

Title:

"Crt10 directs the cullin-E3 ligase Rtt101 to nonfunctional 25S rRNA decay"

Authors:

Tomoko Sakata^a, Kotaro Fujii^a, Mutsuhito Ohno^{a*}, Makoto Kitabatake^{a*}

Affiliation address:

a) Institute for Virus Research, Kyoto University, Shogoin-Kawaharacho 53, Sakyo-ku,

Kyoto 606-8507, Japan.

*) Corresponding authors:

Institute for Virus Research, Kyoto University, Shogoin-Kawaharacho 53, Sakyo-ku,

Kyoto 606-8507, Japan.

Tel: +81-75-751-3993; Fax: +81-75-751-3992

Email: hitoohno@virus.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Email: kmakoto@virus.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abbreviations:

NRD, nonfunctional rRNA decay; PTC, peptidyl-transferase center; CRL, cullin-RING
ubiquitin ligase complexes; Paf1C, Paf1 complex

ABSTRACT

Nonfunctional mutant ribosomal RNAs in 40S or 60S subunits are selectively degraded in eukaryotic cells (nonfunctional rRNA decay, NRD). We previously reported that NRD of 25S rRNA required cullin-E3 ligase Rtt101 and its associating factor Mms1, both of which are involved in DNA repair. Although Mms22, an accessory component of the E3 complex, was suggested to direct the E3 complex to DNA repair, the factor that directs the complex to 25S NRD currently remains unknown. We herein demonstrated that another accessory component, Crt10 was required for 25S NRD, but not for DNA repair, suggesting that this accessory component specifies the function of the E3 complex differently. We also further divided Crt10-containing E3 complexes into sub-complexes, one of which contained the Paf1 complex, a Pol-II binding complex that modulates the transcription of stress-related genes. Our results showed the convergence of multiple pathways for stresses that harm nucleic acids and provided a molecular framework for the substrate diversity of the complex. (159 words)

Keywords: Ribosome, Quality Control, Ubiquitin E3 ligase

INTRODUCTION

A number of quality control mechanisms have been identified in the production of ribosomal subunits [1]. Nonfunctional 18S rRNA with a deleterious mutation in its decoding center, and 25S rRNA with a peptidyl-transferase center (PTC) mutation, were both shown to be selectively eliminated from the cytoplasm of *S. cerevisiae* [2]. These quality control systems have been referred to as nonfunctional rRNA decay or NRD. In 2009, Cole *et al* demonstrated that Dom34 and Hbs1, previously reported factors in mRNA quality control, were involved in the decay of nonfunctional 18S rRNA in 18S NRD [3]. In the same year, our group reported that Mms1 and Rtt101 (also called as Cul8), previously identified as DNA repair factors [4,5], were required for 25S NRD [6]. Guided by the reported similarity in these two factors to the components of cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase (CRL) complexes, we found that they were essential for the selective ubiquitination of ribosomal particles containing nonfunctional 25S rRNAs [6,7].

CRLs constitute a large family of E3 ubiquitin ligases [8], including the SCF (Skp1-cullin-F-box) complex. The SCF complex typically consists of Skp1, Cullin, Hrt1 (also called Rbx1 and Roc1), and one of the variable F-box-containing proteins. Cullin is

a scaffold component of the complex that is connected by Skp1 to an F-box protein, a substrate recognition subunit of the ligase. One of the factors involved in 25S NRD, Rtt101, was shown to belong to the cullin family [9]. Interactions between Rtt101, Hrt1, and the E2 enzyme Cdc34 have already been reported [10,11]. On the other hand, Rtt101 lacks the Skp1-binding motif [10] and no F-box protein has been reported for Rtt101, which suggests that Mms1 may be a bridge protein that recruits substrate recognition subunits. One such candidate is Mms22, which was previously shown to be indispensable for the function of Rtt101 and Mms1-containing E3 ligase in the DNA repair pathway [12]. However, since Mms22 is dispensable for NRD [6], the factor that directs the E3 ligase complex to the 25S NRD pathway has not yet been identified.

In the present study, we examined the binding partners of the E3 ligase complex and identified Crt10 as a factor that directed the ligase to the 25S NRD pathway. We showed that 25S NRD was abolished in the *crt10Δ* strain while DNA repair activity was unaffected. We also revealed a stable interaction between the Crt10-containing complex and Paf1C, a complex associated with RNA polymerase II [13]. Since Paf1C was not required for 25S NRD, we concluded that the Crt10-containing E3 ligase complex could be further divided, indicating the functional complexity of this E3 ubiquitin ligase

complex.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and growth conditions

The plasmids, strains, and primers used in this study are described in Supplemental table S1, S2, and S3. The Yeast knock-out strain collection and Tet-off Hughes collection (yTHC) were purchased from Open Biosystems. The Paf1 gene was manually disrupted with a kanamycin-resistant cassette from pFA6a-13Myc-kanMX6 [14].

To achieve expression from the Gal7 promoter, cells grown in SD medium containing 2% raffinose were diluted and grown in SD medium containing 2% galactose, as described previously [6]. The Cdc34 tet-off strain of yTHC was grown in SD medium containing 2% galactose and 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ Doxycycline (Dox, Sigma) for the Tet-off assay and was harvested 12 hours after the Dox treatment.

Serial dilutions of exponentially growing cultures of the indicated yeast strains were spotted onto YPD plates containing 20 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ camptothecin (CPT, Wako Pure Chemical Industries), 0.01% methyl methanesulfonate (MMS, Nacalai Tesque), or 250 $\mu\text{g/ml}$

Congo Red (CR, Nacalai Tesque) for the sensitivity assay. Cells were grown for 2 days at 30°C.

RNA purification and qRT-PCR

Total yeast RNA was purified by the MasterPure Yeast RNA Purification Kit (Epicentre Biotechnologies). A Northern analysis of tagged rRNAs was performed as previously described [6]. qRT-PCR was performed using the SuperScript III Platinum SYBR Green One-Step qRT-PCR Kit (Invitrogen) with the Applied Biosystems Step One Plus Real-time PCR System by the standard curve method. The primers MK251 and MK253 were used to quantify plasmid-derived tagged rRNA. To normalize tagged rRNA, the amount of non-tagged 25S rRNA was measured by qRT-PCR with the primers Kota30 and Kota31 or the A260 Unit.

Immunoprecipitation and Western Blot

Immunoprecipitation by anti-Flag M2 agarose was performed as described previously [6]. Equal amounts of 3x Flag eluates were subjected to 5-20% (Figure 2B) SDS-PAGE

for immunoblotting. After transfer of the proteins to a nitrocellulose membrane (PROTRAN, Whatman), the membrane was probed by an anti-Flag polyclonal antibody (1:1000, Sigma), anti-Myc polyclonal antibody (1:2000, Sigma), or anti-HA monoclonal antibody (1:1000, HA124, Nacalai Tesque). ECL (GE Healthcare) was used for visualization.

Purification of the Mms1-Crt10 complex

The wild type and Mms1-Flag/Crt10-HA strains expressing pA2451U were grown in 4L of SD-galactose medium to the mid-log phase. The cell pellet was frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle. The resulting cell powder was then resuspended on ice in IP buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% NP-40, 0.1M PMSF) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail. Extracts were clarified by centrifugation at 4°C for 5 min at 5,000×g and for 20 min at 18,000×g. The lysate was then mixed with anti-Flag M2 agarose beads and rotated at 4°C overnight. The resin was washed with IP buffer and then incubated with IP buffer containing 0.1mg/mL 3x Flag peptides. 3x Flag eluates were filtered and rotated with anti-HA monoclonal antibody magnet beads (MBL) at 4°C overnight for the second immunoprecipitation. The beads were washed with IP buffer and boiled with SDS

sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris-HCl (pH6.8), 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 5% 2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.02% Bromophenol blue) for elution. The eluates were subjected to 5-20% gradient SDS-PAGE, and the proteins were visualized by silver staining and excised separately from the gel.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Crt10 was required for 25S NRD

The aim of this study was to identify the factors that physically linked the Mms1-containing E3 ligase complex and nonfunctional ribosomes. To analyze the involvement of known Mms1-binding proteins in 25S NRD, we selected 6 nonessential genes and 1 essential gene for our initial analysis [10,15,16,17].

We used the 25S NRD reporter plasmid developed previously [6] (Figure 1A). With this plasmid, nonfunctional mutant 25S rRNA with an 18-nt tag sequence in its nonessential loop was transcribed from the RNA Pol II promoter, the Gal7 promoter. The tag sequence was used to quantify the 25S NRD substrate by Northern blotting or qRT-PCR. We introduced point mutations into the PTC to make the rRNA nonfunctional.

When Cdc34, an E2 enzyme, was depleted by the Tet-off system, all of the nonfunctional 25S rRNA mutants tested accumulated in the cells (Figure 1B), confirming that the

Mms1 complex functioned in 25S NRD by associating with Cdc34. Most of the nonessential genes analyzed in Supplementary Figure 1 were not involved in degrading the 25S NRD substrate, A2451U, which was consistent with CRLs using multiple accessory components in distinct pathways. In contrast, the 25S NRD substrate accumulated in the *crt10Δ* strain.

In order to determine whether the *crt10Δ* strain also accumulated in other nonfunctional 25S rRNA mutants, two mutant 25S rRNAs, C2452G and U2585A, were expressed in the *crt10Δ* strain and the relative amount of tagged 25S rRNAs was measured by the qRT-PCR assay. As shown in Figure 1C, all of the tested mutant 25S rRNAs accumulated in the *crt10Δ* strain. The increase observed in nonfunctional 25S rRNAs disappeared when functional Crt10 was provided by the expression plasmid. These results clearly indicated that Crt10 was involved in the cellular level of nonfunctional 25S rRNAs.

The accumulation of nonfunctional 25S rRNAs in the *crt10Δ* strain was attributed to a reduction in their degradation by 25S NRD. To clarify this issue, we investigated the stability of nonfunctional mutant 25S rRNAs in this strain.

The transcription of a mutant rRNA could be shut off in our reporter system (Figure 1A) by replacing the growth media from galactose-containing media to glucose-containing media. After the transcriptional shut-off, cells were harvested at several time points and the stability of nonfunctional mutant 25S rRNAs was examined by Northern blotting using a probe designed for the tag sequence (Figure 1D).

In the wild type strain, all three nonfunctional mutant 25S rRNAs were degraded during the assay and showed a wide range of half-lives (Figure 1D). In contrast, all of these RNAs were mostly stabilized in the *crt10Δ* strain. We concluded that Crt10 was required for the degradation step of nonfunctional rRNAs in 25S NRD, as was previously reported for both Mms1 and Rtt101 [6].

2. Crt10 was required for ubiquitination of nonfunctional ribosomes

When the sucrose density sedimentation assay was performed with the *crt10Δ* strain, we noted that the distribution pattern of nonfunctional mutant rRNAs was similar to that in the *mms1Δ* strain. The signals in the 80S as well as 60S fractions were more

intensified in the *crt10Δ* strain (Supplementary Figure 2, *crt10Δ*) than in the wild type strain (Supplementary Figure 2, wild type).

Since the *crt10Δ* strain has a similar phenotype to the *mms1Δ* strain in the above assay, and because a physical interaction has been reported previously between Mms1 and Crt10 [10], we assumed that these two factors were involved in the same step of 25S NRD as a complex. We performed a genetic epistasis analysis for these two factors to provide additional evidence to support this interpretation. The double mutant strain *mms1Δcrt10Δ* was created for this purpose.

As is clearly indicated in Figure 2A, the stabilization of each nonfunctional 25S rRNA was partial in both the *mms1Δ* strain and *crt10Δ* strain. The accumulation patterns of different nonfunctional rRNAs in these two single mutant strains were similar. When the *mms1Δcrt10Δ* double mutant strain was examined, we observed the partial stabilization pattern of mutant 25S rRNAs, which were indistinguishable from those in each single mutant strain (Figure 2A). These results support a model in which Mms1 and Crt10 function in the same process of 25S NRD, ribosomal ubiquitination. To

confirm this model, we expressed A2451U nonfunctional 25S rRNA in the *crt10Δ* strain and examined ubiquitination of the ribosomal fraction of this strain (Figure 2B).

As we previously reported [6], the overexpression of A2451U in the wild type strain enhanced the ubiquitination of ribosomal particles (Figure 2B, compare lanes 3 and 4). These ubiquitin signals were dependent on Mms1 (Figure 2B, lanes 5 and 6). As we predicted, the same phenotype was confirmed for the *crt10Δ* strain; the ubiquitinated proteins at 40, 50, and 60-kDa, characteristic of A2451U expression, were hardly observed in this strain (Figure 2B, lanes 7 and 8 compared to lanes 3 and 4). These results clearly demonstrated that Crt10 was required for the ubiquitination of nonfunctional ribosomes.

A simple interpretation for the aforementioned results was that Crt10 was required for the activity of the E3 ligase complex. On the other hand, Crt10 may also have contributed to the stability of Mms1 or Rtt101. If the latter is the case, the depression of 25S NRD in the *crt10Δ* strain can be attributed solely to the decrease in Mms1 or Rtt101, and not to the functional modulation of the E3 ligase. To exclude the latter possibility, we measured the amount of Mms1 and Rtt101 in the wild type and *crt10Δ*

strain. As shown in Supplementary Figure 3, the deletion of Crt10 did not reduce Mms1 or Rtt101. We concluded that Crt10 was required for the function of the E3 complex.

3. Paf1C physically associated with Crt10 and Mms1, but not was involved in 25S NRD

To obtain a deeper insight into the function of Crt10, a pull-down experiment was performed to identify the factors associating with the Crt10-Mms1 complex. We created a strain that expressed Mms1-Flag and Crt10-HA from their native promoters to purify the E3 ligase complex by sequential immunoprecipitation (Figure 3A, 3B and Supplementary Figure 4). We found that the major binding partner of the Crt10-Mms1 complex was the Paf1 complex (Paf11C), an RNA polymerase II-binding complex that regulates the transcription levels of stress-related genes [13]. This result prompted us to examine the involvement of Paf1C in 25S NRD. However, as shown in Figure 3C and 3D, we did not detect any defect in 25S NRD in the *paf1Δ* strain, suggesting that the function of the Crt10-containing E3 complex can be further divided.

We reasoned that Paf1C could be a substrate of this E3 complex. We next determined whether the expression level of Paf1C was up-regulated in the absence of this complex

(Supplementary Figure 5). The flag-tagged Paf1C components, Paf1p, Cdc73p, and Leo1p, were expressed and detected by Western Blot (compare lanes 2, 6, and 10 to lane 1 for the wild type strain). The signals of the Paf1C components were increased in the *crt10Δ* strain (compare lanes 4, 8, and 12 with lanes 2, 6, and 10, respectively). Similar increases were observed in the *mms1Δ* strain (compare lanes 3, 7, and 11 with lanes 2, 6, and 10, respectively), as expected. A previous study reported that each component of Paf1C was unstable in the deletion strain of Ctr9, one of the components of Paf1C [18]. This effect was also confirmed in our strains (lanes 5, 9, and 13), indicating that the measurement of these tagged proteins was quantitative. These results led us to conclude that Crt10 partly affected the abundance of Paf1C *in vivo*.

The increase in Paf1C components described above was modest. One explanation for this is that only a limited population of Paf1C constituted the targets of the E3 ligase. Consistent with this model, when we examined the effects of the Crt10 deletion on the function of Paf1C by the qRT-PCR assay, we could not see any change in the mRNA expression levels of Paf1C-controlled genes (Supplementary Figure 6).

4. Disruption of Crt10 genetically segregated 25S NRD from the DNA repair pathway

We also investigated the effects of the *Crt10* deletion on the function of Paf1C in a phenotypic assay. A previous study reported that the *paf1Δ* strain was susceptible to Congo Red (CR) for defects in cell wall assembly [19]. This phenotype was reproduced (Figure 4A). However, we found that the *crt10Δ* strain was not sensitive to CR, suggesting that *Crt10* was not required for the function of Paf1C in this system. We also demonstrated that *Mms1* and *Rtt101* were not fully required for CR resistance, although partial growth retardation was observed for both deletion strains.

Although the effects of the *Crt10* deletion on the function of Paf1C were not observed in the drug-resistance assay, we noted an interesting feature during the experiment. As *Mms1* and *Rtt101* are involved in DNA repair, the *mms1Δ* strain and *rtt101Δ* strain are hypersensitive to DNA-damaging drugs such as MMS and CPT (Figure 4A, compare the *mms1Δ* or *rtt101Δ* strain with the wild type strain). In the case of *Mms22*, another binding partner of *Mms1* and *Rtt101*, the *mms22Δ* strain was also sensitive to these drugs (Figure 4A, *mms22Δ*). However, the *crt10Δ* strain was resistant to both of these conditions (Figure 4A, *crt10Δ*). These results clearly indicated that the 25S NRD pathway was genetically segregated from the DNA repair pathway.

5. Crt10 defined substrate recognition of the Mms1-Rtt101-containing E3 ligase complex

Binding of the Mms1-Rtt101 complex with Crt10 or Mms22 was previously shown to be mutually exclusive. In this study, we showed that Crt10 was required for 25S NRD, but not for DNA repair, and vice versa for Mms22. These results clearly indicated that these accessory factors modulated the function of the E3 ligase complex differently and independently. We also further divided Crt10-containing E3 complexes into sub-complexes, one of which contained Paf1C.

Although the significance of Crt10 binding to Paf1C currently remains unclear, these results broaden our understanding of the function of this E3 ligase complex in various pathways. It is of particular interest to note that the factors associating with the Mms1-Rtt101 complex, Crt10, Paf1C, and Mms22, were all related to stress response pathways [10,20]. We presumed that a series of distinct, but related pathways were coordinated by this E3 complex to reserve prompt responses to various defects against various DNA/RNA-damaging stresses. Further analyses of this E3 complex will assist

in elucidating this intricate system.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Philippe Pasero and Jérôme Poli for sharing unpublished results. We thank Drs. Ichiro Taniguchi, Kodai Sano, and Tokie Sakai for their technical advice and discussions, and Drs. Kaori Shinmyozu and Akira Nakamura for mass spectrometry analyses. The yeast strain (BY20693) was provided by the National Bio-Resource Project (NBRP) of the MEXT, Japan. T.S. and K.F. are JSPS (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science) Research Fellows. This work was supported by Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (MEXT), [26440004 to M.K., 26113004 to M.O.] and Takeda Science Foundation to M.K.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at <http://XXXXXXXXX>.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.L.J. Lafontaine, A 'garbage can' for ribosomes: how eukaryotes degrade their ribosomes, Trends in Biochemical Sciences 35 (2010) 267-277.

- [2] F.J. LaRiviere, S.E. Cole, D.J. Ferullo, M.J. Moore, A late-acting quality control process for mature eukaryotic rRNAs, *Molecular Cell* 24 (2006) 619-626.
- [3] S.E. Cole, F.J. LaRiviere, C.N. Merrih, M.J. Moore, A Convergence of rRNA and mRNA Quality Control Pathways Revealed by Mechanistic Analysis of Nonfunctional rRNA Decay, *Molecular Cell* 34 (2009) 440-450.
- [4] L. Prakash, S. Prakash, ISOLATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MMS-SENSITIVE MUTANTS OF SACCHAROMYCES-CEREVISIAE, *Genetics* 86 (1977) 33-55.
- [5] D.T. Scholes, M. Banerjee, B. Bowen, M.J. Curcio, Multiple regulators of Tyl transposition in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* have conserved roles in genome maintenance, *Genetics* 159 (2001) 1449-1465.
- [6] K. Fujii, M. Kitabatake, T. Sakata, A. Miyata, M. Ohno, A role for ubiquitin in the clearance of nonfunctional rRNAs, *Genes & Development* 23 (2009) 963-974.
- [7] K. Fujii, M. Kitabatake, T. Sakata, M. Ohno, 40S subunit dissociation and proteasome-dependent RNA degradation in nonfunctional 25S rRNA decay, *Embo Journal* 31 (2012) 2579-2589.
- [8] E.S. Zimmerman, B.A. Schulman, N. Zheng, Structural assembly of cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase complexes, *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 20 (2010)

714-721.

[9] J.J. Michel, J.F. McCarville, Y. Xiong, A role for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Cul8 ubiquitin ligase in proper anaphase progression, *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 278 (2003) 22828-22837.

[10] I.W. Zaidi, G. Rabut, A. Poveda, H. Scheel, J. Malmstroem, H. Ulrich, K. Hofmann, P. Pasero, M. Peter, B. Luke, Rtt101 and Mms1 in budding yeast form a CUL4(DDB1)-like ubiquitin ligase that promotes replication through damaged DNA, *Embo Reports* 9 (2008) 1034-1040.

[11] E. Duro, J.A. Vaisica, G.W. Brown, J. Rouse, Budding yeast Mms22 and Mms1 regulate homologous recombination induced by replisome blockage, *DNA Repair* 7 (2008) 811-818.

[12] J.A. Vaisica, A. Baryshnikova, M. Costanzo, C. Boone, G.W. Brown, Mms1 and Mms22 stabilize the replisome during replication stress, *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 22 (2011) 2396-2408.

[13] B.N. Tomson, K.M. Arndt, The many roles of the conserved eukaryotic Paf1 complex in regulating transcription, histone modifications, and disease states, *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms* 1829 (2013) 116-126.

[14] J. Bahler, J.Q. Wu, M.S. Longtine, N.G. Shah, A. McKenzie, A.B. Steever, A. Wach, P.

- Philippsen, J.R. Pringle, Heterologous modules for efficient and versatile PCR-based gene targeting in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, *Yeast* 14 (1998) 943-951.
- [15] S. Mimura, T. Yamaguchi, S. Ishii, E. Noro, T. Katsura, C. Obuse, T. Kamura, Cul8/Rtt101 Forms a Variety of Protein Complexes That Regulate DNA Damage Response and Transcriptional Silencing, *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 285 (2010) 9858-9867.
- [16] N.J. Krogan, G. Cagney, H.Y. Yu, G.Q. Zhong, X.H. Guo, A. Ignatchenko, J. Li, S.Y. Pu, N. Datta, A.P. Tikuisis, T. Punna, J.M. Peregrin-Alvarez, M. Shales, X. Zhang, M. Davey, M.D. Robinson, A. Paccanaro, J.E. Bray, A. Sheung, B. Beattie, D.P. Richards, V. Canadien, A. Lalev, F. Mena, P. Wong, A. Starostine, M.M. Canete, J. Vlasblom, S. Wu, C. Orsi, S.R. Collins, S. Chandran, R. Haw, J.J. Rilstone, K. Gandi, N.J. Thompson, G. Musso, P. St Onge, S. Ghanny, M.H.Y. Lam, G. Butland, A.M. Altaf-Ui, S. Kanaya, A. Shilatifard, E. O'Shea, J.S. Weissman, C.J. Ingles, T.R. Hughes, J. Parkinson, M. Gerstein, S.J. Wodak, A. Emili, J.F. Greenblatt, Global landscape of protein complexes in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Nature* 440 (2006) 637-643.
- [17] Y. Fu, W. Xiao, Identification and characterization of CRT10 as a novel regulator of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ribonucleotide reductase genes, *Nucleic Acids Research* 34

(2006) 1876-1883.

[18] C.L. Mueller, S.E. Porter, M.G. Hoffman, J.A. Jaehning, The Paf1 complex has functions independent of actively transcribing RNA polymerase II, *Molecular Cell* 14 (2004) 447-456.

[19] K.-Y. Kim, D.E. Levin, Mpk1 MAPK Association with the Paf1 Complex Blocks Sen1-Mediated Premature Transcription Termination, *Cell* 144 (2011) 745-756.

[20] J.L. Betz, M. Chang, T.M. Washburn, S.E. Porter, C.L. Mueller, J.A. Jaehning, Phenotypic analysis of Paf1/RNA polymerase II complex mutations reveals connections to cell cycle regulation, protein synthesis, and lipid and nucleic acid metabolism, *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* 268 (2002) 272-285.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Crt10 was required for the degradation of mutant 25S rRNAs.

(A) The transcription unit of the 25S NRD reporter plasmid used in this study. Full length 35S rRNA was transcribed from the Pol-II promoter, the GAL7 promoter. The 18-nt short tag sequence was inserted into the nonessential loop near the 5' end of 25S rRNA to detect plasmid-transcribed 25S rRNA. Two primers, MK251 and 253, were designed at the indicated locations to quantify tagged 25S rRNAs by qRT-PCR. When indicated, the point mutation A2451U (*E.coli* numbering) was introduced into PTC.

(B) qRT-PCR quantification of 18-nt tagged wild type (WT) or mutant (A2451U, C2452G, and U2585A) rRNAs in a Cdc34 tet-off strain in the presence (Dox+) and absence (Dox-) of doxycycline (Dox). Strains expressing tagged rRNAs were grown in SD-galactose medium to the mid-log phase and harvested 12 hours after the administration of Dox. The tagged rRNAs were quantified by qRT-PCR. The amount of tagged rRNA was normalized using non-tagged 25S RNA quantified by another set of primers.

(C) Quantification of wild type and nonfunctional mutant 25S rRNAs (A2451U, C2452G, and U2585A) in the *crt10Δ* strain by the qRT-PCR assay. Tagged rRNAs were normalized as in (A). +pCrt10-HA; A plasmid producing Crt10-HA was introduced into

the *crt10Δ* strain for the expression of tagged 25S rRNAs.

(D) Time-course experiments with tagged rRNA mutants. At time 0, the medium was replaced by SD-glucose to shut-off transcription of the tagged rRNAs from the GAL7 promoter. Cells were harvested at the indicated time points and RNA was separated by 1% agarose and analyzed by Northern blotting for the tag sequence. Images from the longer exposure film are shown for panels with faint signals.

Figure 2 Crt10 functioned in the Mms1 pathway of 25S NRD.

(A) The accumulation level of mutant rRNAs in an *mms1Δcrt10Δ* double mutant and related single mutant strains measured by the qRT-PCR assay. The amount of tagged rRNA was normalized by qRT-PCR of endogenous rRNA.

(B) Effects of A2451U overexpression on the ubiquitination pattern of ribosomal fractions of the *crt10Δ* strain. Tagged wild type (WT1) or nonfunctional mutant (A2451U) 25S rRNAs were overexpressed, together with Myc-tagged ubiquitin expression. Ribosomal fractions were obtained from lysates using the immunoprecipitation of Rpl11a-Flag and resolved in 5-20% SDS-PAGE. The ubiquitination of proteins was visualized by an anti-Myc polyclonal antibody. The

bottom panel shows an immunoblot of the same membrane with the anti-Flag antibody.

The intensified bands caused by nonfunctional rRNAs are indicated by arrowheads.

Figure 3 Paf1C physically associated with Crt10 and Mms1, but not was involved in 25S NRD.

(A) The silver staining pattern of the complex containing Crt10 and Mms1. The Mms1/Crt10 complex was purified by two-step immunopurification. A wild type strain with no tagged gene was used as a negative control (lane 1) for the same set of sample preparation procedures and analyses. Protein bands enriched in the Mms1-Flag/Crt10-HA fraction (lane 2) were analyzed by mass spectrometry. The identities of the protein bands are indicated on the right side of the figure.

(B) Co-immunoprecipitation of Crt10 with the Paf1C components, Paf1 and Leo1.

Paf1-Flag and Leo1-Flag were expressed and immunoprecipitated by an anti-Flag antibody from yeast extracts expressing Crt10-HA. The co-immunoprecipitation of Crt10-HA by Paf1C components was examined by immunoblotting for the anti-HA antibody. The bottom panels show the efficiency of the precipitation of Flag-tagged proteins in these experiments.

(C) qRT-PCR quantification of wild type (WT) and mutant rRNA (A2451U) in the wild type and *paf1Δ* strains. The amount of tagged rRNA was normalized by qRT-PCR of endogenous rRNA.

(D) Time-course experiments with tagged mutant (A2451U) rRNA in the wild type and *paf1Δ* strains. Cells were grown in SD-Galactose and the media was replaced by SD-Glucose to stop transcription from the GAL7 promoter. RNAs were prepared at the indicated time points after the transcriptional shut-off of the tagged rRNAs and analyzed by Northern blotting for the tag sequence. An image of the same membrane with longer exposure is shown for the panel with weak signals.

Figure 4 Disruption of Crt10 genetically segregated 25S NRD from the DNA repair pathway.

(A) The 25S NRD and DNA repair pathways were genetically segregated by the *crt10Δ* strain. Mutant strains were grown in YPD overnight at 30°C and were serially diluted in 10-fold increments. Five microliters of each of the serial dilutions was spotted onto YPD plates containing 20 µg/mL of camptothecin (CPT), 0.01% methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), or 250 µg/mL Congo Red (CR). These plates were incubated at 30°C for 2 days and photographed.

(B) Model for the proposed role of Crt10.




Fig.1 SAKATA *et al.*




Fig.2 SAKATA *et al.*




Fig.3 SAKATA *et al.*




Fig.4 SAKATA *et al.*

Supplementary Figure S1




Figure S1. qRT-PCR quantification of tagged wild type (WT) and mutant (A2451U) rRNA in deletion mutants of Mms1-interacting proteins.

Tagged rRNAs were quantified by qRT-PCR. The amount of tagged rRNAs was normalized by the A260 unit of the input solution of RNA.

Supplementary Figure S2




Figure S2. Sucrose density gradient sedimentation of mutant 25S rRNAs in the wild type or *crt10*Δ strain.

Cell lysates were resolved on a 10–40% sucrose gradient and tagged rRNAs were visualized by Northern blotting. Signals in the same panel came from the same membrane.

Supplementary Figure S3




Figure S3. Expression levels of Mms1 and Rtt101 in strains with different backgrounds.

Genomically tagged Mms1-Flag, Rtt101-Flag, or Crt10-Flag expressed in the indicated deletion strains were concentrated by immunoprecipitation with an anti-Flag antibody. The precipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting with the anti-Flag antibody. Proteolytic fragments of Rtt101-Flag are indicated with an asterisk.

Supplementary Figure S4




Figure S4. The efficiency of 25S NRD. Wild type, a strain with genomically tagged Crt10-HA, and Crt10-HA Mms1-Flag double tagged strains were used for expression of the 25S NRD substrate with or without the A2451U mutation. The amount of 18-nt tagged 25S rRNAs was quantified by qRT-PCR using the primers shown in Supplementary Figure S1. The relative amount of A2451U containing 25S rRNA was normalized to that of WT 25S rRNA with the 18-nt insertion.

Supplementary Figure S5




Figure S5. *Crt10* partially regulated the abundance of the Paf1C complex.

The yeast cell lysates expressing pPaf1-Flag, pCdc73-Flag, or pLeo1-Flag in the indicated deletion strains were analyzed by anti-Flag immunoblotting.

The same membrane was stained by Ponceau S. Numbers between the two panels indicate the intensity of Paf1-Flag, Cdc73-Flag, or Leo1-Flag normalized to that in the wild type strain.

Supplementary Figure S6




Figure S6. qRT-PCR quantification of the transcription products regulated by Paf1C.

The amount of each transcription product was normalized by qRT-PCR of endogenous rRNA.

Supplementary Table S1: Plasmids used in this study.

Plasmid	Notes	Reference
pWT1	<i>LEU2, 2μ, GAL7-rDNA 25S-Tag</i>	Fujii et al, 2009 [1]
pA2451U	<i>LEU2, 2μ, GAL7-rDNA 25S-Tag A2451U</i>	Fujii et al, 2009 [1]
pC2585A	<i>LEU2, 2μ, GAL7-rDNA 25S-Tag C2452G</i>	Fujii et al, 2009 [1]
pU2585A	<i>LEU2, 2μ, GAL7-rDNA 25S-Tag U2585A</i>	Fujii et al, 2009 [1]
pRS313	<i>HIS3, CEN</i>	Sikorski & Hieter, 1989 [2]
pCRT10-HA	<i>HIS3, CEN, CRT10-HA</i>	This study
YCplac111	<i>LEU2, CEN, lacZ</i>	Gietz & Sugino, 1988 [3]
pRPL11A-Flag	<i>LEU2, CEN, lacZ, RPL11A-Flag</i>	This study
pRS316	<i>URA3, CEN</i>	This study
pPAF1-Flag	<i>URA3, CEN, PAF1-Flag</i>	This study
pLEO1-Flag	<i>URA3, CEN, LEO1-Flag</i>	This study
pCDC73-Flag	<i>URA3, CEN, CDC72-Flag</i>	This study
pYO323	<i>HIS3, 2μ</i>	Qadota et al, 1992 [4]
pMyc-Ub	<i>HIS3, 2μ, CUP1-Myc-Ubi-CYC1</i>	Fujii et al, 2009 [1]

Supplementary Table S2: Yeast strains used in this study.

Strain	Genotype and Notes	Reference
BY20693	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0</i>	Brachmann et al, 1998 [5]
<i>esc2Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, esc2Δ::KanMX4</i>	Open Biosystems YKO
<i>ctf4Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, ctf4Δ::KanMX4</i>	Open Biosystems YKO
<i>tdh2Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, tdh2Δ::KanMX4</i>	Open Biosystems YKO
<i>srs2Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, srs2Δ::KanMX4</i>	Open Biosystems YKO
<i>crt10Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, crt10Δ::KanMX4</i>	Open Biosystems YKO
<i>por1Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, por1Δ::KanMX4</i>	Open Biosystems YKO
<i>mms1Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, mms1Δ::KanMX4</i>	Open Biosystems YKO
<i>rtt101Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, rtt101Δ::KanMX4</i>	Open Biosystems YKO
<i>mms22Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, mms22Δ::KanMX4</i>	Open Biosystems YKO
<i>mms1Δ crt10Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, mms1Δ::KanMX4, crt10Δ::hphMX6</i>	This Study
<i>MMS1-Flag, CRT10-HA</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, MMS1-Flag::leu2, CRT10-HA::KanMX4</i>	This Study
<i>MMS1-Flag, rtt101Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, MMS1-Flag::leu2, rtt101Δ::KanMX4</i>	This Study
<i>MMS1-Flag, crt10Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, MMS1-Flag::leu2, crt10Δ::KanMX4</i>	This Study
<i>RTT101-Flag, mms1Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, RTT101-Flag::leu2, mms1Δ::KanMX4</i>	This Study
<i>RTT101-Flag, crt10Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, met15Δ 0, RTT101-Flag::leu2, crt10Δ::KanMX4</i>	This Study
<i>CDC34 tet-off</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, URA3::CMV-tTA,</i>	Hughes et al, 2000 [6]
<i>paf1Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, paf1Δ::KanMX4</i>	This Study
<i>ctr9Δ</i>	<i>MATa, his3-Δ 1, leu2-Δ 0, ura3-Δ 0, ctr9Δ::KanMX4</i>	This Study

Supplementary Table S3: Oligos used in this study.

Name	Sequence	Notes
MK251	5'-GACCTCAAATCAGGTAGGAGTACCC-3'	25S rRNA sequence
MK253	5'-CACCGAAGGTACTCTCGAGAGCTTC-3'	complementary to pWT1 rRNA tag sequence untagged 25S rRNA
Kota030	5'-GAAATCTGGTACCTTCGGTG-3'	sequence
Kota031	5'-GATTCTCACCCCTCTATGACG-3'	complementary to untagged 25S rRNA
DAY0231	CACTTTAAGTGCAGTTACTGTGTCC	upstream sequence of the Crt10 gene
DAY0232	CAATCCTGATGAGGATTCTTCACC	complementary sequence to downstream of the
DAY0233	TCCGGGACGTCATACGGATATCCTTGTTGAGTTG TTCCATGCTC	construction of pCrt10-HA
DAY0234	CCGTATGACGTCCCGGACTATGCATAGCATATTT TGACATTTAC	construction of pCrt10-HA upstream sequence of the
toki044	5'-AAATTGATATATTGAAGCCTTTC-3'	Rpl11a gene complementary sequence
MK838	5'-GTGAACAAGTGCGATTCTGATAC-3'	to downstream of the construction of pRpl11a-
toki045	5'-ATCGTCGTCCTTGTAGTCGCCGCGGCCGAT TTTGTCCAAAACATCAGCATCGTAC-3'	Flag construction of pRpl11a-
MK553	5'-ATCGGCCGCGGCGACTACAAGGACGACGAT GACAAGTAAGCGCATCAACAAAACTCTATG-3'	Flag upstream sequence of the
DAY0308	5'-GCCTGAATTGCATGAGGATGATGG-3'	Paf1 gene complementary sequence
DAY0309	5'-CGATAAAGATAGTTTAACGGAGC-3'	to downstream of the Paf1
DAY0310	5'-TCATCGTCGTCCTTGTAGTCGCCTTCTTCTT GTAAAGTTTCCTT-3'	construction of pPaf1-Flag
DAY0311	5'-CGACTACAAGGACGACGATGACAAGTAGAA TATTGAGAAGTGAA-3'	construction of pPaf1-Flag
DAY0233	5'-GATAAAGACGCTGAGAAACAACG-3'	upstream sequence of the Leo1 gene
DAY0234	5'-TCGAGTAGATGACAGCTTTGTCG-3'	complementary sequence to downstream of the Leo1
DAY0235	5'-TCATCGTCGTCCTTGTAGTCGCCATCCTCGT CTTCGTCGTCCTC-3'	construction of pLeo1-Flag
DAY0236	5'-CGACTACAAGGACGACGATGACAAGTAGAG GAGACGTTACTTTG-3'	construction of pLeo1-Flag

Name	Sequence	Notes
DAY0320	CAAAACTGCAATTGCAATGAAACC	upstream sequence of the Cdc73 gene
DAY0321	ACAGGCCTGATATCTCCCATGG	complementary sequence to downstream of the Cdc73
DAY0322	TCATCGTCGTCCTTG TAGTCGCCACGGTATCCTCTTG AAATAAG	construction of pCdc73-Flag
DAY0323	CGACTACAAGGACGACGATGACAAGTGAATACGATAA ACGGAAG	construction of pCdc73-Flag
DAY541	TCCAGTCCCTCATCTTATACGTCTT	Sag1 qRT-PCR forward
DAY542	GCGTAAAACTGGTGCTTAGTAATGTT	Sag1 qRT-PCR reverse
DAY535	CGCATCCAACACCACGAGTA	Ddr2 qRT-PCR forward
DAY536	CGGCGTTTAGTAGTTGACCATTT	Ddr2 qRT-PCR reverse
DAY537	GGGTGAAGGCGGCTTAAGAG	Hsp26 qRT-PCR forward
DAY538	CCTTGCCAGTAGAATCCTTTGC	Hsp26 qRT-PCR reverse
DAY545	GCCGGTAACCCACAATTGG	Gsp2 qRT-PCR forward
DAY546	GGTGCATTAGTTGCTCGTCAAC	Gsp2 qRT-PCR reverse
DAY549	CAATGCAGCGATCGCACTT	Rsg2 qRT-PCR forward
DAY550	AGGTCGAGCTGGTAGTGTTGAGT	Rsg2 qRT-PCR reverse
DAY551	TCGACGAGAATCACGAAGAATC	Hxt4 qRT-PCR forward
DAY552	ACCATTAAACAACAGATGGAAACTGT	Hxt4 qRT-PCR reverse
DAY553	CGATGCTGTCATTTTGTCTCCTA	Izh4 qRT-PCR forward
DAY554	CACCATACAAAATACAAAACGTTCA	Izh4 qRT-PCR reverse
DAY555	CCGTACTACGTTCCGGGATTTAATC	Atf2 qRT-PCR forward
DAY556	CATTCACGTTTGTGGAGCAAA	Atf2 qRT-PCR reverse
DAY563	TGTGATCACCAAGAACGTTTCC	Met6 qRT-PCR forward
DAY564	TGGATTTACCTCTGGATTCAACAG	Met6 qRT-PCR reverse

Supplementary Materials and Methods

Plasmids

pWT1, pA2451U, pC2452G, pU2585A, and pMyc-Ub have been described previously [1]. To construct pCrt10-HA, pRpl11a-Flag, pPaf1-Flag, pLeo1-Flag, and pCdc73-Flag, HA or a Flag tag was added to the C-terminus of each gene by overlap extension (See the Supplemental Table for all primers and plasmids used in this study). The PCR fragments of each gene, containing the promoter, ORF, tag sequence, and terminator, were cloned into pRS316 [2] or YCplac111 [3].

Polysome analysis

Yeast strains expressing the tagged rRNAs were grown in 250 mL of SD-Galactose to the mid-log phase. A total of 100 µg/ml cycloheximide (Nacalai Tesque) was added to the culture, and cells were harvested by centrifugation. The cell pellet was frozen in liquid nitrogen and then ground in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle [7]. The resulting cell powder was then resuspended on ice in 0.5 mL of IPP150 buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% NP40) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (complete mini-EDTA free; Roche) and 100 µg/ml cycloheximide. Extracts were then transferred to 1.5-mL Eppendorf tubes and clarified by centrifugation at 4°C for 5 min at 5,000×g. The supernatant was recovered and centrifuged at 4°C for 20 min at 18,000×g. The lysates were resolved with 10%–40% sucrose gradient centrifugation at 4°C for 150 min at 40,000 rpm (Swi40Ti rotor, Beckman). Gradient Station model 153 (BioComp Instruments, Inc.) was used to collect the fractions. Dot blotting of the fractions was performed by spotting 5 µL of the solution from 200-µL fractions onto a membrane (Amersham Hybond-N⁺, GE Healthcare). After UV cross-linking for 3 min, the membrane was probed by MK253.

Supplementary References

- [1] K. Fujii, M. Kitabatake, T. Sakata, A. Miyata, M. Ohno, A role for ubiquitin in the clearance of nonfunctional rRNAs, *Genes & Development* 23 (2009) 963-974.
- [2] R.S. Sikorski, P. Hieter, A SYSTEM OF SHUTTLE VECTORS AND YEAST HOST STRAINS DESIGNED FOR EFFICIENT MANIPULATION OF DNA IN SACCHAROMYCES-CEREVISIAE, *Genetics* 122 (1989) 19-27.
- [3] R.D. Gietz, A. Sugino, NEW YEAST-ESCHERICHIA-COLI SHUTTLE VECTORS CONSTRUCTED WITH INVITRO MUTAGENIZED YEAST GENES LACKING 6-BASE PAIR RESTRICTION SITES, *Gene* 74 (1988) 527-534.
- [4] H. Qadota, I. Ishii, A. Fujiyama, Y. Ohya, Y. Anraku, RHO GENE-PRODUCTS, PUTATIVE SMALL GTP-BINDING PROTEINS, ARE IMPORTANT FOR ACTIVATION OF THE CAL1/CDC43 GENE-PRODUCT, A PROTEIN GERANYLGERANYLTRANSFERASE IN SACCHAROMYCES-CEREVISIAE, *Yeast* 8 (1992) 735-741.
- [5] C.B. Brachmann, A. Davies, G.J. Cost, E. Caputo, J.C. Li, P. Hieter, J.D. Boeke, Designer deletion strains derived from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications, *Yeast* 14 (1998) 115-132.
- [6] T.R. Hughes, M.J. Marton, A.R. Jones, C.J. Roberts, R. Stoughton, C.D. Armour, H.A. Bennett, E. Coffey, H.Y. Dai, Y.D.D. He, M.J. Kidd, A.M. King, M.R. Meyer, D. Slade, P.Y. Lum, S.B. Stepaniants, D.D. Shoemaker, D. Gachotte, K. Chakraburttty, J. Simon, M. Bard, S.H. Friend, Functional discovery via a compendium of expression profiles, *Cell* 102 (2000) 109-126.
- [7] T. Inada, E. Winstall, S.Z. Tarun, J.R. Yates, D. Schieltz, A.B. Sachs, One-step affinity purification of the yeast ribosome and its associated proteins and mRNAs, *Rna- a Publication of the Rna Society* 8 (2002) 948-958.