

Due to the asphalt is a kind of viscoelastic material, the property of the asphalt is affected by the load endurance time and magnitude of load. Under a constant applied load, the elastic modulus of asphalt decreases along with time lapsing. Because the heavy truck speed is more faster than the loading speed we used in the experiment, the loading case in the experiment is more harmful for RD joints and it is good for security considering. Refer to the magnitude of load, in this study when the applied load is increasing from 0 to 100KN in the speed of 1 KN per second, the response of stress around the RD joint is linear, near elastic response. And the viscoelastic is only expressed in unload period. It will not influence the fatigue evaluation of RD joint, because the stress peak of RD joint in one load cycle is only related to the load period rather than unload period.

5.4 Summary and conclusion

In this study, a full size specimen of orthotropic steel deck with 80mm thickness asphalt surfacing were employed to simulate and investigate real stress around RD joints in onside steel orthotropic bridge decks. The experiment result shows: 1. under quasistatic increasing applied load, the stresses around the RD joints, near the applied load, of deck plate increasing lineally; 2. the stresses of undersurfaces of deck plate around the RD joints, near the applied load, are negative value under all applied loads.; 3. significant undersurface deck plate stresses distributed in the range between the closest RD joints; 4. the magnitude of transverse stress is far larger than that of corresponding longitudinal stress on the undersurface of deck plate. 5 the applied load, while it riding on the RD joint, causes the minimum value of stress on undersurface of deck plate around the RD joint.

From the transverse influence lines of stress around RD joint, it can be concluded that the stress around the RD joint is very sensitive to the transverse location of the applied load and the influence
range is between two adjacent RD joints. The maximum transverse stresses on the deck plate around RD joint occur while the applied load ride on the RD joint.

Due to asphalt is kind of temperature dependent material, in this study a special heating and cooling system were designed to investigate the stress distribution on the deck plate and the stress concentration around RD joints under applied load with various temperature from -18 centidegree to 60 centidegree. The experiment results shows that stress distribution of deck plate is significantly influenced by the temperature of asphalt surfacing. While the temperature is higher than 35 Celsius degree or lower than minus 5 Celsius degree, the stresses around the RD joints don’t vary any more. The most sensitive temperature range for stresses around RD joints is from 5 Celsius degree to 35 Celsius degree.

5.5 Reference


Orthotropic steel bridge decks (OSDs) are widely used in the long-span bridges, movable bridges and urban viaducts. However, after long with the traffic volume of overload axles increasing and the orthotropic steel bridge decks directly submit to the wheel loads, many fatigue cracks in orthotropic steel bridge decks were reported in Japan recently. Among these fatigue cracks, the cracks occurred around the rib to deck joints are one kind of the most critical fatigue cracks which may cause impact on the traffic safety, because the rib to deck joint fatigue fracture will induce the asphalt surfacing damage or rain water leaking in into the inside of the orthotropic steel decks and hence steel corrosion. However, due to the complicated geometry and stress state of the rib-to-deck joints, until now, the fatigue test data for rib-to-deck joint is far more from sufficient to determine any comprehensive fatigue classification for fatigue design in Japan. This study is focused on the fatigue behavior of the rib-to-deck joints in orthotropic steel bridge decks.

In chapter 1, the background of the cause of fatigue fracture and fatigue crack initiation and propagation mechanics for metals and welding joints are introduced. Various fatigue life evaluation methods are introduced and discussed. Among these evaluation methods the fatigue strength classification for different welding details and loading conditions based on the S-N curves are most widely used in fatigue design specifications or recommendations not only in Japan but also in abroad. And the S-N curves are the most acceptable method for designers and engineers due to it is easy for design and understand. For the fatigue cracks initiation from the weld toe and the welding joint is not covered by the fatigue strength classification welding joint details, the structure hotspot stress method is recommended by the JSSC and IIW i.e. using the hotspot stress instead of normal stress based on the fatigue strength classification of the corresponding cruciform welding joint. However the hot spot stress method doesn’t cover the fatigue crack initiation from weld root.

The linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) is always employed to evaluate the fatigue life of the welding joint which is not covered by the fatigue strength classification welding joint details with the fatigue crack initiating from the weld root. Most scholars prefer using the LEFM to evaluate the fatigue life of welding joints rather than the fatigue strength classification, since the LEFM is more theoretical rather than the fatigue strength classification which based on statistical analysis of results of fatigue tests. But the disadvantage of the LEFM is that it can only be employed to evaluate the fatigue life in the fatigue crack growth period not the initiation period. It is
very hard to evaluate the fatigue life of weld joint accurately. So in a sense, the fatigue strength classification is more accurate and practicable.

In the chapter 2, the historical development of OSDs is reviewed. The typical components of OSDs are introduced. The OSDs in abroad and japan and the direct cause of typical fatigue cracks in OSDs are introduced. The macro analysis of rib-to-deck fatigue cracks in the Wangan route of Hanshin expressway was carried out in this chapter. The macro analysis shows that most fatigue cracks around the RD joint located in the driving lines with large traffic volume of heavy load axles. And all the RD fatigue cracks are located in the transverse range of 800mm from tire center. It could be concluded that the fatigue fracture of rib-to-deck joint is significantly sensitive to the volume of heavy load axles and transverse locations of wheel loads.

In the chapter 3, for the purpose to investigate the stress around RD joints, A FE model of partial orthotropic steel deck was made using the general purpose FE software Abaqus based on actual dimension of a box girder bridge located in the coast line of Hanshin highway. The calculation result of stresses around the RD joint under various loading cases shows that the out-plane stresses are dominate stresses and significantly sensitive to the transverse loading location. With respect to the cross-section 1, the maximum out-plane stress of deck pate is obtained by the wheel load ride on the objective joint, the maximum and minimum out-plane stress of the rib wall is obtained by wheel load applied 250mm away from the objective joint and the membrane stress of the rib wall increases while the wheel load closes the objective joint. With respect to the cross-section 2, due to the stiffness of crossbeam is significant, the membrane stress of the rib wall is more significant than at cross-section 1 and there are flat steps in all stress influence lines of the deck plate and rib wall. According to longitudinal influence lines of stresses around the RD joint along the three critical driving paths, the stress sensitive length on the driving path by a passage of a wheel load is less than 3m that is significant short compared to a span of bridge.

The wheel load dispersal is investigated using FE-model in this chapter. The vertical contact stress of the bottom surface of the asphalt surfacing is used to investigate wheel load dispersal through asphalt surfacing and the analysis result shows that the wheel load is not uniformly distributed on the deck plate, the load is concentrated to the rib-to-deck joint. Furthermore, with the stiffness of the asphalt surfacing increasing, the wheel load concentrates significantly to the RD joints (hard points) which have larger stiffness. Two load models were employed to investigate the influence of load dispersal and surfacing-deck composite stiffness on the stresses around rib-to-deck joint, and the result shows that the stresses around the RD joint are significantly decreased by asphalt surfacing. But there is no significant difference of the stresses around the RD joint under the
Load model 1 and Load model 2. This may indicate that the surfacing-deck composite stiffness contribute the major portion of the decrease of the stress around the rib-to-deck joint.

In this chapter, the fatigue life of RD joint in various kinds of OSDs (without surfacing, with asphalt surfacing, and with asphalt surfacing considering temperature effect) for the crack initiating form weld toe (type 1) was evaluated, using the structure hot spot stress method, based on fatigue resistance class E of the fatigue design S-N curves (JSSC). The calculation result shows that the asphalt surfacing can increase the fatigue life of the RD joint dramatically. However due to the asphalt which is a kind of temperature dependent material, the RD joint fatigue life is significantly shorter with considering temperature effect. Moreover the effective notch stresses associated with the type 2 and type 4 is also calculated. The result shows that along with the elastic modulus increasing, the effective notch stresses decrease dramatically, and the location of maximum stress is also influenced by the elastic modulus of asphalt surfacing. Since the stress concentration location is the potential fatigue crack initiation point, the elastic modulus of the asphalt surfacing may influence the crack initiation point and hence propagation direction. The maximum stress occurs in the upper side of the root notch may correlate to the crack type 2, propagating in the deck plate and in the lower side of the root notch may correlate to the crack type 4, propagating in the weld throat.

In chapter 4, due to fatigue test data is for from sufficient to determine the fatigue strength class for the rib-to-deck joint and for the purpose to investigate the influence of weld penetration rate on the fatigue strength of rib-to-deck joint. Two series full scale rib-to-deck joint specimens were tested.

A new kind fatigue test system based on the electrical vibrator-loading was designed with considering the cycle loading frequency and economical cost. And the boundary condition and applied loading location employed in this test program is to simulate the stress state around the rib-to-deck joint in the mid-span between crossbeams under the wheel load applied out the rib box. The fatigue test result shows that all the specimens with weld penetration ratio 15% all fatigue fractured due to the fatigue crack initiating from weld root and propagating to the weld bead surface; all the specimens with weld penetration ratio 75% all fatigue test fatigue fractured due to the fatigue crack initiating from weld toe and propagating to the deck plate. Moreover the fatigue strength of the rib-to-deck joint with weld penetration ratio 75% is significantly higher than the rib-to-deck with weld penetration ratio 15%.

In chapter 5, a full size specimen of orthotropic steel deck with 80mm thickness asphalt surfacing were employed to simulate and investigate real stress around RD joints in onside steel orthotropic bridge decks. The experiment result shows that under quasistatic increasing applied load, the stresses around the RD joints, near the applied load, of deck plate increasing lineally; 2. The
stresses of undersurfaces of deck plate around the RD joints, near the applied load, are negative value under all applied loads; 3. most significant stresses distributed in the range between the closest RD joints; 4. the magnitude of transverse stress is far larger than that of corresponding longitudinal stress on the undersurface of deck plate. 5. the applied load, while it riding on the RD joint, causes the minimum value of stress on undersurface of deck plate around the RD joint. Due to asphalt is kind of temperature dependent material, in this study a special heating and cooling system were designed to investigate the stress distribution on the deck plate and the stress concentration around RD joints under applied load with various temperature from -18 centidegree to 60 centidegree. The experiment results shows that stress distribution of deck plate is significantly influenced by the temperature of asphalt surfacing. While the temperature is higher than 35 Celsius degree or lower than minus 5 Celsius degree, the stresses around the RD joints don’t vary any more. The most sensitive temperature range for stresses around RD joints is from 5 Celsius degree to 35 Celsius degree.
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