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1. INTRODUCTION

This is a summary of the author's talk at the RIMS workshop "Automorphic Representations and Related Topics" on January 23, 2013. We report on a recent joint work with Yoichi Mieda on supercuspidal representations appearing in the \(\ell\)-adic cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink space for the unramified unitary similitude group in three variables over \(\mathbb{Q}_p\) for \(p \neq 2\). Details will appear elsewhere ([IM2]).

Rapoport-Zink spaces are certain formal schemes \(\mathcal{M}\) parameterizing quasi-isogenies of \(p\)-divisible groups with additional structures introduced by M. Rapoport and Th. Zink in the 1990's ([RZ], [Ra]). These spaces are generalizations of Lubin-Tate spaces and Drinfeld upper half spaces. They play an important role in the theory of \(p\)-adic uniformization of Shimura varieties, which has many striking applications to number theory and automorphic forms. It is widely believed that the \(\ell\)-adic cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink spaces realize the local Langlands and Jacquet-Langlands correspondences in a rather mysterious way.

Let us explain a rough outline of the story. For the background on Lubin-Tate spaces and Drinfeld upper half spaces, see Carayol's paper [Ca]. (Note that the definition of general Rapoport-Zink spaces was not known at that time.) Let \(M := \mathcal{M}^{\text{rig}}\) be the rigid analytic space associated to the generic fiber of the formal scheme \(\mathcal{M}\). We have a tower of finite étale coverings \(M_r \rightarrow M\) defined by the level \(p^r\)-structures on the universal \(p\)-divisible group on \(M\). The pro-object \(M_{\infty} = \{M_r\}_r\) is sometimes called the Rapoport-Zink tower or the Rapoport-Zink space at infinite level. If the linear algebra datum (Rapoport-Zink datum) defining the Rapoport-Zink space satisfies certain technical conditions, we have a \(p\)-adic reductive group \(G\), an inner form \(J\) of \(G\), and a finite extension \(E\) of \(\mathbb{Q}_p\) (local reflex field). We have a natural action of the product of three groups \(G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_E\), where \(W_E\) is the Weil group of \(E\), on the \(\ell\)-adic cohomology with compact support

\[
H^i_c(M_{\infty}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) := \lim_{\rightarrow} H^i_c(M_r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).
\]

Everybody working in this area believes that this \(G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_E\)-representation is very interesting.

So far, many beautiful results are obtained for Lubin-Tate spaces and Drinfeld upper half spaces, where \(G\) or \(J\) is isomorphic to \(\text{GL}_n\) (e.g. [Ca], [HT], [Ha1], [Bo], [Far2]). We
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would like to study more general Rapoport-Zink spaces. However, when the group $G$ is not an inner form of $\text{GL}_n$, we confront a fundamental problem — the local Langlands and Jacquet-Langlands correspondences are not bijective for general $G$. They are bijections between certain representations of the Weil group ($L$-parameters) and certain finite sets of irreducible smooth representations of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ ($L$-packets). In order to understand the description of the $\ell$-adic cohomology of Rapoport-Zink spaces, we need to understand the structure of $L$-packets (and $A$-packets) in detail.

In this note, we study supercuspidal representations of $\text{GU}_{1,2}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ appearing in the $\ell$-adic cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink space for $\text{GU}_{1,2}$. The main results are summarized in Theorem 4.2. Fortunately, thanks to Rogawski, we have enough tools in representation theory and in geometry ([Ro1], [Ro2]). We have a satisfactory classification of $L$-packets and $A$-packets for $\text{GU}_{1,2}$ which enables us to state the main results clearly. We hope our results shed a new light on the study of the $\ell$-adic cohomology in each degree of general Rapoport-Zink spaces.

Our results might be considered as a confirmation of a refinement of Kottwitz’s conjecture on the alternating sum of the $\ell$-adic cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink spaces ([Ra, Conjecture 5.1], [Ha2, Conjecture 5.3]). The alternating sum of the supercuspidal part of the $\ell$-adic cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink space for $\text{GU}_{1,2}$ was studied by Fargues in his thesis ([Far1, Théorème 8.2.2]). Note that, in our theorem (Theorem 4.2), we study the $\ell$-adic cohomology in each degree rather than the alternating sum. We also treat supercuspidal representations whose $L$-parameters have nontrivial $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-part. We discovered peculiar phenomena for such supercuspidal representations. For example, they appear both in $H_2^\ell$ (middle degree) and $H_3^\ell$. This reflects the fact that such supercuspidal representations can be obtained as local components of non-tempered cuspidal automorphic representations. On the other hand, we expect supercuspidal representations whose $L$-parameters have trivial $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-part appear only in $H_2^\ell$. Our results may suggest a kind of “duality” or “mirror symmetry” between the degree of cohomology (Lefschetz’s $\text{SL}_2$) and the $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-part in the $L$-parameter or $A$-parameter (see [Gr, Corollary 8.2] for an archimedean analogue). We also have similar results for the Rapoport-Zink space for $\text{GSp}_4 / \mathbb{Q}_p$.
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2. THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE FOR $\text{GL}_n$ AND THE $\ell$-ADIC COHOMOLOGY OF LUBIN-TATE SPACES

We recall the local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GL}_n$ and its realization in the $\ell$-adic cohomology of Lubin-Tate spaces. In this case, the group $G$ is $\text{GL}_n$ and the group $J$ is the multiplicative group of a central division algebra of invariant $1/n$. Most of the results explained in this section are obtained by Harris-Taylor and Boyer ([HT], [Bo]). Prior to [HT], Harris obtained similar results for the Drinfeld upper half spaces ([Ha1]), where the role of $G$ and $J$ are interchanged (i.e. the group $G$ is the multiplicative group of a
central division algebra of invariant $1/n$ and the group $J$ is $GL_n$). For a relation between Lubin-Tate spaces and Drinfeld upper half spaces at infinite level, see [Far2] (and also [Fal], [SW] for recent developments).

Fix a prime number $p$ and a finite extension $F$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Denote the residue field of $F$ by $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $W_F$ be the Weil group of $F$. We have the following exact sequence of topological groups:

$$1 \longrightarrow I_F \longrightarrow W_F \longrightarrow \langle \text{Frob}_q \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $I_F$ is an open subgroup of $W_F$ called the inertia group, and $\text{Frob}_q \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the geometric Frobenius element (i.e. the inverse of the $q$-th power map). Local class field theory gives us a canonical isomorphism of topological groups (local reciprocity isomorphism)

$$\text{Art}_F : F^* \longrightarrow W_F^{ab}$$

such that the uniformizers on the left hand side correspond to the lifts of $\text{Frob}_q$ on the right hand side. Using the local reciprocity isomorphism $\text{Art}_F$, we identify continuous characters $\chi : F^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ and one dimensional continuous representations $\phi : W_F \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$.

The local Langlands correspondence for $GL_n/F$ is a non-abelian generalization of local class field theory. Let $\text{Irr}(GL_n(F))$ denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of the topological group $GL_n(F)$. (The set $\text{Irr}(GL_n(F))$ is also denoted by $\Pi(GL_n(F))$ by some authors.) Let $\Phi(GL_n/F)$ denote the set of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$-conjugacy classes of $L$-parameters for $GL_n/F$. Recall that an $L$-parameter for $GL_n/F$ is a continuous homomorphism

$$\phi : W_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow GL_n := GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \times W_F$$

such that the second factor of $\phi(\sigma, x)$ is equal to $x$ for all $(\sigma, x) \in W_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the first factor of $\phi(\sigma)$ is a semisimple element of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ (i.e. $\phi$ is Frobenius semisimple), the image of $\phi_{|\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})}$ is contained in $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, and the induced map $\phi_{|\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} : \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a homomorphism of algebraic groups over $\mathbb{C}$. The group $LGL_n$ is called the $L$-group of $GL_n/F$. The local Langlands correspondence for $GL_n$ is a canonical bijection

$$\text{LLC} : \text{Irr}(GL_n(F)) \overset{1:1}{\longrightarrow} \Phi(GL_n/F)$$

characterized in terms of $L$-factors and $\varepsilon$-factors for pairs ([HT], [He]). Under the local Langlands correspondence, supercuspidal representations of $GL_n(F)$ correspond to irreducible $n$-dimensional representations of $W_F$, and (essentially) discrete series representations of $GL_n(F)$ correspond to irreducible $n$-dimensional representations of $W_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. When two $L$-parameters $\phi_1 \in \Phi(GL_{n_1}/F)$, $\phi_2 \in \Phi(GL_{n_2}/F)$ correspond to $\pi_1 \in \text{Irr}(GL_{n_1}(F))$, $\pi_2 \in \text{Irr}(GL_{n_2}(F))$ respectively, the direct sum $\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$ corresponds to an irreducible smooth representation $\pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2 \in \Phi(GL_{n_1+n_2}/F)$ called the Langlands sum of $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$.

The Lubin-Tate space $LT$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional rigid analytic space over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}^{ur}$, the $p$-adic completion of the maximal unramified extension of $F$. This space is defined by the deformation theory of one dimensional formal $p$-divisible groups with $\mathcal{O}_F$-action. We
do not give a precise definition of LT here, but we only note that LT is non-canonically isomorphic to the countable disjoint union of open unit disks:

\[ \text{LT} \cong \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\text{Spf } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_p}[[T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1}]])^{\text{rig}} \]

By putting the level \( p^r \)-structure on the universal \( p \)-divisible group on LT, we have a pro-\( \acute{e} \text{tale} \) Galois covering (Lubin-Tate tower): \( \text{LT}_\infty = \{ \text{LT}_r \}, \to \text{LT} \). The Galois group of the Lubin-Tate tower is \( \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \). On the \( \ell \)-adic cohomology with compact support

\[ H^r_c(\text{LT}_\infty, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) := \lim_{\to} H^r_c(\text{LT}_r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell), \]

we have a natural action of the product of three groups \( \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathcal{O}_D^* \times I_F \), where \( D \) is a central division algebra over \( F \) of invariant \( 1/n \), and \( I_F \) is the inertia group of \( F \). It is a nontrivial but important fact that this action naturally extends to an action of \( \text{GL}_n(F) \times D^* \times W_F \) using Hecke correspondences and the Weil descent datum ([Ca], [HT], [RZ]).

Using local and global methods, Harris-Taylor and Boyer obtained the following fantastic results.

**Theorem 2.1** (Harris-Taylor, Boyer ([HT], [Bo])). Let \( \tau \in \text{Irr}(D^\times) \) be an irreducible smooth representation of \( D^\times \), and let \( \text{JL}(\tau) \in \text{Irr}(\text{GL}_n(F)) \) be the discrete series representation of \( \text{GL}_n(F) \) corresponding to \( \tau \) by the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. By Zelevinsky’s classification, \( \text{JL}(\tau) \cong \text{Sp}_s(\pi) \), where \( n = st \) and \( \pi \) is a supercuspidal representation of \( \text{GL}_t(F) \). Then, we have an isomorphism as \( \text{GL}_n(F) \times W_F \)-representations:

\[
\left( \lim_{\to} \text{Hom}_{D^\times} \left( H^{n-1+i}_c(\text{LT}_r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell), \tau \right) \right)^{\text{Frob-ss}} \\
\cong \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 
\text{Sp}_{s-i}(\pi) \boxtimes \pi | \det |^{s-i} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \pi | \det |^{s-1} ) \otimes \text{LLC}(\pi^\vee) \left( \frac{n-s+2i}{2} \right) & 0 \leq i \leq s-1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}, 
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \( \text{Frob-ss} \) denotes the Frobenius semisimplification, \( \text{LLC}(\pi^\vee) \) denotes the local Langlands correspondence composed with contragredient, and \( \left( \frac{n-s+2i}{2} \right) \) denotes the Tate twist.

Precisely speaking, Harris-Taylor proved the equality of the alternating sum of the cohomology groups, and Boyer calculated the cohomology in each degree. They use vanishing cycle cohomology (or nearby cycle cohomology) which is dual to the \( \ell \)-adic cohomology of Lubin-Tate spaces. For an interpretation of the results of Harris-Taylor and Boyer in terms of the \( \ell \)-adic cohomology of Lubin-Tate spaces, see the proof of Proposition 2.2 in [S]. Historically, when Harris-Taylor studied the Lubin-Tate spaces, they in fact proved the local Langlands correspondence for \( \text{GL}_n/F \) and (an alternating sum version of) Theorem 2.1 simultaneously by a rather indirect inductive argument.

Let us observe the statement of Theorem 2.1 a little more. Assume that \( \text{JL}(\tau) \) is supercuspidal. Then, we have \( \text{JL}(\tau) = \pi \) and \( t = n, s = 1 \). The left hand side of Theorem
2.1 survives only when $i = 0$. When $i = 0$, we have
\[
\left( \lim_{r \to \infty} \text{Hom}_{D^\times} \left( H^{n-1}_c(LT_r, \overline{Q}_l), \tau \right) \right)^{\text{prob-sus}} \cong \pi \otimes \text{LLC}(\pi^\vee)(\frac{n-1}{2}).
\]

We see that the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence JL: $\tau \mapsto \pi$ and the local Langlands correspondence LLC are encoded in the $\ell$-adic cohomology of the Lubin-Tate space. Since the right hand side of Theorem 2.1 is not supercuspidal unless $i = 0$ (in fact, it is not discrete series), we have the following observation: supercuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ appear only in the middle degree cohomology $H^{n-1}_c$ of Lubin-Tate spaces. A local elegant proof of this non-supercuspidality result was obtained by Mieda ([Mi]). Next, assume that $\text{JL}(\tau)$ is not supercuspidal. We have $s > 1$. We also have the following observation: When $i$ becomes larger, the cohomology $H^{n-1+i}_c$ becomes farther away from the middle degree, and the representation $\text{Sp}_{n-i}(\pi) \boxtimes \text{det} |^{s-i} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes \text{det} |^{s-1}$ becomes "farther away" from the discrete series $\text{Sp}_n(\pi)$. In some sense, the distance of the cohomological degree from the middle degree measures the "distance" of the representation from the discrete series. It seems interesting to pursue it from the viewpoint of the derived category version of Theorem 2.1 established by Dat ([D]).

We would like to generalize Theorem 2.1 to general Rapoport-Zink spaces. Our knowledge is very limited for the moment. There are several difficulties both in representation theory and geometry. We can overcome the difficulties when the group $G$ is $\text{GU}_{1,2}/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-representation is supercuspidal.

3. THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE FOR THE UNITARY SIMILITUDE GROUPS IN THREE VARIABLES (AFTER ROGAWSKI)

Let $p$ be a prime number, $F$ a $p$-adic field, and $E/F$ a quadratic extension. We recall Rogawski’s results on the local Langlands correspondence for the unitary similitude group $\text{GU}_{1,2}/F$. Of course, our references are [Ro1] and [Ro2].

Let us consider the unitary similitude group in three variables defined by

\[
\text{GU}_{1,2}(R) := \left\{ (g, \lambda) \in \text{GL}_3(R \otimes_F E) \times R^\times \mid g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \overline{g} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
\]

for an $F$-algebra $R$, where $g \mapsto \overline{g}$ denotes the action of the nontrivial element of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$. Let $G'$ be another unitary similitude group in three variables with respect to $E/F$. By Langherr’s theorem, there are exactly two isomorphism classes of hermitian forms in three variables with respect to $E/F$, and the unitary similitude groups defined by the two hermitian forms are isomorphic. Hence $G'$ is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $\text{GU}_{1,2}/F$, and isomorphisms between them are unique up to inner automorphisms. Therefore, we can canonically identify $\text{Irr}(\text{GU}_{1,2}(F))$ and $\text{Irr}(G'(F))$. Hence we need only to consider $\text{GU}_{1,2}/F$ in this section.

The local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GU}_{1,2}/F$ was established by Rogawski. Let

\[
L_{\text{GU}_{1,2}} := \left( \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \right) \rtimes \text{W}_F
\]
be the $L$-group of $GU_{1,2}/F$. Let $\Phi(GU_{1,2}/F)$ be the set of ($GL_{3}(C) \times C^{*}$)-conjugacy classes of $L$-parameters

$$\phi: W_{F} \times SL_{2}(C) \longrightarrow L GU_{1,2}$$

(for the definition of $L$-groups and $L$-parameters, see [Ro1], [Ro2]). Rogawski defined a surjective map with finite fibers:

$$LLC: \text{Irr}(GU_{1,2}(F)) \longrightarrow \Phi(GU_{1,2}/F) .$$

For an $L$-parameter $\phi \in \Phi(GU_{1,2}/F)$, the fiber $\Pi_{\phi} := LLC^{-1}(\phi)$ is called the $L$-packet. Unlike the case of $GL_{n}/F$, the map LLC is not bijective. The cardinality of the $L$-packet $\Pi_{\phi}$ is either 1, 2 or 4 depending on $\phi$. The elements of $\Pi_{\phi}$ are parameterized by characters of a finite abelian group $S_{\phi}$, which is isomorphic to either $0, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ or $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2}$.

Rogawski also defined the $A$-packets for $GU_{1,2}/F$. The $A$-packets are finite subsets of $\text{Irr}(GU_{1,2}(F))$ parameterized by $A$-parameters

$$\phi: W_{F} \times SL_{2}(C) \times SL_{2}(C) \longrightarrow L GU_{1,2} .$$

The cardinality of an $A$-packet for $GU_{1,2}/F$ is either 1, 2 or 4. In most cases, $L$-packets are the same as $A$-packets. But there are few exceptions. In general, $A$-packets are not $L$-packets, and two $A$-packets may have nontrivial intersection. The notion of $A$-packets are important when we study global automorphic representations. The multiplicity formula for global automorphic representations is described in terms of global and local $A$-packets rather than $L$-packets (see [Ro2]). See also [BR1], [BR2], where the $\ell$-adic cohomology of Shimura varieties (Picard modular surfaces) was studied in terms of $A$-packets.

Precisely speaking, in [Ro1], Rogawski defined $L$-packets and $A$-packets for the unitary group $U_{1,2}/F$ rather than the unitary similitude group $GU_{1,2}/F$ using endoscopic character relations. The definition of the $L$-packets for $GU_{1,2}/F$ is given in [Ro2, §2]. Fortunately, the representation theory of $GU_{1,2}(F)$ is almost identical to that of $U_{1,2}(F)$ because $GU_{1,2}(F)$ is generated by its center and $U_{1,2}(F) \subset GU_{1,2}(F)$. We define $L$-packets (resp. $A$-packets) for $GU_{1,2}/F$ as follows: a finite set of irreducible smooth representations of $GU_{1,2}(F)$ is an $L$-packet (resp. $A$-packet) if and only if they have the same central character, and the restriction of them to $U_{1,2}(F)$ forms an $L$-packet (resp. $A$-packet) of $U_{1,2}/F$.

Rogawski classified $L$-packets for $U_{1,2}/F$ and $GU_{1,2}/F$ into 9 types according to the structure of $L$-parameters. See the list (1)–(9) in page 174 of [Ro1, §12.2], where the list is written for $U_{1,2}/F$. The list for $GU_{1,2}/F$ is essentially the same. Among 9 types of $L$-packets, the following 4 types of $L$-packets contain supercuspidal representations (for unexplained notation on endoscopic transfer, see [Ro1]).

**Type (2) $\Pi(St_{H}(\xi)) = \{\pi^{2}(\xi), \pi^{\delta}(\xi)\}$**

$\xi$ is a one-dimensional representation of the elliptic endoscopic group $U_{1,1}(F) \times U_{1}(F)$. $\pi^{2}(\xi)$ is non-supercuspidal discrete series, and $\pi^{\delta}(\xi)$ is supercuspidal.

**Type (4) $\Pi(\rho) = \{\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}\}$**

Both $\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}$ are supercuspidal. $\rho$ is a supercuspidal representation of $U_{1,1}(F) \times U_{1}(F)$, and not contained in any $L$-packet obtained from $U_{1}(F) \times U_{1}(F) \times U_{1}(F)$. 
Type (5) \( \Pi(\rho(\theta)) = \{\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3\} \)

All of \(\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3\) are supercuspidal. \(\theta\) is a regular character of \(U_1(F) \times U_1(F) \times U_1(F)\).

Type (9) \( \Pi = \{\pi_0\} \)

\(\pi_0\) is supercuspidal. \(\pi_0\) is not contained in any \(L\)-packet obtained from an \(L\)-packet of \(U_{1,1}(F) \times U_1(F)\).

In this list, all representations except for \(\pi^2(\xi)\) in Type (2) are supercuspidal. For \(L\)-packets of Type (4),(5),(9), the \(L\)-parameters have trivial \(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})\)-part. But for \(L\)-packets of Type (2), the \(L\)-parameters have nontrivial \(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})\)-part.

All of the \(L\)-packets of Type (2),(4),(5),(9) are also \(A\)-packets. There is another type of \(A\)-packets of the form \(\Pi(\xi) = \{\text{Zel}(\pi^2), \pi^s(\xi)\}\) consisting of a non-tempered unitary representation \(\text{Zel}(\pi^2)\) and a supercuspidal representation \(\pi^s(\xi)\) in an \(L\)-packet of Type (2) ([Ro1, §13.1]). The non-tempered representation \(\text{Zel}(\pi^2(\xi))\) is the Zelevinsky dual to the discrete series \(\pi^2(\xi)\). In [Ro1], \(\text{Zel}(\pi^2(\xi))\) is denoted by \(\pi^s(\xi)\). Therefore, a supercuspidal representation of the form \(\pi^s(\xi)\) is contained in two different \(A\)-packets. According to [Ro1], a supercuspidal representation not of the form \(\pi^s(\xi)\) is contained in exactly one \(A\)-packet.

The (standard) base change map is a natural map from the set of \(L\)-packets of \(\text{GU}_{1,2}/F\) to the set of \(L\)-packets of \(\text{GL}_3/E\). The \(L\)-group \(\text{L-GU}_{1,2}\) is a semidirect product of \(\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times\) and \(W_F\), which is split when it is restricted to \(W_E \subset W_F\). For an \(L\)-parameter \(\phi \in \Phi(\text{GU}_{1,2}/F)\), the restriction of \(\phi\) to \(W_E \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})\) composed with \(\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C}), (g, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda g\) gives the following homomorphism

\[
\phi_E: W_E \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \longrightarrow \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C}).
\]

The map \(\phi_E\) is an \(L\)-parameter for \(\text{GL}_3/E\). By the local Langlands correspondence for \(\text{GL}_3/E\), \(\phi_E\) corresponds to an irreducible smooth representation \(\pi_E \in \text{Irr}(\text{GL}_3(E))\). The map \(\Pi_\phi \mapsto \{\pi_E\}\) is called the (standard) base change map. There is a variant of this map, called the non-standard base change map or variant base change map, which is useful when we study a relation between base change and endoscopic transfer ([Ro2, §2.4]).

4. SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS IN THE \(\ell\)-ADIC COHOMOLOGY OF THE RAPPOPORT-ZINK SPACE FOR \(\text{GU}_{1,2}\)

From now on, we assume the following:

**Assumption 4.1.** \(p \neq 2\), \(F = \mathbb{Q}_p\), and \(E\) is a unramified quadratic extension of \(\mathbb{Q}_p\).

The main reason why we need such a technical assumption is geometric. We use Vollaard-Wedhorn's results on the underlying space of the Rapoport-Zink space for \(\text{GU}_{1,2}/\mathbb{Q}_p\). Their papers [V], [VW] are written under this assumption. (In fact, Vollaard-Wedhorn obtained similar results for \(\text{GU}_{1,n-1}/\mathbb{Q}_p\) for any \(n\) ([VW]). In [Z], Wei Zhang studied the Rapoport-Zink space for \(\text{GU}_{1,2}/F\) when \(F \neq \mathbb{Q}_p\) (still assuming \(p \neq 2\) and \(E/F\) is unramified). But a cautious reader will note that the details of proofs are not written in [Z]. Instead, [VW] is cited in that paper.)
Let $M$ be the Rapoport-Zink space for $\text{GU}_{1,2}/F$. This is the rigid analytic space associated with the moduli space of quasi-isogenies of 3-dimensional $p$-divisible groups with $\mathcal{O}_E$-action satisfying certain conditions on the Lie algebra (for the precise definition, see [V], [VW]). Let

$$M_\infty = \{M_r\}_r \longrightarrow M$$

be the Rapoport-Zink tower on $M$. On the $\ell$-adic cohomology with compact support

$$H^i_c(M_\infty, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) := \lim_{\longrightarrow} H^i_c(M_r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell),$$

we have a natural action of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_E$. We would like to study $H^i_c(M_\infty, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ as a representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_E$. The $p$-adic reductive groups $G$ and $J$ are (non-canonically) isomorphic to the unitary similitude group $\text{GU}_{1,2}/\mathbb{Q}_p$. Hence we can use results on the local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GU}_{1,2}/\mathbb{Q}_p$ as in §3.

By several technical reasons, we cannot study $H^i_c(M_\infty, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ directly. Instead, we study the following space. For $\tau \in \text{Irr}(J(\mathbb{Q}_p))$, we define a $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_E$-representation $M^i(\tau)$ by

$$M^i(\tau) := \left( \lim_{\longrightarrow} \text{Hom}_{J(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(H^i_c(M_r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell), \tau) \right)^{\text{Frob-ss, } G(\mathbb{Q}_p)-\text{supercusp}},$$

where "Frob-ss" denotes the Frobenius semisimplification and "$G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-supercusp" denotes the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-supercuspidal part. We would like to determine $M^i(\tau)$ as a representation of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_E$.

Now we give the statement of our main results. As you may imagine, the structure of $M^i(\tau)$ depends on the type of the $L$-packet containing $\tau$. Recall that there are 4 types of $L$-packets of $G \cong J \cong \text{GU}_{1,2}/\mathbb{Q}_p$ (see §3) containing supercuspidal representations. There is another type of $A$-packet containing both supercuspidal representations and non-tempered representations. Recall that, for an $L$-parameter $\phi \in \Phi(\text{GU}_{1,2}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$,

$$\phi_E : W_E \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$$

denotes the base change of $\phi$.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\tau \in \text{Irr}(J(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ be an irreducible smooth representation of $J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $L$-parameter $\phi \in \Phi(\text{GU}_{1,2}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Assume that $\tau$ belongs to an $L$-packet or $A$-packet containing a supercuspidal representation. (Note that $\tau$ itself need not be supercuspidal.) Then, we calculate the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_E$-representation $M^i(\tau)$ as follows.

- Assume that $\tau$ belongs to an $L$-packet of Type (9) (i.e. $\tau$ is supercuspidal and $\{\pi\}$ forms an $L$-packet). We consider $\tau \in \text{Irr}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ via an isomorphism $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong J(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then, we have

$$M^i(\tau) = \begin{cases} \tau \otimes \phi_E(1) & i = 2 \\ 0 & i \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

Here, (1) denotes the Tate twist. In this case, $\phi_E$ is an irreducible 3-dimensional representation of $W_E$. 


• Assume that $\tau$ belongs to an $L$-packet of Type (4) (i.e. $\tau$ belongs to an $L$-packet of the form $\{\pi_0, \pi_1\}$, where $\pi_0$ is generic supercuspidal and $\pi_1$ is non-generic supercuspidal). Then, $\tau$ is either $\pi_0$ or $\pi_1$. In this case, $\phi_E$ is a direct sum of a character of $W_E$ and an irreducible 2-dimensional representation of $W_E$. We decompose it as $\phi_E = \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$, where $\dim \phi_i = i$. Then, for $k = 0, 1$, we have

$$M^i(\pi_k) = \begin{cases} (\pi_k \otimes \phi_1(1)) \oplus (\pi_{1-k} \otimes \phi_2(1)) & i = 2 \\ 0 & i \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

• Assume that $\tau$ belongs to an $L$-packet of Type (5) (i.e. $\tau$ belongs to an $L$-packet of the form $\{\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3\}$, where $\pi_0$ is generic supercuspidal and $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3$ are non-generic supercuspidal.) Then, $\tau$ is either $\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2$ or $\pi_3$. In this case, $\phi_E$ is a direct sum of three different characters, i.e. $\phi_E = \theta_1 \oplus \theta_2 \oplus \theta_3$. For each $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, we have a bijection

$$\sigma_k: \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \backslash \{k\} \overset{1:1}{\longrightarrow} \{1, 2, 3\}$$

Then, for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, we have

$$M^i(\pi_k) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \backslash \{k\}} (\pi_j \otimes \theta_{\sigma_k(j)}(1)) & i = 2 \\ 0 & i \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

(Note that $\pi_k$ does not appear in $M^i(\pi_k)$. We do not explain how to specify $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ and how to define $\sigma_k$. They can be defined explicitly in terms of characters of $S_\Phi \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$.)

• Assume that $\tau$ belongs to an $L$-packet of Type (2) (i.e. $\tau$ belongs to an $L$-packet of the form $\{\pi^2, \pi^\ast\}$, where $\pi^2$ is non-supercuspidal discrete series and $\pi^\ast$ is supercuspidal.) In this case, $\phi_E|_{SL_2(\mathbb{C})}$ is nontrivial. As a representation of $W_E \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\phi_E = (\nu \otimes \text{std}) \oplus (\xi \otimes \text{triv}),$$

where $\nu, \xi$ are characters of $W_E$, and std (resp. triv) denotes the standard (resp. trivial) representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then, we have

$$M^i(\pi^2) = \begin{cases} \pi^\ast \otimes \nu(-\frac{1}{2}) & i = 2 \\ 0 & i \neq 2 \end{cases}, \quad M^i(\pi^\ast) = \begin{cases} \pi^\ast \otimes \xi & i = 2 \\ 0 & i \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

(Note that we take the $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$-supercuspidal part in the definition of $M^i$. Hence $\pi^2$ does not appear in $M^i(\pi^2), M^i(\pi^\ast)$. It seems natural to expect that $\pi^2$ also appears in the space $\lim_{\rightarrow} \text{Hom}_{J(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(H^2_c(M_r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}), \tau)$.)

• Assume that $\tau$ is non-tempered, and $\tau$ belongs to an $A$-packet containing a supercuspidal representation. Then, there is an $L$-packet $\{\pi^2, \pi^\ast\}$ of Type (2) such that $\tau = \text{Zel}(\pi^2)$. Then, $\{\tau = \text{Zel}(\pi^2), \pi^\ast\}$ is an $A$-packet containing $\tau$ and a supercuspidal representation $\pi^\ast$. Let $\phi'$ be the $L$-parameter of the $L$-packet $\{\pi^2, \pi^\ast\}$, and denote the base change of $\phi'$ as $\phi'_E = (\nu \otimes \text{std}) \oplus (\xi \otimes \text{triv})$. Then, we have

$$M^i(\text{Zel}(\pi^2)) = \begin{cases} \pi^\ast \otimes \nu(\frac{1}{2}) & i = 3 \\ 0 & i \neq 3 \end{cases}$$
(Note that $\pi^{s}$ appears in $H^{3}_{c}$ (not in $H^{2}_{c}$) in this case. The $L$-parameter $\phi_{E}$ can be obtained from $\phi_{E}^{s}$ by the same method as in the definition of $\phi_{E}$ in page 19 of [A1].)

Interested reader may compare Theorem 4.2 with Theorem 2.1. Note that all supercuspidal representations of $G(\mathbb{Q}_{p})$ appears in the middle degree cohomology $H^{2}_{c}$ of the Rapoport-Zink space. Supercuspidal representations of $G(\mathbb{Q}_{p})$ whose $L$-parameters have nontrivial $\text{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$-part (i.e. those belonging to $L$-packets of Type (2) in Rogawski’s list) appear both in $H^{2}_{c}$ and $H^{3}_{c}$.

We explain the outline of the proof. In short, our proof is a combination of the methods of Harris-Taylor for Lubin-Tate spaces (so-called “Boyer’s trick”), and the methods of Harris for Drinfeld upper half spaces using $p$-adic uniformization and the Hochshild-Serre spectral sequence ([HT], [Ha1]). We use the Hochshild-Serre spectral sequence constructed by Fargues ([Far1, Corollaire 4.5.21]):

$$E_{2}^{i,j} = \lim_{\tau} \text{Ext}^{j}_{\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}_{p})}((M_{r}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}), \mathscr{A}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(Sh_{\text{basic}}^{\text{rig}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}),$$

where $\mathscr{A}$ denotes a space of automorphic forms on an inner form $I$ of $\text{GU}_{1,2}/\mathbb{Q}$ such that $I(\mathbb{R})$ is compact modulo center. This spectral sequence is $\text{GU}_{1,2}(\mathbb{A}_{f}) \times W_{E}$-equivariant, and it connects the $\ell$-adic cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink space and the $\ell$-adic cohomology of the rigid analytic space associated with the formal completion along the basic locus (supersingular locus in the notation of [V], [W]) of the Shimura variety (Picard modular surface). Since the split semisimple rank of $J \cong \text{GU}_{1,2}/\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is equal to 1, this spectral sequence degenerates at $E_{2}$-terms ([SS, Corollary III 3.3]). Hence we can obtain information on the $\ell$-adic cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink space from that of the Shimura variety. The calculation of the $\ell$-adic cohomology of unitary Shimura varieties was finally completed by Shin ([S]). In order to isolate the $G(\mathbb{Q}_{p})$-supercuspidal part, we use non-supercuspidality results as in [IM1]. In order to obtain the information of $M^{i}_{c}(\tau)$ for each $i$, we globalize $\tau$ to an automorphic representation of $\text{GU}_{1,2}(\mathbb{A})$ appropriately. Rogawski’s multiplicity formula for global $A$-packets plays an important role ([Ro1], [Ro2]).

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND SOME SPECULATIONS

Theorem 4.2 seems one of the first results on the endoscopic decomposition of the $\ell$-adic cohomology in each degree of the Rapoport-Zink spaces where the group $G$ (or $J$) is not an inner form of $\text{GL}_{n}$. Of course, it is a natural question to generalize Theorem 4.2 to more general Rapoport-Zink spaces.

Results similar to Theorem 4.2 can be obtained for $\text{GSp}_{4}/\mathbb{Q}_{p}$. The geometry of the supersingular locus of the Siegel threefolds is classically known (cf. [LO]). We have non-supercuspidality results ([IM1]). Thanks to the work of Gan, Takeda, Tantono, Chan, we have fairly complete information about the local Langlands and Jacquet-Langlands correspondences for $\text{GSp}_{4}$ and its inner forms ([GTak1], [GTan], [GC]). In fact, understanding the results of [GTak1], [GTan] was a source of inspiration and motivation of our work.
For more general Rapoport-Zink spaces, very little is known. There are many difficulties both in representation theory and geometry. Representation theoretically, we do not yet have satisfactory results on the local Langlands and Jacquet-Langlands correspondences in general. Thanks to the recent results of Arthur and Mok, we can now understand $L$-packets and $A$-packets better than before ([A2], [Mo]). We would like to understand more. We would like to know which members in $L$-packets (and $A$-packets) are supercuspidal (see also [Mo]). They treat quasi-split semisimple groups (such as Sp$_{2n}$), but we need to work with inner forms with similitudes (such as GSp$_{2n}$ or an inner form of it). For the comparison between $L$-packets for Sp$_4$ and GSp$_4$, see [GTak2]. Geometrically, the situation seems more serious and we need new ideas. The geometry of Rapoport-Zink spaces seems more complicated for higher rank groups such as GU$_{r,s}$ ($r + s \geq 4$) and GSp$_{2n}$ ($n \geq 3$). For example, for $G = \text{GSp}_{2n}$, the dimension of the underlying space of the Rapoport-Zink space is $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ ([LO]), which is much larger than the semisimple rank of GSp$_{2n}$. The analysis of the Hochshild-Serre spectral sequence would become more difficult for higher rank groups.

Nevertheless, it seems natural to expect that a supercuspidal representation $\pi$ of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ appears outside the middle degree cohomology of the Rapoport-Zink space if and only if the $L$-parameter of $\pi$ has nontrivial $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-part. Perhaps, it might be helpful to study particular supercuspidal representations as a motivating example. For example, in [HKS], Harris-Kudla-Sweet constructed supercuspidal representations of unitary groups over a $p$-adic field whose (conjectural) $L$-parameter have large $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-part ([HKS, Speculation 7.7]).

Of course, there are many other problems on the geometry and the cohomology of Rapoport-Zink spaces which are not discussed in this note. It is interesting to study the contribution of non-supercuspidal representations as in Boyer’s work ([Bo]). Except for Lubin-Tate spaces and Drinfeld upper half spaces, very little is known so far.
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