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Abstract

In this article we will discuss the generalizations of the A-polynomial on basis of the colored superpolynomial. The colored superpolynomial is the Poincaré polynomial of the colored HOMFLY homology that categorifies the colored HOMFLY polynomial. In particular for the colored superpolynomial with the symmetric representation, the analogues of the generalized/quantum volume conjecture can be considered. As a result of the study of the colored HOMFLY homology for the $(2, 2p+1)$-torus knots and $n$-twist knots, we find the 2-parameter deformation of the A-polynomial that is named as super-$A$-polynomial.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{C}_K$ be the character variety of the knot $K$. This variety is defined to be the moduli space of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ holonomy representation $\rho$ of the knot complement $S^3 \setminus K$:

\[
\text{Hom}(\pi_1(K); SL_2(\mathbb{C}))/\text{conjugation.}
\]

(1)

$\mathcal{C}_K$ is the algebraic curve in the variables $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$ which are given by the eigenvalues of the holonomy matrices for the meridian and longitude elements $\mu, \lambda \in \pi_1(K)$:

\[
\rho(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} x & \ast \\ 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} y & \ast \\ 0 & y^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(2)
The defining equation of $C_{K}$ is given by the $A$-polynomial $A_{K}(x, y)$ [8]:

$$C_{K} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} | A_{K}(x, y) = 0\}. \quad (3)$$

As for example, the A-polynomials for $3_1$ and $4_1$ are found manifestly from the generator relations of $\pi_{1}(K)$:

$$A_{3_1}(x, y) = (y - 1)(y + x^3), \quad (4)$$

$$A_{4_1}(x, y) = (y - 1)(y^2 + (-x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + x - 1)y + 1). \quad (5)$$

In the generalized volume conjecture, the colored Jones polynomial and A-polynomial are related in the asymptotic limit.

**Conjecture 1.1** ([27, 33, 23]) Let $J_{n}(K; q)$ be an $n$-colored Jones polynomial. In the asymptotic limit:

$$q = e^{\hbar} \rightarrow 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad x := q^n \text{ (fixed)}, \quad (6)$$

there exist a saddle point such that the colored Jones polynomial grows exponentially:

$$J_{n}(K; q = e^{\hbar}) \simeq \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} S_{0}(x) + \cdots\right). \quad (7)$$

The leading coefficient $S_{0}(x)$ satisfies the relation:

$$x \frac{\partial S_{0}(x)}{\partial x} = \log y(x), \quad A_{K}(x, y(x)) = 0. \quad (8)$$

The quantum version of the volume conjecture (which is also known as $AJ$ conjecture) also relates the colored Jones polynomial and A-polynomial via the $q$-difference equation:

**Conjecture 1.2** ([23, 20]) The colored Jones polynomial obeys the $q$-difference equation:

$$\hat{A}_{K}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; q) J_{n}(K; q) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{x} J_{n}(K; q) = q^{n} J_{n}(K; q), \quad \hat{y} J_{n}(K; q) = J_{n+1}(K; q), \quad \hat{y} \hat{x} = q \hat{x} \hat{y}. \quad (10)$$

such that the $q$-difference operator $\hat{A}_{K}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; q)$ yields in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ of (6):

$$\hat{A}_{K}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; q) \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} A_{K}(x, y). \quad (11)$$

This conjecture is verified directly for some knots via computer talks [20], and the $q$-difference operator $\hat{A}_{K}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; q)$ can be found explicitly.

In recent developments of the string theory, some extensions of the volume conjecture have been studied. In [1], the analogue of the generalized/quantum volume conjecture are also proposed for the colored HOMFLY polynomial:
Conjecture 1.3 ([11]) Let \( H_n(K; a, q) \) be a colored HOMFLY polynomial of the \( r \)-th completely symmetric representation \( S^r \) \((n = r + 1)\). In the asymptotic limit:

\[
q = e^h \to 1, \quad n \to \infty, \quad a, \; x := q^n \text{ (fixed)},
\]

there exist a saddle point such that the colored Jones polynomial grows exponentially:

\[
H_n(K; a, q = e^h) \simeq \exp \left( \frac{1}{h} S_0(x; a) + \cdots \right),
\]

\[
\frac{x}{h} \frac{\partial S_0(x; a)}{\partial x} = \log y(x), \quad A_{K}^{Q-def}(x, y; a) = 0.
\]

The \( Q \)-deformed \( A \)-polynomial \( A_{K}^{Q-def}(x, y; a) \) coincides with the augmentation polynomial [35] for the differential graded algebra of the knot contact homology [12].

Conjecture 1.4 ([11]) One finds a \( q \)-difference operator \( \hat{A}_{K}^{Q-def}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a, q) \) that annihilates the colored HOMFLY polynomial:

\[
\hat{A}_{K}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; q) H_n(K; a, q) = 0,
\]

where \( \hat{x} \) and \( \hat{y} \) acts on \( H_n(K; a, q) \) as (10), and the \( q \)-difference operator \( \hat{A}_{K}^{Q-def}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a, q) \) reduces to the \( Q \)-deformed \( A \)-polynomial \( A_{K}^{Q-def}(x, y; a) \) in the limit (12):

\[
\hat{A}_{K}^{Q-def}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a, q) \xrightarrow{q \to 1} A_{K}^{Q-def}(x, y; a).
\]

In the context of the topological string, this \( q \)-difference equation reveals the \( D \)-module structure of the open topological string, and such aspect has been studied in \( a \to 1 \) limit via the topological recursion on the character variety [9, 10, 25, 5].

On basis of these observations for the \((2, 2p + 1)\)-torus knots and \( n \)-twist knots, we expect the further extension of the volume conjecture to the colored superpolynomial. The colored superpolynomial \( \mathcal{P}^R(K; a, q, t) \) is the Poincaré polynomial of the colored HOMFLY homology \( \mathcal{H}_{ijk}^R(K) \) [24]:

\[
\mathcal{P}^R(K; a, q, t) = \sum_{i,j,k} a^i q^j t^k \dim \mathcal{H}_{ijk}^R(K).
\]

The triply-graded homology \( \mathcal{H}_{ijk}^R(K) \) categorifies the the colored HOMFLY polynomial \( H^R(K; a, q) \), therefore these polynomial invariants are related by

\[
\mathcal{P}^R(K; a, q, t = -1) = H^R(K; a, q).
\]

In particular for the completely symmetric representation \( R = S^r \), the colored superpolynomial \( \mathcal{P}_n(K; a, q, t) := \mathcal{P}^{S^{n-1}}(K; a, q, t) \), we can study the natural extension of the quantum volume conjecture.
Conjecture 1.5 ([18]) Let $\mathcal{P}_n(K; a, q, t)$ be the colored superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}^R(K; a, q, t)$ for the completely symmetric representation $R = S^r$ ($r = n - 1$). One finds a q-difference equation:

$$A^\text{super}_K(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a, q, t) \mathcal{P}_n(K; a, q, t) = 0,$$

(19)

such that the q-difference operator reduces to the 2-parameter extended A-polynomial $A^\text{super}_K(x, y; a, t)$ in the limit:

$$q = e^\hbar \to 1, \quad n \to \infty, \quad a, t, x := q^n \text{ (fixed)}.$$  

(20)

$A^\text{super}_K(x, y; a, t)$ reduces to the Q-deformed A-polynomial in the specialization $t = -1$, and further reduces to the A-polynomial in the specialization $a = 1$, $t = -1$.

The 2-parameter extended A-polynomial $A^\text{super}_K(x, y; a, t)$ defined above is named as the super-A-polynomial, and this polynomial is also found via asymptotic expansion of the colored superpolynomial:

$$\mathcal{P}_n(K; a, q = e^\hbar, t) \simeq \exp \left( \frac{1}{\hbar} S_0(x; a, t) + \cdots \right),$$  

(21)

$$x \frac{\partial S_0(x; a, t)}{\partial x} = \log y(x), \quad A^\text{super}_K(x, y(x); a, t) = 0.$$  

(22)

Conjecture 1.5 is verified for $K = 3_1, 4_1, 5_2, 6_1$ in [18, 34] via the computer talks on basis of the explicit formula for the colored superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}_n(K; a, q, t)$.

The organization of this article is as follows. In section 2, we will survey briefly on the colored superpolynomial [11, 24, 22] and show some computational results obtained in [19]. In section 3, we will discuss about the super-A-polynomial from the explicit formulæ of the colored superpolynomial obtained in section 2, and see their properties. In the appendix, we discuss the string-theoretical perturbative invariant associated to the super-A-polynomial which is found via the topological recursion as is discussed in [10, 5] for the A-polynomial.

2 Colored HOMFLY homology and colored superpolynomial

2.1 Categorification of the HOMFLY polynomial

The categorifications of the Jones polynomial and HOMFLY polynomial are established in the celebrated works [28, 30]. In [30] the doubly-graded homology $\mathcal{H}_{k_j}^{sl_N}(K)$ is constructed via the matrix factorization, and categorifies the $sl_N$ invariant which is given by the specialization of the HOMFLY polynomial $H(K; a = q^N, q)$. This homology is known as the $sl_N$-Khovanov-Rozansky's homology. As the decategorification of
the Khovanov-Rozansky’s homology, one finds the $sl_N$ invariant via the $q$-graded Euler characteristic:

$$H(K; a = q^N, q) = \sum_{k,p} (-1)^k q^p \dim \mathcal{H}^N_{kp} (K).$$  \hfill (23)

In order to describe the $sl_N$ homologies in the unified manner, the triply-graded homology $\mathcal{H}_{ijk}(K)$ is proposed in [11, 29]. This homology categorifies the HOMFLY polynomial:

$$H(K; a, q) = \sum_{i,j,k} a^i q^j (-1)^k \mathcal{H}_{ijk}(K),$$  \hfill (24)

and is named as the HOMFLY homology. In particular, the Poincare polynomial of the HOMFLY homology is known as superpolynomial:

$$P(K; a, q, t) = \sum_{i,j,k} a^i q^j t^k \dim \mathcal{H}_{ijk}(K).$$  \hfill (25)

The properties of the HOMFLY homology are given as its definition in [11], and they are listed as follows:

**Properties of the HOMFLY homology**

H1. $\mathcal{H}_*$ categorifies the HOMFLY polynomial.

H2. $\mathcal{H}_*$ has finite support: $\dim \mathcal{H}_* < \infty$

H3. The differentials $d_N$ ($N \in \mathbb{Z}$) acts on $\mathcal{H}_*$, and the grading $(ijk)$ is shifted as:

\[
\begin{align*}
  d_N : \mathcal{H}_{ijk} &\to \mathcal{H}_{i-1,j+N,k-1} (N > 0) \\
  d_N : \mathcal{H}_{ijk} &\to \mathcal{H}_{i-1,j+N,k-3} (N \leq 0)
\end{align*}
\]  \hfill (26, 27)

Thus the differential $d_N$ are triply-graded of degree: $\deg d_N = (-1, N, -1)$ ($N > 0$), and $\deg d_N = (-1, N, -3)$ ($N \leq 0$).

H4. The differentials are anticommuting each other: $d_N \circ d_M = -d_M \circ d_N$ for all $(N, M \in \mathbb{Z})$. Hence for $N = M$, $d_N^2 = 0$.

H5. There exist an involution $\phi : \mathcal{H}_{ijk} \to \mathcal{H}_{i,-j,*}$. The action of $\phi$ on $d_N$ is $\phi d_N = d_{-N} \phi$.

H6. Taking homology with respect to $d_N$ ($N \geq 2$), one finds the $sl_N$ Khovanov-Rozansky’s homology $\mathcal{H}^{sl_N}$ after the amalgamation:

$$\bigoplus_{iN+j=p} (\mathcal{H}_*, d_N)_{ijk} = \mathcal{H}^{sl_N}_{kp}.$$  \hfill (28)

*In this article, we discuss the reduced homology which is the trivial for the unknot.

$^1$Here we obey the convention of grading in [24]. In [11] another degree is assigned for $d_N$ ($N < 0$) such that $\deg d_N = (-1, N, N-1)$. These two gradings are combined into the quadruply-graded homology [22].
Taking homology with respect to $d_{\pm 1}$, one finds the one dimensional $sl_1$-homology [31]:

$$(\mathcal{H}_*, d_1) = \mathcal{H}_{S,-S,0}, \quad (\mathcal{H}_*, d_{-1}) = \mathcal{H}_{S,S,2S}.$$  

(29)

The grading of the surviving generator is specified by the Rasmussen's invariant $S$ [37] (e.g. $S = (p - 1)(q - 1)/2$ for $(p, q)$-torus knot).

Taking homology with respect to $d_0$, one finds the knot Floer homology $HFK$ [36] after a regrading:

$$\bigoplus_{-2i+k=k'} (\mathcal{H}_*, d_0)_{ijk} = HFK_{k'j}.$$  

(30)

These properties implies that the HOMFLY homology unifies not only the $sl_N$ Khovanov-Rozansky's homology, but also their deformations [21, 26] and knot Floer homology. Conversely speaking, one can determine the structure of the triply-graded homology $\mathcal{H}_{ijk}$ which satisfies the above properties consistently, and the following conjecture is proposed:

**Conjecture 2.1** ([11]) There exists a triply-graded homology $\mathcal{H}_{ijk}$ which satisfies the properties H1-H8.

This conjecture is verified for various knots up to 8 crossings, and the superpolynomials (i.e. homological structure of the triply-graded homology) are specified uniquely.

### 2.2 Colored HOMFLY homology

The categorification of the colored HOMFLY polynomial $H^R(K; a, q)$ is proposed in [24]. The triply-graded homology $\mathcal{H}^R_{ijk}$ which categorifies $H^R(K; a, q)$ is named as the colored HOMFLY homology:

$$H^R(K; a, q) = \sum_{ijk} a^i q^j (-1)^k \dim \mathcal{H}^R_{ijk}(K).$$  

(31)

Here we focus on the colored HOMFLY homology in the completely symmetric representation $R = S^r$. The properties of $\mathcal{H}^{S^r}_{ijk}$ are proposed in [24], and they consists of the natural generalization of the properties H1-H7 and new aspects are introduced via the colored differentials. Such properties listed as follows:

**Properties of the colored HOMFLY homology**

1. $\mathcal{H}^{S^r}_*$ categorifies the colored HOMFLY polynomial $H^{S^r}(K; a, q)$.

2. $\mathcal{H}_*$ has finite support: $\dim \mathcal{H}_* < \infty$
The differentials $d_{N}^{S^{r}}$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_{*}$ with degrees:

\[ \deg d_{N}^{S^{r}} = (-1, N, -1) \quad (N \geq 1-r), \quad \deg d_{N}^{S^{r}} = (-1, N, -3) \quad (N \leq -r). \]  

(32)

C4. The differentials are anticommuting each other: $d_{N}^{S^{r}} \circ d_{M}^{S^{r}} = -d_{M}^{S^{r}} \circ d_{N}^{S^{r}}$ for all $(N, M \in \mathbb{Z})$. Hence for $N = M$, $(d_{N}^{S^{r}})^{2} = 0$.

C5. There exist an involution $\phi : \mathcal{H}_{ij*}^{S^{r}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{i,-j,*}^{\Lambda^{r}}$ where $\Lambda^{r}$ denotes the $r$-th anti-symmetric representation such that $(S^{r})^{t} = \Lambda^{r}$. The involution acts on $d_{N}^{S^{r}}$ as:

$\phi d_{N}^{S^{r}} = d_{-N}^{\Lambda^{r}} \phi.$

C6. Taking homology with respect to $d_{N}^{S^{r}} (N \geq 2)$, one finds the $S^{r}$-colored $sl_{N}$ homology $\mathcal{H}^{sl_{N},S^{r}}$:

$(\mathcal{H}_{*}^{S^{r}}, d_{N}^{S^{r}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{sl_{N},S^{r}}$ (33)

C7. Taking homology with respect to $d_{1}^{S^{r}}$ and $d_{r}^{S^{r}}$, one finds the one dimensional homology:

$(\mathcal{H}_{*}^{S^{r}}, d_{1}^{S^{r}}) = \mathcal{H}_{rS,-rS,0}^{S^{r}}, \quad (\mathcal{H}_{*}^{S^{r}}, d_{r}^{S^{r}}) = \mathcal{H}_{rS,r^{2}S,2rS}^{S^{r}}.$

(34)

C8. Taking homology with respect to $d_{1-k}^{S^{r}} (1 \leq k \leq r)$, one finds the colored HOMFLY homology of the smaller representation $R = S^{k}$:

$(\mathcal{H}_{*}^{S^{r}}, d_{1-k}^{S^{r}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{S^{k}}$.

(35)

C9. There exists yet another class of the differential $d_{r \rightarrow m}$ which reduces the representation $S^{r} \rightarrow S^{m} (r > m)$:

$(\mathcal{H}_{*}^{S^{r}}, d_{r \rightarrow m}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{S^{m}}$.

(36)

In the statement of the properties C8 and C9, one can identify the generators after a re-grading $(i, j, k) \rightarrow (i', j', k')$. Although the re-grading rules are not simply described in the triply-graded homology [24], such rules become manifest when we discuss in the framework of the quadruply-graded homology [22], and larger hidden symmetry of the homology can be found.

Assuming these properties as the axioms of the colored HOMFLY homology, one can also determine the triply-graded homology from the consistency of conditions C1-C9. Actually, the explicit expressions of the colored superpolynomial $\mathcal{P}^{S^{r}}(K; a, q, t)$:

$$\mathcal{P}^{S^{r}}(K; a, q, t) = \sum_{ijk} a^{i} q^{j} t^{k} \dim \mathcal{H}_{ijk}^{S^{r}}(K)$$

(36)
are determined for the $(2, 2p + 1)$-torus knots $T^{2, 2p+1}$ [17, 19] and the twist knots with $n$ crossings $TK_n$ [34, 19]:

\[
\mathcal{P}^{S^{r}}(T^{2, 2p+1}; a, q, t) = a^{pr}q^{-pr} \sum_{0 \leq k_{p} \leq \ldots \leq k_{1} \leq k_{0} = r} \{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{rk_{1}}{q} \\ k_{1} \\ \vdots \\ k_{p-1} \\ k_{p} \end{array} \} \times q^{(2r+1)\sum_{i=1}^{p}k_{i}-\Sigma_{i=1}^{p}k_{i}^{2}\sum_{i=1}^{p}k_{i}}(1 +aq^{i-2}t),
\]

(37)

\[
\mathcal{P}^{S^{r}}(TK_{2n+2}; a, q, t) = \sum_{0 \leq k_{n} \leq \ldots \leq k_{2} \leq k_{1} \leq \ldots} \{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{rn_{-1}}{q} \\ k_{1} \\ \vdots \\ k_{n-1} \\ k_{n} \end{array} \} a^{\Sigma_{i=1}^{n}k_{i}}t^{2\Sigma_{i=1}^{n}k_{i}} \times q^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(k_{i}^{2}-k_{i})}\prod_{i=1}^{k_{1}}(1+a^{-1}q^{2-i}t^{-1})(1+a^{-1}q^{1-r-i}t^{-3}),
\]

(38)

where \( \{ n \choose k \} \) denotes the $q$-binomial coefficient:

\[
\{ n \choose k \} = \frac{(q; q)_{n}}{(q; q)_{k}(q; q)_{n-k}}, \quad (q; q)_{n} = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-q^{i}).
\]

(39)

The colored superpolynomial for the twist knots with odd number of crossings can be expressed in the similar manner.

3 Super-A-polynomial

Now we shall discuss about the super-A-polynomial and Conjecture 1.5 based on (37) and (38). From the asymptotic expansion of these expressions for the colored superpolynomials, one finds the super-A-polynomial $A^{\text{super}}_{K}(x, y; a, t)$ from (7). For $3_1$ and $4_1$ knots, the super-A-polynomials are

\[
A^{\text{super}}_{3_1}(x, y; a, t) = a^{2}t^{4}(x - 1)x^{3} + (1 + at^{3}x)y^{2} \\
- a\left(1 - t^{2}x + 2t^{2}(1 + at)x^{2} + at^{5}x^{3} + a^{2}t^{6}x^{4}\right)y,
\]

(40)

\[
A^{\text{super}}_{4_1}(x, y; a, t) = a^{2}t^{6}(x - 1)^{2}x^{2} + at^{2}x^{2}(1 + at^{3}x)^{2}y^{3} \\
+ at(x - 1)(1 + t(1 - t)x + 2at^{3}(t + 1)x^{2} - 2at^{4}(t + 1)x^{3} + a^{2}t^{6}(1 - t)x^{4} - a^{2}t^{8}x^{5})y \\
- (1 + at^{3}x)(1 + at(1 - t)x + 2at^{2}(t + 1)x^{2} + 2a^{2}t^{4}(t + 1)x^{3} + a^{2}t^{5}(t - 1)x^{4} + a^{3}t^{7}x^{5})y^{2}.
\]

(41)

Specializing to $a = 1$ and $t = -1$, one finds that these expressions reduce to the A-polynomials (4) and (5).
Now our conjecture 1.5 can be verified explicitly. For $3_1$ and $4_1$, one finds the quantum super-A-polynomials $\hat{A}^\text{super}_K(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a, q, t)$ manifestly via the computer talks [20]:

$$\hat{A}^\text{super}_{3_1}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a, q, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \hat{a}^{(k)}_{3_1}(\hat{x}; a, q, t)\hat{y}^k,$$

(42)

$$\hat{a}^{(0)}_{3_1} = \frac{a^2t^4(\hat{x}-1)\hat{x}^3(1+aq t^3\hat{x})}{q(1+at^3\hat{x})(1+at^3q^{-1}\hat{x})^2}, \quad \hat{a}^{(2)}_{3_1} = 1,$$

$$\hat{a}^{(1)}_{3_1} = -\frac{a(1+at^3\hat{x})(q-q^2t^2\hat{x}+t^2(q^2+q^3+at+aq t^2t^3\hat{x}+a^2qt^6\hat{x}^4))}{q^2(1+at^3\hat{x})(1+at^3q^{-1}\hat{x}^2)}.$$

$$\hat{A}^\text{super}_{4_1}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a, q, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{3} \hat{a}^{(k)}_{4_1}(\hat{x}; a, q, t)\hat{y}^k,$$

(43)

$$\hat{a}^{(0)}_{4_1} = \frac{at^3(1-\hat{x})(1-q\hat{x})(1+at^3q^2\hat{x}^2)(1+at^3q^3\hat{x}^2)}{q^3(1+at^3\hat{x})(1+at^3\hat{x}^2)(1+at^3q\hat{x})(1+at^3q^{-1}\hat{x}^2)}, \quad \hat{a}^{(3)}_{4_1} = 1,$$

$$\hat{a}^{(1)}_{4_1} = -\frac{(1-q\hat{x})(1+at^3q^3\hat{x}^2)}{tq^2\hat{x}^2(1+at^3\hat{x})(1+at^3\hat{x}^2)(1+at^3q\hat{x})} \times \left(1-t(t-1)q\hat{x}+at^2(q+q^2+t+q^3t)\hat{x}^2\right.$$

$$\left.-at^4(q+q^2+t+q^3t)\hat{x}^3-a^2(t-1)t^6q\hat{x}^4-a^2t^6q^2\hat{x}^5\right),$$

$$\hat{a}^{(2)}_{4_1} = -\frac{(1+at^3q^2\hat{x}^2)}{at^2q^2\hat{x}^2(1+at^3\hat{x}^2)(1+at^3q\hat{x})^3} \times \left(1-at(t-1)\hat{x}+at^2(q+q^2+t+q^3t)\hat{x}^2\right.$$

$$\left.+a^2t^4(1+q^3+qt+q^2t)\hat{x}^3+a^2(t-1)t^5q^3\hat{x}^4+a^3t^7q^3\hat{x}^5\right).$$

These $q$-difference operators satisfies the following properties:

- $\hat{A}^\text{super}_K(x, y; a, q = 1, t)$ reduces to the super-A-polynomial $A^\text{super}_K(x, y; a, t)$ up to overall factors.

- Under the specializations $t = -1$ and $a = q^2$, $\hat{A}^\text{super}_K(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a, q, t)$ annihilates the colored HOMFLY polynomial and colored Jones polynomial:

$$\hat{A}^\text{super}_K(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a, q, t = -1)H^{S^{n-1}}(K; a, q) = 0,$$

(44)

$$\hat{A}^\text{super}_K(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; a = q^2, q, t = -1)J_{n}(K; q) = 0.$$

(45)

- Under the specialization $x = 1$, one finds the

$$A^\text{super}_K(x = 1, y; a, t) = y^{k} + y^{k-1}\mathcal{P}(K; a, q = 1, t),$$

(46)

where $k$ is the maximum $y$-degree of the super-A-polynomial, and $\mathcal{P}(K; a, q, t)$ is the superpolynomial.
The second property implies an existence of the hierarchical structure of the \((a, t)\)-deformations of the A-polynomial. As a completion of this hierarchy, one also finds the refined A-polynomial \([17]\):

\[
A_{K}^{\text{ref}}(x, y; t) := A_{K}^{\text{super}}(x, y; a = 1, t), \quad \tilde{A}_{K}^{\text{ref}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}; t) := \tilde{A}_{K}^{\text{super}}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}; a = q^2, t). \quad (47)
\]

In conclusion, the super-A-polynomial reveals the integrable structure behind the colored superpolynomials, and we expect that this polynomial contains a plenty of information for the topology of the knot complement. Via string theoretical interpretation of the A-polynomial \([9, 10, 1]\), the invariants associated to the symplectic structure of the the character variety can be explored. As the first step, we will study such invariant for the super-A-polynomial of \(3_1\) knot in Appendix.
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**A Topological recursion on the character variety**

For the character variety \(C\) whose defining equation is given by the A-polynomial, one finds a class of geometric invariants associated to the symplectic structure of \(C\) via string theoretical interpretations \([9, 10, 1]\) by utilizing the formalism of the topological recursion. This formalism is originally developed in the study of the matrix models, and one can
calculate such symplectic invariants systematically. In this appendix, we will compute (2|2)-Baker-Akhierzer kernel \( \psi^{[2|2]} \) for the (super-) character variety of \( 3_1 \) knot.\(^1\)

### A.1 Topological recursion

In the analytic study of the matrix models [3, 2] and topological strings [6, 7], the free energy \( F_g \) and correlator \( W^{(g,h)}(p_1, \cdots, p_h) \) are studied in detail based on the symplectic data of the spectral curve \( C \). In [13, 16], a systematic method for such analysis has been established, and called as the Eynard-Orantin's topological recursion. Here we focus on the topological recursion for the genus one curve \( C \) given by the algebraic equation:

\[
C_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | y^2 = M(x)^2 S(x)\}; \tag{48}
\]

\[
S(x) = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3)(x - x_4). \tag{49}
\]

We denoted a rational function \( M(x) \), and the branch points \( q_i (i = 1, \cdots, 4) \) of \( C \) which obey \( (x(q_i), y(q_i)) = (x_i, 0) \). In the computation of the topological recursion, we mainly use the symplectic data of the smooth model \( C_0 \):

\[
C_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | y_0^2 = S(x)\}, \tag{50}
\]

where a compact cycle which encircles around \([q_1, q_2]\) is denoted as \( \mathcal{A} \), and its symplectic dual cycle is denoted by \( \mathcal{B} \). These cycles obey \( \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A} = 0, \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B} = 0, \) and \( \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = 1 \).

For this genus one curve, the Bergman kernel \( B(p_1, p_2) \) is the meromorphic \((1, 1)-\)form on \( C_0^{\otimes 2} \ni (x(p_1), y_0(p_1); x(p_2), y_0(p_2)) \) which obeys the following properties:

\[
B(p_1, p_2) \overset{p_1 \sim p_2}{\sim} \frac{dp_1 \otimes dp_2}{(p_1 - p_2)^2} + \text{holomorphic,} \tag{51}
\]

\[
\oint_{\mathcal{A}} B(p_1, \cdot) = 0, \quad \oint_{\mathcal{B}} B(p_1, \cdot) = 2\pi i d\omega_0, \tag{52}
\]

\[
\oint_{\mathcal{A}} d\omega_0 = 1, \quad \oint_{\mathcal{B}} d\omega_0 = \tau, \tag{53}
\]

where in (52) we denoted the integration of the Bergman kernel \( B(p_1, p_2) \) with respect to \( p_2 \) for an abbreviation, and \( \tau \) is the period of the elliptic curve \( C_0 \).

From these symplectic data of the spectral curve \( C_0 \), the recursion relations for the correlators \( W^{(g,h)}(p_1, \cdots, p_h) \) are introduced as follows:

**Definition A.1** ([16]) The meromorphic \((1, \cdots, 1)-\)form \( W^{(g,h)}(p_1, \cdots, p_h) \) on \( C^{\otimes h} \) sat-\(^{1}\)This point is presented in the problem session of the workshop ILDT 2013.
The diagrammatic representation of the Eynard-Orantin's topological recursion relation (55) satisfies the following recursion relation:

\[ W^{(0,1)}(p), \quad W^{(0,2)}(p_1, p_2) = B(p_1, p_2), \]

\[ W^{(g,h+1)}(p_0, p_1, \cdots, p_h) = \sum_{q: \text{Branch pts.}} \frac{-\frac{1}{2} \int \overline{q}^{q} B(\cdot, p_0)}{(y(q) - y(\overline{q}))} \left[ W^{(g-1,h+2)}(q, \overline{q}, p_1, \cdots, p_h) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{g} \sum_{J \subset H} W^{(g-\ell, |J|+1)}(q, p_J) W^{(\ell, |H|-|J|+1)}(\overline{q}, p_{H\setminus J}) \right], \]  

(55)

where \( H = \{1, \cdots, h\}, J = \{i_1, \cdots, i_j\} \subset H, \) and \(|H| = h, \ |J| = j\). The points \( q, \overline{q} \in C \) are related by \((x(\overline{q}), y(\overline{q})) = (x(q), -y(q))\). The diagrammatic representation of the recursion (55) is described in Fig.2.

The free energy \( F_g \) is defined on basis of the above data of the spectral curve \( C \). For \( g = 0, 1 \), the free energies \( F_0 \) and \( F_1 \) are defined by

\[ \partial_{\epsilon} F_0 = \oint_B dx \; y_0(x), \quad \epsilon := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dx \; y_0(x), \quad \tau = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial^2_{\epsilon} F_0, \]  

\[ F_1 = \frac{1}{24} \log \left( \tau_B \prod_i y'(q_i) \right), \]  

(56)

(57)

where \( \tau_B \) is the Bergmann \( \tau \)-function which is defined via the relation \( \partial \log \tau_B / x = \text{Res}_{q=q_i}(B(q, \overline{q})/dx(q)) \). For \( g \geq 2 \), the free energy \( F_g \) is given by

\[ F_g = \frac{1}{2g-2} \text{Res}_{q=q_i} \Phi(q) W^{(g,1)}(q), \quad \Phi(x) = \int_x^x dx \; y_0(x). \]  

(58)

In this way, the free energies and correlators for \( C \) are calculated recursively by solving the equation (55) and (58).

### A.2 Non-perturbative partition function and \((n|n)\)-kernel

The above definition of the topological recursion gives the perturbative part of the free energy and correlator. When we take into account of the analyticity of these quantities, the
non-perturbative effects must be treated carefully. To incorporate the non-perturbative effects systematically, the non-perturbative partition function $\mathcal{T}_{g_{s}}$ is introduced in [14, 15]:

**Definition A.2** ([14, 15]) Let $\theta := \theta [\zeta | \tau]$ be the theta function of the spectral curve $C_{0}$ whose period $\tau$ is defined in (53):

$$\theta = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i \pi (n^2 \tau + 2 \pi i (n+\mu)(\zeta + \nu))},$$  \hspace{1cm} (59)

where $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$. The theta function satisfies the heat equation

$$D \theta = \nabla^{\otimes 2} \theta, \quad \nabla = \partial/\partial \zeta, \quad D = 4 \pi i \partial/\partial \tau.$$  \hspace{1cm} (60)

Using this theta function and the free energy $F_{g}$, the non-perturbative partition function $\mathcal{T}_{g_{s}}[y_{0}dx]$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_{g_{s}}[y_{0}dx] = \exp \left( \sum_{h \geq 0} g_{s}^{2h-2} F_{h} \right) \times \left\{ \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{h_{j} \geq 0, d_{j} \geq 0} g_{s}^{2h_{j}-2d_{j}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{F_{h_{j}}}{(2 \pi i)^{d_{j}}} \nabla^{d_{j}} \right\} \theta,$$  \hspace{1cm} (61)

where $\zeta$ is specialized to $\zeta_{g_{s}}$:

$$\zeta_{g_{s}} = \left[ \frac{1}{2 \pi i g_{s}} \oint_{B} y_{0}(x) dx \right] + \tau \left[ \frac{1}{2 \pi i g_{s}} \oint_{A} y_{0}(x) dx \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (62)

which is defined modulo $\mathbb{Z}$.

In the case of the $N \times N$ Hermitian 1-matrix model, this non-perturbative partition function is given by summing all possible filling fractions [14], and multi-instanton corrections to the perturbative free energy $F_{g}$ which is defined by $1/N$ expansion of the partition function are included. The non-perturbative corrections to the correlator is defined to be given by the Schlesinger transformation [38] of $\mathcal{T}_{g_{s}}[y_{0}dx]$, and such quantity is named as the Baker-Akhierzer’s $(n|n)$-kernel $\psi_{g_{s}}^{[n|n]}(p_{1}, o_{1}; \cdots ; p_{n}, o_{n})$ (abbreviated as $(n|n)$-BA kernel). The $(n|n)$-BA kernel is defined as follows:

**Definition A.3** ([4]) Let $dS_{o,p}$ be the Abelian differential of the third kind on the genus one curve $C_{0}$ which is given by the Bergman kernel as:

$$dS_{o,p}(q) = \int_{q}^{\mu} B(\cdot, q).$$  \hspace{1cm} (63)

Shifting 1-form $y_{0}dx$ by $y_{0}dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} dS_{o_{i}, p_{i}}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{g_{s}}[y_{0}dx]$, one finds the Baker-Akhierzer’s $(n|n)$-kernel $\psi_{g_{s}}^{[n|n]}(p_{1}, o_{1}; \cdots ; p_{n}, o_{n})$:

$$\psi_{g_{s}}^{[n|n]}(p_{1}, o_{1}; \cdots ; p_{n}, o_{n}) = \frac{\mathcal{T}_{g_{s}}[y_{0}dx \rightarrow y_{0}dx + \sum_{i=1}^{n} dS_{o_{i}, p_{i}}]}{\mathcal{T}_{g_{s}}[y_{0}dx]}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (64)
This definition is formal, and $\psi_{g_{s}}^{[n|n]}$ can be described more explicitly in terms of the data of $C$. For details of the above definitions, see [4, 5].

To evaluate the above quantities explicitly, we have to take care about the dependence on the choice of the symplectic basis $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of the spectral curve $C_0$. Since the free energy, correlator, and $(n|n)$-BA kernel are sensitive to the choice of the symplectic basis, one finds a shift of these quantities under the change of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. Therefore, we have to specify the choice of symplectic basis when we study the topological recursion. The shift of the topological recursion can be treated simply as follows. When one changes the symplectic basis $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ by

$$(A^\kappa, B^\kappa) = (A - \kappa B, B - \tau A),$$

the Bergman kernel is shifted as:

$$B_\kappa(p_1, p_2) = B(p_1, p_2) + 2i\pi d\omega_0(p_1) \cdot \kappa \cdot d\omega_0(p_2),$$

and the correlators $W^{(g,h)}$ are also shifted to $W_\kappa^{(g,h)}$ by adopting this shifted Bergman kernel $B_\kappa(p_1, p_2)$ to the topological recursion (55) [7, 5].

In [5], the asymptotic expansion of $(2|2)$-BA kernel in $g_s \to 0$ is found explicitly by using the $\kappa$-shifted correlator $W^{(g,h)}_\kappa$ as follows:

$$\psi_{g_{s}}^{[2|2]}(p_{1},0_{1};p_{2},0_{2}) \sim \exp \left[ \sum_{k} g_{s}^{k} G_{k}(p_{1},0_{1};p_{2},0_{2}) \right],$$

$$(67)$$

$$(68)$$

where $G_{n|\kappa}^{h,(d)}$ and $T_{d|\kappa}$ are given by

$$G_{n|\kappa}^{h,(d)}(p_{1},0_{1};p_{2},0_{2}) = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{d} d!} \int_{0_{1},0_{2}}^{p_{1},p_{2}} \cdots \int_{0_{1},0_{2}}^{p_{1},p_{2}} \oint_{\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}} \cdots \oint_{\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}} W^{(n+d,h)}_\kappa,$$

$$(70)$$

$$T_{d|\kappa} = \sum_{d'=0}^{[d/2]} \frac{d! (2\pi i)^{d}}{2^{d} d!} \kappa^{\otimes d'} \frac{\nabla^{\otimes(d-2d')} \vartheta}{\vartheta}.$$

$$(71)$$

The notation $T_{d|\kappa}$ means the evaluation of $T_{d|\kappa}$ for $\zeta = \zeta_{g_{s}} + \int_{0_{1},0_{2}}^{p_{1},p_{2}} d\omega_0$. In (69), we have described only the terms which are relevant in the evaluation on the spectral curve with a reciprocal symmetry: $\iota_{*} B_{\kappa} = - B_{\kappa}$.
A.3 Quantum volume conjecture and (2|2)-BA kernel

Choosing the spectral curve $C$ as the character variety $C_K$ of the knot $K$ that is defined by the A-polynomial $A_K(x, y)$:

$$C_K = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* | A_K(x, y) = 0 \},$$

one can evaluate the $(2|2)$-BA kernel as the invariant associated to the symplectic structure of the character variety. In particular for $K = 4_{1}$, $C_{4_{1}}$ is genus one curve, and we can evaluate $(2|2)$-BA kernel explicitly using the formulae (67)-(71). Furthermore, since the character variety has reciprocal symmetry that is invariant under the involution $\iota$:

$$x(\iota(p)) = 1/x(p), \quad y(\iota(p)) = 1/y(p),$$

we can consider the $(2|2)$-BA kernel $\psi_{\[2|2\]}[\mu](p, 0; \iota(p), \iota(0))$ invariant under the reciprocal symmetry (73).

On the other hand, in the quantum volume conjecture [23, 20], it is proposed that the colored Jones polynomial satisfies a $q$-difference equation: $\hat{A}_K(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; q) J_{n}(K; q) = 0$, and the asymptotic solution of the $q$-difference equation can be found iteratively solving the differential equation:

$$\hat{A}_K(\hat{x}, \hat{y}; q = e^{2\hbar}) J_{K}(x; \hbar) = 0, \quad \hat{x} J_{K}(x; \hbar) = x J_{K}(x; \hbar), \quad \hat{y} J_{K}(x; \hbar) = e^{\gamma_{1}\partial_{x}} J_{K}(x; \hbar),$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{K}(x; \hbar) \sim \hbar^{j/2} \exp \left( \sum_{k \geq -1} \hbar^{k} j_{k}(x) \right).$$

On basis of the observation for the figure eight knot and $m009$, the following conjecture is proposed in [10, 5]:

**Conjecture A.1 ([5])** Choose $\kappa$ as $\kappa(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} E_2(C)$. There exist a choice of the endpoint $o$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$ for the theta function $\vartheta[\mu](\zeta | \tau)$ such that

$$\mathcal{J}_{K}(x(p), 2\hbar) = (\psi_{[2|2]}^{[2]}[\mu](p, o; \iota(p), \iota(o)))^{1/2}.\quad (77)$$

At each level of the asymptotic expansion, (77) implies

$$2j_{k}(x(p)) = G_{k}(p, o; \iota(p), \iota(o)).$$

---

$^{5}$Physically this conjecture is proposed in [10]. But in [10] only the perturbative part is discussed, and the non-perturbative part is introduced as an ad hoc regularization. This ad hoc point is resolved in [5] by the completion of the non-perturbative completion, and here we use the statement of the conjecture proposed in [5].
A.4 (2|2)-BA kernel for the super-A-polynomial of 3₁

Now we discuss the invariants associated to the super-A-polynomial. The super-A-polynomial for 3₁ knot is

\[ A_{3_{1}}^{\text{super}}(x, y; a, t) = a^{2}t^{4}(x - 1)x^{3} + (1 + at^{2}x)y^{2} - a(1 - t^{2}x + 2t^{2}(1 + at)x^{2} + at^{5}x^{3} + a^{2}t^{6}x^{4})y, \]

(79)

and the super-character variety \( C_{K}^{\text{super}} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} | A_{K}^{\text{super}}(x, y; a, t) = 0 \} \) is genus one curve in this case. Furthermore, \( A_{3_{1}}^{\text{super}} \) has the deformed reciprocal symmetry:

\[ x(\iota(p)) = -\frac{1}{at^{3}}x(p)^{-1}, \quad y(\iota(p)) = \frac{1}{t^{2}}y(p)^{-1}. \]

(80)

To discuss the topological recursion for the super-character variety as the genus one curve, we rewrite the super-A-polynomial (79) [32]:

\[ C_{3_{1}}^{\text{super}} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} | y^{2} = M(X)^{2}S(x) \}, \]

(81)

\[ M(x) = \frac{1}{x\sqrt{S(x)}} \log \frac{A(x) + \sqrt{S(x)}}{A(x) - \sqrt{S(x)}}, \]

(82)

\[ A(x) = \frac{1 - t^{2}x + 2t^{2}x^{2} + 2at^{3}x^{2} + at^{5}x^{3} + a^{2}t^{6}x^{4}}{at^{3}(1 + at^{3}x^{2})}, \]

(83)

\[ S(x) = a^{-2}t^{-6}(1 - 2t^{2}x + 4t^{2}x^{2} + 2at^{3}x^{2} + t^{4}x^{2} + 2at^{5}x^{3} + a^{2}t^{6}x^{4}). \]

(84)

In terms of this coordinate, the smooth model is given by

\[ C_{3_{1}0}^{\text{super}} = \{(x, y_{0}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} | y_{0}^{2} = S(x) \}. \]

(85)

The smooth model \( C_{3_{1}0}^{\text{super}} \) can be rewritten in the Weierstrass form:

\[ y^{2} = 4x^{3} - g_{2}x - g_{3}, \]

(86)

\[ g_{2} = \frac{16 + 16at + 8t^{2} + 16a^{2}t^{2} + 16at^{3} + t^{4}}{216a^{6}t^{12}}, \]

(87)

\[ g_{3} = \frac{(4 + 8at + t^{2})(-16 + 8at - 8t^{2} + 8a^{2}t^{2} - 16at^{3} - t^{4})}{216a^{6}t^{12}}. \]

(88)

The value of the theta functions of \( C_{3_{1}0}^{\text{super}} \) are found explicitly via the relations:

\[ E_{4} = \theta_{2}^{4} + \theta_{4}^{4} = 3(2\wp_{A})^{4}g_{2}/4\pi^{4}, \]

\[ E_{6} = -\theta_{2}^{12} - 3\theta_{2}^{8}\theta_{4}^{4}/2 + 3\theta_{2}^{4}\theta_{4}^{8}/2 + \theta_{4}^{12} = 27(2\wp_{A})^{6}g_{3}/8\pi^{6} \]

where \( 2\wp_{A} := \oint_{A} dz \) with \( (x, y) = (\wp(z/2\wp_{A}|\tau), \wp'(z/2\wp_{A}|\tau)) \). The coefficient \( T_{2d\kappa(\tau)} \) for \( \kappa(\tau) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}E_{2d}(\tau) \) is given by [5]

\[ T_{2d\kappa(\tau)} = D_{2d+1/2} \circ \cdots \circ D_{2d-3/2} \circ D_{1/2}\theta_{1}(\tau)/\theta_{1}(\tau), \quad D_{k}f := Df + \frac{2}{3\pi^{2}}kf_{2}. \]

(89)

The choice of \( \mu, \nu \) corresponds to the choice of \( i = 2, 3, 4 \) for the Jacobi’s theta functions \( \theta_{i}(\tau) \) with \( \theta_{2}^{4} + \theta_{4}^{4} = \theta_{3}^{4} \). For 4₁ knot, the appropriate choice of \( \mu, \nu \) is found for one of
the solutions for $\theta_1$ of the above relations between $E_a(\tau)$ and $\theta_1(\tau)$ \[5\]. In the case of super-character variety of $3_{1}$, we choose the appropriate values of $T_{2|k(\tau)}$ and $T_{4|k(\tau)}$ as:

\[
T_{2|k(\tau)}/(2\varpi_A)^2 = \frac{-4 + 8at + t^2}{12a^2t^4},
\]

\[
T_{4|k(\tau)}/(2\varpi_A)^4 = \frac{-16 + 32at - 8t^2 + 32a^2t^2 - 16at^3 - t^4}{48a^4t^8}.
\]

where these are unique solution that is given by the rational function of $a, t$.

From these geometric data of the super-character variety $C_{3_{1}}^\text{super}$, one can calculate $C_{n|k}^{(\Delta)}(p, \varpi; \iota(p), \iota(\varpi))$ which appears in $G_{k}$ term. Here we consider the $(2|2)$-BA kernel which is invariant under the deformed reciprocal symmetry \[80\]. The terms $G_{-1}$ and $G_{0}$ are treated independently, and they are given as the Abel map and discriminant of $C_{K}^\text{super}$ as follows \[6, 10\]:

\[
G_{-1} = \int_{\alpha_{(0)}}^{p\iota(p)} \frac{dx}{x} \log y(x), \quad G_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{S(x)/x^2}},
\]

where $\gamma = \sqrt{-3 + 4at + t^2}/2at$. The next order $G_{1}$ \[68\] is found via topological recursion \[55\]:

\[
C_{1|k(\tau)}^{(0)} = \left(-16 - 16t^2 - 24at^3 - 11t^4 - 18at^5 - 2t^6 - 8a^2t^6 - 4at^7 - 2a^2t^8 + 48t^2 + 24t^4
+ 32at^5 + 27t^6 - 16a^2t^6 + 24at^7 + 6t^8 - 16a^2t^8 + 12at^9 - 16a^3t^9 + 6a^2t^{10}\right)x + (-128t^2
- 80at^3 - 336at^5 - 80t^6 - 152a^2t^6 - 207at^7 - 33t^8 - 178a^2t^8 - 20at^9 - 72a^3t^9
- 6t^{10} + 40a^2t^{10} - 12at^{11} + 30a^3t^{11} - 6a^2t^{12})x^2 + (-224at^5 - 112t^6 - 128a^2t^6
- 560at^7 - 24t^8 - 768a^2t^8 - 302at^9 - 288a^3t^9 + 9t^{10} - 664a^2t^{10} - 16at^{11}
- 544a^3t^{11} + 2t^{12}
- 52a^2t^{12} - 160a^4t^{12} + 4at^{13} - 28a^3t^{13} + 2a^2t^{14})x^3 + (128at^5 + 80a^2t^6 + 208at^7
+ 336a^2t^8 + 80at^9 + 152a^3t^9 + 207at^{10} + 33at^{11} + 178a^2t^{11} + 20a^2t^{12} + 72a^4t^{12} + 6at^{13}
- 40a^3t^{13} + 12a^2t^{14} - 30a^4t^{14} + 6a^3t^{15})x^4 + (48a^2t^8 + 24a^2t^{10} + 32a^3t^{11} + 27at^{12}
- 16a^2t^{12} + 24a^3t^{13} + 6a^2t^{14} - 16a^3t^{15} + 16a^4t^{15} + 6a^4t^{16})x^5 + (16a^3t^{9}
+ 16a^3t^{11} + 24a^3t^{12} + 11a^4t^{13} + 18a^4t^{14} + 2a^3t^{15} + 8a^3t^{15} + 4a^4t^{16} + 2a^5t^{17})x^6
\right)/12(1 + at)(1 + t^2 + at^2)(16 + 8t^2 + 16at^3 + t^4)
\times (1 - 2t^2x + (4t^2 + 2at^3 + t^4)x^2 + 2at^5x^3 + a^2t^6x^4)^{3/2},
\]

\[
G_{0|k(\mathcal{T})}^{(0)} = \left(1 + (3t^2 + 6at^3)x + (-12t^2 - 15at^3 - 9t^4 - 12at^5 + 5t^6
- 20a^2t^6 + 6at^7)x^3 + (12at^5 + 15a^2t^6 + 9at^7 + 12a^2t^8)x^4 + (3a^2t^8 + 6a^3t^9)x^5 - a^3t^9x^6
\right)/12(1 + at)(1 - 2t^2x + (4t^2 + 2at^3 + t^4)x^2 + 2at^5x^3 + a^2t^6x^4)^{3/2},
\]

\[\text{In } [10] \text{ the Bergman kernel is calculated in the variable } w = (x + z^{-1})/2 \text{ that is invariant under the involution } \iota. \text{ In this case, we use the variable } w = \frac{1}{2}(x - 1/(at^2x)) \text{ to evaluate } G_0 \text{ term.}\]
\[ G_{2|\kappa(\tau)}^{0,(1)} = 0, \quad C_{0|\kappa(\tau)}^{0,(3)} = 0, \quad (95) \]

\[ G_{1|\kappa(\tau)}^{0,(2)} \cdot (2\pi \lambda)^2 = (a^2 t^4 (-4 - 3t^2 - 4at^3 + (4t^2 + 5t^4 + 6at^5) x) + (4at^3 + 3at^5 + 4a^2 t^6) x^2) \]
\[ / ((1 + at)(16 + 8t^2 + 16at^3 + t^4)(1 - 2t^2 x + (4t^2 + 2at^3 + t^4) x^2 + 2at^5 x^3 + a^2 t^6 x^4)^{1/2}). \quad (96) \]

Applying these \( G_{n|\kappa(\tau)}^{h,(d)} \) and (90) to (68), one finds the \( G_1 \) term:

\[ G_1(x) = \left( 1 + 2at + 2t^2 + 4at^3 + 2a^2 t^4 + (3t^2 - 2t^4 - 4at^5 - 2a^2 t^6) x \right. \]
\[ + \left( -16t^2 - 11at^3 - 21t^4 + 2a^2 t^6 - 28at^5 - 2t^6 - 8a^2 t^8 - 4at^7 + 2a^3 t^7 - 2a^2 t^8 \right) x^2 \]
\[ + \left( -30at^5 - 7t^6 - 24a^2 t^6 - 48at^7 + 2t^8 - 68a^2 t^8 + 4at^9 - 28a^3 t^9 + 2a^2 t^{10} \right) x^3 \]
\[ + \left( 16at^5 + 11a^2 t^6 + 21at^7 - 2a^3 t^8 + 28a^2 t^9 + 2at^9 + 8a^3 t^9 + 2a^2 t^{10} - 2a^4 t^{10} + 2a^3 t^{11} \right) x^4 \]
\[ + \left( 3a^2 t^8 - 2a^3 t^9 - 14t^9 - 2a^4 t^{12} - 2a^3 t^{11} - 4a^4 t^{12} - 2a^5 t^{13} \right) x^5 \]
\[ / \left( 48(1 + at)(1 + t^2)(1 - 2t^2 x + 4t^2 x^2 + 2at^3 x^2 + t^4 x^2 + 2at^5 x^3 + a^2 t^6 x^4)^{3/2} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{-1}{48(at + 1)} + \frac{1 - 5x^2 + x^4}{16(1 - x + x^2)^2} + \mathcal{O}(a - 1, t + 1). \quad (97) \]

The above result and the solution of the \( q \)-difference equation (21) with fixed \( a \) variable behave differently even in the augmentation limit \( t = -1 \). This discrepancy would be related with the subtlety of the \( q \)-dependence of \( a \) and \( x \) in the asymptotic expansion. In terms of the mirror symmetry proposed in [1], the open string amplitude may be identified with \((2|2)\)-BA kernel after the pull back by the mirror map. This point will be studied in the future works.

A.4.1 \( G_2 \) term for the augmentation variety

The \( G_2 \) is also computed via the above data. Here we note the result for \( t = -1 \) specialization:

\[ G_{4|\kappa(\tau)}^{0,(0)} = - (a - (8 + 10a - 8a^2) x + (64 - 101a + 58a^2) x^2 + (-16 - 76a + 82a^2 - 40a^3) x^3 \]
\[ + (-160 + 375a - 60a^2 - 65a^3) x^4 + (-104 + 810a - 1524a^2 + 644a^3 + 48a^4) x^5 + (224 \]
\[ - 1043a + 1154a^2 - 500a^3) x^6 + (-104a + 810a - 1524a^2 + 644a^3 + 48a^4) x^7 \]
\[ + (-160a^2 + 375a^3 - 60a^4 - 65a^5) x^8 + (-16a^3 - 76a^4 + 82a^5 - 40a^6) x^9 + (64a^4 - 101a^5 \]
\[ + 58a^6) x^{10} + (-8a^5 + 10a^6 - 8a^7) x^{11} + a^7 x^{12} \]
\[ / (128(-1 + a)^2(1 - 2x + 5x^2 - 2ax^2 - 2ax^3 + a^2 x^4)^3), \quad (98) \]
\[ G_{2|\kappa(\tau)}^{0,(2)} \cdot (2\varpi_A)^2 = a^2(125 + 57a - 192a^2 + 64a^3 + (-100 - 1248a + 1644a^2 - 512a^3) x + (2650 - 2382a + 272a^3) x^2 + (3300 - 20508a + 29220a^2 - 15948a^3 + 3072a^4) x^3 \\
+ (-14775 + 57821a - 72418a^2 + 37086a^3 - 6560a^4 - 128a^5) x^4 + (3300a - 20508a^2 + 29220a^3 - 15948a^4 + 3072a^5) x^5 + (125a^4 + 57a^5 - 192a^6 + 64a^7) x^6) / (96(-1 + a)^2(-25 + 16a)^2(1 - 2x + 5x^2 - 2ax^2 - 2ax^3 + a^2x^4)^2) \]

\[ G_{0|\kappa(\tau)}^{0,(4)} \cdot (2\varpi_A)^4 = -\frac{a^4(-1120 + 1983a - 1200a^2 + 256a^3)}{8(-1 + a)^2(-25 + 16a)^3}, \]

\[ G_{2|\kappa(\tau)}^{1,(0)} = (3850 + 1186a - 11437a^2 + 8008a^3 - 16a^4 - 1280a^5 + 256a^6 + (-49300 - 103052a - 122582a^2 + 258862a^3 - 75964a^4 - 9728a^5 - 6720a^6 - 512a^7) x + (128150 - 72366a - 223255a^2 + 258862a^3 - 75964a^4 - 9728a^5 - 6720a^6 - 512a^7) x^2 + (1218200 + 4566948a - 8455640a^2 + 9515930a^3 - 6630236a^4 + 2759120a^5 - 625920a^6 + 59648a^7) x^3 + (3323350a^2 - 12843994a + 22690091a^2 - 22999486a^3 + 13992613a^4 - 4981960a^5 + 941328a^6 - 70656a^7 - 256a^8) x^4 + (-628500 - 3175260a + 15266330a^2 - 24549808a^3 + 19927324a^4 - 8353480a^5 + 1355680a^6 + 141056a^7 - 54784a^8) x^5 + (-333750 + 4605350a - 7420845a^2 + 4329006a^3 + 22532762a^4 - 25674524a^5 + 14219576a^6 - 3962368a^7 + 441728a^8 + 1024a^9) x^6 + (-628500a - 3175260a + 15266330a^2 - 24549808a^3 + 19927324a^4 - 8353480a^5 + 1355680a^6 + 141056a^7 - 54784a^8) x^7 + (3323350a^2 - 12843994a + 22690091a^2 - 22999486a^3 + 13992613a^4 - 4981960a^5 + 941328a^6 - 70656a^7 - 256a^8) x^8 + (-628500 - 3175260a + 15266330a^2 - 24549808a^3 + 19927324a^4 - 8353480a^5 + 1355680a^6 + 141056a^7 - 54784a^8) x^9 + (3323350a^2 - 12843994a + 22690091a^2 - 22999486a^3 + 13992613a^4 - 4981960a^5 + 941328a^6 - 70656a^7 - 256a^8) x^{10} + (-628500a - 3175260a + 15266330a^2 - 24549808a^3 + 19927324a^4 - 8353480a^5 + 1355680a^6 + 141056a^7 - 54784a^8) x^{11} + (3323350a^2 - 12843994a + 22690091a^2 - 22999486a^3 + 13992613a^4 - 4981960a^5 + 941328a^6 - 70656a^7 - 256a^8) x^{12} + (-628500a - 3175260a + 15266330a^2 - 24549808a^3 + 19927324a^4 - 8353480a^5 + 1355680a^6 + 141056a^7 - 54784a^8) x^{13} + (3323350a^2 - 12843994a + 22690091a^2 - 22999486a^3 + 13992613a^4 - 4981960a^5 + 941328a^6 - 70656a^7 - 256a^8) x^{14} + (-628500a - 3175260a + 15266330a^2 - 24549808a^3 + 19927324a^4 - 8353480a^5 + 1355680a^6 + 141056a^7 - 54784a^8) x^{15}) \]

\[ G_{0|\kappa(\tau)}^{1,(2)} \cdot (2\varpi_A)^2 = -\frac{a^2(-3575 - 7259a + 23055a^2 - 29532a^3 + 16568a^4 - 4512a^5 + 512a^6)}{48(-2 + a)^2(-1 + a)^2(-25 + 16a)^3}, \]
Applying the above results to (69), one finds the $G_2$ term:

\[
G_2 = (2 + 6a - 6a^2 + a^3 + (-4 - 60a + 60a^2 - 14a^3)x + (-194 + 910a - 1038a^2
+ 455a^3 - 70a^4)x^2 + (-696 + 1988a - 3396a^2 + 3000a^3 - 1238a^4 + 192a^5)x^3
+(2846a^4 - 8254ax^4 + 10940a^2 - 7367a^3 + 2410a^4 - 305a^5)x^4 + (-1156 - 196a
+ 3260a^2 - 2942a^3 + 676a^5 - 192a^6)x^5 + (610 - 790a + 6442a^2 - 15635a^3
+ 15598a^4 - 6934a^5 + 1132a^6)x^6 + (2846a^2 - 8254a^3 + 10940a^4 - 7367a^5 + 2410a^6
- 7367a^7 + 455a^8)x^7 + (-696a^3 - 1988a^4 + 3000a^5 - 1238a^6 + 192a^7)x^8
+(2846a^4 - 8254a^5 + 10940a^6 - 7367a^7 + 2410a^8 - 305a^9)x^9 + (-4a^5 - 60a^6
+ 60a^7 - 14a^8)x^{10} + (2a^6 + 6a^7 - 6a^8 + a^9)x^{11} + (2 + 6a - 6a^2 + a^3)x^{12})
/ (48(-2 + a)^2(-1 + a)^2(1 - 2x + 5x^2 - 2ax^2 - 2ax^3 + a^2x^4)^3).}

(103)
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