

# Riemann surface laminations generated by complex dynamical systems

— and some topics on the Type Problem—

(複素力学系が生成するリーマン面ラミネーションと型問題について)

Tomoki Kawahira (川平 友規) \*

Nagoya University (名古屋大学 大学院多元数理科学研究科)

## Abstract

We give a definition of Riemann surface laminations associated with the (backward) dynamics of rational functions on the Riemann sphere, following Lyubich and Minsky. Then we sketch some recent developments on the *Type Problems*, which mainly concerns the existence of Riemann surfaces of hyperbolic type in the space of backward orbits.

**1. Riemann surface laminations.** We say a Hausdorff space  $\mathcal{L}$  is a *Riemann surface lamination* if there exist an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  and a collection of charts  $\Phi_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \times T$ , where  $\mathbb{D}$  is the open unit disk of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $T$  a topological space, such that all the transition maps  $\Phi_j \circ \Phi_i^{-1}$  are of the form

$$\Phi_j \circ \Phi_i^{-1} : (z, t) \mapsto (F_{ij}(z, t), G_{ij}(t))$$

and  $z \mapsto F_{ij}(z, t)$  is conformal for any  $t$ . A topological disk in  $\mathcal{L}$  of the form  $\Phi_i^{-1}(\mathbb{D} \times \{t\})$  is called a *plaque*. We say two points  $p, q \in \mathcal{L}$  are *in the same leaf* if there exists a finite chain of plaques that connects  $p$  and  $q$ . Being “in the same leaf” is an equivalence relation. We call such an equivalent class a *leaf* of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

---

\*Partially supported by JSPS. Based on the abstract for the talk at the RIMS workshop “Integrated Research on Complex Dynamics”, in Kyoto, January 23–27, 2012.

**2. Sullivan's solenoidal lamination.** Sullivan [S] first applied the deformation theory of Riemann surface laminations to investigate dynamical systems. For a smooth (or more generally,  $C^{1+\alpha}$ ) self-covering map  $f$  of the unit circle of degree  $d \geq 2$ , we can construct an associated Riemann surface lamination  $\mathcal{L}^*$  with leaves isomorphic to the upper half plane. By taking a quotient by the lifted action of  $f$ , we have *Sullivan's solenoidal Riemann surface lamination*. Sullivan developed its Teichmüller theory to establish the existence of renormalization fixed point in the space of  $d$ -fold self-covering maps of the circle.

**3. Lyubich-Minsky's laminations.** In 1990's, inspired by Sullivan's work, M.Lyubich and Y.Minsky [LM] introduced the theory of hyperbolic 3-laminations associated with rational functions, which is analogous to the theory of hyperbolic 3-manifolds associated with Kleinian groups. They applied some ideas of rigidity theorems for hyperbolic 3-manifolds to their hyperbolic 3-laminations to have an extended version of Thurston's rigidity theorem for critically non-recurrent dynamics without parabolic cycles.

An important thing to remark is that Lyubich-Minsky's hyperbolic 3-lamination is constructed as an  $\mathbb{R}^+$ -bundle of a Riemann surface lamination.

**4. Natural extension and regular part.** Both Sullivan's and Lyubich-Minsky's laminations (we omit "Riemann surface" for brevity) are constructed out of the inverse limit of the dynamics. Let us recall Lyubich and Minsky's version.

Let  $f : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a rational function of degree  $\geq 2$ . It generates a non-invertible dynamical system  $(f, \overline{\mathbb{C}})$  but it also generates an invertible dynamics in the space of backward orbits (the inverse limit)

$$\mathcal{N}_f := \{ \hat{z} = (z_{-n})_{n \geq 0} : z_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}, z_{-n} = f(z_{-n-1}) \}$$

with action

$$\hat{f}((z_0, z_{-1}, \dots)) := (f(z_0), f(z_{-1}), \dots) = (f(z_0), z_0, z_{-1}, \dots).$$

We say  $\mathcal{N}_f$  (with dynamics by  $\hat{f}$ ) is the *natural extension* of  $f$ , with topology induced by  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}} \times \dots$ . We define the *projections*  $\pi_{-n} : \mathcal{N}_f \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  by  $\pi_{-n}(\hat{z}) := z_{-n}$ , the  $(-n)$ -th entry of  $\hat{z}$ . Note that  $\pi_{-n}$  semiconjugates  $\hat{f}$  and  $f$ .

The point  $\hat{z} = (z_0, z_{-1}, \dots)$  is *regular* if there exists a neighborhood  $U_0$  of  $z_0$  whose pull-back  $\dots \rightarrow U_{-1} \rightarrow U_0$  along  $\hat{z}$  (i.e.,  $U_{-n}$  is the connected component of

$f^{-1}(U_{-n+1})$  containing  $z_{-n}$ ) is eventually univalent. The *regular part* (or the *regular leaf space*)  $\mathcal{R}_f$  of  $\mathcal{N}_f$  is the set of all regular points, and we say each point in  $\mathcal{N}_f - \mathcal{R}_f$  is *irregular*. The regular part is invariant under  $\hat{f}$ , and each path-connected component (“leaf”) of the regular part possesses a Riemann surface structure isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{D}$ , or an annulus. (The annulus appears only when  $f$  has a Herman ring.)

**5. Affine part and the affine lamination.** We take the union of all leaves isomorphic  $\mathbb{C}$  in  $\mathcal{R}_f$  and call it the *affine part*  $\mathcal{A}_f^n$  of  $f$ . For each leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{A}_f^n$ , we take a uniformization  $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L$ . Then the sequence of maps  $\{\psi_k = \pi_k \circ \phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}\}_{k \leq 0}$  are all non-constant and meromorphic satisfying  $\psi_{k+1} = f \circ \psi_k$ . So we regard it as an element of  $\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \times \cdots$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is the space of non-constant meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We say two elements  $(\psi_k)_{k \leq 0}$  and  $(\psi'_k)_{k \leq 0}$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$  are *equivalent* ( $\sim$ ) if there exists an  $a \neq 0$  such that  $\psi_k(aw) = \psi'_k(w)$  for any  $k \leq 0$  and  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ . For a given  $\hat{z} \in \mathcal{A}_f^n$  in the leaf  $L(\hat{z})$ , we may choose a uniformization  $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L(\hat{z})$  so that  $\phi(0) = \hat{z}$ . Such a uniformization is determined up to pre-composition of rescaling  $w \mapsto aw$  ( $a \neq 0$ ), hence  $\hat{z}$  determines an equivalent class  $\iota(\hat{z}) = [(\psi_k)_{k \leq 0}]$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{U}}/\sim$ .

Finally we define *Lyubich-Minsky's affine lamination* by

$$\mathcal{A}_f := \overline{\iota(\mathcal{A}_f^n)} \subset \hat{\mathcal{U}}/\sim.$$

**Remark.** There is a bypass to construct  $\mathcal{A}_f$  without using the regular part and the uniformizations: we may use the class of meromorphic functions generated by *Zalcman's lemma* instead.

**6. The type problem.** When the critical orbits of  $f$  behave nicely, we may regard  $\mathcal{R}_f$  as a Riemann surface lamination with all leaves isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Such a situation yields some nice properties of dynamics, like rigidity, or existence of conformal invariant measures on the lamination. For example, this is the case when  $f$  has no recurrent critical points in the Julia set [LM, Prop.4.5]. Another intriguing case is when  $f$  is an infinitely renormalizable quadratic map with a persistently recurrent critical point [KL, Lem.3.18].

For general cases, the following problem is addressed in [LM, §4, §10]:

**Type problem.** *When does  $\mathcal{R}_f$  have leaves of hyperbolic type, especially leaves isomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}$  ?*

(The counterpart, leaves isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ , are conventionally called *parabolic*.) This question is closely related to the topology of  $\mathcal{A}_f$ :

**Theorem 1 (Thm.1.3 of [KLR])** *If there exists a hyperbolic leaf  $L$  in the regular part  $\mathcal{R}_f$  such that  $\pi_0(L)$  intersects the Julia set, then  $\mathcal{A}_f$  is not locally compact.*

Easy examples of hyperbolic leaves are provided by the invariant lifts of rotation domains, *i.e.*, Siegel disks and Herman rings. Non-rotational hyperbolic leaves (that are rather non-trivial) are constructed in the paper by J.Kahn, M.Lyubich, and L.Rempe [KLR, §3], that can be summarized as follows:

**Theorem 2 (Thm.3.1 of [KLR])** *If the Julia set is contained in the postcritical set, then the regular part contains uncountably many hyperbolic leaves.*

Such hyperbolic leaves do not intersect the Julia set, hence we cannot apply Theorem 1. However, by using the tuning technique, they also showed:

**Theorem 3 (Thm.1.1 and Prop.3.2 of [KLR])** *There exists a quadratic function  $f(z) = z^2 + c$  whose regular part  $\mathcal{R}_f$  contains hyperbolic leaves  $L$  such that  $\pi_0(L)$  intersects the Julia set, In particular,  $\mathcal{A}_f$  is not locally compact in this case.*

**7. The Gross criterion.** Here we sketch the idea of the proof of Theorem 3.

Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a quadratic polynomial of the form  $f(z) = z^2 + c$ . Let  $P$  and  $J$  denote the postcritical set and the Julia set. (Conventionally we remove  $\infty$  from quadratic postcritical sets.) For the natural extension  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_f$ , let  $\pi = \pi_0 : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  denote the projection.

Fix any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} - P$ . Then each  $\hat{z} \in \pi^{-1}(z_0)$  is regular in  $\mathcal{N}$ . In particular, the projection  $\pi : L(\hat{z}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  is locally univalent near  $\pi : \hat{z} \mapsto z_0$ .

Let  $\ell(\theta)$  ( $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ ) denote the half-line given by  $\ell(\theta) := \{z_0 + re^{i\theta} : r \geq 0\}$ . By using the Gross star theorem, *if  $L(\hat{z})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ , then for almost every angle  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  the locally univalent inverse  $\pi^{-1} : z_0 \mapsto \hat{z}$  has an analytic continuation along the whole half-line  $\ell(\theta)$  [KLR, Lem.3.3]. Hence the leaf  $L(\hat{z})$  is hyperbolic if:*

(\*) *There exist a  $\hat{w} \in \pi^{-1}(z_0) \cap L(\hat{z})$  and a set  $\Theta_0 \subset [0, 2\pi)$  of positive length such that for any  $\theta \in \Theta_0$  the analytic continuation of  $\pi^{-1} : z_0 \mapsto \hat{w}$  along  $\ell(\theta)$  hits an irregular point  $\hat{i}(\theta)$  at some  $z = z_0 + re^{i\theta}$  ( $r > 0$ ).*

To show Theorem 3, we first take a quadratic map  $g$  with  $J_g = P_g$ . By Theorem 2, such  $g$  has uncountably many hyperbolic leaves that are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}$ , but they do not intersect the Julia set. Now we apply the tuning technique. Let  $f$  be any tuned quadratic map of  $g$ . Roughly put, we first choose a small copy of the Mandelbrot set and we may take the parameter  $c$  in the small copy as the parameter corresponding to  $g$ . Then the postcritical set  $P = P_f$  is still a union of continuum, and the backward orbits remaining in  $P$  provide continuums of irregular points. Then we can check the (\*)-condition.

We say a hyperbolic leaf  $L(\hat{z})$  that can be guaranteed by the condition (\*) is a *hyperbolic leaf of Gross type*.

### 8. Some results on Siegel, Feigenbaum and Cremer quadratic functions.

In the quest of new non-rotational hyperbolic leaves, it is natural to ask the following question: *Is there any non-rotational hyperbolic leaf when  $f$  has an irrationally indifferent fixed point?* Because existence of such a fixed point implies existence of a recurrent critical point whose postcritical set is a continuum, and it seems really close to the situations in [KLR]. Let me present some results following a joint work [CK] with C.Cabrera (UNAM, Cuernavaca).

**Siegel disk of bounded type.**  $f(z) = e^{2\pi i\theta}z + z^2$  with irrational  $\theta$  of bounded type has a Siegel disk  $\Delta$  centered at the origin, whose boundary  $\partial\Delta$  is a quasicircle. In this case we have:

**Theorem 4 (C-K)** *In the regular part of the natural extension  $f : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , the only hyperbolic leaf is the invariant lift  $\widehat{\Delta}$  of the Siegel disk.*

In the proof we use Lyubich and Minsky's criteria for parabolic leaves, *uniform deepness* of the postcritical set, and one of McMullen's results on bounded type Siegel disks. (In Paragraph 9 we will give a sketch the proof.)

**Feigenbaum maps.** It would be worth mentioning that the same method as the proof of Theorem 4 can be applied to a class of infinitely renormalizable quadratic maps, called *Feigenbaum maps*. We will have an alternative proof of:

**Theorem 5 (Lyubich-Minsky)** *The regular part  $\mathcal{R}_f$  of a Feigenbaum map  $f$  has only parabolic leaves.*

**Cremer points and hedgehogs.** The situation for Cremer case looks more complicated. For any small neighborhood of Cremer fixed point  $\zeta_0$  of a rational function  $f : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , there exists an invariant continuum  $H$  (a “hedgehog”) containing  $\zeta_0$ , equipped with invertible “sub-dynamics”  $f|_H \rightarrow H$ .

According to an idea by A.Chéritat, we have

**Theorem 6 (Lifted hedgehogs are irregular)** *The invariant lift  $\widehat{H}$  of  $H$  is a continuum contained in the irregular part of the natural extension.*

Since this natural extension has a continuum of irregular points, one may expect to apply the Gross criterion to find a hyperbolic leaf, as in [KLR]. However, the actual situation is not that good. It is still difficult to show the existence or non-existence of hyperbolic leaves without assuming the same conditions as [KLR]. Indeed, we can show that the irregular points in the hedgehogs are not big enough to apply the Gross criterion [CK, Thm 4.3]. In other words, by only the lifted hedgehogs we cannot construct hyperbolic leaves of Gross type: we need more irregular points!

**9. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 4.** Here we give a brief sketch of the proof of Theorem 4. In this case we have  $\partial\Delta = P_f$ .

**Deep points and uniform deepness of the postcritical set.** Let  $K$  be a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $x \in K$ , let  $\delta_x(r)$  denote the radius of the largest open disk contained in  $\mathbb{D}(x, r) - K$ . (When  $\mathbb{D}(x, r) \subset K$ , we define  $\delta_x(r) := 0$ .) Then it is not difficult to check that the function  $(x, r) \mapsto \delta_x(r)$  is continuous.

We say  $x \in K$  is a *deep point* of  $K$  if  $\delta_x(r)/r \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . For a subset  $P$  of  $K$ , we say  $P$  is *uniformly deep* in  $K$  if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an  $r_0$  such that for any  $x \in P$  and  $r < r_0$ , we have  $\delta_x(r)/r < \epsilon$ .

We will use the following result by C.McMullen [Mc2, §4]:

**Theorem 7 (Uniform deepness of  $P_f = \partial\Delta$ )** *The postcritical set  $P_f = \partial\Delta$  is uniformly deep in  $K_f$ , the filled Julia set of  $f$ .*

Here *the filled Julia set  $K_f$*  is defined by

$$K(f) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \{f^n(z)\}_{n \geq 0} \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

We take  $P$  as the postcritical set  $P_f$  of  $f$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_f$  be the regular part of  $\mathcal{N}_f$ , and  $\widehat{\Delta}$  be the invariant lift of the Siegel disk  $\Delta$ . We will show that any leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{R} - \widehat{\Delta}$  is parabolic.

- We first show that any leaf  $L$  of  $\mathcal{R} - \widehat{\Delta}$  contains a backward orbit  $\hat{z} = \{z_{-n}\}_{n \geq 0}$  that stays in the basin at infinity. Let us fix such an orbit.
- When  $\hat{z} = \{z_{-n}\}_{n \geq 0}$  does not accumulate on  $P_f = \partial\Delta$ , the leaf  $L = L(\hat{z})$  is parabolic by a criterion of parabolicity by Lyubich and Minsky [LM, Cor.4.2].
- Now let us assume that  $\hat{z} = \{z_{-n}\}$  accumulates on  $P_f = \partial\Delta$ . By another criterion of parabolicity by Lyubich and Minsky [LM, Lem 4.4], it is enough to show: *by taking  $n$  in a subsequence of  $\mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\|Df^{-n}(z_0)\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), where  $Df^{-n}$  is the derivative of the branch of  $f^{-n}$  sending  $z_0$  to  $z_{-n}$ , and the norm is measured in the hyperbolic metric of  $\mathbb{C} - \partial\Delta$ .*
- Now set  $\Omega := \mathbb{C} - \overline{\Delta}$ . Then  $z_{-n}$  is contained in  $\Omega$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\Omega$  is topologically a punctured disk, it has a unique hyperbolic metric  $\rho = \rho(z)|dz|$  induced by the metric  $|dz|/(1-|z|^2)$  of constant curvature  $-4$  on the unit disk. To show the claim, it is enough to show

$$\|Df^n(z_{-n})\|_\rho = \frac{\rho(z_0)|Df^n(z_{-n})|}{\rho(z_{-n})} \rightarrow \infty \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where the norm in the left is measured in the hyperbolic metric  $\rho$ .

- By using  $1/d$ -metric (see for example, [Ah, Thm. 1-11]), we have  $\rho(z) \leq \frac{1}{d(z, \partial\Omega)}$   
 $= d(z, \partial\Delta)^{-1}$  for any  $z \in \Omega$ . Hence it is enough to show:

$$\|Df^n(z_{-n})\|_\rho \asymp \frac{|Df^n(z_{-n})|}{\rho(z_{-n})} \geq d(z_{-n}, \partial\Delta)|Df^n(z_{-n})| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

- Set  $R_n := d(z_{-n}, \partial\Delta)$ . By assumption,  $R_n$  tends to 0 by taking  $n$  in a suitable subsequence. Let  $D_0$  denote the disk of radius  $R_0$  centered at  $z_0$ , and let  $U_n$  denote the connected component of  $f^{-n}(D_0)$  containing  $z_{-n}$ . Since  $D_0 \subset \Omega$ , we have a univalent branch  $g_n : D_0 \rightarrow U_n$  of  $f^{-n}$  with  $g_n(z_0) = z_{-n}$ . Set  $v_n := |Dg_n(z_0)| = |Df^n(z_{-n})|^{-1} > 0$ . By the Koebe  $1/4$  theorem,  $g_n(D_0) = U_n$  contains the disk of radius  $R_0 v_n/4$  centered at  $z_{-n}$ , and since  $U_n \subset f^{-n}(\Omega) \subset \Omega$  we have  $R_0 v_n/4 \leq R_n$ .

- First assume that  $\liminf v_n/R_n = 0$ . If  $n$  ranges over a suitable subsequence, we have  $v_n/R_n \rightarrow 0$  and thus (1) holds.
- Next consider the case when  $\liminf v_n/R_n = q > 0$ . We may assume that  $n$  ranges over a subsequence with  $\lim v_n/R_n = q$ .

For  $t > 0$ , let  $tD_0$  denote the disk  $\mathbb{D}(z_0, tR_0)$ . Since  $D_0 = \mathbb{D}(z_0, R_0)$  is centered at a point in  $\mathbb{C} - K$ , we can choose an  $s < 1$  such that  $sD_0 \subset \mathbb{C} - K$ . By the Koebe 1/4 theorem,  $|g_n(sD_0)|$  contains  $\mathbb{D}(z_{-n}, sR_0v_n/4) \subset \mathbb{C} - K$ .

- Let us take a point  $x_n$  in  $\partial\Delta$  such that  $|x_n - z_{-n}| = R_n$ . Then we have

$$\mathbb{D}(z_{-n}, sR_0v_n/4) \subset \mathbb{D}(x_n, 2R_n)$$

and thus  $\delta_{x_n}(2R_n) \geq sR_0v_n/4$ . Recall the assumption  $v_n/R_n \sim q > 0$  for  $n \gg 0$ . This implies that the ratio  $\delta_{x_n}(2R_n)/2R_n$  is bounded by a positive constant from below. However,  $R_n = d(z_{-n}, \partial\Delta) \rightarrow 0$  by assumption and it contradicts to the uniform deepness of  $P_f$  (Theorem 7). ■

According to the technique of Theorem 4, it seems reasonable to conjecture the following

**Conjecture.** *There exists a Cremer quadratic polynomial whose regular part has no hyperbolic leaf.*

## References

- [Ah] L.V. Ahlfors. *Conformal Invariants*. McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- [CK] C. Cabrera and T. Kawahira. On the natural extensions of dynamics with a Siegel or Cremer point. *To appear in J. Difference Equ. Appl.*. (arXiv:1103.2905v1 [Math.DS])
- [KL] V.A. Kaimanovich and M. Lyubich. Conformal and harmonic measures on laminations associated with rational maps. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **820**, 2005.
- [KLR] J. Kahn, M. Lyubich, and L. Rempe. A note on hyperbolic leaves and wild laminations of rational functions. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, **16** (2010), no. 5–6, 655–665.

- [LM] M. Lyubich and Y. Minsky. Laminations in holomorphic dynamics. *J. Diff. Geom.* **49** (1997), 17–94.
- [S] D. Sullivan. Linking the universalities of Milnor-Thurston, Feigenbaum and Ahlfors-Bers. *Topological Methods in Modern Mathematics*, L. Goldberg and Phillips, editor, Publish or Perish, 1993
- [Mc2] C. McMullen. Self-similarity of Siegel disks and Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets. *Acta Math.* **180** (1998), no.2, 247–292.