

Sphere attachment

Weak fibre attachment Strong fibre attachment

Highlights:

- Attachment of elongated particles on a gas bubble is investigated
- Translational particle velocities are compared with simulated data
- Two kinds of attachments, which depend on the collision area, are discovered
- Results are relevant to three-phase flow separation processes such as flotation

Attachment of solid elongated particles on the surface of a stationary gas bubble

Gregory Lecrivain^{1,2,†}, Giacomo Petrucci^{2,3}, Martin Rudolph⁴, Uwe Hampel^{2,5} and Ryoichi Yamamoto¹

¹ Kyoto University, Department of Chemical Engineering, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan.

 ² Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Institute of Fluid Dynamics, Bautzner Landstraße 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany.

³ Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia,
 Dipartimento di Ingegneria "Enzo Ferrari",
 Via Vignolese, 905/B,
 41125 Modena,
 Italy.

⁴ Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Helmholtz-Institute Freiberg for Resource Technology, Halsbrücker Str. 34, 09599 Freiberg, Germany.

⁵ Technische Universität Dresden Institut für Energietechnik AREVA-Stiftungsprofessur für Bildgebende Messverfahren für die Energie- und Verfahrenstechnik, 01062 Dresden,

Germany.

[†]corresponding author: Tel. : +81-(0)75-383-2651 Fax. : +81(0)75-383-2651 g.lecrivain@hzdr.de

1 Abstract:

2 Froth flotation is a separation process which plays a major role in the mining industry. It is 3 essentially employed to recover a vast array of different valuable commodities such as rare earth 4 minerals essential to the manufacture of high-tech products. Owing to its simplicity, the process 5 is also widely used for de-inking recycled paper fibres and for waste water treatment. The 6 flotation process essentially relies on the attachment of solid particles on the surface gas bubbles 7 immersed in water. The present study seeks to investigate the effect of the particle shape on the 8 attachment mechanism. Using an in-house optical micro-bubble sensor the approach, the sliding 9 and the adhesion of micron milled glass fibres on the surface of a stationary air bubble immersed 10 in stagnant water is thoroughly investigated. The translational and rotational velocities were 11 measured for fibres of various aspect ratios. The results are compared with a theoretical model 12 and with experimental data obtained with spherical glass beads. It is found that the fibre 13 orientation during the sliding motion largely depends on the collision area. Upon collision near 14 the upstream pole of the gas bubble the major axis of the fibre aligns with the local bubble 15 surface (tangential fibre alignment). If collision occurs at least 30° further downstream only head 16 of the fibre is in contact with the gas-liquid interface (radial fibre alignment).

17

18 Keywords: Froth flotation, three-phase system, solid elongated particles, particle attachment, 19 gas-liquid interface.

20

21 **1. Introduction**

22 **1.1. Motivation**

23 Froth flotation is a versatile separation process which plays a major role in the mining industry. It 24 is essentially employed to recover a vast array of different valuable commodities such as copper, 25 zinc, nickel, phosphate and rare earth minerals essential to the manufacture of high-tech products 26 (Fuerstenau, Jameson et al., 2007). Owing to its simplicity, the process has more recently seen 27 widespread applications in the non-mining field. Flotation is for instance used for the de-inking 28 of recycled paper fibres (Kemper, 1999) and for the removal of pollutants from waste water 29 (Rubio, Souza et al., 2002). In mineral froth flotation the separation can be accomplished in a 30 flotation cell, which is essentially a tank fitted with an impeller (Ahmed and Jameson, 1985). The 31 impeller disperses air into fine gas bubbles and agitates the slurry. It provides a favourable 32 environment in the cell for the promotion of bubble collision with the finely ground ore 33 (Fuerstenau, Jameson et al., 2007). Typical values of particle diameters, for which the recovery rate is high, vary from approximately $d_p = 10 \ \mu m$ to $d_p = 150 \ \mu m$ (Tao, 2005, Jameson, 2010). 34 35 Many ore minerals are naturally hydrophilic. The addition of so-called "collectors" to the slurry, 36 which are absorbed by the mineral surface, renders the precious mineral particles hydrophobic 37 (Rosenqvist, 2004). The hydrophobised particles then attach to the surface of the rising bubbles, 38 whose size generally ranges from $d_b = 0.6$ to 2 mm in diameter (Rubio, Souza *et al.*, 2002). The 39 particle-bubble aggregates are conveyed to the top of the flotation cell to form a rich mineral-40 laden froth layer, which eventually overflows into a launder as a separate product. Since pure 41 liquids generally do not foam, "frothers" are utilised to control the bubble size and to stabilise the 42 froth (Cho and Laskowski, 2002). The gangue, i.e. the commercially valueless hydrophilic 43 material, eventually exits the flotation cell as slurry.

44

45 **1.2. Particle shape**

Ore grinding in froth flotation is an important step to liberate the valuable mineral particles from the gangue (Forssberg, Subrahmanyam *et al.*, 1993). Kursun and Ulusoy (2006) showed for instance that the shape of talc mineral particles produced by milling considerably deviated from an ideal sphere. Talc minerals ground by rod milling showed higher elongation and flatness than those ground by ball milling. The study of Rahimi, Dehghani *et al.* (2012) also suggested that rod milling caused an elongation of the particles and that ball milling caused a greater particle roundness. Various studies have shown that the particle elongation increases the recovery rate. 53 Koh, Hao et al. (2009) found that ground ballotini particles had a higher recovery rate than 54 spherical ballotini particles. The work of Yekeler, Ulusoy et al. (2004) also corroborated this 55 finding. The team experimentally observed that particle elongation increased the ease, with which 56 a particle attaches to a bubbles surface. Particle roundness tended to have an adverse effect on the 57 recovery rate. Note, that the recovery rate k is the rate at which the desired particles are 58 recovered from the suspension. In a flotation tank, the number concentration c(t) of the desired 59 particles will decay exponentially with time. Ahmed and Jameson (1985) suggested the following formula $c(t) = c_0 \exp(-kt)$, where c_0 was the initial concentration in the tank. 60

61

62 **1.3. Particle attachment on bubble surface**

63 The attachment of a solid particle on the surface of a gas bubble can be divided into three 64 successive stages: the particle approach, the collision with the bubble and the sliding down the 65 gas-bubble interface (Schulze, 1989, Albijanic, Ozdemir et al., 2010). The downward sliding 66 motion of the particle is caused by the gravity and also by the hydrodynamic forces arising from 67 the local water flow around the rising bubble. Should the particle approach the bubble surface 68 within the range of attractive surface forces, a thin intervening liquid film between the gas-liquid 69 interface and the solid-liquid interface forms. The liquid film eventually drains, leading to a 70 critical thickness at which rupture occurs (Ralston, Fornasiero et al., 1999). The rupture of the 71 liquid film results in the formation of a stable three-phase contact (Schulze, 1992). The 72 deployment of high speed camera systems has been favoured in recent years to observe the 73 particle attachment in great detail. Wang, Zhou et al. (2003a) photographically recorded the 74 attachment of free falling spherical glass beads on a stationary air bubble, which had undergone 75 various surface treatments. Gu, Sean Sanders et al. (2004) investigated the attachment of rising 76 spherical hydrogen bubbles on a larger fixed bitumen particle. Hubička, Basařová et al. (2013) 77 measured the trajectories of an approaching large solid spherical particle on a stationary gas 78 bubble. The sliding was however left out by the authors. Verrelli, Bruckard et al. (2014) were the 79 first to look at the attachment of non-spherical particles. They measured the induction time, i.e. 80 the time required for the liquid film to thin to its critical film thickness (Ye, Khandrika et al., 81 1989), of 'angular frit' particles falling on a gas bubble. The above state of the art clearly shows 82 that the attachment process has largely been limited to the attachment of perfectly spherical 83 particles. The effect of shape irregularity on the attachment process has received scarce attention. 84 To date only one attempt can be found in the literature. The present piece of work aims to alleviate this shortcoming by experimentally investigating the attachment of elongated particleswith an aspect ratio of up to 7.

87

88 2. Methods

89 **2.1. Experimental facility**

90 The experimental procedure employed to visualise attachment of solid particles was inspired by 91 the work of Verrelli, Bruckard et al. (2014). As illustrated in Figure 1 the experimental set-up 92 essentially consisted of water tank in which a needle was placed in a horizontal position. The 25 93 gauge ultra-smooth hydrophobic needle with a ta-C diamond-like carbon coating (SGE 94 Analytical Science, Diamond MS Syringe 0355321) was attached to a 50 µl precision syringe 95 (Hamilton, 1805RNSYR). It allowed blowing a stable and stationary air bubble, whose diameter 96 could be varied from $d_b = 1.3$ mm to $d_b = 1.7$ mm. A larger bubble diameter resulted in a 97 detachment of the gas bubble from the needle. The adhesion force holding the bubble on the 98 needle tip could no longer overcome the buoyancy force. The present bubble size range matched 99 the typical size range frequently found in other literature data (Huang, Legendre et al., 2011). The 100 water was kept at a constant room temperature of 20 °C and had a pH value of 7.8. The opened-101 water tank was made of transparent Plexiglas walls. A tube fastened in a vertical position had its 102 immersed extremity placed 15 mm away from the bubble upper pole (henceforth referred to as 103 the upstream pole), which corresponded to a distance of about 10 bubble diameters. The reason 104 behind the use of the fastened vertical tube was threefold: 1. to guide the particles all the way 105 down to the gas bubble, 2. to avoid an interference of the falling particle with the surrounding 106 liquid and 3. to hold the Pasteur pipette in a stable vertical position. The Pasteur pipette, 107 containing the particles heavily diluted in water, could then be placed in the tube in question. The 108 bulb of the pipette in contact with ambient air was pierced to avoid a squeezing that would 109 potentially give the particles an undesired acceleration. By releasing the finger from the bulb the 110 solid particles could start their decent with an initial velocity close to zero. The present facility 111 unfortunately did not exactly reproduce all mechanisms observed in an industrial flotation cell. 112 The motion of the liquid and of the gas phases were here left out, and so were the effect of frother 113 and collector addition. To the best of the authors' knowledge the present study, even though it is 114 a fairly simple system, is the first of its kind that seeks to investigate the effect of particle 115 elongation on the overall attachment mechanism, which is of chief importance in the flotation 116 process. It is hoped that the present results will help build more complex models in the future.

117

118 2.2. Solid particles

119 Experimental tests were performed with spherical particles for comparison purposes and fibre-120 like elongated particles. The glass spherical particles (Wiwox, STGP002) had a particle-to-liquid density ratio of $S = \rho_S / \rho_L = 2.45$ and a diameter ranging from $d_p = 50 \ \mu m$ to $d_p = 100 \ \mu m$. 121 The milled glass fibres (3B-Fibreglass, MF01ER) had a particle-to-liquid density ratio of S = 2.5122 123 and a length ranging from 100 µm to 200 µm in the long-axis direction (major axis). Detailed 124 images of the elongated particles obtained from the on-site Scanning Electron Microscope which 125 operates under high vacuum conditions (Zeiss EVO 50) revealed an aspect ratio, defined as the 126 ratio of fibre length to diameter, ranging from e = 1 to e = 7. Figure 2a illustrates high-127 resolution images of spherical particles. The small structures on the surface of the elongated 128 particles (Figures 2b) are probably smaller glass particles. This highly polydispersity in the 129 particle size distribution can be seen in Figure 2c.

130 A spherical particle falling in stagnant water eventually reaches its terminal velocity

$$u_{\infty} = g\left(\frac{Sd_p^2}{18\nu}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{S}\right) = g\tau\left(1 - \frac{1}{S}\right),\tag{1}$$

131 where *g* is the gravity and $v = \mu/\rho_L$ is the liquid kinematic viscosity, i.e. the ratio of the liquid 132 dynamic viscosity to the liquid density. The terminal velocity of a spherical particle can be 133 conveniently expressed using the particle response time τ . This time corresponds to the time 134 required by a particle to respond to a change in the liquid velocity (Crowe, Schwarzkopf *et al.*, 135 2011). The response time τ is defined as

$$\tau = \frac{Sd_p^2}{18\nu}.$$
(2)

For an elongated particle the terminal velocity will be affected by the orientation of the major axis relative to direction of motion. In the present work the direction of motion simply coincides with the direction of the gravity g since the liquid is at rest. The terminal velocity of an elongated particle with an aspect ratio of e = 6 will differ by up to 60% to that of a spherical particle with equivalent volume (Kasper, Niida *et al.*, 1985). Further analysis on the terminal velocity of an elongated particle is dealt with in greater detail in the discussion section.

- 142
- 143
- 144

145 **2.3. Particle hydrophobicity**

146 The determination of the particle hydrophobicity is not straightforward and often requires special 147 instrumentation. The sessile method, one of the simplest available methods, which involves the 148 optical measurement of the contact angle of a droplet at rest on a substrate, will often fail for 149 powder (Susana, Campaci et al., 2012). In addition the sole determination of the contact angle 150 between a solid surface and a gas-liquid interface does not allow for the exact description of the 151 surface hydrophobicity since specific and aspecific interactions need to be considered. 152 Consequently, two surfaces with the same contact angle with water can actually have a different 153 hydrophobicity (van Oss, 2003). To overcome this, inverse gas chromatography (Mohammadi-154 Jam and Waters, 2014) was presently used to measure the specific surface free energies of the 155 two sets of powder previously described in section 2.2. The inverse gas chromatography 156 measurement device (Surface Energy Analyser, Surface Measurement Systems) was here used for determination of the disperse component γ^d , the Lewis acid component γ^+ and the Lewis base 157 158 component γ^{-} of the specific surface free energy at surface coverages of 1 %, of 20 % and of an 159 extrapolation to 100 % (Das, Larson et al., 2011, Gamble, Leane et al., 2012). The variation in 160 the percentage of the surface coverage allowed an assessment of the heterogeneity in the surface 161 energy. The total surface free energy was then calculated as follows

$$\gamma^t = \gamma^d + 2\sqrt{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}.\tag{3}$$

162 Prior to the determination of the surface free energy at different surface coverages, the specific surface area of the two sets of particles per unit mass S_m^{BET} were determined with the N₂-BET 163 164 method (Brunauer, Emmett et al., 1938) using special instrumentation (FlowSorb II 2300, 165 Micromeritics). The specific surface area per unit mass helped determine the amount of probe 166 gases needed for a given surface coverage. For the determination of the disperse component 167 heptane, octane, nonane and decane probe gas molecules were carried by a helium gas flowing at 168 a rate of 10 cm³/min. Monopolar ethyl acetate was used for the determination of the Lewis acid 169 component and dichloromethane for the Lewis base, respectively. The column was operated at 0 170 % humidity and at a 90°C temperature to ensure a clean surface, which in-turn guaranteed a 171 desorption throughout the entire measurement procedure. A mass sample was chosen so that the surface area equalled 0.5 m^2 . The samples were tapped vertically for ten minutes in a 3-mm inner 172 173 diameter and 30-cm length column, which was sealed with inert silanized glass wool. Prior to the 174 measurement the samples were conditioned at a 100°C temperature for a period of 5 hours in a helium gas also flowing at 10 cm³/min. The specific surface free energy components were calculated using the peak maximum of the probe molecule retention. The Schultz approach was employed for the disperse component γ^d , the van Oss-Chaudhury-Good/Della-Volpe approach for the Lewis acid/basic component (Das, Larson *et al.*, 2011). To thermodynamically evaluate whether the particle attachment to a gas bubble is actually favourable in liquid water the specific free energy of interaction ΔG_{pwb} was calculated as follows (van Oss, 2003)

$$\Delta G_{pwb} = \left(\sqrt{\gamma_s^d} - \sqrt{\gamma_g^d}\right)^2 - \left(\sqrt{\gamma_s^d} - \sqrt{\gamma_L^d}\right)^2 - \left(\sqrt{\gamma_g^d} - \sqrt{\gamma_L^d}\right)^2 + 2\left(\sqrt{\gamma_L^+}\left[\sqrt{\gamma_s^-} + \sqrt{\gamma_c^-} - \sqrt{\gamma_L^-}\right] + \sqrt{\gamma_L^-}\left[\sqrt{\gamma_s^+} + \sqrt{\gamma_c^-} - \sqrt{\gamma_L^+}\right] - \sqrt{\gamma_s^+\gamma_c^-} - \sqrt{\gamma_p^-\gamma_c^+}\right). \tag{4}$$

181 The subscript L, G and S respectively denote the specific free surface energies of the liquid water 182 (L), of the gas bubble (G) and of the solid particles (S). Finally the contact angle θ between the 183 particle surface and the liquid water was determined using the surface free energy components as 184 follows (van Oss, 2003)

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\gamma_L^t} \cdot \left[\sqrt{\gamma_S^d \gamma_L^d} + \sqrt{\gamma_S^+ \gamma_L^-} + \sqrt{\gamma_S^- \gamma_L^+}\right] - 1\right).$$
(5)

185 The results are summarised in Table 1. The three components of the total specific energy for the 186 air bubble and for the liquid water were taken from the literature (van Oss, Giese et al., 2005, 187 VDI-GVC, 2013). Findings from the present inverse gas chromatography measurements show that, for the two sets of particles and under all surface coverages, the specific free energy ΔG_{pwb} 188 189 is always negative and thus attachment is favourable in all cases. Thermodynamically speaking, 190 the particles are indeed hydrophobic. Irrespective of the surface coverage, the specific free energy ΔG_{pwb} shows little heterogeneity for the spherical particles and the values of the free specific 191 192 interactions and of the contact angles are all similar. The same cannot be said for the elongated 193 particles. At a surface coverage of the 1% there is a higher polarity for the elongated particles, 194 which resulted in smaller contact angles and a lower free energy of interactions with a bubble in 195 water. Compared to the spherical particles the hydrophobicity at 10 % and at 100 % coverage is 196 greater. It is expected from the present results that the elongated particles will attach to the gas 197 bubble slightly differently. The study also revealed a contact angle lying in the range $60^\circ < \theta <$ 198 70°. Fuerstenau, Jameson et al. (2007) showed that this contact angle is large enough to achieve a 199 good floatability in the flotation process. The present contact angle slightly overestimates the 200 values worked out by Tino, Dieter et al. (1996) and by Nowak, Robbins et al. (2013) who 201 reported contact angles ranging from 50° to 60°. The calculation of their contact angles was performed using the sessile method, which involved a water droplet was at rest on a glass substrate. Last but not least, even with a surface treatment involving for instance chlorotrimethylsilane, the contact angle of smooth spherical glass beads can only be increased to about 90° (Nowak, Robbins *et al.*, 2013), therefore it is very hard to render glass particles fully hydrophobic.

207

208 **2.4. Measurement instrumentation**

209 To observe the attachment of a solid particle on a gas bubble surface the in-house optical micro-210 bubble sensor (Ren, Wu et al., 2011) developed at the Helmholtz Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf 211 was put to use in the present study. The sensor consists of two tubular waterproof housings facing 212 each other's extremities. The gas bubble was placed between the two tubular shafts partly 213 immersed in water. A distance of about 40 mm, which corresponded to about 25 bubble 214 diameters, separated the two shafts. The first housing enclosed the CCD camera and the second 215 enclosed the LED illumination system. The light source flashed in synchronisation with the camera exposure. A focus length of 20 mm allowed for a field of view of about 3.5x2.6 mm², 216 217 which was large enough to hold the gas bubble and capture the entire particle attachment. Each 218 image had a resolution of 640 x 480 pixels. With a particle terminal velocity ranging from u_{∞} = 1.5 mm/s to u_{∞} = 7 mm/s (See annex 1) and a frame rate of 120 images per second it 219 220 resulted in a local particle displacement between two consecutive illumination pulses ranging 221 from 10 μ m to 60 μ m.

222

223 **2.5. Experimental data processing**

224 The footage of a particle attachment was a very tedious task. The particle had to evolve over the 225 course of the entire attachment process in the focus plane of the micro-bubble sensor. The 226 attachment of a fibre was an even unlikelier event since the major axis also had to remain in the 227 plane of focus so that the particle orientation could be determined. The chance of capturing the 228 attachment of a very single particle was actually low. The facility did not allow the release of one 229 particle at a time. Therefore only the head of the falling cloud, which had a local dilution greater 230 than that of the bulk of the cloud, was here of interest. The reason behind the footage of the cloud 231 head was twofold: 1. the chance of capturing single attachment in the field of view was increased 232 and 2. the particle-induced flow and the inter-particle collisions near the gas bubble, which were 233 observed to affect the attachment, could be avoided. While it was difficult to accurately estimate 234 the occurrence of an exploitable attachment, we found out that about 50 experimental test runs 235 resulted in one exploitable attachment. A measurement campaign lasting several weeks was 236 therefore necessary to capture the 30 attachments of elongated particles and the 4 attachments of 237 spherical particles presented in the present work. Each successful capture of a particle 238 attachment, in which the particle evolved in the focus plane, was exported in the form of an 239 image sequence to the post-processing program Fiji. The image processing program is an open-240 source platform normally used for biological-image analysis (Schindelin, Arganda-Carreras et al., 241 2012). After the threshold of each image sequence, a circle was fit to the gas bubble and polar 242 coordinate system was defined. The diameter of the needle, which was of course known 243 beforehand, was used as the reference length. During the approach the orientation of the major 244 particle axis and its velocity could be automatically detected by fitting a two-dimensional 245 ellipsoid. However during the sliding the particle shape could no longer be automatically 246 discriminated from the gas bubble shadow. For this reason the determination of the major axis 247 and the velocities were done manually for various images. It resulted in a time-consuming image 248 processing.

249

250 **2.6. Spherical particle transport model**

251 The present experimental investigation was carried with "clean" bubbles, i.e. the gas-liquid 252 interface underwent no contamination by frothers, which were previously found to significantly 253 influence the collision efficiency (Sarrot, Guiraud et al., 2005). Further information on the effect 254 of partial and full interface contamination on the collision efficiency can be found in the study of 255 Legendre, Sarrot et al. (2009). The physico-chemical interfacial forces normally prevail over the 256 hydrodynamic forces only within a very short distance from the gas-liquid interface, typically for 257 a gap value lower than $h < 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$ (Huang, Legendre *et al.*, 2012): a distance by several order of 258 magnitude smaller than the particle size. Since the physic-chemical interfacial forces have little 259 effect on the collision they were here left out. The history force and the lift force are of second 260 order and can also be neglected (Nguyen, 2003). The effect of the Basset force even though it 261 was included in the Lagrangian particle model of Verrelli, Koh et al. (2011) is often left out in 262 other numerical studies (Nguyen and Nguyen, 2009). Thus retaining only the hydrodynamic drag 263 exerted by the liquid phase, the gravity and the buoyancy, the vectorial transport equation of a 264 point-like solid spherical particle evolving in the liquid phase therefore reads

$$m\frac{d\vec{u}}{dt} = -3\pi\mu d_p \,\vec{u} + (m - m_f)\vec{g}.\tag{6}$$

265 In the above equation \vec{u} is the particle velocity vector. Since the stationary bubble is immersed in 266 stagnant water the velocity of the liquid phase is set to zero throughout the simulation. The term 267 m corresponds to the particle mass and m_f to the mass of liquid displaced by the particle. The 268 term μ corresponds to the dynamic viscosity of the liquid phase. The transport equation can 269 conveniently be expressed in terms of the two polar coordinates (r, ϕ) , in which r is the distance 270 from the bubble centre to the particle centre and ϕ the angle measured from the vertical axis (see 271 Figure 3). The polar axis $\phi = 0$ coincides with the upstream pole of the gas bubble. Using the 272 terminal velocity u_{∞} defined in Eq. (1) the polar transformation of Eq. (6) leads to the following 273 system of scalar equations

$$\frac{du_r}{dt} = -\left[\frac{(1+k_s)f_r}{\tau}\right]u_r + \left[\frac{u_\phi^2}{r} - \frac{u_\infty}{\tau}\cos(\phi)\right],\tag{7}$$

$$\frac{du_{\phi}}{dt} = -\left[\frac{f_{\phi}}{\tau} + \frac{u_r}{r}\right]u_{\phi} + \left[\frac{u_{\infty}}{\tau}\sin(\phi)\right].$$
(8)

274 The radial and tangential velocities are given by

$$u_r = \frac{dr}{dt} \quad , \quad u_\phi = r \frac{d\phi}{dt}. \tag{9}$$

The particle will deviate from its original trajectory as it approaches the bubble surface. To account for the change in the particle motion towards the bubble side the hydrodynamic force requires an artificial correction. Since the particle size is much smaller than the bubble size, it can be assumed that the particle encounters a fairly flat gas-liquid interface (Nguyen and Jameson, 2005). The radial and tangential drag components are therefore corrected using the following polynomial approximations (Huang, Legendre *et al.*, 2012)

$$f_r = 1 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda + \frac{9}{4}\lambda^2 + \frac{19}{8}\lambda^3 + \frac{93}{16}\lambda^4 + \frac{387}{32}\lambda^5 + \frac{1197}{64}\lambda^6 + \frac{5331}{128}\lambda^7 + \frac{19821}{256}\lambda^8 + \frac{76115}{512}\lambda^9 + \frac{3}{10}\left[\frac{(2\lambda)^{10}}{1+2\lambda}\right],$$
 (10)

$$f_{\phi} = 1 - \frac{3}{4}\lambda + \frac{9}{16}\lambda^2 - \frac{59}{64}\lambda^3 + \frac{465}{256}\lambda^4 - \frac{15813}{7168}\lambda^5 + 2\left(\frac{\lambda^6}{1+\lambda}\right),\tag{11}$$

where the dimensionless variable λ equals $\lambda = 0.5d_p/(2h + d_p)$. The gap $h = r - 0.5(d_p + d_b)$ corresponds to smallest distance between the particle surface and the bubble surface. Close to the gas-bubble interface the particle typically experiences an increase in the hydrodynamic drag force and a decrease in the tangential force. Far from the bubble the two approximations f_r and f_{ϕ} are smoothly brought back to unity using a blending function to exactly achieve the correct terminal velocity u_{∞} . The blending function is a smooth approximation of the Heaviside step function. It is here given by

$$H_V(h^*) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \tanh\left[\frac{h^* - h_m^*}{\Delta h^*}\right] \right).$$
(12)

The upper script * indicates the normalisation of the gap with the reference scaling $(d_b/2)$ for a 288 length variable, see Eq. 14). With the smoothing centre $h_m^* = 0.6$ and the smoothing radius of 289 $\Delta h^* = 0.3$ the final correction then takes the form $f_{r,\phi} = H_V + (1 - H_V) f_{r,\phi}$. The effect of the 290 blending function can be seen in Figure 4. A cut off of the polynomial function to the 3rd order is 291 292 also shown. The reduction of Eq. (10-11) to a third-order polynomial will largely affect the 293 radial drag correction close to the gas-bubble interface, i.e. within $h^* < 0.1$. As in the original Huang, Legendre et al. (2012), the above 10th- and 6th-order polynomial 294 model of 295 approximations are here employed. Upon collision with the gas bubble the two drag correction 296 factors no longer make sense and therefore they equal unity. The dimensionless friction factor k_s 297 is introduced to correct the particle drag force during the sliding motion on the bubble surface. Wang, Zhou et al. (2003a) suggested, as is the case here, $k_s = 0.03$ for untreated glass beads 298 299 interacting with with 'clean' bubbles. The value of k_s is however significantly affected by the use 300 of collectors and frothers (Wang, Zhou et al., 2003b). Methylated glass spheres showed an increase in the friction factor to $k_s = 0.1$. A gas bubble stabilised with sodium palmitic acid 301 caused an increase in the friction factor to $k_s = 1$. The friction coefficient therefore needs 302 303 particular attention since its value spans up to three orders of magnitude. During the approach the 304 friction factor k_s is set to zero and the hydrodynamic drag force simply reduces to its well-known 305 Stokes' formulation in the far-bubble region. During the sliding, which begins when the gap h306 drops to zero, the particle is in equilibrium in the radial direction. It means that the capillary 307 adhesion force, the centrifugal force and the radial component of the gravity cancel one another 308 out and $u_r = 0$. An extensive analysis on the adhesion forces can be found in the discussion. An 309 implicit Euler backward scheme is used for the numerical integration of the two transport 310 equations (Eq. 7-8) and a standard second-order Adams-Bashforth scheme is applied to compute 311 the particle displacement (Eq. 9). These two integration schemes were previously found appropriate to accurately compute the transport and the deposition of micron-sized particles
(Lecrivain and Hampel, 2012, Lecrivain, Barry *et al.*, 2014).

314

315 **3. Results and Discussions**

316 **3.1. Model validation**

The performance of the transport model for spherical particles is initially compared with four experimental reference runs, which all involved the glass beads of spherical shape. The four experimental tests were carefully selected so that the corresponding collision angle ϕ_c of each run lied in one of the four collision intervals $I_{i=1..4}$

$$I_{1}: \quad \phi_{c} < 10^{\circ} \\ I_{2}: \quad 10^{\circ} \le \phi_{c} < 30^{\circ} \\ I_{3}: \quad 30^{\circ} \le \phi_{c} < 50^{\circ} \\ I_{4}: \quad \phi_{c} \ge 50^{\circ}$$
(13)

321 Figure 5 presents the theoretical particle trajectories coloured by velocity magnitude with their 322 experimental counterparts. At the initial simulation time t = 0 s the particle velocity equals the terminal velocity calculated from Eq. (1) and the horizontal particle position $R(t = 0) = R_{\infty}$ 323 324 equals that measured from the very first occurrence of the particle in the camera field of view. 325 The distance R corresponds to the shortest distance from the particle centre to the vertical rotation 326 axis of the bubble (x = 0). All lengths and velocity variables are henceforth made non-327 dimensional with the bubble radius and with the terminal velocity so that, irrespective of the 328 changes in the bubble and particle sizes, all data can easily be compared with one another. The 329 distance R, for instance, is normalised as follows

$$R^* = \frac{2R}{d_b},\tag{14}$$

and the instantaneous particle velocity is normalised as follows

$$u^* = \frac{|\vec{u}|}{u_{\infty}}.$$
(15)

The terminal velocity is experimentally calculated from the two furthest upstream particle positions. It can be seen that the theoretical particle trajectories and the theoretical particle velocities agree qualitatively well with the experimental results. Because of the increase in the drag force and the decrease in the tangential force that the particle encounters during its approach near the gas-bubble interface the particle smoothly moves away from the vertical rotation axis of the bubble. The deviation of the particle trajectories can quantitatively be assessed with the collision angle ϕ_c . Using identical boundary conditions (d_p, d_b) and initial release conditions (u^*, R^*) it is found that the theoretical collision angles match remarkably well the experimental data in the three intervals $I_{i=1..3}$. The model however overestimates the deviation in the particle trajectory by about 15% for large collision angles. The reason behind the larger errors in the fourth interval I_4 is given in the next section 3.2.

342

343 **3.2. Approach of the elongated particles**

344 The velocity magnitude of each falling elongated particle during the approach phase is compared 345 with that obtained from the four experimental runs involving the spherical particles and that 346 obtained from the theoretical model. Figure 6 shows the velocity magnitude as a function of the 347 polar coordinate r^* . Similarly to the model validation against the spherical glass bead data the results are sorted by collision angle and placed in one of the four collision intervals $I_{i=1,4}$ (Eq. 9). 348 In each of the four subdiagrams the abscissa r^* decreases to unity. This lower bound indicates a 349 350 collision with the gas bubble surface. The results show that the velocity magnitude of the 351 approaching particles is not significantly affected by the particle aspect ratio. It is a major finding 352 since even a model for spherical particles can be employed to predict the translational velocities 353 and the trajectories of elongated particles. In the far-bubble region, found to be the region in which the normalised polar radius is greater than $r^* > 1.8$, the bubble has little effect on the 354 355 particle motion: each particle descends with a constant velocity equalled to the terminal velocity u_{∞} . In the bubble region ($r^* < 1.8$) and for a collision angle lower than $\phi_c < 50^\circ$ the velocity 356 357 magnitude rapidly decreases. The closer the collision near the upstream pole the greater the deceleration. Upon collision with an angle lower than $\phi_c < 10^\circ$ the particle experiences a loss in 358 velocity of about 80% (interval I_1). In the third interval I_3 the particle experiences a loss in 359 velocity of about 40%. As previously observed in the validation of the particle trajectories 360 361 (Figure 5) the model exhibits an unrealistic behaviour for large collision angles (interval I_4). In the fourth interval I_4 the theoretically determined velocity magnitude increases as the particle 362 approaches the bubble surface. Further analysis of the simulation data showed a strong decrease 363 364 in the tangential drag force component, which in-turn caused this unnatural increase in the particle velocity magnitude. It seems that, for large collision angles, the two drag corrections (Eq. 365 366 10-11) lose validity when the particle comes very close to the gas-bubble interface, i.e. within $r^* < 1.1$. 367

368

369 **3.3. Sliding of the elongated particles**

The velocity magnitude was also measured during the sliding phase. As illustrated in Figure 7, it 370 371 can be seen that the particle elongation does not influence the velocity magnitude in each 372 collision interval. The model also performs remarkably well. The velocity magnitude reaches its 373 maximum when the polar angle reaches 100°. The orientation of the particle major axis with the 374 radial direction is denoted by the angle γ (See Figure 3 for an illustrative schematic). Figure 8 375 illustrates the two types of ellipsoidal attachments: the "weak attachment" and the "strong 376 attachment". The weak attachment indicates a radial alignment of the fibre at the gas-liquid 377 interface. Only one of the two fibre extremities eventually adheres to the gas-liquid interface 378 $(\gamma = 0$ towards the end of the sliding). The strong attachment indicates a larger three-phase 379 contact area. Throughout the sliding phase the particle major axis aligns with the gas-liquid 380 interface ($\gamma = 90^{\circ}$) which results in a tangential alignment of the fibre at the gas liquid interface. 381 The evolution of the major axis orientation as a function of the polar angle over the course of the 382 sliding is shown in Figure 9. The figure is divided into two subfigures. The subfigure (9a) on the 383 left hand side encompasses the elongated particles for which a weak attachment was observed. 384 The subfigure (9b) on the right hand side encompasses the elongated particles for which a strong 385 attachment was observed. As expected the strong attachment involves a particle orientation which 386 equals 90° throughout the entire attachment. During a weak attachment the elongated particle initially aligns with the bubble surface (tangential contact, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$) and when the polar angle 387 reaches the surface bubble region $90^{\circ} < \phi < 120^{\circ}$ the particle orientation suddenly changes: the 388 389 contact becomes radial ($\gamma = 0^{\circ}$). Last but not least, about 90% of experimental runs, in which the collision angle exceeded the threshold $\phi_c > 30^\circ$, resulted in a weak attachment (left subfigure 390 391 9a). Should the collision angle be lower than this threshold collision angle (grey area in the right 392 subfigure 9b), the attachment was found take a strong form. The effect of the collision angle has a 393 major effect on the fibre orientation during the sliding motion.

394

395 **3.4. Discussions**

396 3.4.1. Sliding time

The weak attachment is very likely due to the shorter time of "induction" (Yoon and Luttrell,
1989) which does not allow for the formation of a stronger tangential three-phase contact.
Verrelli, Koh *et al.* (2012) experimentally measured the induction time of methylated borosilicate

400 glass spheres. The team showed that the induction time increased with the collision angle, i.e. a 401 larger collision angle resulted in a longer time required for the film rupture to occur. For collision angles greater than $\phi_c > 30^\circ$ the team estimated an induction time ranging from 0.1 to 0.2 s. A 402 collision near the upstream pole resulted in a lower induction time of about 0.02 s. During a weak 403 404 attachment and irrespective of its shape, it was here found that a particle colliding with the bubble at a polar angle greater than $\phi_c > 30^\circ$ required on average 0.15 s to 0.2 s to reach the bubble 405 406 equator, after which the particle changed its orientation γ . The present sliding time needed to reach the bubble equator is of course determined for glass beads with a contact angle of $\theta =$ 407 $60 - 70^{\circ}$ and can therefore not be directly compared with the induction time of glass particles 408 with a surface treatment. Yet it seems that the sliding time needed to reach the bubble equator 409 410 should be large enough for a strong attachment to occur.

411

412 **3.4.2. Forces at the gas-liquid interface**

413 Findings from this work along with the experimental observations of Wang, Zhou et al. (2003b) 414 have shown that the maximum value, for which the rotational velocity reaches its maxima, is not 415 exactly found at 90°, but at a polar angle located between 90° and 110°. Surprisingly it fairly 416 corresponds to the range, in which the particle suddenly changes its orientation γ over the course 417 of a weak attachment (Figure 9). Could it be that the change in the particle orientation during a 418 weak attachment is triggered by the centrifugal force? It is therefore of interest to work out which 419 forces prevail during the sliding process. The magnitude of the various forces are here derived 420 from the theoretical work of Nguyen (2003). He developed a force balance model, in which a 421 spherical particle is at rest at the downstream pole of a bubble, i.e. at $\phi = 180^{\circ}$. Four major static forces were identified: the capillary force F_{cap} , the buoyancy F_b , the pressure force F_p and the 422 particle weight F_a . In the following each force is normalised with the surface tension σ and the 423 capillary length $L = \sqrt{\sigma/(\rho_L g)}$. The mathematical formulations are also simplified as a result of 424 425 the small particle-to-bubble diameter ratio. The dimensionless capillary force, which tends to pull 426 the solid particle into the gas phase, equals the product of the gas-liquid surface tension with the 427 length of the three-phase contact line. Its formulation reads

$$\frac{F_{cap}(\phi = 180^\circ)}{2\pi\sigma L} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d_p}{L}\right) \sin\alpha \sin(\theta - \alpha).$$
(16)

428 For the exact definition of the angle α the reader is referred to the original work of Nguyen 429 (2003). Typically the total adhesion force is maximum for $\alpha = 28^{\circ}$. Should the centrifugal force 430 be introduced in the original force balance model of Nguyen (2003), the value of α , for which the 431 adhesion force is maximum, will change. The force balance model of Nutt (1960) could for 432 instance be employed. It has also been shown that the for a fixed particle volume, a prolate 433 spheroid attaches even more strongly to a gas-liquid interface because of the larger particle-434 interface area (Davies, Kruger et al., 2014). An exact solution of the various forces acting on the 435 particle at the gas-bubble interface is however irrelevant in the present discussion since we only 436 seek to compare their respective orders of magnitude. The hydrostatic pressure force of the liquid 437 phase above the contact area at the gas-liquid interface is given by

$$\frac{F_h(\phi = 180^\circ)}{2\pi\sigma L} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{d_p}{L}\right)^2 \left(\frac{d_b}{2L} - \frac{2L}{d_b}\right) \sin^2 \alpha.$$
(17)

The buoyancy force, which largely applies to the particle volume immersed in the liquid phase, isdefined as

$$\frac{F_b(\phi = 180^\circ)}{2\pi\sigma L} = \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{d_p}{L}\right)^3 (2 + 3\cos\alpha - \cos^3\alpha).$$
(18)

440 The particle weight is given by

$$\frac{F_g(\phi = 180^\circ)}{2\pi\sigma L} = \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{d_p}{L}\right)^3 S.$$
 (19)

441 Using the theoretical formulation of the centrifugal force derived by Dai, Dukhin *et al.* (1998), it 442 can be shown that its magnitude is proportional to $\sin^2 \phi$, and therefore the centrifugal force 443 reaches its theoretical maxima at the bubble equator $\phi = 90^\circ$. Figure 7 shows that the maximal 444 sliding velocity roughly equals the terminal velocity. With a typical terminal velocity $u_{\alpha} = 3$ 445 mm/s (Annex 1) and an average bubble diameter $d_b = 1.4$ mm, a fair estimate of the centrifugal 446 force at the equator can be given by

$$\frac{F_c(\phi = 90^\circ)}{2\pi\sigma L} = \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{d_p}{L}\right)^3 \left(\frac{2u_{\infty}^2}{gd_b}\right) \approx 10^{-3} \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{d_p}{L}\right)^3.$$
 (20)

For comparison purposes all the above forces were made function of the ratio of the particle diameter to the capillary length. With a capillary length of about L = 2.7 mm this ratio becomes very small, i.e. $d_p/L \ll 1$. The particle buoyancy (Eq. 18) and the particle weight (Eq. 19) are therefore two orders of magnitude smaller than the capillary force. The centrifugal force (Eq. 20) is by even more orders of magnitude smaller than the capillary force. It therefore seems fair to 452 neglect the effect of the centrifugal force on the change in the fibre orientation over the course of453 a weak attachment.

454

455 **3.4.3.** Terminal velocity of the elongated particles

456 In the present model it was assumed that the particles were spherical. However, the drag of an 457 elongated particle does not necessarily equal that of an equivalent sphere. The terminal velocity 458 u_{∞}^{\parallel} of an elongated particle, which has its major axis parallel to the direction of motion (particle in a vertical position), will differ from the terminal velocity u_{∞}^{\perp} of the exact same particle 459 460 descending with its major axis normal to the relative particle motion (particle in a horizontal 461 position). Therefore the two shape factors κ_{\parallel} and κ_{\perp} , defined as the ratio of the terminal velocity 462 of an elongated particle to that of an equivalent sphere of same volume and density (Kasper, 463 Niida et al., 1985) are normally introduced

$$\kappa_{\parallel} = \frac{u_{\infty}^{\parallel}}{u_{\infty}^{eq}} \quad , \quad \kappa_{\perp} = \frac{u_{\infty}^{\perp}}{u_{\infty}^{eq}}.$$
 (21)

In the above formulation u_{∞}^{eq} corresponds to the terminal velocity of a sphere of equivalent 464 465 volume. Exact, limiting and approximate solutions for the drag on spheroids at creeping flow 466 conditions using the slender-body theory were derived by Oberbeck (1876). As is the case here, a 467 creeping flow indicates that the Reynolds number based on the particle diameter and the liquid 468 viscosity is lower than unity. One of the approximations (Loth, 2008), for which the aspect ratio 469 of a needle-like ellipsoid (prolate) is greater than unity, can be found in Table 2. The shape 470 factors are calculated for an aspect ratio of 3 and 6. The theoretical value is compared with the 471 experimental data derived from the work of Kasper, Niida et al. (1985). For a particle aspect ratio 472 of e = 3 one can expect a maximum difference in the terminal velocity of about 30% compared 473 to that of an equivalent sphere. This difference will increase to about 60 % for a particle aspect 474 ratio equalled to e = 6. The present model, which involves spherical particles, therefore 475 underestimates the translational velocities. It is however not directly shown in the figures since 476 the velocity variables were made non-dimensional with the terminal velocity. The experimental 477 determination of the terminal velocity was taken from the first two occurrences of the particle in 478 the camera field of view. It was shown here that the particle velocity is affected within $r^* < 1.8$. 479 Figure 8 and the two videos available in the supplementary material show that some particles enter the field of view at an altitude of about $r^* \approx 1.5$. It is therefore likely that the terminal 480 481 velocity does not always exactly correspond to the one, which would be observed at a higher 482 altitude. Unfortunately there is no better alternative for determining the exact terminal velocity of483 the elongated particles.

484

485 **4. Conclusions**

486 The present work looked at the attachment of solid elongated particles on the surface of a 487 stationary gas bubble immersed in stagnant water. It was shown that the particle aspect ratio has 488 no significant effect on the translational velocities of the particles. The far-bubble region, in 489 which the velocity of the particle is not affected by the bubble, was found to be the region in 490 which the normalised polar radius was greater than $r^* > 1.8$. The results matched very well those obtained numerically with spheres as long as the collision angle remained lower than $\phi_c < 50^\circ$. 491 492 For the first time the existence of two types of attachment has been shown. Upon collision near 493 the upstream pole of the gas bubble the major axis of the fibre aligns with the local bubble 494 surface (tangential fibre alignment, strong attachment). If collision occurs at least 30° further 495 downstream only head of the fibre is in contact with the gas-liquid interface (radial fibre 496 alignment, weak attachment).

497

498 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Marie Curie International Outgoing Fellowship with the European
Union Seventh Framework Program for Research and Technological Development (2007-2013)
under the grant agreement number 623518.

502

503 **References**

- Ahmed, N. and Jameson, G. J., 1985. The effect of bubble-size on the rate of flotation of fine
 particles. International Journal of Mineral Processing 14, 195-215.
- 506 Albijanic, B., Ozdemir, O., Nguyen, A. V. and Bradshaw, D., 2010. A review of induction and
- attachment times of wetting thin films between air bubbles and particles and its relevance in
 the separation of particles by flotation. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science 159, 1-21.
- 509 Brunauer, S., Emmett, P. H. and Teller, E., 1938. Adsorption of gases in multimolecular layers.
- 510 Journal of the American Chemical Society 60, 309-319.
- 511 Cho, Y. S. and Laskowski, J. S., 2002. Effect of flotation frothers on bubble size and foam 512 stability. International Journal of Mineral Processing 64, 69-80.

- 513 Crowe, C. T., Schwarzkopf, J. D., Sommerfeld, M. and Tsuji, Y., 2011. Multiphase flows with
 514 droplets and particles, second edition. CRC Press.
- 515 Dai, Z., Dukhin, S., Fornasiero, D. and Ralston, J., 1998. The inertial hydrodynamic interaction 516 of particles and rising bubbles with mobile surfaces. J Colloid Interface Sci 197, 275-292.
- 517 Das, S. C., Larson, I., Morton, D. A. and Stewart, P. J., 2011. Determination of the polar and total
- surface energy distributions of particulates by inverse gas chromatography. Langmuir 27, 521523.
- 520 Davies, G. B., Kruger, T., Coveney, P. V. and Harting, J., 2014. Detachment energies of 521 spheroidal particles from fluid-fluid interfaces. J Chem Phys 141, 154902.
- Forssberg, K. S. E., Subrahmanyam, T. V. and Nilsson, L. K., 1993. Influence of grinding
 method on complex sulphide ore flotation: A pilot plant study. International Journal of
 Mineral Processing 38, 157-175.
- Fuerstenau, M. C., Jameson, G. J. and Yoon, R.-H., 2007. Froth flotation: A century of
 innovation. Society for Mining, Metallurgy and Exploration, Inc. (SME).
- Gamble, J. F., Leane, M., Olusanmi, D., Tobyn, M., Supuk, E., Khoo, J. and Naderi, M., 2012.
 Surface energy analysis as a tool to probe the surface energy characteristics of micronized
 materials-a comparison with inverse gas chromatography. International Journal of
 Pharmaceutics 422, 238-244.
- Gu, G., Sean Sanders, R., Nandakumar, K., Xu, Z. and Masliyah, J. H., 2004. A novel
 experimental technique to study single bubble–bitumen attachment in flotation. International
 Journal of Mineral Processing 74, 15-29.
- Huang, Z., Legendre, D. and Guiraud, P., 2011. A new experimental method for determining
 particle capture efficiency in flotation. Chemical Engineering Science 66, 982-997.
- Huang, Z., Legendre, D. and Guiraud, P., 2012. Effect of interface contamination on particlebubble collision. Chemical Engineering Science 68, 1-18.
- Hubička, M., Basařová, P. and Vejražka, J., 2013. Collision of a small rising bubble with a large
 falling particle. International Journal of Mineral Processing 121, 21-30.
- Jameson, G. J., 2010. Advances in fine and coarse particle flotation. Canadian Metallurgical
 Quarterly 49, 325-330.
- 542 Kasper, G., Niida, T. and Yang, M., 1985. Measurements of viscous drag on cylinders and chains
- of spheres with aspect ratios between 2 and 50. Journal of Aerosol Science 16, 535-556.

- Kemper, M., 1999. State-of-the-art and new technologies in flotation deinking. International
 Journal of Mineral Processing 56, 317-333.
- Koh, P. T. L., Hao, F. P., Smith, L. K., Chau, T. T. and Bruckard, W. J., 2009. The effect of
 particle shape and hydrophobicity in flotation. International Journal of Mineral Processing 93,
 128-134.
- Kursun, H. and Ulusoy, U., 2006. Influence of shape characteristics of talc mineral on the column
 flotation behavior. International Journal of Mineral Processing 78, 262-268.
- Lecrivain, G., Barry, L. and Hampel, U., 2014. Three-dimensional simulation of multilayer
 particle deposition in an obstructed channel flow. Powder Technology 258, 134-143.
- Lecrivain, G. and Hampel, U., 2012. Influence of the lagrangian integral time scale estimation in
 the near wall region on particle deposition. ASME Journal of Fluids Engineering 134, 1-6.
- Legendre, D., Sarrot, V. and Guiraud, P., 2009. On the particle inertia-free collision with a
 partially contaminated spherical bubble. International Journal of Multiphase Flow 35, 163170.
- Loth, E., 2008. Drag of non-spherical solid particles of regular and irregular shape. Powder
 Technology 182, 342-353.
- Mohammadi-Jam, S. and Waters, K. E., 2014. Inverse gas chromatography applications: A
 review. Adv Colloid Interface Sci 212, 21-44.
- Nguyen, A. V., 2003. New method and equations for determining attachment tenacity and
 particle size limit in flotation. International Journal of Mineral Processing 68, 167-182.
- Nguyen, A. V. and Jameson, G. J., 2005. Sliding of fine particles on the slip surface of rising gas
 bubbles: Resistance of liquid shear flows. International Journal of Multiphase Flow 31, 492513.
- Nguyen, P. T. and Nguyen, A. V., 2009. Validation of the generalised sutherland equation for
 bubble–particle encounter efficiency in flotation: Effect of particle density. Minerals
 Engineering 22, 176-181.
- Nowak, E., Robbins, P., Combes, G., Stitt, E. H. and Pacek, A. W., 2013. Measurements of
 contact angle between fine, non-porous particles with varying hydrophobicity and water and
 non-polar liquids of different viscosities. Powder Technology 250, 21-32.
- Nutt, C. W., 1960. Froth flotation the adhesion of solid particles to flat interfaces and bubbles.
 Chemical Engineering Science 12, 133-141.

- 575 Oberbeck, A., 1876. Über stationäre flüssigkeitsbewegungen mit berücksichtigung der inneren
 576 reibung. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 81, 62-81.
- Rahimi, M., Dehghani, F., Rezai, B. and Aslani, M. R., 2012. Influence of the roughness and
 shape of quartz particles on their flotation kinetics. International Journal of Minerals
 Metallurgy and Materials 19, 284-289.
- Ralston, J., Fornasiero, D. and Hayes, R., 1999. Bubble–particle attachment and detachment in
 flotation. International Journal of Mineral Processing 56, 133-164.
- 582 Ren, Y., Wu, Z., Ondruschka, B., Braeutigam, P., Franke, M., Nehring, H. and Hampel, U., 2011.
- 583 Oxidation of phenol by microbubble-assisted microelectrolysis. Chemical Engineering &
 584 Technology 34, 699-706.
- 585 Rosenqvist, T., 2004. Principles of extractive metallurgy. Tapir Academic Press.
- Rubio, J., Souza, M. L. and Smith, R. W., 2002. Overview of flotation as a wastewater treatment
 technique. Minerals Engineering 15, 139-155.
- Sarrot, V., Guiraud, P. and Legendre, D., 2005. Determination of the collision frequency between
 bubbles and particles in flotation. Chemical Engineering Science 60, 6107-6117.
- 590 Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch,
- 591 S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri,
- K., Tomancak, P. and Cardona, A., 2012. Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-imageanalysis. Nature Methods 9, 676-682.
- Schulze, H. J., 1989. Hydrodynamics of bubble-mineral particle collisions. Mineral Processing
 and Extractive Metallurgy Review 5, 43-76.
- Schulze, H. J., 1992. Probability of particle attachment on gas bubbles by sliding. Advances in
 Colloid and Interface Science 40, 283-305.
- Susana, L., Campaci, F. and Santomaso, A. C., 2012. Wettability of mineral and metallic
 powders: Applicability and limitations of sessile drop method and washburn's technique.
 Powder Technology 226, 68-77.
- Tao, D., 2005. Role of bubble size in flotation of coarse and fine particles—a review. Separation
 Science and Technology 39, 741-760.
- Tino, E., Dieter, W., Lama, N. and Klaus, A., 1996. Dehydration of glass surfaces studied by
 contact angle measurements. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 179, 635-636.
- van Oss, C. J., 2003. Long-range and short-range mechanisms of hydrophobic attraction and
 hydrophilic repulsion in specific and aspecific interactions. J Mol Recognit 16, 177-190.

- van Oss, C. J., Giese, R. F. and Docoslis, A., 2005. Hyperhydrophobicity of the water-air
 interface. Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology 26, 585-590.
- VDI-GVC, 2013. Verein Deutscher Ingenieure (VDI) Gesellschaft Verfahrenstechnik und
 Chemieingenieurwesen (GVC), Wärmeatlas, 11th Edition. VDI-Verlag, Springer.
- 611 Verrelli, D. I., Bruckard, W. J., Koh, P. T. L., Schwarz, M. P. and Follink, B., 2014. Particle
- shape effects in flotation. Part 1: Microscale experimental observations. Minerals Engineering58, 80-89.
- 614 Verrelli, D. I., Koh, P. T. L., Bruckard, W. J. and Schwarz, M. P., 2012. Variations in the
 615 induction period for particle-bubble attachment. Minerals Engineering 36-38, 219-230.
- 616 Verrelli, D. I., Koh, P. T. L. and Nguyen, A. V., 2011. Particle–bubble interaction and attachment
 617 in flotation. Chemical Engineering Science 66, 5910-5921.
- 618 Wang, W., Zhou, Z., Nandakumar, K., Xu, Z. and Masliyah, J. H., 2003a. Attachment of
- 619 individual particles to a stationary air bubble in model systems. International Journal of620 Mineral Processing 68, 47-69.
- Wang, W., Zhou, Z., Nandakumar, K., Xu, Z. and Masliyah, J. H., 2003b. Effect of surface
 mobility on the particle sliding along a bubble or a solid sphere. Journal of Colloid and
 Interface Science 259, 81-88.
- Ye, Y., Khandrika, S. M. and Miller, J. D., 1989. Induction-time measurements at a particle bed.
 International Journal of Mineral Processing 25, 221-240.
- Yekeler, M., Ulusoy, U. and Hiçyılmaz, C., 2004. Effect of particle shape and roughness of talc
 mineral ground by different mills on the wettability and floatability. Powder Technology 140,
 68-78.
- Yoon, R. H. and Luttrell, G. H., 1989. The effect of bubble size on fine particle flotation. Mineral
 Processing and Extractive Metallurgy Review 5, 101-122.
- 631







Fig3



0.1

1









Fig8



matorial	S_m^{BET}	surface	γ^d	γ^{-}	γ^+	γ^+	ΔG_{pwb}	θ
material	$[m^2/g]$	coverage	$[mJ/m^2]$	$[mJ/m^2]$	$[mJ/m^2]$	$[mJ/m^2]$	$[mJ/m^2]$	[°]
Liquid water	-	-	18.0	21.1	21.1	60.2	-	-
Gas bubble	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	-
	0.069	1 %	47.6	3.5	1.6	52.2	-33.3	63.5
			±3.5	±1.2	±0.3	± 4.8	±9.0	±6.7
apharical particles		10 %	49.3	3.8	0.9	53.1	-34.0	64.2
spherical particles			±3.3	±1.2	±0.2	±4.4	± 8.8	±6.3
		100 %	49.3	3.5	0.5	52.1	-36.8	67.2
			±3.4	±1.0	±0.2	±4.2	±8.7	±5.8
	0.470	1 %	37.4	4.6	4.0	46.0	-30.3	60.2
elongated particles			±0.3	±0.1	±0.0	±0.4	±3.4	±0.6
		10 %	40.8	3.3	1.0	44.5	-40.0	70.4
			±0.6	±0.2	±0.1	±0.8	±4.0	±1.2
		100 %	40.8	3.0	1.1	44.4	-40.7	71.1
			±0.9	±0.2	±0.1	±1.1	±4.4	±1.4

	κ_{\parallel}	κ_{\perp}
Approximation $(1 < e < 6)$	$\left(\frac{4}{5} + \frac{e}{5}\right)e^{-1/3}$	$\left(\frac{3}{5} + \frac{2e}{5}\right)e^{-1/3}$
e = 3 (Approximation1)	0.97	1.25
e = 3 (Experiment2)	1.06	1.26
e = 6 (Approximation1)	1.10	1.65
e = 6 (Experiment2)	1.20	1.52

Figure captions:

- Figure 1: Schematic of the test facility.
- Figure 2: Images of the spherical (a) and elongated particles (b, c) obtained with scanning electron microscope.
- Figure 3: Schematic representation of an elongated particle in its polar system during the approach and the sliding phase.
- Figure 4: Radial and tangential drag correction factors shown as a function of the gap.
- Figure 5: Validation of simulated trajectories coloured by velocity magnitude. Simulations and experiments were performed with spherical particles.
- Figure 6: Evolution of the particle velocity magnitude during the approach phase. The data are sorted by collision angles.
- Figure 7: Evolution of the particle velocity magnitude during the sliding phase. The data are sorted by collision angles.
- Figure 8: Simulated attachment of a spherical particle, experimental attachment of a spherical particle, experimental weak attachment of an elongated particle and experimental strong attachment of an elongated particle (from left to right).
- Figure 9: About 90% of experimental runs, in which the collision angle exceeded the threshold $\phi_c > 30^\circ$, resulted in a weak attachment (Subfigure a). Should the collision angle be lower than this threshold collision angle (grey area in Subfigure b), the attachment takes a strong form.

Table captions:

- Table 1: Measured hydrophobicity of the spherical particles and of the elongated particles at surface coverages of 1 %, 10 % and 100 %.
- Table 2: Estimations of the two shape factors of an elongated particle. The approximation is derived from the work of Loth (2008). The experimental values are measured from a falling chain of beads (Kasper, Niida et al., 1985).

Annex1 Click here to download Electronic Annex: annex1.docx

	Particle ID	е	major axis length	u_{∞}	R^*_∞	ϕ_c	d_b
		[-]	[µm]	[mm/s]	[-]	[°]	[µm]
	1	3.5	181	3.00	0.47	23.72	1363.1
	2	2.0	143	6.80	0.47	31.74	1378.2
	3	2.5	160	5.50	0.13	16.42	1378.2
	4	2.2	155	6.80	0.54	39.70	1372.8
	5	3.6	215	6.50	0.27	15.03	1378.2
	6	4.8	285	8.50	0.70	43.95	1378.2
	7	1.7	106	4.50	0.70	45.63	1316.7
	8	1.9	118	4.30	0.40	29.35	1306.3
	9	2.3	144	4.63	0.57	32.42	1345.0
	10	2.5	164	4.57	0.49	34.11	1345.0
	11	3.5	227	5.90	0.21	9.27	1265.5
	12	2.4	148	5.70	0.71	57.38	1430.1
	13	2.9	190	3.50	0.38	21.15	1430.1
	14	2.2	143	2.80	0.08	5.99	1430.1
elongated	15	1.8	108	2.30	0.73	50.48	1418.9
(Experiment)	16	1.1	110	7.80	0.46	29.82	1409.2
(Experiment)	17	2.9	177	4.60	0.42	26.19	1384.5
	18	1.5	102	4.00	0.68	48.10	1458.5
	19	2.3	162	6.20	0.23	12.06	1483.0
	20	6.7	432	7.40	0.74	41.06	1455.1
	21	3.0	179	5.40	0.72	49.02	1450.2
	22	2.1	120	2.73	0.98	70.86	1463.0
	23	3.7	226	5.79	0.62	44.45	1408.9
	24	3.5	249	7.97	3.48	59.62	1525.3
	25	1.2	100	5.52	1.18	36.29	1525.3
	26	1.6	105	1.61	0.47	31.07	1666.9
	27	2.6	168	3.24	0.19	9.50	1671.6
	28	2.0	138	4.19	0.67	46.15	1626.2
	29	1.7	110	3.64	0.19	15.26	1690.9
	30	1.4	101	3.94	0.33	20.96	1690.9
1 • 1	1		122	4.36	0.08	5.46	1690.1
spherical	2		95	2.58	0.33	21.33	1674.8
(Experiment)	3		108	3.94	0.45	30.75	1462.2
(r)	4		95	2.54	0.75	51.50	1391.6
aphorical	1		80	5.25	0.08	5.25	1600.0
narticles	2		80	5.25	0.33	22.15	1600.0
(Simulation)	3		80	5.25	0.45	30.97	1600.0
	4		80	5.25	0.75	59.40	1600.0

Annex	1:	Short	summary	of	particle	properties.
-------	----	-------	---------	----	----------	-------------

Video of a weak attchment Click here to download Supplemental Multimedia File: weakAttachment.avi Video of a strong attachment Click here to download Supplemental Multimedia File: strongAttachment.avi