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Kyoto University
§ 1. Introduction

1.1 This is a report on a joint article with Takeshi Saito, with the same title [5], devoted to studying the ramification of Galois torsors and of ℓ-adic sheaves in characteristic \( p > 0 \) (with \( \ell \neq p \)), developing the project started in [1, 2, 3, 4, 10].

1.2 Let \( k \) be a perfect field of characteristic \( p > 0 \), \( X \) be a smooth, separated and quasi-compact \( k \)-scheme, \( D \) be a simple normal crossing divisor on \( X \), \( U = X - D \); we say for short that \((X, D)\) is an \textit{snc-pair} over \( k \). We fix a prime number \( \ell \) different from \( p \) and a finite local \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-algebra \( \Lambda \). Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a locally constant constructible sheaf of \( \Lambda \)-modules on \( U \). The main problems in ramification theory are the following:

(A) to describe the ramification of \( \mathcal{F} \) along \( D \);

(B) to give a Riemann-Roch type formula for \( \mathcal{F} \), that is, to compute the Euler-Poincaré characteristic with compact support of \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( U \) in terms of its invariants of ramification (provided by (A)).

In [3], we gave cohomological answers to both problems that rely on the notion of characteristic class of \( \mathcal{F} \). In the article under review, we develop a more geometric approach to problem (A) and give a conjectural formula for (B), generalizing the one proved in ([10] 3.7) and based on the finer notion of characteristic cycle of \( \mathcal{F} \). For this purpose, we start by studying the ramification of Galois torsors over \( U \), that is, torsors over \( U \) for the étale topology, under finite constant groups. Our approach is inspired by...
the ramification theory of local fields with imperfect residue fields that we developed in [1, 2, 10]. Its leitmotiv is to eliminate the ramification by blow-up.

§ 2. Review of ramification theory of local fields with imperfect residue fields

2.1 Let $K$ be a discrete valuation field, $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the valuation ring of $K$, $F$ be the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_K$, $\overline{K}$ be a separable closure of $K$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be the Galois group of $\overline{K}/K$. We assume that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is henselian and that $F$ has characteristic $p$. In ([1] 3.12), we defined a decreasing filtration $\mathcal{G}^r_{\log}$ ($r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$) of $\mathcal{G}$ by closed normal subgroups, called the logarithmic ramification filtration. For a rational number $r \geq 0$, we put

$$\mathcal{G}^{r+}_{\log} = \bigcup_{s>r} \mathcal{G}^s_{\log},$$

$$\mathrm{Gr}^r_{\log}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{G}^r_{\log}/\mathcal{G}^{r+}_{\log}.$$

This filtration satisfies the following properties, among others:

(i) The group $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}^{0+}_{\log}$ is the wild inertia subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$, i.e., the $p$-Sylow subgroup of the inertia subgroup $\mathcal{G}^0_{\log}$ ([1] 3.15).

(ii) For every rational number $r > 0$, the group $\mathrm{Gr}^r_{\log}(\mathcal{G})$ is abelian and is contained in the center of the pro-$p$-group $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{G}^{r+}_{\log}$ ([2] Theorem 1).

Further properties are stated below.

2.2 Let $L$ be a finite separable extension of $K$, $r$ be a rational number $\geq 0$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $\text{Hom}_{K-\text{Alg}}(L, \overline{K})$ via its action on $\overline{K}$. We say that the ramification of $L/K$ is bounded by $r$ (resp. by $r+$) if $\mathcal{G}^r_{\log}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}^{r+}_{\log}$) acts trivially on $\text{Hom}_{K}(L, \overline{K})$. We define the conductor $c$ of $L/K$ as the infimum of rational numbers $r > 0$ such that the ramification of $L/K$ is bounded by $r$. Then $c$ is a rational number, and the ramification of $L/K$ is bounded by $c+$ ([1] 9.5). If $c > 0$, the ramification of $L/K$ is not bounded by $c$.

In fact, we define first the property that $L/K$ has a bounded ramification by a rational number $r > 0$, then we deduce the filtration $\mathcal{G}^r_{\log}$ ($r \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$) of $\mathcal{G}$. I will not recall the definition here, as I will introduce a geometric generalization for Galois torsors.

2.3 For any finite discrete $\Lambda$-representation $M$ of $\mathcal{G}$, we have a canonical slope decomposition

$$(2.3.1) \quad M = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} M^{(r)},$$
characterised by the following properties: $M^{(0)} = M^\mathcal{P}$ and for every $r > 0$,

\[ (M^{(r)})^{\mathscr{G}_{\log}^{r}} = 0 \text{ and } (M^{(r)})^{\mathcal{G}_{\log}^{r}} = M^{(r)}. \]

The values $r \geq 0$ for which $M^{(r)} \neq 0$ are called the slopes of $M$. We say that $M$ is isoclinic if it has only one slope. If $M$ is isoclinic of slope $r > 0$, we have a canonical central character decomposition

\[ M = \bigoplus_{\chi} M_{\chi}, \]

where the sum runs over finite characters $\chi: \mathcal{G}_{\log}^r \rightarrow \Lambda_{\chi}^\times$ such that $\Lambda_{\chi}$ is a finite étale $\Lambda$-algebra.

2.4 We assume in the following that $F$ is of finite type over $k$. We denote by $\Omega^1_F(\log)$ the quotient of $\Omega^1_F \oplus (F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^\times)$ by the sub-$F$-module generated by elements of the form $(da, 0) - (0, \bar{a} \otimes a)$, for $a \in \mathcal{O}_K - \{0\}$ and $\bar{a}$ its residue class in $F$. For every $a \in K^\times$, we denote by $d\log a$ the class of $(0, 1 \otimes a)$. Then we have an exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow \Omega^1_F(\log) \rightarrow \Omega^1_F(\log) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} F \rightarrow 0, \]

where $\text{res}((0, a \otimes b)) = a \cdot \text{ord}(b)$ for $a \in F$ and $b \in K^\times$. In particular, $\Omega^1_F(\log)$ is an $F$-vector space of finite dimension, namely the transcendental degree of $F$ over $k$ plus one.

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_K$ the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_K$ in $\overline{K}$, by $\overline{F}$ the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_K$, by $\text{ord}$ the valuation of $\overline{K}$ normalized by $\text{ord}(K^\times) = \mathbb{Z}$ and, for any rational number $r$, by $m_{K}^{-r}$ (resp. $m_{K}^{r+}$) the $\mathcal{O}_K$-module of elements $x \in \overline{K}$ such that $\text{ord}(x) \geq r$ (resp. $\text{ord}(x) > r$).

The following important property of the logarithmic ramification filtration was proved in ([10] 1.24) if $K$ has characteristic $p$, and in [11] if $K$ has characteristic $0$. For any rational number $r > 0$, the group $\mathcal{G}_{\log}^r \mathcal{G}$ is an $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space, and we have a canonical injective homomorphism

\[ \text{rsw: } \text{Hom}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{G}_{\log}^r \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_F(m_{K}^{-r}/m_{K}^{r+}, \Omega^1_F(\log) \otimes_F \overline{F}), \]

called the refined Swan conductor. Our definition of characteristic cycle is a generalization of this notion.

§ 3. Ramification of Galois torsors

3.1 Our approach is based on a geometric construction introduced in [3, 4, 10]. Let $(X, D)$ be an snc-pair over $k$, $U = X - D$, $D_1, \ldots, D_m$ be the irreducible components of $D$. We denote by $(X \times_k X)'$ the blow-up of $X \times_k X$ along $D_i \times_k D_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. 

We define the framed self-product $X \ast_k X$ of $(X, D)$ over $k$ as the open sub-scheme of $(X \times_k X)'$ obtained by removing the strict transforms of $D \times_k X$ and $X \times_k D$ (called the logarithmic self-product in [10]). We give in ([5] 5.20) an equivalent definition using logarithmic geometry, that extends to more general situations. The diagonal morphism $\delta_X : X \to X \times_k X$ lifts uniquely to a morphism $\delta : X \to X \ast_k X$, called the framed diagonal of $(X, D)$ (and the logarithmic diagonal in [10]). We consider $X \ast_k X$ as an $X$-scheme by the second projection.

3.2 Let $R$ be an effective rational divisor on $X$ with support in $D$ (i.e., a sum of non-negative rational multiples of the irreducible components of $D$). We define in ([5] 5.26) the dilatation $(X \ast_k X)^{(R)}$ of $X \ast_k X$ along $\delta$ of thickening $R$ as an affine scheme over $X \ast_k X$ that fits in a canonical Cartesian diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{\delta_U} & U \times_k U \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow j^{(R)} \\
X & \xrightarrow{\delta^{(R)}} & (X \ast_k X)^{(R)}
\end{array}
$$

where $j^{(R)}$ is a canonical open immersion, $\delta^{(R)}$ is the unique morphism lifting $\delta$, $j$ is the canonical injection and $\delta_U$ is the diagonal morphism. If $R$ has integral coefficients, then $(X \ast_k X)^{(R)}$ is a dilatation in the sense of Raynaud, more precisely, $(X \ast_k X)^{(R)}$ is the maximal open sub-scheme of the blow-up of $X \ast_k X$ along $\delta(R)$, where the exceptional divisor is equal to the pull-back of $R$ by the second projection to $X$ (cf. [5] 4.1).

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
$X$ & $X \times_k X$ & $X \ast_k X$
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
$\delta(X)$ & $\delta^{(R)}(X)$
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\textit{(*)} The two curved lines should be removed (i.e., dotted).
3.3 Let $V$ be a Galois torsor over $U$ of group $G$, $R$ be an effective rational divisor on $X$ with support in $D$. We consider $V \times_k V$ as a Galois torsor over $U \times_k U$ of group $G \times G$, and denote by $W$ the quotient of $V \times_k V$ by $\Delta(G)$, where $\Delta: G \to G \times G$ is the diagonal homomorphism. The diagonal morphism $\delta_V: V \to V \times_k V$ induces a morphism $\varepsilon_U: U \to W$ lifting the diagonal morphism $\delta_U: U \to U \times_k U$. Note that $W$ represents the sheaf of isomorphisms of $G$-torsors from $U \times_k V$ to $V \times_k U$ over $U \times_k U$, and that $\varepsilon_U$ corresponds to the identity isomorphism of $V$ (identified with the pull-backs of $U \times_k V$ and $V \times_k U$ by $\delta_U$). We denote by $Z$ the integral closure of $(X \ast_k X)^{(R)}$ in $W$, by $\pi: Z \to (X \times_k X)^{(R)}$ the canonical morphism and by $\varepsilon: X \to Z$ the morphism induced by $\varepsilon_U: U \to W$. We have $\pi \circ \varepsilon = \delta^{(R)}$.

(3.3.1)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\varepsilon_U & \rightarrow & W \\
U \xrightarrow{\delta_U} U \times_k U & \rightarrow & (X \ast_k X)^{(R)} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \delta^{(R)} \\
\pi & \rightarrow & X \\
\end{array}
\]

Let $x \in X$. We say that the ramification of $V/U$ at $x$ is bounded by $R+$ if the morphism $\pi$ is étale at $\varepsilon(x)$, and that the ramification of $V/U$ along $D$ is bounded by $R+$ if $\pi$ is étale over an open neighborhood of $\varepsilon(X)$ ([5] 7.3).

We establish several properties of this notion. First, we prove that it satisfies descent for faithfully flat and log-smooth morphisms ([5] 7.7). The second property plays a key role in [5]: if $R$ has integral coefficients, we prove that the ramification of $V/U$ along $D$ is bounded by $R+$ if and only if there exists an open neighborhood $Z_0$ of $\varepsilon(X)$ in $Z$ which is étale over $(X \ast_k X)^{(R)}$ and such that $\pi(Z_0)$ contains $(X \ast_k X)^{(R)} \times_X R$ ([5] 7.13). Third, we relate this notion to its analogue for finite separable extensions of local fields: let $\xi$ be a geometric point of $D$, $\overline{\xi}$ be a geometric point of $X$ above $\xi$, $S$ be the strict localization of $X$ at $\overline{\xi}$, $K$ be the fraction field of $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$, $r$ be the multiplicity of $R$ at $\xi$. We put $V \times_U \text{Spec}(K) = \text{Spec}(L)$, where $L = \prod_{i=1}^n L_i$ is a finite product of finite separable extensions of $K$. We prove in ([5] 7.18) that the ramification of $V/U$ at $\xi$ is bounded by $R+$ if and only if, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the logarithmic ramification of $L_i/K$ is bounded by $r+$ in the sense of (2.2).

3.4 Let $V$ be a Galois torsor over $U$ of group $G$, $Y$ be the integral closure of $X$ in $V$, $R$ be an effective rational divisor on $X$ with support in $D$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) for every geometric point $\overline{\eta}$ of $Y$, the inertia group $I_{\overline{\eta}} \subset G$ of $\overline{\eta}$ has a normal $p$-Sylow subgroup;

(ii) for every generic point $\xi$ of $D$, the ramification of $V/U$ at $\xi$ is bounded by $R+$. 
Then we prove that the ramification of $V/U$ along $D$ is bounded by $R+$ ([5] 7.19). This result is an analogue of the Zariski-Nagata purity theorem.

3.5 Let $V$ be a Galois torsor over $U$ of finite group $G$. We define the conductor of $V/U$ relatively to $X$ to be the minimum effective rational divisor $R$ on $X$ with support in $D$ such that for every generic point $x$ of $D$, the ramification of $V/U$ at $x$ is bounded by $R+$. This terminology may be slightly misleading as the ramification of $V/U$ along $D$ may not be bounded by $R+$ in general. However, we prove in ([5] 7.22), as a consequence of 3.4, that under a strong form of resolution of singularities, there exists an snc-pair $(X', D')$ over $k$ and a proper morphism $f : X' \to X$ inducing an isomorphism $X' - D' \sim U$, such that if we denote by $R'$ the conductor of $V/U$ relatively to $X'$, the ramification of $V/U$ along $D'$ is bounded by $R'+$.

§4. Ramification of $\ell$-adic sheaves

4.1 Let $(X, D)$ be an snc-pair over $k$, $U = X - D$, $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules on $U$, $R$ be an effective rational divisor on $X$ with support in $D$, $x \in X$, $\overline{x}$ be a geometric point of $X$ above $x$. Recall that $\Lambda$ is a finite local $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-algebra (1.2). We denote by $\text{pr}_1, \text{pr}_2 : U \times_k U \to U$ the canonical projections and put

$$(4.1.1) \quad \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}om(\text{pr}_2^* \mathcal{F}, \text{pr}_1^* \mathcal{F}).$$

We prove in ([5] 8.2) that the base change morphism

$$(4.1.2) \quad \alpha : \delta^{(R)}j_*^{(R)}(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F})) \to j_*\delta^*_U(\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{F})) = j_*(\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F}))$$

relatively to the Cartesian diagram (3.2.1) is injective. Furthermore, the following conditions are equivalent :

(i) The stalk $\alpha_{\overline{x}}$ of the morphism $\alpha$ at $\overline{x}$ is an isomorphism.

(ii) There exists a Galois torsor $V$ over $U$ trivializing $\mathcal{F}$ such the ramification of $V/U$ at $x$ is bounded by $R+$.

We say that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ at $\overline{x}$ is bounded by $R+$ if $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies these equivalent conditions. We say that the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ is bounded by $R+$ if the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ at $\overline{x}$ is bounded by $R+$ for every geometric point $\overline{x}$ of $X$. We establish several properties of this notion similar to those for Galois torsors. In particular, we relate it to the analogue notion for Galois representations of local fields (with possibly imperfect residue fields) ([5] 8.8).
4.2 Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules on $U$. We define the conductor of $\mathcal{F}$ relatively to $X$ to be the minimum of the set of effective rational divisors $R$ on $X$ with support in $D$ such that for every geometric point $\bar{\xi}$ of $X$ above a generic point of $D$, the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ at $\bar{\xi}$ is bounded by $R+$. As for Galois torsors, this terminology may be slightly misleading as the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D$ may not be bounded by $R+ \text{ in general}$. However, we prove that under a strong form of resolution of singularities, there exists an snc-pair $(X', D')$ over $k$ and a proper morphism $f: X' \to X$ inducing an isomorphism $X' - D' \sim U$, such that if we denote by $R'$ the conductor of $\mathcal{F}$ relatively to $X'$, the ramification of $\mathcal{F}$ along $D'$ is bounded by $R' \text{+ (} ([5] \text{ 8.11}).$

4.3 The last part of [5] is devoted to studying important specialization properties that lead to the fundamental notion of cleanliness and to the definition of the characteristic cycle. Let $R$ be an effective divisor on $X$ with support in $D$.\footnote{We consider rational divisors on $X$ with support in $D$ and integral coefficients as Cartier divisors on $X$.} We prove ([5] 4.6) that $(X \ast,k X)^{(R)}$ is smooth over $X$ and that

\[(4.3.1)\quad E^{(R)} = (X \ast,k X)^{(R)} \times_X R\]

is canonically isomorphic to the twisted logarithmic tangent bundle

$$V(\Omega_{X/k}^1(\log D) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_X} \mathscr{O}_X(R)) \times_X R$$

over $R$. We denote by $\hat{E}^{(R)}$ the dual vector bundle. Consider the commutative diagram with Cartesian squares

\[(4.3.2)\quad \begin{array}{ccc}
E^{(R)} & \longrightarrow & (X \ast,k X)^{(R)} \leftarrow U \times_k U \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow j^{(R)} \\
R & \longrightarrow & X \sqsubseteq U
\end{array}\]

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules on $U \times_k U$. We call $R$-specialization of $\mathcal{G}$ and denote by $\varphi_R(\mathcal{G}, X)$, the sheaf on $E^{(R)}$ defined by

\[(4.3.3)\quad \varphi_R(\mathcal{G}, X) = j_*^{(R)}(\mathcal{G})|E^{(R)}\]
We fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_p \to \Lambda^\times$ and denote by $S \subset \hat{E}^{(R)}$ the support of the Fourier-Deligne transform of $\nu_R(\mathcal{H}(\mathscr{F}), X)$ relatively to $\psi$ (cf. [5] 3.4); more precisely, $S$ is the subset of points of $\hat{E}^{(R)}$ where the stalks of the cohomology sheaves of the Fourier-Deligne transform are not all zero (cf. [5] 3.5). The additivity of $\nu_R(\mathcal{H}(\mathscr{F}), X)$ is equivalent to the fact that, for every $x \in R$, the set $S \cap E_x^{(R)}$ is finite (cf. [5] 3.6). We call $S$ the Fourier dual support of $\nu_R(\mathcal{H}(\mathscr{F}), X)$. We prove in fact that $S$ is the underlying space of a closed sub-scheme of $\hat{E}^{(R)}$ which is finite over $R$ (cf. [5] 8.18). Note that $S$ is a priori a constructible subset of $\hat{E}^{(R)}$ and that it is not obvious that it is closed in $\hat{E}^{(R)}$. We say that $\nu_R(\mathcal{H}(\mathscr{F}), X)$ is non-degenerate if $S$ does not meet the zero section of $\hat{E}^{(R)}$ over $R$.

4.4 Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a locally constant constructible sheaf of $\Lambda$-modules on $U$, $\xi$ be a generic point of $D$, $X_{(\xi)}$ be the henselization of $X$ at $\xi$, $\eta_\xi$ be the generic point of $X_{(\xi)}$, $\overline{\eta}_\xi$ be the Galois group of $\overline{\eta}_\xi$ over $\eta_\xi$. We say that $\mathscr{F}$ is isoclinic at $\xi$ if the representation $\mathscr{F}_{\overline{\eta}_\xi}$ of $\mathcal{O}_\xi$ is isoclinic (2.3), and that $\mathscr{F}$ is isoclinic along $D$ if it is isoclinic at all generic points of $D$.

Assume first that $\mathscr{F}$ is isoclinic along $D$, and let $R$ be its conductor relatively to $X$. We say ([5] 8.23) that $\mathscr{F}$ is clean along $D$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) the ramification of $\mathscr{F}$ along $D$ is bounded by $R+$;

(ii) there exists a log-smooth morphism of snc-pairs $f: (X', D') \to (X, D)$ over $k$ such that the morphism $X' \to X$ is faithfully flat, that $R' = f^*(R)$ has integral coefficients, and if we put $U' = X' - D'$ and $\mathscr{F}' = \mathscr{F}|U'$, that the $R'$-specialization $\nu_{R'}(\mathcal{H}(\mathscr{F}'), X')$ of $\mathcal{H}(\mathscr{F}')$ in the sense of (4.3.3) relatively to $(X', D')$, is additive and non-degenerate.

Note that we may replace (ii) by the stronger condition that it holds for any morphism $f$ satisfying the same assumptions (cf. [5] 8.24).

This notion can be extended to general sheaves as follows. Let $\overline{x}$ be a geometric point of $X$. We say that $\mathscr{F}$ is clean at $\overline{x}$ if there exists an étale neighborhood $X'$ of $\overline{x}$ in $X$ such that, if we put $U' = U \times_X X'$ and denote by $D'$ the pull-back of $D$ over $X'$, there exists a finite decomposition

$$\mathscr{F}|U' = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathscr{F}_i'$$

of $\mathscr{F}|U'$ into a direct sum of locally constant constructible sheaves of $\Lambda$-modules $\mathscr{F}_i'$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ on $U'$ which are isoclinic and clean along $D'$ in the previous sense. We say that $\mathscr{F}$ is clean along $D$ if it is clean at all geometric points of $X$ (cf. [5] 8.25). Note that for isoclinic sheaves, the two definitions are equivalent (cf. [5] 8.27).

The notion of cleanliness was first introduced by Kato for rank 1 sheaves in [6].
Our definition extends his. It was extended to isoclinic sheaves by the second author (T. S.) in ([10] §3.2).

Roughly speaking, if \( \mathcal{F} \) is clean along \( D \), then its ramification along \( D \) is controlled by its ramification at the generic points of \( D \). This is the main idea behind the following definition of the characteristic cycle of \( \mathcal{F} \).

4.5 We assume that \( X \) is connected and denote by \( d \) the dimension of \( X \), by \( T^*_X(\log D) = \mathbf{V}(\Omega_{X/k}^1(\log D)) \) the logarithmic cotangent bundle of \( X \) and by \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \) the generic points of \( D \). For each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), we denote by \( F_i \) the residue field of \( X \) at \( \xi_i \), by \( S_i = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_i}) \) the henselization of \( X \) at \( \xi_i \) and by \( \eta_i = \text{Spec}(K_i) \) the generic point of \( S_i \). We fix a separable closure \( \overline{K}_i \) of \( K_i \) and denote by \( \mathcal{G}_i \) the Galois group of \( \overline{K}_i/K_i \).

Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a locally constant constructible sheaf of free \( \Lambda \)-modules on \( U \) which is clean along \( D \). We denote by \( M_i \) the \( \Lambda[\mathfrak{G}_i] \)-module corresponding to \( \mathcal{F}|_{\eta_i} \), by

\[
(4.5.1) \quad M_i = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} M^{(r)}_{i}
\]

t its slope decomposition and, for each rational number \( r > 0 \), by

\[
(4.5.2) \quad M^{(r)}_{i} = \bigoplus_{\chi} M^{(r)}_{i, \chi}
\]

the central character decomposition of \( M^{(r)}_{i} \). Note that \( M^{(r)}_{i, \chi} \) is a free \( \Lambda \)-module of finite type for all \( r > 0 \) and all \( \chi \). By enlarging \( \Lambda \), we may assume that for all rational numbers \( r > 0 \) and all central characters \( \chi \) of \( M^{(r)}_{i} \) (i.e., all characters \( \chi: \text{Gr}^r_{\log} \mathcal{G}_i \to \Lambda^\times \) that appear in the decomposition (4.5.2)), we have \( \Lambda^\times = \Lambda \). Since \( \text{Gr}^r_{\log} \mathcal{G}_i \) is abelian and killed by \( p \) (2.4), \( \chi \) factors uniquely as \( \text{Gr}^r_{\log} \mathcal{G}_i \to \mathbb{F}_p \xrightarrow{\psi} \Lambda^\times \), where \( \psi \) is the non-trivial additive character fixed in 4.3. We denote also by \( \chi: \text{Gr}^r_{\log} \mathcal{G}_i \to \mathbb{F}_p \) the induced character and by

\[
(4.5.3) \quad \text{rsw}(\chi): m_{\overline{K}_i}^r/m_{\overline{K}_i}^{r+} \to \Omega_{F_i}(\log) \otimes \overline{F}_i
\]

its refined Swan conductor (2.4.2). Let \( F_X \) be the field of definition of \( \text{rsw}(\chi) \), which is a finite extension of \( F_i \) contained in \( \overline{F}_i \). The refined Swan conductor \( \text{rsw}(\chi) \) defines a line \( L_\chi \) in \( T^*_X(\log D) \otimes_X F_X \). Let \( \overline{L}_\chi \) be the closure of the image of \( L_\chi \) in \( T^*_X(\log D) \).

For each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), we put

\[
(4.5.4) \quad CC_i(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} \sum_{\chi} r \cdot \text{rk}_\Lambda(M^{(r)}_{i, \chi}) \frac{1}{[F_X : F_i]} [\overline{L}_\chi],
\]

which is a \( d \)-cycle on \( T^*_X(\log D) \times_X D_i \). It follows from the proof of ([10] 1.26) that the coefficient of \( [\overline{L}_\chi] \) is an element of \( \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \), and hence gives an element of \( \Lambda \).
Let \( \sigma : X \to T_X^*(\log D) \) be the zero-section of \( T_X^*(\log D) \) over \( X \). We define the \textit{characteristic cycle} of \( \mathscr{F} \) and denote by \( CC(\mathscr{F}) \), the \( d \)-cycle on \( T_X^*(\log D) \) defined by

\[
CC(\mathscr{F}) = \text{rk}_\Lambda(\mathscr{F})[\sigma] - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} CC_i(\mathscr{F}).
\]

Recall ([3] 2.1.1) that we associated to \( j_! \mathscr{F} \) a \textit{characteristic class}, denoted by \( C(j_! \mathscr{F}) \), which is a section of \( H^0(X, \mathscr{K}_X) \), where \( \mathscr{K}_X = f^! \Lambda \) and \( f : X \to \text{Spec}(k) \) is the structural morphism.

**Conjecture 4.6.** \textit{Under the assumptions of (4.5), we have in} \( H^0(X, \mathscr{K}_X) \)

\[
(4.6.1) \quad C(j_! \mathscr{F}) = (CC(\mathscr{F}), [\sigma]),
\]

\textit{where the right hand side is the intersection pairing relatively to} \( T_X^*(\log D) \).

Kato defined the characteristic cycle of a clean sheaf of rank 1 in [7]. The second author (T. S.) extended the definition to isoclinic and clean sheaves in ([10] 3.6) and proved conjecture 4.6 for these sheaves in (loc. cit. 3.7).

**4.7** We may optimistically expect that for any locally constant constructible sheaf \( \mathscr{F} \) of \( \Lambda \)-modules on \( U \), there exists an snc-pair \( (X', D') \) over \( k \) and a proper morphism of snc-pairs \( (X', D') \to (X, D) \) inducing an isomorphism \( X' - D' \sim U \) such that \( \mathscr{F} \) is clean along \( D' \). Kato proved this property for rank 1 sheaves on surfaces ([7] 4.1).
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